WORKING COPY

VERIF. DATE:	
INTIALS:	

CP3-QA-1003 FRev. 3D	TITLE: Management and Self-A	Assessment	Page 1 of 19
DOCUMENT CATEGO	ORY: Administrative	LEVEL OF USE: Information Level	
Check all that apply: Commitment Stamps	WCO ⊠ Si	hared Site □	
FUNCTIONAL AREA:		SUBJECT MATTER EXPERT:	
Performance Assurance		Stephen Sheeks, Contractor Performance	ce Assurance
SUBJECT MATTER AI	REA:	Specialist – Assessment Lead	
Assessments			
NUCLEAR SAFETY RI	EVIEW	RESPONSIBLE MANAGER/OWNE	R:
DOCUMENTATION:		Stephen Collins, Contractor Performance Assurance	
FRNP-25-0532-S		Program Manager	
DECLUDED DEVIEW I	DATE () () 1 ()	EFFECTIVE DATE:	
REQUIRED REVIEW DATE (or expiration date for			
temporary change):		11/10/2025	
5/7/2029			

	REVISION/CHANGE LOG			
Revision/ Change Letter	Description of Changes	Pages Affected	Date of Revision/ Change	Approved By (signature on file)
FR0	Blue Sheeted procedure.	All	10/20/2017	
FR1	Full revision to remove Contractor Performance Assurance Approval of Management and Self-Assessments and blue sheet.	All	12/13/2017	
FR2	Revision to remove 5.5 related to readiness reviews and use reference DOE O 425.1D; clarify that effectiveness reviews are assessments that require a trained and qualified assessor; and address CA-001471, AI-0001953, to clarify the definition of Responsible Manager and include the minimum distribution list.	All	1/8/2019	Documentation on file
FR2A	Revision per AI-0002291, to add statement for an external review by an Independent Assessor as it relates to the organizations' annual assessment plan.	7	03/26/2019	
FR2B	Revision to clarify level of detail provided in assessment report and checklist; per CA-002075/ AI-003256, to formalize a risk-based determination method for determining assessment schedules; and per CA-002515/AI-0003938, to define/clarify expectations for managers who perform Management Assessments.	3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 13, 16	07/23/2020	

CP3-QA-1003	TITLE:	Dama 2 of 10
FRev. 3D	Management and Self-Assessment	Page 2 of 19

	REVISION/CHANGE LOG				
Revision/ Change Letter	Description of Changes	Pages Affected	Date of Revision/ Change	Approved By (signature on file)	
FR3	Include factual accuracy review controls for improvement under CA-002975, update procedure title for CP4-SS-PFSA, and clarify self-assessments germane to Protective Force organization versus Safeguards and Security. General update to procedure.	All	5/27/2021		
FR3A	Non-Intent change to address action item in the Issues Management System (Reliance) CA-004548/AI-0007269.	5	10/30/2023	Documentation on file	
FR3B	Periodic Review has been completed with no changes identified in procedure technical content. Nonintent changes have been incorporated per CP3-NS-2001. Date for review cycle has been reset.	All	5/7/2024		
FR3C	Update source reference and definitions. Also add new reference steps to address CA-004894 (Action 6B to support action item AI-0007968).	4-6, 8-10, 14, 17	07/18/2024		
FR3D	DOE O 414.1E Implementation. Changes to address CA-005615 and CA-005634.	4-6, 19	11/06/2025	Stephen Collins	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	PURI	POSE AND SCOPE	
	1.1	Purpose	4
	1.2	Scope and Application	
2.0	REFE	ERENCES	
	2.1	Use References	4
	2.2	Source References	
3.0	COM	MITMENTS	5
4.0	RESF	PONSIBILITIES	5
5.0	GEN	ERAL INFORMATION	5
6.0	INST	RUCTIONS	7
	6.1	Scheduling Assessments	
	6.2	Planning an Assessment	
	6.3	Performing Assessments	
	6.4	Assessment Reporting	
	6.5	Review and Approval	
	6.6	Documentation	
7.0	RECO	ORDS	16
	7.1	Records Generated	16
	7.2	Records Disposition	16
APPE	NDIX	A – ACRONYMS/DEFINITIONS	

CP3-QA-1003	TITLE:	Dogo 4 of 10
FRev. 3D	Management and Self-Assessment	Page 4 of 19

1.0 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

1.1 Purpose

This procedure defines the Paducah site Deactivation and Remediation (D&R) Contractor process for conducting management and self-assessments. This procedure incorporates the criteria contained within CP2-QA-1000, *Quality Assurance Program Description for the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah Kentucky*, and applicable portions of ASME NQA-1-2008 (with Addenda through 2009), *Quality Assurance Requirements for Nuclear Facility Applications*.

Management assessments are conducted by, or on behalf of, the organization manager or an invited independent organization with management involvement. Self-assessments are conducted by the implementing organization and performed by the personnel doing the work.

1.2 Scope and Application

This procedure applies to management assessments and/or self-assessments performed on any organization, system, process, and/or facility managed by the Paducah site D&R Contractor. It covers planning, performing, notification, reporting, documenting, and dispositioning the results of management assessments and self-assessments. Management assessments may also apply to the work performed by the Paducah site D&R Contractor subcontractors.

Managers conduct management assessments as documented evaluations of how effectively policies, programs, management systems, and processes are implemented. Direct participation by managers is essential to the success of the management assessment process.

Self-assessments may be performed at the request of a manager or supervisor for the review of a limited area within a function, such as the implementation of the work package process, or the review of a particular function, or the review of a particular project training and qualification process. An assessment team leader is **NOT** required for a requested or self-performed self-assessment.

Self-assessments may also be performed by an individual, whenever appropriate to evaluate or review an activity or limited area within their own work function(s).

2.0 REFERENCES

2.1 Use References

- CP1-PM-0005, Classification Review Integration Controls for Converter Component Activities and Other Subject Matter Areas
- CP2-QA-1000, Quality Assurance Program Description for the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah, Kentucky
- CP3-HS-2009, Stop/Suspend Work
- CP3-QA-1001, Graded Approach
- CP3-QA-2005, Nonconformance Control
- CP3-QA-3001, Issues Management
- CP3-QA-3002, Operating Experience/Lessons Learned (OE/LL)
- Text Deleted

Chg D

CP3-QA-1003	TITLE:	Daga 5 of 10
FRev. 3D	Management and Self-Assessment	Page 5 of 19

2.2 Source References

- ASME NQA-1-2008 (with Addenda through 2009), Quality Assurance Requirements for Nuclear Facility Applications
- CP2-QA-3000, Contractor Performance Assurance Program Description at the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah, Kentucky
- Text Deleted
- CP5-QA-1003, Baseline and Fiscal Year Assessment Plans
- DOE O 414.1E, , *Quality Assurance*, Criterion 3-Management/Quality Improvement, Criterion 9-Assessment/Management Assessment
- DOE G 414.1-1C, Management and Independent Assessments Guide
- DOE O 226.1B Chg 1 (Admin Chg), Implementation of Department of Energy Oversight Policy
- DOE EM-QA-001, Rev. 2, EM Quality Assurance Program (QAP)
- 10 CFR § 830, Subpart A, *Quality Assurance Requirements*, Criterion 3-Management/Quality Improvement, Criterion 9-Assessment/Management Assessment.

3.0 COMMITMENTS

None

4.0 RESPONSIBILITIES

Responsibilities may be outlined in Section **6.0**. The position titles used to identify responsible individuals in this procedure are understood to include designees.

5.0 GENERAL INFORMATION

- 5.1 The focus and intent of any particular assessment is to review, evaluate, and assess the status, adequacy, and effectiveness of an organization's own programs, processes, and/or procedures. This is particularly intended to identify proficiencies or deficiencies in processes, practices, behaviors, roles, responsibilities, and organizational expectations that may impede performance improvement, or any needs to improve status, efficiency and/or effectiveness.
- **5.2 If** an operation or process is identified that jeopardizes safety, health, the environment or has life-threatening implications, **then** immediately work shall be stopped in accordance with CP3-HS-2009, *Stop/Suspend Work*.
- **5.3** Nonconforming items, services, procedures, or processes shall be documented and evaluated for significance and reporting in accordance with CP3-QA-2005, *Nonconformance Control*.
- **5.4** Findings, observations and process improvements shall be documented in accordance with CP3-QA-3001, *Issues Management*.
- 5.5 Management assessments are the responsibility of line organizations and are **NOT** to be delegated to independent oversight organizations such as Quality or Safety, although their support may be requested to organize and develop assessment strategies and checklists, provide effectiveness review expertise, and provide assessor training.

Chg C/D

Chg A

Chg D

CP3-QA-1003	TITLE:	Dage (of 10
FRev. 3D	Management and Self-Assessment	Page 6 of 19

- For assessments used to perform effectiveness reviews; the assessment must be led by or include a trained and qualified assessor, not necessarily a lead assessor, and be performed using Appendix D of CP3-QA-3001, *Issues Management*.
- 5.7 For periodic validation of the contractor assurance program, the contractor assurance program manager may sponsor assessment of the program for its validation. The sponsored assessors will have organizational freedom and will **NOT** be responsible for the work assessed. **If** needed, **then** the FRNP Program manager may also solicit "reachback" resources for independent validation of key contractor assurance system processes regarding project governance and assurance role. Lastly, third party audits, peer reviews, independent assessments, and external certification may be used and integrated into the contractor assurance system to complement, but **NOT** replace, the internal contractor assurance system as part of its validation process.
- **5.8** Factual accuracy reviews may be performed concurrently with other steps in this procedure as needed.
- **5.9 When** available **and** approved for FRNP use, **then** automated assessment work flow processes may be used throughout this procedure to meet procedure step intent, as applicable.

Chg D

CP3-QA-1003	TITLE:	Daga 7 of 10
FRev. 3D	Management and Self-Assessment	Page 7 of 19

6.0 INSTRUCTIONS

NOTE:

Management assessments are separate and distinct from independent assessments. They promote a proactive system for the early detection and correction of potential problems, and provide management at all organizational levels with an accurate and current awareness of their organization's performance and compliance with requirements. Any findings, observations, and process improvements resulting from a management assessment are to be documented in accordance with Section **6.4** and CP3-QA-3001, *Issues Management*, or CP3-QA-2005, *Nonconformance Control*. Management assessments meet the Integrated Safety Management (ISM) core function expectations by providing feedback and continuous improvement. Managers who perform Management assessments must be actively involved in the completion of the assessment, and must NOT delegate responsibility for completing the assessment to independent oversight or other support organizations (i.e., Quality Assurance, Safety & Health, etc.).

Self-assessments are less formal than management assessments. It is recommended that a self-assessment follow the management assessment process, although it is not strictly required. Allowable deviations are: an Assessment Team Lead is **NOT** required, a formal assessment plan is **NOT** required, and a formal entrance meeting and exit meeting are **NOT** required. Any findings, observations, and process improvements resulting from a self-assessment are to be documented in accordance with Section **6.4** and CP3-QA-3001, *Issues Management*, or CP3-QA-2005, *Nonconformance Control*.

6.1 Scheduling Assessments

NOTE:

The frequency and scope of Management and Self-Assessments are based on the safety significance of the program or process. Program Managers, Project Managers, and the Waste Certification Official (WCO) shall work with the Contractor Performance Assurance Program (CPAP) Manager to develop an assessment schedule based on risk and consequence factors within their area of responsibility.

Risk and consequence factors are determined using CP3-QA-1001, Graded Approach as necessary.

One or more management assessments shall be conducted annually by the Paducah site D&R Contractor to assess the adequacy and effective implementation of the Quality program. These management assessments in coordination with any applicable independent audits or assessments meet the requirement in CP2-QA-1000, *Quality Assurance Program Description for the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah Kentucky* (QAPD) to review and validate the overall implementation and effectiveness of the QAPD on an annual basis.

Responsible Program, Project Manager or WCO

6.1.1 Develop a list of management and self-assessments necessary to assess the status, adequacy, and effectiveness of the organization's own programs, processes, and/or procedures. The list must include assessments necessary to meet periodic (for example, annual or triennial) program assessment requirements.

CP3-QA-1003 FRev. 3D	TITLE: Management and Self-Assessment	Page 8 of 19
1110,002	Wanagement and Ben-Assessment	

- **6.1.2** Review the following sources and requirements for the development of the assessment list:
 - The Contract or Task Order
 - Project schedules and associated work tasks or activities
 - Results of previous assessments (management, independent and self)
 - Implemented corrective actions and effectiveness reviews
 - Occurrences and other issues or events reportable to DOE
 - Lessons Learned
 - Adverse trends
 - Assessments required by external agencies, such as Nevada National Security Site (NNSS)
 - Performance problems, diminishing performance, and negative external assessment results
- Work with CPAP Manager to develop an assessment schedule based on the risk and consequence of the assessment scope including the following as a minimum:
 - Manager responsible for the assessment (Responsible Manager)
 - Subject area to be assessed
 - Type of assessment (management or self)
 - Scheduled completion date of the assessment
- **6.1.4** Provide the assessment schedule to the CPAP Manager for incorporation into a comprehensive assessment schedule.

CPAP Manager or Internal Assessment Lead

- 6.1.5 Develop the comprehensive assessment schedule, including scheduled Independent assessments or surveillances, management and self-assessments as applicable, based upon a review of the ongoing and planned activities, and the associated risks and consequences, with input from the responsible Program and Project Managers and WCO.
- Obtain input on risk-informed assessment topics from the Risk Management Manager, Planning and Optimization. The quantity, frequency, and topic of assessments to be included on the comprehensive assessment schedule shall be determined using the inputs from the managers and by reviewing the identified risks on the Risk Register. In addition, the following are considerations that will be utilized on a graded approach when making the annual schedule:
 - New or recently started activities that are considered high risk or consequence to the environment, worker/public health, or nuclear safety if performed incorrectly;
 - New or recently started activities that are FRNP mission critical;
 - Areas and activities where significant conditions adverse to quality have occurred this contract;

CP3-QA-1003	TITLE:	Page 9 of 19
FRev. 3D	Management and Self-Assessment	1 age 9 01 19

- Assessments that are required by contract and must be completed on the schedule to include periodic assessment of safety management programs;
- Significant program changes that could affect any of the areas on this list.
- 6.1.7 Maintain **and** arrange or re-arrange the assessment schedule to reflect, but **NOT** be limited to, the following:
 - Combining scheduled assessment activities with other assessments being conducted in the same area
 - Assessments may be cancelled or rescheduled based on such factors as changes in priorities, other assessments directed by functional organizations or external oversight groups, or the need to address newly identified problem areas
 - Additional assessments may be identified and performed once the original schedule is finalized
 - Cancellation or rescheduling of assessments required by the Contract or Task Order policies or procedures must have written approval of the CPAP Manager (approval may be granted by e-mail, if required)
 - Cancellation or rescheduling of assessments required by NNSS policies or procedures implementing NNSS requirements must be coordinated by the CPAP Manager and have written approval of the WCO (approval may be granted by e-mail, if required)
 - Provide the draft Fiscal Year Assessment Plan to an external Independent Assessor to evaluate and provide concurrence with the adequacy of the organization's Assessment plan in scope, frequency, and independence with a focus on the utilization of safety, risk, and consequence factors within each functional area.
- 6.1.8 To the extent practical, pre-identify, on the annual assessment plan, assessments that are potentially in a classified subject matter area (e.g., converter component activity area), and subject to CP1-PM-0005, Classification Review Integration Controls for Converter Component Activities and Other Subject Matter Areas, classification control considerations.
- **6.1.9** Update the assessment schedule at least once per quarter to reflect the assessments performed and completion dates.
- **6.1.10** Publish the assessment schedule in shared folder or location.
- **6.1.11** Maintain approved templates for self and management assessment plan, checklist **and** report in shared folder or location.

CP3-QA-1003	TITLE:	Dage 10 of 10
FRev. 3D	Management and Self-Assessment	Page 10 of 19

6.2 Planning an Assessment

Responsible Manager

NOTE:

Where the Responsible Manager is also the Assessment Team Lead or the Assessor, the Manager can adjust, as necessary, the format, notifications, briefings, approvals, etc. discussed in this procedure. If this option is utilized, the Assessment Team Lead or Assessor should include wording in the final assessment report to indicate the use of this option.

- **6.2.1** Select assessment type.
- **6.2.2** Identify scope of the assessment.
- **6.2.3** Contact CPAP Personnel at <u>FRNP-CPAP@pad.pppo.gov</u> to obtain the applicable assessment number.

CPAP Personnel

6.2.4 Confirm assessment scope, assign assessment number, **and** provide appropriate templates for assessment plan, checklist and report.

Responsible Manager

- **6.2.5** Determine general criteria to be assessed along with any specific criteria to be assessed.
- **6.2.6 If NOT** already established, **then** for assessments that are effectiveness reviews, determine effectiveness success criteria.
- **6.2.7 When** conducting effectiveness review assessments **and** in conjunction with this procedure, follow the effectiveness review requirements of CP3-QA-3001, *Issues Management*.

NOTE:

Management assessments, and effectiveness reviews completed as management assessments, are the responsibility of line organizations and are **NOT** to be delegated to independent oversight organizations such as Quality or Safety, although their support may be requested to organize and develop assessment strategies and checklists, provide effectiveness review expertise, and provide assessor training.

- **6.2.8** Based on assessment type, select Assessment Team Lead having experience and technical expertise in the area being evaluated (includes Lead with L or Q clearance, if within a potentially classified subject matter area).
- **6.2.9** Determine assessment team make-up and qualification requirements based on scope, depth, degree of rigor to be achieved and type of assessment.
- **6.2.10** Brief Assessment Team Lead on assessment expectations **and** provide routine monitoring and coaching of assessment quality.

CP3-QA-1003	TITLE:	Page 11 of 19
FRev. 3D	Management and Self-Assessment	ruge II of 17

Assessment Team Lead/Assessor

NOTE:

Templates are obtained by contacting the CPAP Group, or on the intranet under Health & Safety, Contractor Performance, Assessments, Assessments Templates. The templates should be customized to include the specific assessment type, assessment title, assessment number, and any other appropriate details.

- **6.2.11** Agree on assessment scope and timeframe with the Responsible Manager.
- **6.2.12 If** the assessment scope is in a potentially classified subject matter area (e.g., converter component subject matter area), **then** ensure the following:
 - **A.** Review CP1-PM-0005, to ensure it is understood and followed for the assessment.

NOTE:

If a classified assessment is required, **then** classification matter protection and control requirements take precedence over this procedure, should such conflict occur.

- **B.** Discuss the assessment strategy with an authorized Derivative Classifier (DC) to maintain the assessment as unclassified. **If** a classified assessment must be performed, **then** consult with CPAP Management to follow the associated requirements of CP1-PM-0005.
- **6.2.13** Review prior assessments to determine whether attributes or questions, information, or results may be used for the planned assessment.
- **6.2.14** Solicit assessors, if required, for the assessment type being performed.
- **6.2.15** Base selection of assessors upon experience related to work scope and familiarity with the activities being assessed.

NOTE:

If approved by the Responsible Manager, then the Assessment Team Lead may utilize an alternative method of communicating pertinent information to the team, function, or project being assessed when an Assessment Plan is **NOT** feasible. If this option is utilized, then the Assessment Team Lead or Assessor should include wording in the final assessment report to indicate the use of this option.

- **6.2.16** Develop assessment plan for management assessments to include purpose, scope, planned assessment dates, team members, resources required and any other pertinent information that should be communicated to the team and the function or project being assessed.
- **6.2.17** Complete assessment checklist to include each attribute or question [Line of Inquiry (LOI)] and the related source of that LOI in order to perform the assessment.
- **6.2.18 If** there is no documented driver [for example, a governing procedure, regulatory requirement, Operating Experience/Lessons Learned (OE/LL) record, etc.], **then** state the source is "Management Expectation" or similar wording.

CP3-QA-1003 TITLE: FRev. 3D Management and Self-Assessment	Page 12 of 19
--	---------------

- **6.2.19** Research should include the following, as applicable to the assessment scope and objectives:
 - Governing procedures
 - Regulatory requirements
 - OE/LL database
 - Previously recorded assessments for input pertaining to the purpose and scope of the assessment to be performed
 - Previous corrective action plans (CAPs), CAP actions
 - Trend reports
 - Quality and/or regulatory assessments, audits, surveillances, inspections
 - Support from personnel who are technically capable in the area being assessed
 - Attributes/questions with the amount of detail and rigor that is reflective of the scope of the assessment
- **6.2.20** Obtain Responsible Manager's signature for the assessment plan.
- **6.2.21** Provide the assessment plan and checklist to appropriate management of the assessed organization.

Responsible Manager

6.2.22 Sign for acceptance of the assessment plan, if applicable.

CPAP Manager or Internal Assessment Lead

6.2.23 Provide assessment numbers as requested, may assign numbers to scheduled assessments prior to request from Responsible Manager.

6.3 Performing Assessments

Assessment Team Leader or Assessor

- **6.3.1** Include the following in pre-assessment activities:
 - **A.** Schedule entrance and exit meetings (not required for self-assessments).
 - **B.** Notify management of the assessed function or project that the assessment will commence on the scheduled date.
 - **C.** Include in entrance meeting information the assessment scope, goal, methodology selected, team members, and assigned tasks.
 - **D.** Make arrangements for personnel, meeting locations and scheduling.
- **6.3.2** Use one or a combination of the following evaluation methodologies:
 - **A.** For Observation Provide direct observation of work (both physical and/or process), when practical and available.

CP3-QA-1003	TITLE:	Dogo 12 of 10
FRev. 3D	Management and Self-Assessment	Page 13 of 19

- **B.** For Document Reviews Provide objective evidence to substantiate compliance with applicable requirements, this technique should be combined with interviews, work observation and/or field observation to complete the performance picture.
- **C.** For Interviews Provide the means of verifying results of work observation, document review and/or field observation.
- **6.3.3 When** conducting assessments, perform the following:
 - **A.** Coordinate **and** conduct entrance meeting for management assessments (to document and kick off the assessment).
 - **B.** Collect objective evidence within the limits imposed by the purpose and scope to address each assessment LOI.
 - **C.** Document results on the assessment checklist, **and** provide a clear and concise description of what was identified.
 - **D.** Utilize, as necessary, persons recognized as knowledgeable of the program, process or procedures to help determine the status of the LOI being assessed.

NOTE:

It is possible an LOI could be fully met and a non-related finding or observation is identified during the assessment. The LOI would be marked Satisfactory (SAT) and the finding or observation would be documented in accordance with CP3-QA-3001, *Issues Management*, or CP3-QA-2005, *Nonconformance Control*.

At a minimum all Findings, Observations, and Process Improvements are to be documented in accordance with CP3-QA-3001, *Issues Management*, or CP3-QA-2005, *Nonconformance Control*.

- **E.** Assign one implementation result to each LOI on the checklist as follows:
 - Satisfactory (SAT)/Acceptable Fully meets related LOI; Observations, needed Process Improvements, and Proficiencies should also be noted.
 - Unsatisfactory (UNSAT)/Finding or Observation Does **NOT** meet related LOI (Finding) or if **NOT** corrected will **NOT** meet related LOI (Observation) and non-LOI related Findings and Observations should also be noted.
 - Not Applicable (N/A) If LOI was found to be NOT applicable to the function, process, program, etc. the LOI is pertinent to, or the LOI was NOT reviewed.
- **F.** Identify areas which may serve as examples to or provide OE/LL for current and future projects.
- **G.** Report OE/LL in accordance with CP3-QA-3002, *Operating Experience/Lessons Learned (OE/LL)*.
- **H.** Keep management apprised of the status of assessment efforts and results on a daily basis or periodicity agreed upon for the assessment.

CP3-QA-1003	TITLE:	Page 14 of 19
FRev. 3D	Management and Self-Assessment	rage 14 01 19

- I. Immediately notify the Plant Shift Superintendent for any identified issue that has the potential to be a personnel or equipment safety hazard, operability concern, reportability concern, or environmental concern and follow CP3-QA-3001, Issues Management accordingly.
- **J. If** UNSAT or SAT results in a Finding, Observation, or Process Improvement, **then** document in accordance with CP3-QA-3001, *Issues Management*, **and** include the Corrective Actions and Preventive Actions (CAPA) number generated from the Reliance Issues Management System in the result section of the applicable LOI.
- **K. If** UNSAT or SAT result is documented in accordance with CP3-QA-2005, *Nonconformance Control*, **then** add the NCR number to the result section of the LOI.
- **L. When** the assessment is completed, conduct an exit meeting with the participants and manager of the assessed organization to discuss assessment results. This step may be conducted in conjunction with Step 6.5.1 (factual accuracy review) of this procedure.

6.4 Assessment Reporting

Assessment Team Leader or Assessor

NOTE:

Attachments to the report should provide any supporting information relevant to the assessment.

- **6.4.1** Develop assessment report to include the following information:
 - Provide dates of when the assessment was performed, date of the exit meeting, and an executive summary.
 - The results section of the report should summarize the assessment results and correlate with the purpose and scope: highlight findings, observations, process improvements, and proficiencies; include conclusions substantiated by facts, results should address effectiveness of program implementation, processes and procedures.
 - Identify by name and position those personnel who were contacted during the assessment.
- **6.4.2** Identify the team members (such as, leader, assessor, and technical specialist).
- **6.4.3** Document CAPA number(s) for findings, observations, and process improvements in the assessment report, as applicable. Assignment of CAPA numbers may be delayed until factual accuracy review is complete in Section **6.5**.
- **6.4.4 If** the LOI results in a nonconformance, **then** document the NCR number in the assessment report. Assignment of NCR numbers may be delayed until factual accuracy review is complete in Section **6.5**.
- **6.4.5** Include in each attachment or appendix the assessment number, attachment or appendix number, page number, and attachment or appendix title.

CP3-QA-1003	TITLE:	D 15 -610
FRev. 3D	Management and Self-Assessment	Page 15 of 19

6.5 Review and Approval

Assessment Team Leader or Assessor and Reviewers

6.5.1 Review the assessment report and checklist with the assessment team member(s) and with those on distribution of the report to ensure accuracy and completeness (factual accuracy); make any corrections, as necessary. This factual accuracy review includes the following scope and controls:

NOTE:

Proficiencies do **NOT** require entry into Issues Management and should be considered for entry into the lessons learned process of CP3-QA-3002.

- Review identified issue(s)/findings in the report for accuracy. Use of an issue tracker during the assessment (especially with extensive management assessments) may be used to expedite the final factual accuracy review.
- Resolve factual accuracy review conflicts and include next level management as needed.
- Complete Factual Accuracy prior to the final approved version or revision of the report and checklist.

Assessment Team Leader or Assessor

- **6.5.2** Ensure CAPA numbers and NCR numbers are assigned in the report and the checklist as applicable for findings, observations, process improvements, and NCRs.
- **6.5.3** Finalize **and** sign the report.
- **6.5.4** Obtain Responsible Manager's signature on the report.

6.6 Documentation

Assessment Team Leader or Assessor

- **6.6.1** Obtain DC review if the assessment was in a potentially classified subject matter area (e.g., converter component subject matter area).
- **6.6.2** Ensure any UNSAT results are documented as findings or observations.
- **6.6.3** Ensure findings, observations, and process improvements are documented in accordance with CP3-QA-3001, *Issues Management*, or CP3-QA-2005, *Nonconformance Control*, with applicable cross-references listed in the assessment report **and** on the checklist in the appropriate LOI results section.

Chg C

CP3-QA-1003 FRev. 3D	TITLE: Management and Self-Assessment	Page 16 of 19
11101102	Wanagement and Sen-Assessment	

Responsible Manager or Assessment Team Leader (if assigned)

- **6.6.4** Ensure the completed assessment report is distributed at a minimum to the following:
 - Responsible Director of the assessed organization
 - Responsible Manager of assessed organization
 - Contractor Performance Assurance Program Personnel at FRNP-CPAP@pad.pppo.gov
 - WCO, if NNSS Waste Certification Program related
 - Nondestructive Assay (NDA) Program Manager, if NDA program related
 - Related stakeholders such as those that will likely be Issue Owners
 - Personnel who have been assigned actions within the report
 - Others as determined applicable

7.0 RECORDS

7.1 Records Generated

- **7.1.1** The following records may be generated by this procedure:
 - Assessment Plan
 - Assessment Report
 - Assessment Checklist
 - Alternative Assessment Documents, if applicable
 - Attachments to plans, reports, and checklists, if applicable
- **7.1.2** Forms are to be completed in accordance with CP3-OP-0024, *Forms Control*.

7.2 Records Disposition

The records are to be prepared, approved, and maintained in accordance with CP3-RD-0010, *Records Management Process*, and supporting procedures.

CP3-QA-1003 TITLE:

Rev. 3D Management and Self-Assessment Page 17 of 19

Appendix A – Acronyms/Definitions

ACRONYMS

CAP – Corrective Action Plan

CAPA – Corrective Action and Preventive Actions

CFR – Code of Federal Regulations

CPAP – Contractor Performance Assurance Program

D&R – Deactivation and Remediation

LOI – Line of Inquiry

LL - Lessons Learned

NDA – Nondestructive Assay

NNSS – Nevada National Security Site

 \mathbf{O} – Order

OE – Operating Experience

QAPD – Quality Assurance Program Description

SAT - Satisfactory

UNSAT – Unsatisfactory

WCO - Waste Certification Official

DEFINITIONS

Assessment – A review, evaluation, inspection, test, check, surveillance, or audit to determine and document whether items, processes, systems, or services meet specified requirements and perform effectively.

Assessment Team Leader – An individual who by education, experience, and other credentials has been selected to organize, perform, and direct an assessment; and report assessment results.

Assessor – An individual who by education, experience, and other credentials has been selected to perform an assessment.

Attribute – A process or activity that needs to be evaluated to ensure the Assessment Plan is satisfied.

Checklist – A document containing a series of statements and/or questions (for example, characteristics) used to gain sufficient information to evaluate processes based on defined performance criteria. Checklists are used as guidance and may be expanded or condensed during an assessment as circumstances warrant.

Appendix A – Acronyms/Definitions (continued)

Condition Adverse to Quality (CAQ) – An all-inclusive term used in reference to any of the following: failures, malfunctions, deficiencies, defective items, and nonconformances. [Definition from ASME NQA-1-2008 (and addenda through 2009)]

Corrective Action – Measures taken to rectify conditions adverse to quality and, where necessary, to preclude repetition.

Derivative Classifier – An individual authorized to confirm that an unmarked document or material is unclassified or to determine the document or material is classified, as allowed by his or her description of authority. A DC may also upgrade a classified document or material or material to a higher level or a more restrictive category.

Document Reviews – Technique used during an Assessment during which documents are evaluated to provide objective evidence to substantiate compliance with applicable requirements.

Entrance Meeting – To document and kick off the assessment.

External – for this procedure, external regarding external Independent Assessor, refers to external to the Contractor Performance Assurance Group and may be an FRNP employee; all other references to external in this procedure is for non-FRNP personnel such as third party type entities, agencies, oversight, and/or reach-back personnel.

Finding – A direct violation of or nonconformance to an existing requirement. A series of related or "like" findings or observations may be symptoms of an underlying systemic problem; therefore, a single issue should be developed that consolidates and cites the individual findings or observations as evidence of a system breakdown.

Issue – Generic term for problems, deficiencies, findings, observations, concerns, alerts, occurrences, potential noncompliance, and other events/conditions identified in the scope of this procedure requiring evaluation for corrective action.

Line of Inquiry (LOI) – Criteria, attribute/question to be assessed and included on the assessment checklist.

Management Assessment – A periodic introspective self-analysis, conducted by management, to evaluate management systems, processes, and programs ensuring the organization's work is properly focused on achieving desired results.

Nonconformance – A deficiency in characteristic, documentation, or procedure that renders the quality of an item or activity unacceptable or indeterminate.

Objective Evidence – Any documented statement of fact, other information, or record, either quantitative or qualitative, pertaining to the quality of an item or activity, based on observations, measurements, or tests that can be verified.

Observation – An assessment conclusion identifying a condition that is **NOT** a deviation to a written requirement; however if not corrected would become a deviation.

Chg

Chg

CP3-QA-1003	TITLE:	Dago 10 of 10
FRev. 3D	Management and Self-Assessment	Page 19 of 19

Appendix A – Acronyms/Definitions (continued)

Process Improvement – A best management practice implementation, streamlined method of accomplishment, cost or resource saving measure, elimination of redundant activities, or other action that will result in positive impact on a process or service.

Proficiency – Proficiency may be either an exemplary practice or an area of performance excellence identified during an assessment activity. It is not just doing what should be done. Proficiencies are not entered into issues management. Proficiencies should be considered for submittal as "good practices" in the Lessons Learned (LL) system.

Requirement – Performance expectation derived from DOE orders, federal and state laws, applicable policies and procedures, and subcontractor contract and procedural requirements.

Responsible Manager – A manager who has been assigned the responsibility for an assessment or assigned the role, responsibility, authority, and accountability for performance within a functional area, project, or program. The Responsible Manager also may be the Functional Area Manager.

Self-Assessment – A type of assessment used for the review of a limited area within a function, and can be performed by the personnel doing the work or by an invited independent organization.

Significant Condition Adverse To Quality (SCAQ) – A significant condition adverse to quality is one that, if uncorrected, could have serious effect on safety, the environment, or operability.

Validation -(1) The process of evaluating a system to determine if it satisfies specified requirements and (2) confirmation by examination and provision of objective evidence that particular requirements have been fulfilled.