

**Swift & Staley Team/DOE Paducah Site
Classification Office (CO)/Technical Information Office (TIO)
and Operations Security (OPSEC) Release Form**

To Be Completed by Person Requesting Release
(Required to be completed prior to submittal to CO/TIO/OPSEC)

Date 6/15/09 Date Release is Required 6/17/09
 Person Requesting Release Stan Knaus Phone Number 5157
 Mailing Address 761 Veterans Avenue, Kevil, Kentucky 42053
 Organization Paducah Remediation Services, LLC
 Document Number PRS-REG-0008 Number of Pages 16
 Accession Number (DMC only) _____
 Document Title/Date National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants Annual Report for 2008
U.S. Department of Energy Emissions at the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant June 2009
 Author Stan Knaus Corporate Author PRS/DOE
Media (Check all that apply)
 Paper Photo Diskette Drawing Video CD Report/Letter Other _____
 Project Subcontract/Task Order _____
 Requestor/Purpose of Release Public Release

DO NOT WRITE BELOW THIS LINE – CO/TIO/DC/OPSEC USE ONLY

Classified Yes No **UCNI** Yes No
ECI Yes No **OUO** Yes No

Type of Release (Check One)
 Public Release Internal Release Only Limited Release

Reason (Check all that apply)
 Circumvention of Statute Statutory Exemption Commercial/Proprietary
 Personal Privacy Privileged Information OPSEC

Other/Comments _____

DC David Hayden Date 6-15-09
 TIO David Hayden Date 6-15-09
 Reviewing Official David Hayden Date 6-15-09
 OPSEC David Hayden Date 6-15-09



Department of Energy

Portsmouth/Paducah Project Office
1017 Majestic Drive, Suite 200
Lexington, Kentucky 40513
(859) 219-4000

JUN 30 2009

Ms. Carol L. Kemker, Acting Director
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4
Air, Pesticides, and Toxics Management Division
61 Forsythe, Southwest
Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960

PPPO-02-501-09

Mr. John S. Lyons
Kentucky Division for Air Quality
200 Fair Oaks Lane
Frankfort, Kentucky 40601-1403

Mr. Reid Rosnick
U.S. EPA Headquarters
Office of Radiation and Indoor Air
MS6608J, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, Northwest
Washington, DC 20460

Madam and Sirs:

SUBMISSION OF THE NATIONAL EMISSIONS STANDARDS FOR HAZARDOUS AIR POLLUTANTS ANNUAL REPORT FOR 2008 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY EMISSIONS AT THE PADUCAH GASEOUS DIFFUSION PLANT, PADUCAH, KENTUCKY

Enclosed is the calendar year 2008 Annual National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants Report, required by 40 *CFR* § 61, Subpart H. This report summarizes airborne radionuclide emissions from the Paducah Site, including both U.S. Department of Energy and United States Enrichment Corporation emissions for calendar year 2008.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact Don Dihel at (270) 441-6824.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Reinhard Knerr". The signature is stylized and written over the word "Sincerely,".

Reinhard Knerr
Paducah Site Lead
Portsmouth/Paducah Project Office

Enclosure:

National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants Annual Report for 2008

cc w/enclosure:

L. Generette, EPA Region 4

e-copy w/enclosure:

don.dihel@lex.doe.gov, PPPO/PAD
gustavo.vazquez@hq.doe.gov, HS-22
janet.miller@lex.doe.gov, PRC/PAD
jennifer.blewett@prs-llc.net, PRS/Kevil
marjorie.dulatt@lex.doe.gov, PPPO/LEX
mike.spry@prs-llc.net, PRS/Kevil
myrna.redfield@prs-llc.net, PRS/Kevil
pete.coutts@prs-llc.net, PRS/Kevil
reinhard.knerr@lex.doe.gov, PPPO/PAD
stan.knaus@prs-llc.net, PRS/Kevil
tracey.fitzgerald@lex.doe.gov, PRC/PAD

CERTIFICATION


Document Identification: *National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants
Annual Report For 2008 U.S. Department Of Energy Emissions at
the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant (PRS-REG-0008)*

This PRS and DOE certification pertains to the following DOE emission sources:

C-752-A Waste Activities
Northwest Plume Treatment Facility
Northeast Plume Treatment Facility
C-746-A West Ends Smelter Demolition
C-301, DOE Material Storage Area Outside 12
Fugitive and Diffuse Sources

I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted herein and based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information including the possibility of fine and imprisonment. (See 18 USC 1001.)

Paducah Remediation Services, LLC




Mike Spry, President
Paducah Remediation Services, LLC

6/29/09

Date Signed

I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted herein and based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information including the possibility of fine and imprisonment. (See 18 USC 1001.)

U.S. Department of Energy (DOE)




Reinhard Knerr
Paducah Site Lead
Portsmouth/Paducah Project Office
U.S. Department of Energy

6/29/09

Date Signed

**National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants
Annual Report for 2008 U.S. Department of Energy
Emissions at the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant**

This document is approved for public release per review by:



Paducah Classification and Control Office
Swift and Staley Team

6/26/09
Date

**National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants
Annual Report for 2008 U.S. Department of Energy
Emissions at the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant**

Date Issued—June 2009

Prepared for the
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
Office of Environmental Management

Prepared by
PADUCAH REMEDIATION SERVICES, LLC
managing the
Environmental Management activities at the
Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
under contract DE-AC30-06EW05001

CONTENTS

FIGURE	iv
TABLES.....	iv
ACRONYMS	v
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	ES-1
1. FACILITY DESCRIPTION	1
2. INTRODUCTION	1
3. SITE DESCRIPTION	1
4. DOE SOURCE HANDLING AND PROCESSING DESCRIPTION.....	2
4.1 NORTHWEST PLUME INTERIM REMEDIAL ACTION PROJECT	3
4.2 NORTHEAST PLUME CONTAINMENT SYSTEM	3
4.3 C-752-A WASTE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES	3
4.4 C-301 DOE MATERIAL STORAGE AREA OUTSIDE-12 METAL REDUCTION PROJECT.....	3
4.5 C-746-A WEST END SMELTER DEMOLITION	3
4.6 FUGITIVE AND DIFFUSE SOURCES.....	4
4.7 MISCELLANEOUS SOURCES	4
5. CONSTRUCTION AND MODIFICATION ACTIVITIES	4
6. DOE SOURCE CHARACTERISTICS AND AIR EMISSIONS DATA.....	4
7. DOSE ASSESSMENT	6
7.1 DESCRIPTION OF DOSE MODEL.....	6
7.2 SUMMARY OF INPUT PARAMETERS.....	6
7.3 DOSE ESTIMATE	6
8. UNPLANNED RELEASES	7
9. AMBIENT AIR MONITORING.....	7
10. STATUS OF 40 <i>CFR</i> § 61 SUBPART H COMPLIANCE.....	8

FIGURE

1. Location of Paducah Site Ambient Air Monitors	9
--	---

TABLES

1. Emission Point Effluent Controls and Efficiencies	4
2. Distances to Selected Receptors	5
3. Characteristics of Stacks, Vents, or Other Emission Points that Emit Radionuclides	5
4. Radionuclide Materials and Emissions Data (Curies).....	5
5. Dose Analysis	7
6. Kentucky Radiation Health and Toxics Branch Ambient Air Monitoring Results.....	10

ACRONYMS

<i>CFR</i>	<i>Code of Federal Regulations</i>
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
EDE	effective dose equivalent
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
HEPA	high-efficiency particulate air
NESHAP	National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants
PGDP	Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
USEC	United States Enrichment Corporation

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Kentucky Division for Air Quality regulates air emissions of radionuclides, other than radon, from the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) facilities under 401 *Kentucky Administrative Regulations (KAR)* 57:002. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) also regulates air emissions of radionuclides from DOE facilities under 40 *Code of Federal Regulations (CFR)* § 61, Subpart H. Submission of this report fulfills the annual reporting requirements of 40 *CFR* § 61.94.

DOE owns the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant (PGDP), which has radionuclide air emissions from DOE operations as well as from United States Enrichment Corporation (USEC) operations. Because all regulated airborne radionuclide emissions are subject to the regulation, both DOE and USEC operations were included in the estimate of the Paducah Site resultant dose to the public. DOE certifies the information relating to its operation only. USEC submits a separate National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) report relating to emissions from its activities. The dose to the public is calculated using a computer modeling program (CAP-88) specified in 40 *CFR* § 61.93. Inputs to the computer program for both DOE and USEC sources are obtained through continuous monitoring, periodic confirmatory measurements, engineering estimates, emission factors, and other EPA-approved methods. Subpart H requires an annual compliance report covering site emissions from the previous year. This report meets the annual reporting requirements and establishes the total annual effective dose equivalent to the maximally exposed member of the public in 2008 at 0.0087 mrem, including USEC and DOE contributions. DOE emissions contribution to this total was 0.00055 mrem. This is well below the annual limit of 10 mrem per year.

1. FACILITY DESCRIPTION

Site Name: Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant

Location: Paducah, Kentucky

Owner: U.S. Department of Energy
Portsmouth/Paducah Project Office
P. O. Box 1410
Paducah, Kentucky 42002-1410
Reinhard Knerr
(270) 441-6825

Operator: Paducah Remediation Services, LLC
761 Veterans Avenue
Kevil, Kentucky 42053
Mike Spry, President
(270) 441-5100

2. INTRODUCTION

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Paducah Site includes the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant (PGDP), which is leased to the United States Enrichment Corporation (USEC). DOE manages the remaining, nonleased facilities at the Paducah Site. The DOE-managed facilities consist of various waste management facilities, inactive buildings, depleted uranium storage facilities, and environmental restoration facilities. Paducah Remediation Services, LLC, and Uranium Disposition Services, LLC, are the operators of the DOE managed facilities.

Emissions from both USEC and DOE activities were analyzed together. DOE certifies only the information related to its emissions. The results from the single combined analysis are presented in separate DOE and USEC reports. The reports have been separated to ease review and approval by the separate organizations.

3. SITE DESCRIPTION

PGDP is an active uranium enrichment facility consisting of a diffusion cascade and extensive support facilities. The cascade, including product and tails withdrawal, is housed in six large process buildings. The plant is located on a reservation consisting of approximately 3,500 acres in western McCracken County, 10 miles west of Paducah, Kentucky, and 3.5 miles south of the Ohio River. The facility is on approximately 1,350 acres with controlled access. Roughly 650 acres of the reservation are enclosed within a fenced security area. An uninhabited buffer zone of at least 400 yards surrounds the entire fenced area. During World War II, the Kentucky Ordnance Works, a trinitrotoluene production facility, was operated in an area southwest of the plant on what is now a wildlife management area.

Construction of the PGDP facility began in 1951 and the plant was fully operational by 1955, supplying enriched uranium for commercial reactors and defense uses. Enriched uranium is defined as uranium in which the concentration of the fissionable uranium-235 (^{235}U) isotope has been increased from its natural assay. Natural uranium is primarily uranium-238 (^{238}U), with about 0.71 percent ^{235}U and 0.0055 percent uranium-234 (^{234}U). Uranium mills process the ores to produce concentrated uranium oxide (U_3O_8), which then is converted commercially to uranium hexafluoride (UF_6) for enrichment at a gaseous diffusion plant.

The radioactive materials used at the PGDP are associated with enrichment of the uranium isotope, ^{235}U , by utilizing a gaseous diffusion process [40 *CFR* § 61.94 (a) (2)]. During enriching operations from 1953 to 1975, feed material (called "reactor tails") from government reactors also was used intermittently in addition to the UF_6 typically used. Reactor tails were the spent fuel from nuclear reactors that is depleted in ^{235}U content and has been reprocessed to remove most of the fission products. The reactor fuel rods were processed at other DOE facilities (where most of the fission products were removed) and the enriched uranium and the remaining fission products were fed into the PGDP cascade system. Use of the reactor tails resulted in the introduction of technetium-99 (^{99}Tc), a fission by-product, and transuranics, most notably neptunium-237 (^{237}Np) and plutonium-239 (^{239}Pu), into the cascade.

The West Kentucky Wildlife Management Area and lightly populated farmlands are in the immediate environs of PGDP. The population within the 50-mile radius is approximately 520,000 persons. Of these, 44,000 live within 10 miles of the plant and 104,000 live within 20 miles of the plant. Population data were determined from the LandView 6 Census 2000 population estimator computer program. The unincorporated communities of Grahamville and Heath are 1.24 and 1.86 miles east of the plant, respectively. Portions of 28 counties, 11 of which are in Kentucky, 4 in Missouri, 10 in Illinois, and 3 in Tennessee, are included within the 50-mile radius of the plant. Larger cities in the region include Paducah, Kentucky, located 10 air miles east of the plant; Cape Girardeau, Missouri, located 40 air miles to the west; and Metropolis, Illinois, located 6 air miles to the northeast. The nearest neighbor residences in each direction are observed and entered into the dose modeling software.

Paducah is located in the humid continental zone. Summers generally are dry; precipitation occurs mainly in the spring and fall. Winters are characterized by moderately cold days; the average temperature during the coldest month, January, is about 35 °F. Summers are warm and humid; the average temperature in July is 79 °F. Yearly precipitation averages about 44 inches. The prevailing wind direction is south to southwest.

In July 1993, USEC was formed as a government corporation and became a private corporation in July 1998. Although DOE still owns all the facilities at PGDP, the uranium enrichment enterprise is now the responsibility of USEC.

4. DOE SOURCE HANDLING AND PROCESSING DESCRIPTION

The description of the handling and processing that the radioactive materials undergo with DOE operations at the Paducah Site is described in the following sections. The radioactive materials handled were containerized waste, depleted uranium hexafluoride managed in cylinders, and radioactive contamination on equipment, facilities, and released to the environment.

4.1 NORTHWEST PLUME INTERIM REMEDIAL ACTION PROJECT

On September 1, 1995, DOE began operation of a treatment plant designed to remove trichloroethene and ⁹⁹Tc from contaminated groundwater plume from the PGDP. The facility, C-612, is located at the northwest corner of the PGDP site security area. The facility consists of an air stripper to remove volatile organics and an ion exchange unit to remove ⁹⁹Tc from the groundwater. An air stripper is located upstream of an ion exchange unit.

Historic sampling has shown very little ⁹⁹Tc is stripped from the water. Emissions of ⁹⁹Tc were estimated using 40 *CFR* § 61, Subpart H, Appendix D, emission factors and the analysis of the groundwater. The exhaust from the air stripper is passed through a carbon adsorption unit prior to exhaust. Extensive sampling has shown that ⁹⁹Tc is not retained in the carbon; therefore, no reduction in ⁹⁹Tc emissions due to the use of the adsorption unit were assumed. The estimated emissions are analyzed in Section 6.

4.2 NORTHEAST PLUME CONTAINMENT SYSTEM

DOE began normal operation of a second treatment system on February 28, 1997 to treat another contaminated groundwater plume, as an interim remedial action. The system, C-614, extracts contaminated groundwater and pumps it to the C-637 Cooling Towers operated by USEC. Initially, the contaminated groundwater did not contain radionuclides; however, ⁹⁹Tc has been detected continuously in the groundwater and consequently emitted to the air since 2005. Emissions of ⁹⁹Tc were estimated using 40 *CFR* § 61, Subpart H, Appendix D, emission factors and the analysis of the groundwater. The estimated emissions are analyzed in Section 6.

4.3 C-752-A WASTE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES

Waste containing fine particulate radioactive material was repackaged. The particulate waste was repackaged in an enclosure within C-752-A. The ventilation for the enclosure passes through high-efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filters and then is exhausted through two stacks. The airborne concentration of radionuclides was estimated using emission factors in Appendix D of 40 *CFR* § 61.

4.4 C-301 DOE MATERIAL STORAGE AREA OUTSIDE-12 METAL REDUCTION PROJECT

Contaminated metal components were size reduced in DOE Material Storage Area Outside-12 to facilitate disposal. During 2008, fugitive airborne radionuclide emissions may have resulted from dust created by size reduction and loading the metal into transportation containers. The amount of radionuclides released was estimated based on emission factors from the *Compilation of Air Emission Factors*, AP-42, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Fifth Edition, January 1995, updated annually.

4.5 C-746-A WEST END SMELTER DEMOLITION

The C-746-A West End Smelter was demolished during 2008. Fugitive airborne radionuclide emissions may have resulted from dust created by demolition and removal of the debris. The amount of radionuclides released was estimated based on emission factors from the *Compilation of Air Emission Factors*, AP-42, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Fifth Edition, January 1995, updated annually.

4.6 FUGITIVE AND DIFFUSE SOURCES

Diffuse/fugitive sources include any source that is distributed spatially, diffuse in nature, or not emitted with forced air from a stack, vent, or other confined conduit. Diffuse/fugitive sources also include emissions from sources where forced air is not used to transport the radionuclides to the atmosphere. In this case, radionuclides are transported entirely by diffusion and/or thermally driven air currents. Typical examples of diffuse/fugitive sources include emissions from building breathing; resuspension of contaminated soils, debris, or other materials; unventilated tanks; ponds, lakes, and streams; wastewater treatment systems; outdoor storage and processing areas; and leaks in piping, valves, or other process equipment. DOE has identified many potential fugitive and diffuse sources such as inactive facilities, building roofs, scrap metal storage yards, landfills, and various contamination areas. The use of ambient air monitors to evaluate emissions from fugitive and diffuse sources is described in Section 9.

4.7 MISCELLANEOUS SOURCES

DOE identified transport and disposal of contaminated materials in the C-746-U Landfill and decontamination of machinery and equipment used in remediation activities (e.g., well drilling) as miscellaneous sources. The use of ambient air monitors to evaluate emissions from these sources is described in Section 9. DOE understands that USEC will be submitting a separate NESHAP report addressing more detailed analysis of USEC emissions from USEC operations.

5. CONSTRUCTION AND MODIFICATION ACTIVITIES

No construction or modification activities were waived under 40 *CFR* § 61.96.

6. DOE SOURCE CHARACTERISTICS AND AIR EMISSIONS DATA

The Tables 1 through 4 contain specific emission information for each DOE emission point. Table 1 lists the emission points and efficiency of control devices as required by 40 *CFR* § 61.94 (a) (4) & (5). Table 2 lists the distances from each emission point to receptors of concern as listed in 40 *CFR* § 61.94 (a) (6). Table 3 contains emission point information required to estimate the resulting potential exposure as required by 40 *CFR* § 61.94 (a) (7). Table 4 contains a list of site radioactive materials and their emission rates as required by 40 *CFR* § 61.94 (a) (2).

Table 1. Emission Point Effluent Controls and Efficiencies

Minor Point and Area Sources	Type Control	Efficiency%
Northwest Plume Treatment Facility	None	0
C-752-A Waste Management Activities	HEPA	99
Northeast Plume Treatment Facility	None	0
C-746-A West Smelter	None	0
C-301 OS-12	None	0

Table 2. Distances to Selected Receptors

Source Name	Distances (m) to Selected Receptors		
	Nearest Individual/Farm	Nearest Business	Nearest School
Northwest Plume Treatment Facility	1,080	2,550	5,150
C-752-A Waste Management Activities	1,700	3,202	4,270
Northeast Plume Treatment Facility	1,360	2,073	4,207
C-746-A	1,487	3,200	5,030
C-301 OS-12	1,554	2,490	4,565

Table 3. Characteristics of Stacks, Vents, or Other Emission Points that Emit Radionuclides

Source Name	Type	Height (m)	Diameter (m)	Gas Exit Velocity (m/s)	Gas Exit Temp. (°C)	Distance (m) & Direction to Maximally Exposed Individual for Each Source (MEI)
Northwest Plume Treatment Facility	Point	7.0	0.35	9.45	37.8	1,080 NNE
C-752-A Waste Management	Point	5.5	0.3	6.47	Ambient	1,700 N
Northeast Plume Treatment Facility	Point	10.22	8.18	4.84	Ambient	1,360 SE
C-746-A	Point	1	NA	0	Ambient	1,487 N
C-301 OS-12	Point	1	NA	0	Ambient	1,554 SE

Table 4. Radionuclide Materials and Emissions Data (Curies)

Nuclide	NW Plume Treatment Facility	C-752-A Waste Management Activities	NE Plume Treatment Facility	C-746-A	C-301 OS-12	Total
²³⁴ U	0	0	0	1.16E-05	3.36E-07	1.19E-05
²³⁵ U	0	0	0	1.0E-05	1.32E-08	1.0E-05
²³⁸ U	0	1.65E-06	0	2.07E-05	1.0E-07	2.25E-05
⁹⁹ Tc	8.19E-05	2.39E-06	5.13E-06	1.92E-05	2.38E-06	1.11E-04
²³⁰ Th	0	5.59E-07	0	3.29E-06	0	3.85E-06
²³⁷ Np	0	1.50E-07	0	1.72E-07	3.29E-08	3.55E-07
²³⁹ Pu	0	1.33E-07	0	1.51E-06	2.86E-08	1.67E-06
²⁴¹ Am	0	0	0	7.86E-05	0	7.86E-05
²¹⁴ Bi	0	3.10E-09	0	2.24E-07	0	2.27E-07
Total	8.19E-05	4.89E-06	5.13E-06	1.45E-04	2.89E-06	2.40E-04

7. DOSE ASSESSMENT

7.1 DESCRIPTION OF DOSE MODEL

The radiation dose calculations were performed using the CAP-88 package of computer codes which were converted from the mainframe CAP-88 version. This package contains EPA's version of the AIRDOS-EPA computer code, which implements a steady-state, Gaussian plume, atmospheric dispersion model to calculate environmental concentrations of released radionuclides and then uses Regulatory Guide 1.109 foodchain models to calculate human exposures, both internal and external, to the environmental concentrations. The human exposure values are then used by the EPA's version of the DARTAB computer code to calculate radiation doses to man from radionuclides released during the year. The dose calculations use dose conversion factors contained in the RADRISK data file, which is provided by the EPA with the CAP-88 package. Selection of the dose conversion factors follows guidance given by the EPA in its Federal Guidance Report No. 11. The radiation dose calculations were performed using the CAP-88 Version 1.00.

7.2 SUMMARY OF INPUT PARAMETERS

Except for the radionuclide parameters given in the previous tables and those given below, all other important input parameter values used are the default values provided with the CAP-88 computer codes and data bases.

Joint frequency distribution: Five-year STAR distribution from 60-meter station on PGDP meteorological tower for the years 1988 through 1992.

Rainfall rate: 116.3 centimeters/year

Average air temperature: 14.7 °C

Average mixing layer height: 930 meters

Fraction of foodstuffs from (rural default values):

	<u>Local Area</u>	<u>50-Mile Radius</u>	<u>Beyond 50 Miles</u>
Vegetables and produce:	0.700	0.300	0.000
Meat:	0.442	0.558	0.000
Milk:	0.399	0.601	0.000

7.3 DOSE ESTIMATE

Effective dose equivalent (mrem) to maximally exposed individual for each individual source and the plant is provided in Table 5. The dose estimate is based on both the DOE and USEC sources combined (data provided by USEC).

Table 5. Dose Analysis

USEC Emission Sources ¹	Dose to the Maximum Exposed Individual for each Source (mrem)	Dose to the Maximum Exposed Individual for the Plant (mrem)
C-400 Group	1.7E-03	1.7E-03
C-400 Cylinder Drying Station	4.0E-05	4.0E-05
C-709/C-710 Laboratory Hoods	3.7E-04	3.7E-04
C-310 Stack	1.7E-03	1.7E-03
Seal Exhaust/Wet Air Group	4.3E-03	4.3E-03
C-409 Dissolver	2.5E-07	2.5E-07
C-360	1.6E-04	7.0E-05
Total From USEC Sources	N/A	8.2E-03
DOE Emission Sources		
Northwest Plume Treatment Facility	1.5E-05	1.5E-05
C-752-A Waste Activities	5.5E-05	5.5E-05
Northeast Plume Treatment Facility	3.4E-07	2.5E-07
C-746-A West Smelter D&D	4.8E-04	4.8E-04
C-301 OS-12	4.7E-06	2.1E-06
Total From DOE Sources	N/A	5.5E-04
Total From All Sources	N/A	8.7E-03

The maximally exposed individual from all plant emissions is located 2,040 meters north of the C-400 Group source (a USEC source).

Based on 2000 census data obtained from the LandView 6 software, the total collective effective dose equivalent to the 50-mile population (approximately 531,000 persons) was 0.06 person-rem.

8. UNPLANNED RELEASES

There were no unplanned releases in 2008.

9. AMBIENT AIR MONITORING

In accordance with the *Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant Department of Energy National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) Management Plan*, BJC/PAD-141, February 2000, DOE utilized ambient air monitoring data to verify insignificant levels of radionuclides in off-site ambient air. Ambient air stations collect radionuclide samples at sites surrounding the plant (Figure 1). The ambient air monitors capture airborne radionuclides emitted from all sources including fugitive and diffuse sources. The Radiation/Environmental Monitoring Section of the Radiation Health Branch of the

¹ Certified by USEC in its annual report.

Department for Public Health of the Kentucky Cabinet for Health and Family Services operates the ambient air monitors.

The Radiation Health Branch reports that air filters were screened weekly for gross alpha and beta activity and then composited on a quarterly basis. The quarterly composites were analyzed by gamma spectroscopy using a thin window 40 percent high purity germanium detector, which allows for detection of low energy gamma emitters. Americium-241 (^{241}Am) and thorium-234 (^{234}Th) were not detected by gamma spectroscopy for the quarterly composites.

In accordance with the Radiation Health Branch's protocol, plutonium and uranium isotopic analyses were performed on the quarterly composites. The quarterly composites were analyzed for ^{99}Tc . Technetium-99 was not detected in the quarterly composites.

Ambient air monitoring conducted by the Kentucky Radiation Health Branch did not detect plant-derived radionuclides above background levels. The actual results, though less than the measurement error, of each air monitor are listed in Table 6 of this report.

10. STATUS OF 40 *CFR* § 61 SUBPART H COMPLIANCE

DOE has remained in compliance with 40 *CFR* § 61, Subpart H, since 1993. Kentucky Division for Air Quality received a delegation of authority to administer the NESHAP program in July 1999. A NESHAP Management Plan has been developed by DOE that addresses fugitive and diffuse emissions. EPA Region 4 concurred with the DOE NESHAP Management Plan on September 19, 2000. In accordance with the management plan, ambient air monitoring was utilized to verify compliance of the Paducah Site with 40 *CFR* § 61, Subpart H, for all emissions. Ambient air monitoring conducted by the Kentucky Radiation Health and Toxics Branch did not detect plant derived radionuclides above background levels during calendar year 2008; therefore, the facility is in compliance with 40 *CFR* § 61 Appendix E, Table 2 values, and the management plan.

Based on the results included in this report, during 2008, the Paducah Site was in compliance with 40 *CFR* § 61, Subpart H, for all airborne radionuclide emissions.




Figure 1. Location of Paducah Site Ambient Air Monitors

Table 6. Kentucky Radiation Health and Toxics Branch Ambient Air Monitoring Results^{2,3}
Ambient Air Station

Qtr	Nuclide	AMSW017	AMW015	AMNW001	AMNE	AME002	AME012	AMBKG2	AMBOLD	AMKOW	AMMWNE	TRPBLK
		Ci/m3	Ci/m3	Ci/m3	Ci/m3	Ci/m3	Ci/m3	Ci/m3	Ci/m3	Ci/m3	Ci/m3	Ci/m3
1	Am-241	7.95E-17	6.47E-17	9.50E-17	1.50E-16	-6.80E-17	2.07E-16	1.19E-16	1.21E-17	-6.37E-17	-7.48E-17	4.87E-18
	Np-237	-3.14E-16	-2.61E-17	-1.82E-16	-4.73E-19	-2.22E-16	-2.53E-16	-2.79E-16	1.37E-16	7.41E-17	2.38E-17	-4.31E-16
	Tc-99	2.70E-16	3.46E-16	-4.65E-17	9.00E-17	0.00E+00	4.43E-16	-1.70E-15	-1.56E-16	2.90E-17	4.32E-16	-1.74E-15
	U-238/Th-234	7.72E-17	6.16E-17	7.51E-17	9.55E-17	9.71E-17	1.26E-16	7.71E-17	1.15E-16	8.95E-17	1.37E-16	8.42E-17
2	Am-241	-1.04E-16	6.62E-17	-2.90E-16	-1.39E-16	2.76E-16	4.35E-17	-1.31E-16	2.69E-16	-2.92E-17	2.39E-17	-1.10E-16
	Np-237	1.45E-16	-5.51E-17	-2.86E-18	-4.64E-18	-1.67E-16	-1.22E-16	-2.36E-16	-2.17E-16	4.06E-17	2.49E-16	2.14E-16
	Tc-99	5.85E-16	2.34E-16	1.76E-16	6.38E-17	-1.09E-16	5.13E-17	-3.13E-16	-3.75E-16	-6.75E-18	6.28E-17	-8.42E-17
	U-238/Th-234	8.07E-17	8.76E-17	9.83E-17	1.09E-16	8.42E-17	8.40E-17	8.99E-17	1.41E-16	1.23E-16	7.11E-17	6.25E-17
3	Am-241	1.78E-16	-1.53E-16	9.98E-17	-1.28E-17	-6.50E-18	-1.59E-16	1.09E-16	5.93E-17	2.14E-17	-2.56E-16	7.09E-17
	Np-237	-1.57E-16	5.88E-17	3.32E-16	-2.29E-16	-1.35E-16	-1.18E-18	1.20E-16	-2.82E-17	4.49E-17	-1.41E-16	-2.02E-16
	Tc-99	3.90E-16	2.22E-16	1.29E-16	9.81E-17	-5.58E-17	3.86E-17	1.85E-16	-2.66E-16	-2.11E-15	4.55E-17	-1.25E-16
	U-238/Th-234	1.87E-16	2.40E-16	2.33E-16	2.50E-16	3.03E-16	2.66E-16	1.91E-16	4.32E-16	2.98E-16	2.43E-16	6.74E-17
4	Am-241	9.25E-17	-1.36E-16	9.51E-17	2.13E-16	-5.65E-16	1.23E-16	1.37E-17	-5.67E-19	-2.07E-16	-4.30E-16	2.05E-17
	Np-237	-3.67E-16	3.85E-17	2.53E-16	-4.05E-17	-8.31E-17	-1.00E-16	1.93E-16	-3.11E-16	-2.09E-16	-1.38E-16	4.47E-16
	Tc-99	-1.86E-17	3.59E-16	-5.28E-17	-1.86E-17	9.35E-17	1.16E-16	2.16E-16	-3.38E-17	0.00E+00	9.45E-17	-2.40E-16
	U-238/Th-234	7.80E-17	1.34E-16	9.69E-17	8.89E-17	9.69E-17	1.22E-16	1.53E-16	3.80E-16	3.33E-16	2.12E-16	1.52E-16

10

² All results are below the applicable limiting values of 40 CFR § 61, Table 2 (see footnote 3).

³ 40 CFR § 61, Table 2, Limiting Values (Ci/m3): ²⁴¹Am - 1.9E-15, ²³⁷Np - 1.2E-15, ⁹⁹Tc - 1.4E-13, ²³⁴Th - 2.2E-12 and ²³⁸U 8.3E-15