



Department of Energy
Portsmouth/Paducah Project Office
1017 Majestic Drive, Suite 200
Lexington, Kentucky 40513
(859) 219-4000

RECEIVED
By Terri.Drake at 4:15 pm, Aug 25, 2022

August 25, 2022

Mr. Todd Hendricks
Division of Waste Management
Kentucky Department for Environmental Protection
300 Sower Boulevard, 2nd Floor
Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

PPPO-02-10022065-22B

Ms. Jamie Nielsen
Division of Waste Management
Kentucky Department for Environmental Protection
300 Sower Boulevard, 2nd Floor
Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

Dear Mr. Hendricks and Ms. Nielsen:

**C-746-S&T LANDFILLS SECOND QUARTER CALENDAR YEAR 2022
(APRIL–JUNE) COMPLIANCE MONITORING REPORT, PADUCAH GASEOUS
DIFFUSION PLANT, PADUCAH, KENTUCKY, FRNP-RPT-0246/V2, PERMIT
NUMBER SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045, AGENCY INTEREST ID NO. 3059**

The subject report for the second quarter calendar year (CY) 2022 has been uploaded to the KY eForms portal via the Kentucky Online Gateway. Other recipients outside the Solid Waste Branch are receiving this document via e-mail distribution (see distribution list). This report is required in accordance with Solid Waste Landfill Permit Number SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045 (Permit). This report includes groundwater analytical data, surface water analytical data, a validation summary, groundwater flow rate and direction determination, figures depicting well locations, and methane monitoring results.

The statistical analyses of the second quarter CY 2022 monitoring well data collected from the C-746-S&T Landfills were performed in accordance with Monitoring Condition GSTR0003, Standard Requirement 3, using the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency guidance document, *Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Final Guidance* (1989). This report also serves as the statistical increase notification for the second quarter CY 2022, in accordance with Monitoring Condition GSTR0003, Standard Requirement 5, of the Permit. Statistically significant exceedances were indicated for sulfate in monitoring well (MW) 388 and for chemical oxygen demand in MW391. These statistical exceedances are Type 2 Exceedances – Source(s) Unknown. Evaluation of sulfate and chemical oxygen demand trends through future quarterly monitoring events is recommended.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact David Dollins at (270) 441-6819.

Sincerely,

April Ladd Digitally signed by April Ladd
Date: 2022.08.25 14:55:49
-05'00'

April Ladd
Acting Paducah Site Lead
Portsmouth/Paducah Project Office

Enclosure:

C-746-S&T Landfills Second Quarter Calendar Year 2022 (April–June) Compliance Monitoring Report, Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah, Kentucky, FRNP-RPT-0246/V2

cc w/enclosure:

abigail.parish@pppo.gov, PPPO
april.ladd@pppo.gov, PPPO
april.webb@ky.gov, KDEP
brian.begley@ky.gov, KDEP
bruce.ford@pad.pppo.gov, FRNP
bryan.smith@pad.pppo.gov, FRNP
christopher.travis@ky.gov, KDEP
dave.dollins@pppo.gov, PPPO
dennis.greene@pad.pppo.gov, FRNP
frnpcorrespondence@pad.pppo.gov
jennifer.woodard@pppo.gov, PPPO
ken.davis@pad.pppo.gov, FRNP
leo.williamson@ky.gov, KDEP
lisa.crabtree@pad.pppo.gov, FRNP
myrna.redfield@pad.pppo.gov, FRNP
pad.rmc@pad.pppo.gov
stephaniec.brock@ky.gov, KYRHB
tracey.duncan@pppo.gov, PPPO

e-copy via KY eForms portal:

jamie.nielsen@ky.gov, KDEP
lauren.linehan@ky.gov, KDEP
teresa.osborne@ky.gov, KDEP
todd.hendricks@ky.gov, KDEP

**C-746-S&T Landfills
Second Quarter Calendar Year 2022
(April–June)
Compliance Monitoring Report,
Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant,
Paducah, Kentucky**



This document is approved for public release per review by:


FRNP Classification Support

08-17-2022
Date

**C-746-S&T Landfills
Second Quarter Calendar Year 2022
(April–June)
Compliance Monitoring Report,
Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant,
Paducah, Kentucky**

Date Issued—August 2022

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
Office of Environmental Management

Prepared by
FOUR RIVERS NUCLEAR PARTNERSHIP, LLC,
managing the
Deactivation and Remediation Project at the
Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
under Contract DE-EM0004895

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

CONTENTS

FIGURES.....	v
TABLES	v
ACRONYMS.....	vii
1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 BACKGROUND.....	1
1.2 MONITORING PERIOD ACTIVITIES	1
1.2.1 Groundwater Monitoring.....	1
1.2.2 Methane Monitoring.....	3
1.2.3 Surface Water Monitoring.....	3
1.3 KEY RESULTS.....	5
2. DATA EVALUATION/STATISTICAL SYNOPSIS.....	9
2.1 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF GROUNDWATER DATA.....	10
2.1.1 Upper Continental Recharge System.....	10
2.1.2 Upper Regional Gravel Aquifer	10
2.1.3 Lower Regional Gravel Aquifer	11
2.2 DATA VERIFICATION AND VALIDATION.....	11
3. PROFESSIONAL GEOLOGIST AUTHORIZATION.....	13
4. REFERENCES.....	15
APPENDIX A: GROUNDWATER, SURFACE WATER, LEACHATE, AND METHANE MONITORING SAMPLE DATA REPORTING FORM.....	A-1
APPENDIX B: FACILITY INFORMATION SHEET.....	B-1
APPENDIX C: GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSES AND WRITTEN COMMENTS	C-1
APPENDIX D: STATISTICAL ANALYSES AND QUALIFICATION STATEMENT.....	D-1
APPENDIX E: GROUNDWATER FLOW RATE AND DIRECTION	E-1
APPENDIX F: NOTIFICATIONS.....	F-1
APPENDIX G: CHART OF MCL AND UTL EXCEEDANCES.....	G-1
APPENDIX H: METHANE MONITORING DATA.....	H-1
APPENDIX I: SURFACE WATER ANALYSES AND WRITTEN COMMENTS	I-1
APPENDIX J: ANALYTICAL LABORATORY CERTIFICATION	J-1

APPENDIX K: LABORATORY ANALYTICAL METHODS K-1
APPENDIX L: MICROPURGING STABILITY PARAMETERS L-1

FIGURES

1. C-746-S&T Landfills Groundwater Monitoring Well Network.....	2
2. C-746-S&T Landfills Surface Water Monitoring Locations.....	4

TABLES

1. Summary of MCL Exceedances.....	5
2. Exceedances of Statistically Derived Historical Background Concentrations	5
3. Exceedances of Current Background UTL in Downgradient Wells	6
4. C-746-S&T Landfills Downgradient Wells Trend Summary Utilizing the Previous Eight Quarters	7
5. Exceedances of Current Background UTL in Downgradient UCRS Wells	8
6. Monitoring Wells Included in Statistical Analysis.....	10

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

ACRONYMS

<i>CFR</i>	<i>Code of Federal Regulations</i>
COD	chemical oxygen demand
<i>KAR</i>	<i>Kentucky Administrative Regulations</i>
KDWM	Kentucky Division of Waste Management
<i>KRS</i>	<i>Kentucky Revised Statutes</i>
LEL	lower explosive limit
LRGA	Lower Regional Gravel Aquifer
LTL	lower tolerance limit
MCL	maximum contaminant level
MW	monitoring well
RGA	Regional Gravel Aquifer
UCRS	Upper Continental Recharge System
URGA	Upper Regional Gravel Aquifer
UTL	upper tolerance limit
VOA	volatile organic analytes

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

1. INTRODUCTION

This report, *C-746-S&T Landfills Second Quarter Calendar Year 2022 (April–June) Compliance Monitoring Report, Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah, Kentucky*, is being submitted in accordance with Solid Waste Landfill Permit Number SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045.

The Groundwater, Surface Water, Leachate, and Methane Monitoring Sample Data Reporting Form is provided in Appendix A. The facility information sheet is provided in Appendix B. Groundwater analytical results are recorded on the Kentucky Division of Waste Management (KDWM) Groundwater Sample Analyses forms, which are presented in Appendix C. The statistical analyses and qualification statement are provided in Appendix D. The groundwater flow rate and direction determinations are provided in Appendix E. Appendix F contains the notifications for all permit required parameters whose concentrations exceed the maximum contaminant level (MCL) for Kentucky solid waste facilities provided in 401 KAR 47:030 § 6 and for all permit required parameters listed in 40 CFR § 302.4, Appendix A, that do not have an MCL and whose concentrations exceed the historical background concentrations [upper tolerance limit (UTL), or both UTL and lower tolerance limit (LTL) for pH, as established at a 95% confidence]. Appendix G provides a chart of exceedances of the MCL and historical UTL that have occurred since the fourth quarter calendar year 2002. Methane monitoring results are documented on the approved C-746-S&T Landfills Methane Monitoring Report form provided in Appendix H. The form includes pertinent remarks/observations as required by 401 KAR 48:090 § 5. Surface water results are provided in Appendix I. Analytical laboratory certification is provided in Appendix J. Laboratory analytical methods used to analyze the included data set are provided in Appendix K. Micropurging stability parameter results are provided in Appendix L.

1.1 BACKGROUND

The C-746-S&T Landfills are closed, solid waste landfills located north of the Paducah Site and south of the C-746-U Landfill. Construction and operation of the C-746-S Residential Landfill were permitted in April 1981 under Solid Waste Landfill Permit Number 073-00014. The permitted C-746-S Landfill area covers about 16 acres and contains a clay liner with a final cover of compacted soil. The C-746-S Landfill was a sanitary landfill for the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant operations. The C-746-S Landfill is closed and has been inactive since July 1995.

Construction and operation of the C-746-T Inert Landfill were permitted in February 1985 under Solid Waste Landfill Permit Number 073-00015. The permitted C-746-T Landfill area covers about 20 acres and contains a clay liner with a final cover of compacted soil. The C-746-T Landfill was used to dispose of construction debris (e.g., concrete, wood, and rock) and steam plant fly ash from the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant operations. The C-746-T Landfill is closed and has been inactive since June 1992.

1.2 MONITORING PERIOD ACTIVITIES

1.2.1 Groundwater Monitoring

Three zones are monitored at the site: the Upper Continental Recharge System (UCRS), the Upper Regional Gravel Aquifer (URGA), and the Lower Regional Gravel Aquifer (LRGA). There are 23 monitoring wells (MWs) under permit for the C-746-S&T Landfills: 5 UCRS wells, 11 URGA wells, and 7 LRGA wells. A map of the MW locations is presented in Figure 1. All MWs listed on the permit were sampled this quarter, except MW389 (screened in the UCRS), which had an insufficient amount of water to obtain a sample.




Figure 1. C-746-S&T Landfills Groundwater Monitoring Well Network

Consistent with the approved *Groundwater Monitoring Plan for the Solid Waste Permitted Landfills (C-746-S Residential Landfill, C-746-T Inert Landfill, and C-746-U Contained Landfill) at the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah, Kentucky, PAD-PROJ-0139, (Groundwater Monitoring Plan) (LATA Kentucky 2014)*, UCRS wells are included in the monitoring program. Groundwater flow gradients are downward through the UCRS, but the underlying Regional Gravel Aquifer (RGA) flows laterally. Groundwater flow in the RGA is typically in a north-northeasterly direction in the vicinity of the C-746-S&T Landfills. The Ohio River and lower reaches of Little Bayou Creek are the discharge areas for the RGA flow system from the vicinity of the landfills. Consistent with the conceptual site model, the constituent concentrations in UCRS wells are considered to be representative only of the conditions local to the well or sourced from overlying soils; thus, no discussion of potential “upgradient” sources is relevant to the discussion for the UCRS. Nevertheless, a UTL for background also has been calculated for UCRS wells using concentrations from UCRS wells located in the same direction (relative to the landfill) as those RGA wells identified as upgradient. The results from these wells are considered to represent historical “background” for UCRS water quality. Similarly, other gradient references for UCRS wells are identified using the same gradient references (relative to the landfill) that are attributed to nearby RGA wells. Results from UCRS wells are compared to this UTL (for background), and exceedances of these values are reported in the quarterly report.

Groundwater sampling was conducted within the second quarter 2022 in accordance with the Groundwater Monitoring Plan (LATA Kentucky 2014) using the Deactivation and Remediation Contractor, procedure CP4-ES-2101, *Groundwater Sampling*. Groundwater sampling for the second quarter 2022 was conducted in April 2022. The laboratory used U.S. Environmental Protection Agency-approved methods, as applicable. The parameters specified in Permit Condition GSTR0003, Special Condition 3, were analyzed for all locations sampled.

The groundwater flow rate and direction determination are provided in Appendix E. Depth-to-water was measured on April 25 and 26, 2022, in MWs of the C-746-S&T Landfills (see Appendix E, Table E.1); in MWs of the C-746-U Landfill; and in MWs of the surrounding region (shown on Appendix E, Figure E.3). Water level measurements in 38 vicinity wells define the potentiometric surface for the RGA. Typical regional flow in the RGA is northeastward, toward the Ohio River. During April, RGA groundwater flow was directed inward and then northeast towards the Ohio River. The hydraulic gradient for the RGA in the vicinity of the C-746-S&T Landfills in April was 1.35×10^{-3} ft/ft, while the gradient beneath the C-746-S&T Landfills was approximately 3.03×10^{-4} ft/ft. Calculated groundwater flow rates (average linear velocities) for the RGA at the C-746-S&T Landfills ranged from 0.516 to 0.880 ft/day (see Appendix E, Table E.3).

1.2.2 Methane Monitoring

Methane monitoring was conducted in accordance with 401 KAR 48:090 § 5 and the Solid Waste Landfill Permit. Industrial Hygiene staff monitored for the occurrence of methane in one on-site building location, four locations along the landfill boundary, and 27 passive gas vents located in Cells 1, 2, and 3 of the C-746-S Landfill on June 13, 2022. See Appendix H for a map (Figure H.1) of the monitoring locations. Monitoring identified all locations to be compliant with the regulatory requirement of < 100% lower explosive limit (LEL) at boundary locations and < 25% LEL at all other locations. The results are documented on the C-746-S&T Landfills Methane Monitoring Report provided in Appendix H.

1.2.3 Surface Water Monitoring

Surface water sampling was performed at the three locations (see Figure 2) monitored for the C-746-S&T Landfills: (1) upstream location, L135; (2) instream location, L154; and (3) L136, instream location.




Figure 2. C-746-S&T Landfill Surface Water Monitoring Locations

Surface water was monitored, as specified in 401 KAR 48:300 § 2, and the approved *Surface Water Monitoring Plan for C-746-U and C-746-S&T Landfills Permit Number SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045, Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah, Kentucky, Agency Interest Number 3059* (FRNP 2021), which is Technical Application, Attachment 24, of the Solid Waste Landfill Permit. Surface water results are provided in Appendix I.

1.3 KEY RESULTS

Groundwater data were evaluated in accordance with the approved Groundwater Monitoring Plan (LATA Kentucky 2014), which is Technical Application, Attachment 25, of the Solid Waste Permit. Parameters that had concentrations that exceeded their respective MCL are listed in Table 1. Those constituents that exceeded their respective MCL were evaluated further against their historical background UTL. Table 2 identifies parameters that exceeded their MCL and also exceeded their historical background UTL, as well as other parameters that do not have MCLs but have concentrations that exceeded the statistically derived historical background UTL¹ during the second quarter 2022. Those constituents (present in downgradient wells) that exceed their historical background UTL were evaluated against their current UTL-derived background using the most recent eight quarters of data from wells designated as background wells (Table 3).

Table 1. Summary of MCL Exceedances

UCRS	URGA	LRGA
MW390: Beta Activity	MW387: Beta activity	MW373: Trichloroethene
	MW391: Trichloroethene	MW388: Beta Activity
	MW394: Trichloroethene	MW392: Trichloroethene

Table 2. Exceedances of Statistically Derived Historical Background Concentrations

UCRS*	URGA	LRGA
MW386: Oxidation-reduction potential	MW220: Oxidation-reduction potential, sulfate	MW370: Oxidation-reduction potential, sulfate
MW390: Beta activity, oxidation-reduction potential, technetium-99	MW221: Chemical oxygen demand (COD)	MW373: Calcium, conductivity, dissolved solids, magnesium, oxidation-reduction potential, sulfate
MW393: Oxidation-reduction potential	MW222: Oxidation-reduction potential	MW385: Oxidation-reduction potential, sulfate, technetium-99
MW396: Oxidation-reduction potential	MW224: Oxidation-reduction potential, sulfate	MW388: Beta activity, oxidation-reduction potential, sulfate, technetium-99
	MW369: Technetium-99	MW392: Oxidation-reduction potential, trichloroethene
	MW372: Calcium, conductivity, dissolved solids, magnesium, oxidation-reduction potential, sulfate, technetium-99	MW395: Oxidation-reduction potential
	MW384: Oxidation-reduction potential, sulfate, technetium-99	MW397: Oxidation-reduction potential

¹ The UTL comparison for pH uses a two-sided test, both UTL and LTL.

Table 2. Exceedances of Statistically Derived Historical Background Concentrations (Continued)

UCRS*	URGA	LRGA
	MW387: Beta activity, calcium, dissolved solids, magnesium, oxidation-reduction potential, sodium, sulfate, technetium-99	
	MW391: Chemical oxygen demand (COD)	
	MW394: Oxidation-reduction potential	

*Gradients in the UCRS are downward. UCRS gradient designations are identified using the same gradient reference (relative to the landfill) that is attributed to nearby RGA wells.

Sidegradient wells: MW221, MW222, MW223, MW224, MW384, MW385, MW386

Downgradient wells: MW369, MW370, MW372, MW373, MW387, MW388, MW389, MW390, MW391, MW392, MW393

Background wells: MW220, MW394, MW395, MW396, MW397

Table 3. Exceedances of Current Background UTL in Downgradient Wells

URGA	LRGA
MW369: Technetium-99	MW370: Sulfate
MW372: Calcium, conductivity, dissolved solids, magnesium, sulfate, technetium-99	MW373: Calcium, conductivity, dissolved solids, magnesium, sulfate
MW387: Beta activity, calcium, dissolved solids, magnesium, sodium, sulfate, technetium-99	MW388: Beta activity, sulfate, technetium-99
MW391: Chemical oxygen demand (COD)	MW392: Trichloroethene

The notification of parameters that exceeded the MCL has been submitted electronically to KDWM, in accordance with 401 KAR 48:300 § 7, prior to the submittal of this report.

The constituents that exceeded their MCL were subjected to a comparison against the UTL concentrations calculated using historical concentrations from wells identified as background. In accordance with the approved Groundwater Monitoring Plan (LATA Kentucky 2014), the MCL exceedances for TCE in MW373 and MW391 (downgradient wells) do not exceed the historical background concentration and are considered to be a Type 1 exceedance—not attributable to the C-746-S&T Landfills.

The MCL exceedance for TCE in MW392 and the MCL exceedances for beta activity in MW387 and MW388 (downgradient wells) were shown to exceed both the historical background UTL and the current background UTL; therefore, preliminarily these exceedances were considered to be a Type 2 exceedance. To evaluate these preliminary Type 2 exceedances further, the parameter/well combinations were subjected to the Mann-Kendall statistical test for trend using the most recent eight quarters of data. The results are summarized in Table 4. TCE in MW392 and beta activity in MW387 and MW388 did not show an increasing Mann-Kendall trend and are considered to be a Type 1 exceedance—not attributable to the C-746-S&T Landfills.

**Table 4. C-746-S&T Landfills Downgradient Wells Trend Summary
Utilizing the Previous Eight Quarters**

Location	Well ID	Parameter	Sample Size	Alpha ¹	p-Value ²	S ³	Decision ⁴
C-746-S&T Landfill	MW369	Technetium-99	8	0.05	0.138	10	No Trend
	MW370	Sulfate	8	0.05	0.360	4	No Trend
	MW372	Calcium	8	0.05	0.548	-1	No Trend
		Conductivity	8	0.05	0.054	-14	No Trend
		Dissolved Solids	8	0.05	0.119	8	No Trend
		Magnesium	8	0.05	0.274	-6	No Trend
		Sulfate	8	0.05	0.548	1	No Trend
		Technetium-99	8	0.05	0.274	-6	No Trend
	MW373	Calcium	8	0.05	0.007	-20	Decreasing
		Conductivity	8	0.05	0.007	-21	Decreasing
		Dissolved Solids	8	0.05	0.452	-3	No Trend
		Magnesium	8	0.05	0.031	-16	Decreasing
		Sulfate	8	0.05	0.360	-5	No Trend
	MW387	Beta activity	8	0.05	0.054	-14	No Trend
		Calcium	8	0.05	0.360	-5	No Trend
		Dissolved Solids	8	0.05	0.452	-2	No Trend
		Magnesium	8	0.05	0.452	-3	No Trend
		Sodium	8	0.05	0.452	-2	No Trend
		Sulfate	8	0.05	0.138	-10	No Trend
		Technetium-99	8	0.05	0.548	0	No Trend
	MW388	Beta activity	8	0.05	0.274	-6	No Trend
		Sulfate	8	0.05	0.031	16	Increasing
		Technetium-99	8	0.05	0.274	-6	No Trend
MW391	Chemical Oxygen Demand	8	0.05	0.002	23	Increasing	
MW392	Trichloroethane	8	0.05	0.119	8	No Trend	

¹ An alpha of 0.05 represents a 95% confidence interval.

² The p-value represents the risk of acceptance the H_a hypothesis of a trend, in terms of a percentage.

³ The initial value of the Mann-Kendall statistic, S, is assumed to be 0 (e.g., no trend). If a data value from a later time period is higher than a data value from an earlier time period, S is incremented by 1. On the other hand, if the data value from a later time period is lower than a data value sampled earlier, S is decremented by 1. The net result of all such increments and decrements yields the final value of S. A very high positive value of S is an indicator of an increasing trend, and a very low negative value indicates a decreasing trend.

⁴ The Mann-Kendall decision operates on two hypotheses; the H₀ and H_a. H₀ assumes there is no trend in the data, whereas H_a assumes either a positive or negative trend.

Note: Statistics generated using ProUCL.

This report serves as the notification of parameters that had statistically significant increased concentrations relative to historical background concentrations, as required by Permit Number SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045, Condition GSTR0003, Standard Requirement 5, and 401 KAR 48:300 § 7.

The constituents listed in Table 2 that had exceedances of the statistically derived historical background UTL underwent additional statistical evaluation. The current quarter concentrations were compared to the current background UTL to identify if the current downgradient well concentrations are consistent with current background values. The current background UTL was developed using the most recent eight quarters of data from wells identified as background wells. Table 3 summarizes the evaluation against current background UTL for those constituents present in downgradient wells with historical UTL exceedances. In accordance with the approved Groundwater Monitoring Plan (LATA Kentucky 2014), constituents in downgradient wells that exceed the historical UTL, but do not exceed the current UTL, are considered not to have a C-746-S&T Landfills source; therefore, they are a Type 1 exceedance—not attributable to the C-746-S&T Landfills.

The constituents listed in Table 3 that exceed both the historical UTL and the current UTL and do not have an identified source are considered preliminarily to be a Type 2 exceedance, per the approved Groundwater Monitoring Plan (LATA Kentucky 2014). To evaluate these preliminary Type 2 exceedances further, the parameters were subjected to the Mann-Kendall statistical test for trend using the most recent eight quarters of data. The results are summarized in Table 4. Twenty-three of the 25 preliminary Type 2 exceedances in downgradient wells do not have an increasing trend and are considered to be a Type 1 exceedance—not attributable to the C-746-S&T Landfills.

Two of the 25 preliminary Type 2 exceedances in downgradient wells had increasing trends. Specifically, the Mann-Kendall statistical test indicates an increasing trend for sulfate in MW388 and chemical oxygen demand (COD) in MW391 over the past eight quarters. These observed trends should be considered Type 2 exceedances—sources unknown. Evaluation of sulfate and chemical oxygen demand (COD) trends through future quarterly monitoring events is recommended.

In accordance with Permit Condition GSTR0003, Special Condition 2, of the Solid Waste Landfill Permit, the groundwater assessment and corrective action requirements of 401 KAR 48:300 § 8 shall not apply to the C-746-S Residential Landfill and the C-746-T Inert Landfill. This variance in the permit provides that groundwater assessment and corrective actions for these landfills will be conducted in accordance with the corrective action requirements of 401 KAR 39:090.

The statistical evaluation of UCRS concentrations against the current UCRS background UTL identified UCRS well MW390 with beta activity and technetium-99 values that exceed both the historical and current backgrounds (Table 5). Because UCRS wells are not hydrogeologically downgradient of the C-746-S&T Landfills, these exceedances are considered to be a Type 1 exceedance—not attributable to the C-746-S&T Landfills.

Table 5. Exceedances of Current Background UTL in Downgradient UCRS Wells*

UCRS
MW390: Beta activity, technetium-99

*In the same direction (relative to the landfill) as RGA wells.

All MCL and UTL exceedances reported for this quarter, except for sulfate in MW388 and chemical oxygen demand (COD) in MW391, were evaluated and considered to be Type 1 exceedances—not attributable to the C-746-S&T Landfills.

2. DATA EVALUATION/STATISTICAL SYNOPSIS

The statistical analyses conducted on the second quarter 2022 groundwater data collected from the C-746-S&T Landfill MWs were performed in accordance with the Groundwater Monitoring Plan (LATA Kentucky 2014). The statistical analyses for this report utilize data from the first eight quarters that were sampled for each parameter, beginning with the first two baseline sampling events in 2002, when available. The sampling dates associated with background data are listed next to the result in the statistical analysis sheets in Appendix D (Attachments D1 and D2).

For those parameters that exceed the MCL for Kentucky solid waste facilities found in 401 KAR 47:030 § 6, exceedances were documented and evaluated further as follows. Exceedances were reviewed against historical background results (UTL). If the MCL exceedance was found not to exceed the historical UTL, the exceedance was noted as a Type 1 exceedance—an exceedance not attributable to the landfills. If there was an exceedance of the MCL in a downgradient well and this constituent also exceeded the historical background, the quarterly result was compared to the current background UTL (developed using the most recent eight quarters of data from wells identified as downgradient wells) to identify if this exceedance is attributable to upgradient/non-landfill sources. If the downgradient well concentration was less than the current background, the exceedance was noted as a Type 1 exceedance. If a constituent exceeds its Kentucky solid waste facility MCL, historical background UTL, and current background UTL, it was reported as a Type 2 exceedance—source undetermined. Type 2 exceedances (undetermined source) were further evaluated using the Mann-Kendall test for trend. If there was not a statistically significant increasing trend for a constituent in a downgradient well, the exceedance was reclassified as a Type 1 exceedance—not attributable to the landfills.

For those parameters that do not have a Kentucky solid waste facility MCL, the same process was used. If a constituent without an MCL exceeded its historical background UTL and its current background UTL, it was evaluated further to identify the source of the exceedance, if possible. If the source of the exceedance—could not be identified, it was reported as a Type 2 exceedance—source undetermined. Type 2 exceedances (undetermined source) were further evaluated using the Mann-Kendall test for trend. If there was not a statistically significant increasing trend for a constituent in a downgradient well, the exceedance was reclassified as a Type 1 exceedance—not attributable to the landfills.

To calculate the UTL, the data were divided into censored (non-detects) and uncensored (detected) observations. The one-sided tolerance interval statistical test was conducted only on parameters that had at least one uncensored observation. Results of the one-sided tolerance interval statistical test were used to determine whether the data show a statistical exceedance in concentrations with respect to historical background concentrations (UTL).

For the statistical analysis of pH, a two-sided tolerance interval statistical test was conducted. The test well results were compared to both the UTL and LTL to determine if statistically significant deviations in concentrations exist with respect to background well data.

A stepwise list of the one-sided tolerance interval statistical procedures applied to the data is provided in Appendix D under Statistical Analysis Process. The statistical analysis was conducted separately for each parameter in each well. The MWs included in the statistical analyses are listed in Table 6.

Table 6. Monitoring Wells Included in Statistical Analysis^a

UCRS	URGA	LRGA
MW386	MW220 (background)	MW370
MW389 ^b	MW221	MW373
MW390	MW222	MW385
MW393	MW223	MW388
MW396 ^c	MW224	MW392
	MW369	MW395 (background)
	MW372	MW397 (background)
	MW384	
	MW387	
	MW391	
	MW394 (background)	

^a Map showing the MW locations is shown on Figure 1.

^b Well had insufficient water to permit a water sample for laboratory analysis.

^c In the same direction (relative to the landfill) as RGA wells considered to be background.

2.1 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF GROUNDWATER DATA

Parameters requiring statistical analysis are summarized in Appendix D for each hydrological unit. A stepwise list for determining exceedances of statistically derived historical background concentrations is provided in Appendix D under Statistical Analysis Process. A comparison of the current quarter's results to the statistically derived historical background was conducted for parameters that do not have MCLs and also for those parameters whose concentrations exceed MCLs. Appendix G summarizes the occurrences (by well and by quarter) of exceedances of historical UTLs and MCL exceedances. The constituents that had exceedances of the statistically derived historical background UTL underwent additional statistical evaluation. The current quarter concentrations were compared to the current background UTL developed using the most recent eight quarters of data from wells identified as background in order to determine if the current downgradient well concentrations are consistent with current background values. Table 3 summarizes the constituents present in downgradient wells with historical UTL exceedances that are above the current UTL. Those constituents that have exceeded both the historical and current background UTLs in downgradient wells were further evaluated for increasing trends and are listed in Table 4.

2.1.1 Upper Continental Recharge System

In this quarter, 26 parameters, including those with MCLs, required statistical analysis in the UCRS. During the second quarter, beta activity, oxidation-reduction potential, and technetium-99 displayed concentrations that exceeded their respective historical UTLs and are listed in Table 2. Beta activity and technetium-99 exceeded the current background UTL in downgradient well MW390 and are included in Table 5.

2.1.2 Upper Regional Gravel Aquifer

In this quarter, 30 parameters, including those with MCLs, required statistical analysis in the URGA. During the second quarter, beta activity, calcium, chemical oxygen demand (COD), conductivity, dissolved solids, magnesium, oxidation-reduction potential, sodium, sulfate, and technetium-99 displayed concentrations that exceeded their respective historical UTLs and are listed in Table 2. Beta activity, calcium, chemical oxygen demand (COD), conductivity, dissolved solids, magnesium, sodium, sulfate, and technetium-99 exceeded the current background UTL in downgradient wells and are included in Table 3.

2.1.3 Lower Regional Gravel Aquifer

In this quarter, 28 parameters, including those with MCLs, required statistical analysis in the LRGA. During the second quarter, beta activity, calcium, conductivity, dissolved solids, magnesium, oxidation-reduction potential, sulfate, technetium-99, and TCE displayed concentrations that exceeded their respective historical UTL and are listed in Table 2. Beta activity, calcium, conductivity, dissolved solids, magnesium, sulfate, technetium-99, and TCE exceeded the current background UTL in downgradient wells and are included in Table 3.

2.2 DATA VERIFICATION AND VALIDATION

Data verification is the process of comparing a data set against set standard or contractual requirements. In accordance with the approved Groundwater Monitoring Plan (LATA Kentucky 2014), data verification is performed for 100% of the data. Data are flagged as necessary.

Data validation was performed on 100% of the organic, inorganic, and radiochemical analytical data by a qualified individual independent from sampling, laboratory, project management, or other decision-making personnel. Data validation evaluates the laboratory adherence to analytical method requirements. Validation qualifiers are added by the independent validator and not the laboratory. Validation qualifiers are not requested on the groundwater reporting forms.

Field quality control samples are collected for each sampling event. Field blanks, rinseate blanks, and trip blanks are obtained to ensure quality of field and laboratory practices and data are reported in the Groundwater Sample Analysis forms in Appendix C. Laboratory quality control samples, such as matrix spikes, matrix spike duplicates, and method blanks, are performed by the laboratory. Both field and laboratory quality control sample results are reviewed as part of the data verification/validation process.

Data verification and validation results for this data set indicated that all data were considered usable.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

3. PROFESSIONAL GEOLOGIST AUTHORIZATION

DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION: *C-746-S&T Landfills Second Quarter Calendar Year 2022
(April–June) Compliance Monitoring Report, Paducah Gaseous
Diffusion Plant, Paducah, Kentucky (FRNP-RPT-0246/V2)*

Stamped and signed pursuant to my authority as a duly registered geologist under the provisions of KRS Chapter 322A.



PG113927
Kenneth Davis
August 17, 2022

Kenneth R. Davis
Kenneth R. Davis

PG113927

August 17, 2022
Date

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

4. REFERENCES

FRNP (Four Rivers Nuclear Partnership, LLC) 2021. *Surface Water Monitoring Plan for C-746-U and C-746-S&T Landfills Permit Number SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045, Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah, Kentucky, Agency Interest Number 3059, Solid Waste Landfill Permit, Number SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045, Technical Application, Attachment 24, Four Rivers Nuclear Partnership, LLC, Paducah, KY, March.*

LATA Kentucky (LATA Environmental Services of Kentucky, LLC) 2014. *Groundwater Monitoring Plan for the Solid Waste Permitted Landfills (C-746-S Residential Landfill, C-746-T Inert Landfill, and C-746-U Contained Landfill) at the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah, Kentucky, PAD-PROJ-0139, Solid Waste Landfill Permit, Number SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045, Technical Application, Attachment 25, LATA Environmental Services of Kentucky, LLC, Kevil, KY, June.*

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

APPENDIX A

**GROUNDWATER, SURFACE WATER,
LEACHATE, AND METHANE MONITORING
SAMPLE DATA REPORTING FORM**

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

**GROUNDWATER, SURFACE WATER, LEACHATE,
AND METHANE MONITORING
SAMPLE DATA REPORTING FORM**

**NATURAL RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION CABINET
DEPARTMENT FOR ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
DIVISION OF WASTE MANAGEMENT
SOLID WASTE BRANCH
14 REILLY ROAD
FRANKFORT, KY 40601**

Facility Name: U.S. DOE–Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant Activity: C-746-S&T Landfills
(As officially shown on DWM Permit Face)

Permit No: SW07300014,
SW07300015,
SW07300045 Finds/Unit No: _____ Quarter & Year 2nd Qtr. CY 2022

Please check the following as applicable:

_____ Characterization X Quarterly _____ Semiannual _____ Annual _____ Assessment

Please check applicable submittal(s): X Groundwater _____ Surface Water

_____ Leachate X Methane Monitoring

This form is to be utilized by those sites required by regulation (Kentucky Waste Management Regulations-401 KAR 48:300 and 45:160) or by statute (Kentucky Revised Statues Chapter 224) to conduct groundwater and surface water monitoring under the jurisdiction of the Division of Waste Management. **You must report any indication of contamination within forty-eight (48) hours of making the determination using statistical analyses, direct comparison, or other similar techniques. Submitting the lab report is NOT considered notification.** Instructions for completing the form are attached. Do not submit the instruction pages.

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for such violations.

Myrna E. Redfield Digitally signed by Myrna E. Redfield
Date: 2022.08.25 14:18:42 -05'00'

Myrna E. Redfield, Program Manager
Four Rivers Nuclear Partnership, LLC

Date

April Ladd Digitally signed by April Ladd
Date: 2022.08.25 14:44:17
-05'00'

April Ladd, Acting Paducah Site Lead
U.S. Department of Energy

Date

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

APPENDIX B
FACILITY INFORMATION SHEET

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

FACILITY INFORMATION SHEET

Groundwater: April 2022
Methane: June 2022
Surface Water: April 2022

County: McCracken Permit Nos. SW07300014,
SW07300015,
SW07300045

Facility Name: U.S. DOE—Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
(As officially shown on DWM Permit Face)

Site Address: 5600 Hobbs Road Kevil, Kentucky 42053
Street City/State Zip

Phone No: (270) 441-6800 Latitude: N 37° 07' 37.70" Longitude: W 88° 47' 55.41"

OWNER INFORMATION

Facility Owner: U.S. DOE, Joel Bradburne, Manager, Portsmouth/Paducah Project Office Phone No: (859) 219-4000

Contact Person: Bruce Ford Phone No: (270) 441-5357

Contact Person Title: Director, Environmental Services, Four Rivers Nuclear Partnership, LLC

Mailing Address: 5511 Hobbs Road Kevil, Kentucky 42053
Street City/State Zip

SAMPLING PERSONNEL (IF OTHER THAN LANDFILL OR LABORATORY)

Company: GEO Consultants Corporation

Contact Person: Jason Boulton Phone No: (270) 816-3415

Mailing Address: 199 Kentucky Avenue Kevil, Kentucky 42053
Street City/State Zip

LABORATORY RECORD #1

Laboratory: GEL Laboratories, LLC Lab ID No: KY90129

Contact Person: Valerie Davis Phone No: (843) 769-7391

Mailing Address: 2040 Savage Road Charleston, South Carolina 29407
Street City/State Zip

LABORATORY RECORD #2

Laboratory: N/A Lab ID No: N/A

Contact Person: N/A Phone No: N/A

Mailing Address: N/A
Street City/State Zip

LABORATORY RECORD #3

Laboratory: N/A Lab ID No: N/A

Contact Person: N/A Phone No: N/A

Mailing Address: N/A
Street City/State Zip

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

APPENDIX C
GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSES
AND WRITTEN COMMENTS

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Division of Waste Management
Solid Waste Branch
14 Reilly Road
Frankfort, KY 40601 (502)564-6716

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY
Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 /1
LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS (S)

AKGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number	8000-5201	8000-5202	8000-5242	8000-5243								
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)	220	221	222	223								
Sample Sequence #	1	1	1	1								
If sample is a Blank, specify Type: (F)ield, (T)rip, (M)ethod, or (E)quipment	NA	NA	NA	NA								
Sample Date and Time (Month/Day/Year hour:minutes)	4/13/2022 10:33	4/13/2022 07:27	4/13/2022 09:14	4/13/2022 08:27								
Duplicate ("Y" or "N") ²	N	N	N	N								
Split ("Y" or "N") ³	N	N	N	N								
Facility Sample ID Number (if applicable)	MW220SG3-22	MW221SG3-22	MW222SG3-22	MW223SG3-22								
Laboratory Sample ID Number (if applicable)	576463001	576463005	576463007	576463009								
Date of Analysis (Month/Day/Year) For <u>Volatile Organics</u> Analysis	4/18/2022	4/18/2022	4/18/2022	4/18/2022								
Gradient with respect to Monitored Unit (UP, DOWN, SIDE, UNKNOWN)	UP	SIDE	SIDE	SIDE								
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D 5	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S ⁷	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S
24959-67-9	Bromide	T	mg/L	9056	0.236	*	0.614	*	0.414	*	0.496	*
16887-00-6	Chloride(s)	T	mg/L	9056	18.4	BJ*	34.6	BJ*	29.8	BJ*	36	BJ*
16984-48-8	Fluoride	T	mg/L	9056	0.226	J	0.191	J	0.239	J	0.192	J
S0595- -	Nitrate & Nitrite	T	mg/L	9056	0.947	J	0.917	J	0.871	J	0.803	J
14808-79-8	Sulfate	T	mg/L	9056	24.9	*	15.6	*	12.7	*	16.2	*
NS1894	Barometric Pressure Reading	T	Inches/Hg	Field	29.72		29.71		29.72		29.73	
S0145- -	Specific Conductance	T	µMH0/cm	Field	436		421		386		407	

¹AKGWA # is 0000-0000 for any type of blank.

²Respond "Y" if the sample was a duplicate of another sample in this report.

³Respond "Y" if the sample was split and analyzed by separate laboratories.

⁴Chemical Abstracts Service Registry Number or unique identifier number assigned by agency.

⁵"T" = Total; "D" = Dissolved

⁶"<" indicates a non-detect; do not use "ND" or "BDL". Value shown is Practical Quantification Limit.

⁷Flags are as designated, do not use any other type. Use "*", then describe on "Written Comments Page."

STANDARD FLAGS:

* = See Comments

J = Estimated Value

B = Analyte found in blank

A = Average value

N = Presumptive ID

D = Concentration from analysis of a secondary dilution

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number					8000-5201	8000-5202	8000-5242	8000-5243				
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, BLANK-F, etc.)					220	221	222	223				
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D ⁵	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S
S0906 - -	Static Water Level Elevation	T	Ft. MSL	Field	328.23		328.24		328.49		328.41	
N238	Dissolved Oxygen	T	mg/L	Field	4.84		5.57		4.37		5.25	
S0266- -	Total Dissolved Solids	T	mg/L	160.1	236	*	223	*	214	*	214	*
S0296- -	pH	T	Units	Field	6.11		6.12		6.05		6.09	
NS215	Eh	T	mV	Field	412		376		404		389	
S0907 - -	Temperature	T	°C	Field	16.56		16.78		17		17	
7429-90-5	Aluminum	T	mg/L	6020	<0.05		<0.05		<0.05		<0.05	
7440-36-0	Antimony	T	mg/L	6020	<0.003		<0.003		<0.003		<0.003	
7440-38-2	Arsenic	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
7440-39-3	Barium	T	mg/L	6020	0.229		0.218		0.315		0.225	
7440-41-7	Beryllium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.0005		<0.0005		<0.0005		<0.0005	
7440-42-8	Boron	T	mg/L	6020	0.00637	J	0.022		0.0102	J	0.00968	J
7440-43-9	Cadmium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
7440-70-2	Calcium	T	mg/L	6020	29.1		22.4		21.1		22.7	
7440-47-3	Chromium	T	mg/L	6020	0.00407	J	0.00736	J	<0.01		0.02	
7440-48-4	Cobalt	T	mg/L	6020	<0.001		0.000338	J	<0.001		<0.001	
7440-50-8	Copper	T	mg/L	6020	0.00202		0.0018	J	0.00132	J	0.00145	J
7439-89-6	Iron	T	mg/L	6020	<0.1		<0.1		<0.1		0.0528	J
7439-92-1	Lead	T	mg/L	6020	<0.002		<0.002		<0.002		<0.002	
7439-95-4	Magnesium	T	mg/L	6020	12.1		9.72		9.29		9.62	
7439-96-5	Manganese	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005		0.00204	J	<0.005		0.00648	
7439-97-6	Mercury	T	mg/L	7470	<0.0002		<0.0002		<0.0002		<0.0002	

C4

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

ARGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number					8000-5201	8000-5202	8000-5242	8000-5243				
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)					220	221	222	223				
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D 5	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S						
7439-98-7	Molybdenum	T	mg/L	6020	0.000937	J	0.00575		0.00273		0.00449	
7440-02-0	Nickel	T	mg/L	6020	0.00823		0.0465		0.0309		0.0621	
7440-09-7	Potassium	T	mg/L	6020	1.31		2.62		0.621		2.34	
7440-16-6	Rhodium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
7782-49-2	Selenium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
7440-22-4	Silver	T	mg/L	6020	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
7440-23-5	Sodium	T	mg/L	6020	46.2		48.2		48.6		46.1	
7440-25-7	Tantalum	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
7440-28-0	Thallium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.002		<0.002		<0.002		<0.002	
7440-61-1	Uranium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.0002		<0.0002		<0.0002		<0.0002	
7440-62-2	Vanadium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.02		<0.02		<0.02		<0.02	
7440-66-6	Zinc	T	mg/L	6020	<0.02		0.00503	J	<0.02		<0.02	
108-05-4	Vinyl acetate	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
67-64-1	Acetone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
107-02-8	Acrolein	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
107-13-1	Acrylonitrile	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
71-43-2	Benzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
1330-20-7	Xylenes	T	mg/L	8260	<0.003		<0.003		<0.003		<0.003	
100-42-5	Styrene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
108-88-3	Toluene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
74-97-5	Chlorobromomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	

C-5

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number					8000-5201	8000-5202	8000-5242	8000-5243				
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)					220	221	222	223				
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D S	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S						
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-25-2	Tribromomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
74-83-9	Methyl bromide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
78-93-3	Methyl ethyl ketone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
110-57-6	trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
75-15-0	Carbon disulfide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
75-00-3	Chloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
67-66-3	Chloroform	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
74-87-3	Methyl chloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
156-59-2	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
74-95-3	Methylene bromide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethylene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
106-93-4	Ethane, 1,2-dibromo	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
79-34-5	Ethane, 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
71-55-6	Ethane, 1,1,1-Trichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
79-00-5	Ethane, 1,1,2-Trichloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
630-20-6	Ethane, 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-01-4	Vinyl chloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
127-18-4	Ethene, Tetrachloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
79-01-6	Ethene, Trichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	

C-6

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number					8000-5201	8000-5202	8000-5242	8000-5243				
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)					220	221	222	223				
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D 5	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S						
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
74-88-4	Iodomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
124-48-1	Methane, Dibromochloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-09-2	Dichloromethane	T	mg/L	8260	0.00106	J	0.00079	J	0.00087	J	0.00077	J
108-10-1	Methyl isobutyl ketone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
96-12-8	Propane, 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloro	T	mg/L	8011	<0.0000192		<0.0000191		<0.0000191		<0.0000189	
78-87-5	Propane, 1,2-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
10061-02-6	trans-1,3-Dichloro-1-propene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloro-1-propene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
156-60-5	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-69-4	Trichlorofluoromethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
96-18-4	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
95-50-1	Benzene, 1,2-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
106-46-7	Benzene, 1,4-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
1336-36-3	PCB, Total	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
12674-11-2	PCB-1016	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
11104-28-2	PCB-1221	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
11141-16-5	PCB-1232	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
53469-21-9	PCB-1242	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
12672-29-6	PCB-1248	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*

C-7

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number					8000-5201	8000-5202	8000-5242	8000-5243				
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)					220	221	222	223				
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D ⁵	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S
11097-69-1	PCB-1254	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
11096-82-5	PCB-1260	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
11100-14-4	PCB-1268	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
12587-46-1	Gross Alpha	T	pCi/L	9310	1.79	*	3.31	*	0.0348	*	3.35	*
12587-47-2	Gross Beta	T	pCi/L	9310	13.2	*	6.4	*	2.49	*	12.2	*
10043-66-0	Iodine-131	T	pCi/L			*		*		*		*
13982-63-3	Radium-226	T	pCi/L	AN-1418	0.87	*	0.261	*	0.24	*	0.265	*
10098-97-2	Strontium-90	T	pCi/L	905.0	0.87	*	-4.85	*	4.55	*	4.21	*
14133-76-7	Technetium-99	T	pCi/L	Tc-02-RC	16	*	6.92	*	8.07	*	9.98	*
14269-63-7	Thorium-230	T	pCi/L	Th-01-RC	-0.0811	*	0.247	*	1.81	*	2.56	*
10028-17-8	Tritium	T	pCi/L	906.0	30.3	*	23.1	*	23.6	*	-43.2	*
S0130- -	Chemical Oxygen Demand	T	mg/L	410.4	<20		11.8	J	15.8	J	11.8	J
57-12-5	Cyanide	T	mg/L	9012	<0.2		<0.2		<0.2		<0.2	
20461-54-5	Iodide	T	mg/L	300.0	<0.5		<0.5		<0.5		<0.5	
S0268- -	Total Organic Carbon	T	mg/L	9060	1.09	J	0.665	J	0.653	J	0.745	J
S0586- -	Total Organic Halides	T	mg/L	9020	0.00438	J	<0.01		0.00572	J	0.00414	J

C-8

Division of Waste Management
Solid Waste Branch
14 Reilly Road
Frankfort, KY 40601 (502)564-6716

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY
Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 /1
LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS (S)

AKGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number					8000-5244	8004-4820	8004-4818	8004-4808				
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)					224	369	370	372				
Sample Sequence #					1	1	1	1				
If sample is a Blank, specify Type: (F)ield, (T)rip, (M)ethod, or (E)quipment					NA	NA	NA	NA				
Sample Date and Time (Month/Day/Year hour: minutes)					4/13/2022 09:54	4/12/2022 07:59	4/12/2022 08:57	4/12/2022 10:17				
Duplicate ("Y" or "N") ²					N	N	N	N				
Split ("Y" or "N") ³					N	N	N	N				
Facility Sample ID Number (if applicable)					MW224SG3-22	MW369UG3-22	MW370UG3-22	MW372UG3-22				
Laboratory Sample ID Number (if applicable)					576463011	576330001	576330003	576330007				
Date of Analysis (Month/Day/Year) For <u>Volatile Organics</u> Analysis					4/18/2022	4/14/2022	4/14/2022	4/14/2022				
Gradient with respect to Monitored Unit (UP, DOWN, SIDE, UNKNOWN)					SIDE	DOWN	DOWN	DOWN				
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D 5	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S ⁷	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S
24959-67-9	Bromide	T	mg/L	9056	0.438	*	0.381		0.672		0.507	
16887-00-6	Chloride(s)	T	mg/L	9056	29.4	BJ*	30.5	BJ*	38.5	BJ*	38	BJ*
16984-48-8	Fluoride	T	mg/L	9056	0.232	J	0.168	J	0.211	J	0.175	J
S0595- -	Nitrate & Nitrite	T	mg/L	9056	0.705	J	0.523	BJ	0.856	BJ	0.87	BJ
14808-79-8	Sulfate	T	mg/L	9056	18.2	*	8.93	B	20.9	B	144	B
NS1894	Barometric Pressure Reading	T	Inches/Hg	Field	29.72		29.95		29.95		29.95	
S0145- -	Specific Conductance	T	µMH0/cm	Field	430		378		500		738	

¹AKGWA # is 0000-0000 for any type of blank.

²Respond "Y" if the sample was a duplicate of another sample in this report.

³Respond "Y" if the sample was split and analyzed by separate laboratories.

⁴Chemical Abstracts Service Registry Number or unique identifier number assigned by agency.

⁵"T" = Total; "D" = Dissolved

⁶"<" indicates a non-detect; do not use "ND" or "BDL". Value shown is Practical Quantification Limit.

⁷Flags are as designated, do not use any other type. Use "*", then describe on "Written Comments Page."

STANDARD FLAGS:

* = See Comments

J = Estimated Value

B = Analyte found in blank

A = Average value

N = Presumptive ID

D = Concentration from analysis
of a secondary dilution

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number					8000-5244	8004-4820	8004-4818	8004-4808				
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, BLANK-F, etc.)					224	369	370	372				
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D ⁵	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S
S0906 - -	Static Water Level Elevation	T	Ft. MSL	Field	328.55		328.41		328.38		328.44	
N238	Dissolved Oxygen	T	mg/L	Field	4.5		1.83		3.45		2.8	
S0266- -	Total Dissolved Solids	T	mg/L	160.1	229	*	234	B	296	B	457	B
S0296- -	pH	T	Units	Field	6.02		6.11		5.99		6.09	
NS215	Eh	T	mV	Field	402		382		390		402	
S0907 - -	Temperature	T	°C	Field	17		15.83		15.89		16.11	
7429-90-5	Aluminum	T	mg/L	6020	<0.05		0.0231	J	<0.05		<0.05	
7440-36-0	Antimony	T	mg/L	6020	<0.003		<0.003		<0.003		<0.003	
7440-38-2	Arsenic	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
7440-39-3	Barium	T	mg/L	6020	0.227		0.384		0.218		0.051	
7440-41-7	Beryllium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.0005		<0.0005		<0.0005		<0.0005	
7440-42-8	Boron	T	mg/L	6020	0.0384		0.0393		1.02		1.25	
7440-43-9	Cadmium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
7440-70-2	Calcium	T	mg/L	6020	24.9		16.5		30.5		61.1	
7440-47-3	Chromium	T	mg/L	6020	0.0258		<0.01		<0.01		<0.01	
7440-48-4	Cobalt	T	mg/L	6020	<0.001		0.00565		<0.001		<0.001	
7440-50-8	Copper	T	mg/L	6020	0.00205		0.00216		0.000976	J	0.001	J
7439-89-6	Iron	T	mg/L	6020	0.373		0.125		<0.1		<0.1	
7439-92-1	Lead	T	mg/L	6020	<0.002		<0.002		<0.002		<0.002	
7439-95-4	Magnesium	T	mg/L	6020	10.7		6.89		13.2		22	
7439-96-5	Manganese	T	mg/L	6020	0.00229	J	0.0912		0.00143	J	<0.005	
7439-97-6	Mercury	T	mg/L	7470	<0.0002		0.000118	BJ	0.00012	BJ	0.000122	BJ

C-10

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number					8000-5244	8004-4820	8004-4818	8004-4808				
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)					224	369	370	372				
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	D 5	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S						
7439-98-7	Molybdenum	T	mg/L	6020	0.00135		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
7440-02-0	Nickel	T	mg/L	6020	0.0175		0.00428		0.000834	J	0.000793	J
7440-09-7	Potassium	T	mg/L	6020	1.01		0.546		2.61		2.06	
7440-16-6	Rhodium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
7782-49-2	Selenium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005		0.00172	J	<0.005		0.00176	J
7440-22-4	Silver	T	mg/L	6020	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
7440-23-5	Sodium	T	mg/L	6020	52.2		52		49		57.7	
7440-25-7	Tantalum	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
7440-28-0	Thallium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.002		<0.002		<0.002		<0.002	
7440-61-1	Uranium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.0002		<0.0002		<0.0002		<0.0002	
7440-62-2	Vanadium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.02		<0.02		<0.02		<0.02	
7440-66-6	Zinc	T	mg/L	6020	<0.02		0.00558	J	<0.02		<0.02	
108-05-4	Vinyl acetate	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
67-64-1	Acetone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
107-02-8	Acrolein	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
107-13-1	Acrylonitrile	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
71-43-2	Benzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
1330-20-7	Xylenes	T	mg/L	8260	<0.003		<0.003		<0.003		<0.003	
100-42-5	Styrene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
108-88-3	Toluene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		0.00153		<0.001		<0.001	
74-97-5	Chlorobromomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number					8000-5244	8004-4820	8004-4818	8004-4808				
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)					224	369	370	372				
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D S	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S						
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-25-2	Tribromomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
74-83-9	Methyl bromide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
78-93-3	Methyl ethyl ketone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
110-57-6	trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
75-15-0	Carbon disulfide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
75-00-3	Chloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
67-66-3	Chloroform	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
74-87-3	Methyl chloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
156-59-2	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
74-95-3	Methylene bromide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethylene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
106-93-4	Ethane, 1,2-dibromo	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
79-34-5	Ethane, 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
71-55-6	Ethane, 1,1,1-Trichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
79-00-5	Ethane, 1,1,2-Trichloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
630-20-6	Ethane, 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-01-4	Vinyl chloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
127-18-4	Ethene, Tetrachloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
79-01-6	Ethene, Trichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		0.00116		0.00191		0.0041	

C-12

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number					8000-5244	8004-4820	8004-4818	8004-4808				
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)					224	369	370	372				
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D 5	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S						
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
74-88-4	Iodomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
124-48-1	Methane, Dibromochloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-09-2	Dichloromethane	T	mg/L	8260	0.00092	J	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
108-10-1	Methyl isobutyl ketone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
96-12-8	Propane, 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloro	T	mg/L	8011	<0.0000189		<0.0000189		<0.000019		<0.0000189	
78-87-5	Propane, 1,2-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
10061-02-6	trans-1,3-Dichloro-1-propene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloro-1-propene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
156-60-5	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-69-4	Trichlorofluoromethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
96-18-4	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
95-50-1	Benzene, 1,2-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
106-46-7	Benzene, 1,4-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
1336-36-3	PCB, Total	T	ug/L	8082		*	<0.1		<0.0951		<0.0979	
12674-11-2	PCB-1016	T	ug/L	8082		*	<0.1		<0.0951		<0.0979	
11104-28-2	PCB-1221	T	ug/L	8082		*	<0.1		<0.0951		<0.0979	
11141-16-5	PCB-1232	T	ug/L	8082		*	<0.1		<0.0951		<0.0979	
53469-21-9	PCB-1242	T	ug/L	8082		*	<0.1		<0.0951		<0.0979	
12672-29-6	PCB-1248	T	ug/L	8082		*	<0.1		<0.0951		<0.0979	

C-13

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number					8000-5244	8004-4820	8004-4818	8004-4808				
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)					224	369	370	372				
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D ⁵	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S
11097-69-1	PCB-1254	T	ug/L	8082		*	<0.1		<0.0951		<0.0979	
11096-82-5	PCB-1260	T	ug/L	8082		*	<0.1		<0.0951		<0.0979	
11100-14-4	PCB-1268	T	ug/L	8082		*	<0.1		<0.0951		<0.0979	
12587-46-1	Gross Alpha	T	pCi/L	9310	5.03	*	7.72	*	0.218	*	2.59	*
12587-47-2	Gross Beta	T	pCi/L	9310	4.65	*	30.6	*	8.86	*	39.7	*
10043-66-0	Iodine-131	T	pCi/L			*		*		*		*
13982-63-3	Radium-226	T	pCi/L	AN-1418	0.587	*	0.238	*	0.428	*	0.482	*
10098-97-2	Strontium-90	T	pCi/L	905.0	5.1	*	0.218	*	2.35	*	1.71	*
14133-76-7	Technetium-99	T	pCi/L	Tc-02-RC	13.6	*	57.2	*	23.4	*	79.4	*
14269-63-7	Thorium-230	T	pCi/L	Th-01-RC	2.51	*	1.16	*	0.38	*	1.18	*
10028-17-8	Tritium	T	pCi/L	906.0	-65	*	125	*	1.2	*	-20.2	*
S0130- -	Chemical Oxygen Demand	T	mg/L	410.4	<20		<20		11.8	J	<20	
57-12-5	Cyanide	T	mg/L	9012	<0.2		<0.2		<0.2		<0.2	
20461-54-5	Iodide	T	mg/L	300.0	<0.5		<0.5		<0.5		<0.5	
S0268- -	Total Organic Carbon	T	mg/L	9060	0.79	J	1.05	J	0.94	J	0.962	J
S0586- -	Total Organic Halides	T	mg/L	9020	0.009	J	0.0249		0.00742	J	0.0133	

C-14

Division of Waste Management
Solid Waste Branch
14 Reilly Road
Frankfort, KY 40601 (502)564-6716

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY
Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 /1
LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS (s)

AKGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number	8004-4792	8004-4809	8004-4810	8004-4804								
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)	373	384	385	386								
Sample Sequence #	1	1	1	1								
If sample is a Blank, specify Type: (F)ield, (T)rip, (M)ethod, or (E)quipment	NA	NA	NA	NA								
Sample Date and Time (Month/Day/Year hour:minutes)	4/12/2022 10:58	4/18/2022 09:09	4/18/2022 09:46	4/18/2022 10:20								
Duplicate ("Y" or "N") ²	N	N	N	N								
Split ("Y" or "N") ³	N	N	N	N								
Facility Sample ID Number (if applicable)	MW373UG3-22	MW384SG3-22	MW385SG3-22	MW386SG3-22								
Laboratory Sample ID Number (if applicable)	576330009	576930001	576930003	576930005								
Date of Analysis (Month/Day/Year) For <u>Volatile Organics</u> Analysis	4/14/2022	4/20/2022	4/20/2022	4/20/2022								
Gradient with respect to Monitored Unit (UP, DOWN, SIDE, UNKNOWN)	DOWN	SIDE	SIDE	SIDE								
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D 5	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S ⁷	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S
24959-67-9	Bromide	T	mg/L	9056	0.703		0.267		0.278		0.141	J
16887-00-6	Chloride(s)	T	mg/L	9056	43.5	BJ*	23.7	*J	23.4	*J	10.8	*J
16984-48-8	Fluoride	T	mg/L	9056	0.175	J	0.139	J	0.14	J	0.735	J
S0595- -	Nitrate & Nitrite	T	mg/L	9056	0.729	BJ	0.962	J	1	J	0.24	J
14808-79-8	Sulfate	T	mg/L	9056	199	B	19.4		19.3		36	
NS1894	Barometric Pressure Reading	T	Inches/Hg	Field	29.95		30.18		30.18		30.2	
S0145- -	Specific Conductance	T	µMH0/cm	Field	777		381		417		605	

¹AKGWA # is 0000-0000 for any type of blank.

²Respond "Y" if the sample was a duplicate of another sample in this report.

³Respond "Y" if the sample was split and analyzed by separate laboratories.

⁴Chemical Abstracts Service Registry Number or unique identifier number assigned by agency.

⁵"T" = Total; "D" = Dissolved

⁶"<" indicates a non-detect; do not use "ND" or "BDL". Value shown is Practical Quantification Limit.

⁷Flags are as designated, do not use any other type. Use "*", then describe on "Written Comments Page."

STANDARD FLAGS:

* = See Comments

J = Estimated Value

B = Analyte found in blank

A = Average value

N = Presumptive ID

D = Concentration from analysis of a secondary dilution

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number					8004-4792	8004-4809	8004-4810	8004-4804				
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, BLANK-F, etc.)					373	384	385	386				
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D ⁵	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S
S0906 - -	Static Water Level Elevation	T	Ft. MSL	Field	328.44		327.98		328		346.94	
N238	Dissolved Oxygen	T	mg/L	Field	2.79		5.13		4.36		3.03	
S0266- -	Total Dissolved Solids	T	mg/L	160.1	484	B	197		230		384	
S0296- -	pH	T	Units	Field	6.11		6.07		6.15		6.93	
NS215	Eh	T	mV	Field	399		438		446		381	
S0907 - -	Temperature	T	°C	Field	16.22		15.61		15.61		15.61	
7429-90-5	Aluminum	T	mg/L	6020	<0.05		<0.05		<0.05		<0.05	
7440-36-0	Antimony	T	mg/L	6020	<0.003		<0.003		<0.003		<0.003	
7440-38-2	Arsenic	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
7440-39-3	Barium	T	mg/L	6020	0.0351		0.199		0.219		0.115	
7440-41-7	Beryllium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.0005		<0.0005		<0.0005		<0.0005	
7440-42-8	Boron	T	mg/L	6020	1.6		0.037		0.0618		0.0161	
7440-43-9	Cadmium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
7440-70-2	Calcium	T	mg/L	6020	62.8		23.2		25.4		21.4	
7440-47-3	Chromium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.01		<0.01		<0.01		<0.01	
7440-48-4	Cobalt	T	mg/L	6020	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
7440-50-8	Copper	T	mg/L	6020	0.000968	J	0.00106	J	0.00346		0.00133	J
7439-89-6	Iron	T	mg/L	6020	<0.1		0.0615	J	<0.1		0.0545	J
7439-92-1	Lead	T	mg/L	6020	<0.002		<0.002		<0.002		<0.002	
7439-95-4	Magnesium	T	mg/L	6020	23.6		9.93		10.1		9.19	
7439-96-5	Manganese	T	mg/L	6020	0.00223	J	0.00149	J	0.00117	J	0.0246	
7439-97-6	Mercury	T	mg/L	7470	0.000122	BJ	<0.0002		<0.0002		<0.0002	

C-16

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number					8004-4792	8004-4809	8004-4810	8004-4804				
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)					373	384	385	386				
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D 5	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S						
7439-98-7	Molybdenum	T	mg/L	6020	<0.001		0.000209	J	<0.001		0.000756	J
7440-02-0	Nickel	T	mg/L	6020	0.000796	J	0.000816	J	0.00155	J	0.000795	J
7440-09-7	Potassium	T	mg/L	6020	2.57		1.43		1.61		0.278	J
7440-16-6	Rhodium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
7782-49-2	Selenium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
7440-22-4	Silver	T	mg/L	6020	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
7440-23-5	Sodium	T	mg/L	6020	56.1		45.4		46.3		111	
7440-25-7	Tantalum	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
7440-28-0	Thallium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.002		<0.002		<0.002		<0.002	
7440-61-1	Uranium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.0002		<0.0002		<0.0002		0.000083	J
7440-62-2	Vanadium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.02		<0.02		<0.02		<0.02	
7440-66-6	Zinc	T	mg/L	6020	<0.02		<0.02		0.00405	J	<0.02	
108-05-4	Vinyl acetate	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
67-64-1	Acetone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		0.00269	J	0.00243	J
107-02-8	Acrolein	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
107-13-1	Acrylonitrile	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
71-43-2	Benzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
1330-20-7	Xylenes	T	mg/L	8260	<0.003		<0.003		<0.003		<0.003	
100-42-5	Styrene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
108-88-3	Toluene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
74-97-5	Chlorobromomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	

C-17

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number					8004-4792	8004-4809	8004-4810	8004-4804				
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)					373	384	385	386				
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D 5	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S						
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-25-2	Tribromomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
74-83-9	Methyl bromide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001	*	<0.001	*	<0.001	*
78-93-3	Methyl ethyl ketone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
110-57-6	trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
75-15-0	Carbon disulfide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
75-00-3	Chloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001	*	<0.001	*	<0.001	*
67-66-3	Chloroform	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
74-87-3	Methyl chloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001	*	<0.001	*	<0.001	*
156-59-2	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
74-95-3	Methylene bromide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethylene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
106-93-4	Ethane, 1,2-dibromo	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
79-34-5	Ethane, 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
71-55-6	Ethane, 1,1,1-Trichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
79-00-5	Ethane, 1,1,2-Trichloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
630-20-6	Ethane, 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-01-4	Vinyl chloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001	*	<0.001	*	<0.001	*
127-18-4	Ethene, Tetrachloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
79-01-6	Ethene, Trichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	0.00506		0.0006	J	0.00071	J	<0.001	

C-18

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number					8004-4792	8004-4809	8004-4810	8004-4804				
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)					373	384	385	386				
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D 5	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S						
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
74-88-4	Iodomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005	*	<0.005	*	<0.005	*
124-48-1	Methane, Dibromochloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-09-2	Dichloromethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
108-10-1	Methyl isobutyl ketone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
96-12-8	Propane, 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloro	T	mg/L	8011	<0.0000189		<0.0000188		<0.0000191		<0.0000189	
78-87-5	Propane, 1,2-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
10061-02-6	trans-1,3-Dichloro-1-propene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloro-1-propene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001	*	<0.001	*	<0.001	*
156-60-5	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-69-4	Trichlorofluoromethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001	*	<0.001	*	<0.001	*
96-18-4	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
95-50-1	Benzene, 1,2-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
106-46-7	Benzene, 1,4-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
1336-36-3	PCB, Total	T	ug/L	8082	<0.0975			*		*		*
12674-11-2	PCB-1016	T	ug/L	8082	<0.0975			*		*		*
11104-28-2	PCB-1221	T	ug/L	8082	<0.0975			*		*		*
11141-16-5	PCB-1232	T	ug/L	8082	<0.0975			*		*		*
53469-21-9	PCB-1242	T	ug/L	8082	<0.0975			*		*		*
12672-29-6	PCB-1248	T	ug/L	8082	<0.0975			*		*		*

C-19

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number					8004-4792	8004-4809	8004-4810	8004-4804				
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)					373	384	385	386				
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D ⁵	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S
11097-69-1	PCB-1254	T	ug/L	8082	<0.0975			*		*		*
11096-82-5	PCB-1260	T	ug/L	8082	<0.0975			*		*		*
11100-14-4	PCB-1268	T	ug/L	8082	<0.0975			*		*		*
12587-46-1	Gross Alpha	T	pCi/L	9310	-3	*	0.777	*	6.99	*	2.54	*
12587-47-2	Gross Beta	T	pCi/L	9310	7.72	*	20.2	*	24.4	*	2.51	*
10043-66-0	Iodine-131	T	pCi/L			*		*		*		*
13982-63-3	Radium-226	T	pCi/L	AN-1418	0.194	*	0.209	*	0.297	*	0.0906	*
10098-97-2	Strontium-90	T	pCi/L	905.0	0.73	*	-0.0232	*	1.29	*	2.79	*
14133-76-7	Technetium-99	T	pCi/L	Tc-02-RC	14.8	*	34	*	43.7	*	13.3	*
14269-63-7	Thorium-230	T	pCi/L	Th-01-RC	1.77	*	0.193	*	0.695	*	0.714	*
10028-17-8	Tritium	T	pCi/L	906.0	12.3	*	-38.9	*	45.7	*	-64.5	*
S0130- -	Chemical Oxygen Demand	T	mg/L	410.4	11.8	J	16	J	<20		20	
57-12-5	Cyanide	T	mg/L	9012	<0.2		<0.2		<0.2		<0.2	
20461-54-5	Iodide	T	mg/L	300.0	<0.5		<0.5		<0.5		<0.5	
S0268- -	Total Organic Carbon	T	mg/L	9060	1.03	J	0.711	J	0.691	J	5.51	
S0586- -	Total Organic Halides	T	mg/L	9020	0.0104		0.0052	J	0.0318		0.176	

C-20

Division of Waste Management
Solid Waste Branch
14 Reilly Road
Frankfort, KY 40601 (502)564-6716

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY
Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 /1
LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS (S)

AKGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number		8004-4815	8004-4816	8004-4812	8004-4811							
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)		387	388	389	390							
Sample Sequence #		1	1	1	1							
If sample is a Blank, specify Type: (F)ield, (T)rip, (M)ethod, or (E)quipment		NA	NA	NA	NA							
Sample Date and Time (Month/Day/Year hour:minutes)		4/18/2022 07:44	4/18/2022 08:33	NA	4/18/2022 07:07							
Duplicate ("Y" or "N") ²		N	N	N	N							
Split ("Y" or "N") ³		N	N	N	N							
Facility Sample ID Number (if applicable)		MW387SG3-22	MW388SG3-22	NA	MW390SG3-22							
Laboratory Sample ID Number (if applicable)		576930007	576930009	NA	576930011							
Date of Analysis (Month/Day/Year) For <u>Volatile Organics</u> Analysis		4/20/2022	4/20/2022	NA	4/20/2022							
Gradient with respect to Monitored Unit (UP, DOWN, SIDE, UNKNOWN)		DOWN	DOWN	DOWN	DOWN							
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D 5	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S ⁷	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S
24959-67-9	Bromide	T	mg/L	9056	0.625		0.553			*	<0.2	
16887-00-6	Chloride(s)	T	mg/L	9056	43.5	*J	39	*J		*	30.9	*J
16984-48-8	Fluoride	T	mg/L	9056	0.459	J	0.368	J		*	0.321	J
S0595- -	Nitrate & Nitrite	T	mg/L	9056	2.12	J	1.15	J		*	1.77	J
14808-79-8	Sulfate	T	mg/L	9056	28.1		25			*	46.1	
NS1894	Barometric Pressure Reading	T	Inches/Hg	Field	30.1		30.16			*	30.1	
S0145- -	Specific Conductance	T	µMH0/cm	Field	593		508			*	645	

¹AKGWA # is 0000-0000 for any type of blank.

²Respond "Y" if the sample was a duplicate of another sample in this report.

³Respond "Y" if the sample was split and analyzed by separate laboratories.

⁴Chemical Abstracts Service Registry Number or unique identifier number assigned by agency.

⁵"T" = Total; "D" = Dissolved

⁶"<" indicates a non-detect; do not use "ND" or "BDL". Value shown is Practical Quantification Limit.

⁷Flags are as designated, do not use any other type. Use "*", then describe on "Written Comments Page."

STANDARD FLAGS:

* = See Comments

J = Estimated Value

B = Analyte found in blank

A = Average value

N = Presumptive ID

D = Concentration from analysis of a secondary dilution

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number					8004-4815	8004-4816	8004-4812	8004-4811				
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, BLANK-F, etc.)					387	388	389	390				
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D ⁵	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S
S0906 - -	Static Water Level Elevation	T	Ft. MSL	Field	328.06		327.99		*		328.2	
N238	Dissolved Oxygen	T	mg/L	Field	2.93		4.84		*		5.82	
S0266- -	Total Dissolved Solids	T	mg/L	160.1	320		273		*		373	
S0296- -	pH	T	Units	Field	6.15		6.15		*		6.28	
NS215	Eh	T	mV	Field	445		435		*		493	
S0907 - -	Temperature	T	°C	Field	14.72		15.67		*		14.67	
7429-90-5	Aluminum	T	mg/L	6020	<0.05		<0.05		*		0.0307	J
7440-36-0	Antimony	T	mg/L	6020	<0.003		<0.003		*		<0.003	
7440-38-2	Arsenic	T	mg/L	6020	0.00277	J	<0.005		*		<0.005	
7440-39-3	Barium	T	mg/L	6020	0.181		0.171		*		0.205	
7440-41-7	Beryllium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.0005		<0.0005		*		<0.0005	
7440-42-8	Boron	T	mg/L	6020	0.0232		0.0313		*		0.0182	
7440-43-9	Cadmium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.001		<0.001		*		<0.001	
7440-70-2	Calcium	T	mg/L	6020	42.1		35.3		*		28.8	
7440-47-3	Chromium	T	mg/L	6020	0.00796	J	0.00742	J	*		<0.01	
7440-48-4	Cobalt	T	mg/L	6020	<0.001		<0.001		*		<0.001	
7440-50-8	Copper	T	mg/L	6020	0.0019	J	0.00171	J	*		0.00217	
7439-89-6	Iron	T	mg/L	6020	0.0601	J	0.0554	J	*		<0.1	
7439-92-1	Lead	T	mg/L	6020	<0.002		<0.002		*		<0.002	
7439-95-4	Magnesium	T	mg/L	6020	17.2		15.9		*		12.5	
7439-96-5	Manganese	T	mg/L	6020	0.0395		0.0026	J	*		<0.005	
7439-97-6	Mercury	T	mg/L	7470	<0.0002		<0.0002		*		<0.0002	

C-22

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number					8004-4815	8004-4816	8004-4812	8004-4811				
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)					387	388	389	390				
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D 5	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S						
7439-98-7	Molybdenum	T	mg/L	6020	<0.001		<0.001		*	0.000294	J	
7440-02-0	Nickel	T	mg/L	6020	0.00106	J	0.00334		*	0.00154	J	
7440-09-7	Potassium	T	mg/L	6020	1.58		1.89		*	0.344		
7440-16-6	Rhodium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005		<0.005		*	<0.005		
7782-49-2	Selenium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005		<0.005		*	<0.005		
7440-22-4	Silver	T	mg/L	6020	<0.001		<0.001		*	<0.001		
7440-23-5	Sodium	T	mg/L	6020	58.4		49.1		*	96.9		
7440-25-7	Tantalum	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005		<0.005		*	<0.005		
7440-28-0	Thallium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.002		<0.002		*	<0.002		
7440-61-1	Uranium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.0002		<0.0002		*	0.000178	J	
7440-62-2	Vanadium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.02		<0.02		*	<0.02		
7440-66-6	Zinc	T	mg/L	6020	0.00447	J	<0.02		*	0.00552	J	
108-05-4	Vinyl acetate	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		*	<0.005		
67-64-1	Acetone	T	mg/L	8260	0.00258	J	0.00273	J	*	0.00222	J	
107-02-8	Acrolein	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		*	<0.005		
107-13-1	Acrylonitrile	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		*	<0.005		
71-43-2	Benzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		*	<0.001		
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		*	<0.001		
1330-20-7	Xylenes	T	mg/L	8260	<0.003		<0.003		*	<0.003		
100-42-5	Styrene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		*	<0.001		
108-88-3	Toluene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		*	<0.001		
74-97-5	Chlorobromomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		*	<0.001		

C-23

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number					8004-4815	8004-4816	8004-4812	8004-4811				
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)					387	388	389	390				
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D S	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		*	<0.001		
75-25-2	Tribromomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		*	<0.001		
74-83-9	Methyl bromide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001	*	<0.001	*	*	<0.001	*	
78-93-3	Methyl ethyl ketone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		*	<0.005		
110-57-6	trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		*	<0.005		
75-15-0	Carbon disulfide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		*	<0.005		
75-00-3	Chloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001	*	<0.001	*	*	<0.001	*	
67-66-3	Chloroform	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		*	<0.001		
74-87-3	Methyl chloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001	*	<0.001	*	*	<0.001	*	
156-59-2	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		*	<0.001		
74-95-3	Methylene bromide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		*	<0.001		
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		*	<0.001		
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		*	<0.001		
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethylene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		*	<0.001		
106-93-4	Ethane, 1,2-dibromo	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		*	<0.001		
79-34-5	Ethane, 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		*	<0.001		
71-55-6	Ethane, 1,1,1-Trichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		*	<0.001		
79-00-5	Ethane, 1,1,2-Trichloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		*	<0.001		
630-20-6	Ethane, 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		*	<0.001		
75-01-4	Vinyl chloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001	*	<0.001	*	*	<0.001	*	
127-18-4	Ethene, Tetrachloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		*	<0.001		
79-01-6	Ethene, Trichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	0.00098	J	0.00059	J	*	<0.001		

C-24

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number					8004-4815	8004-4816	8004-4812	8004-4811				
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)					387	388	389	390				
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D ⁵	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		*	<0.001		
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		*	<0.005		
74-88-4	Iodomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005	*	<0.005	*	*	<0.005	*	
124-48-1	Methane, Dibromochloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		*	<0.001		
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		*	<0.001		
75-09-2	Dichloromethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		*	<0.005		
108-10-1	Methyl isobutyl ketone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		*	<0.005		
96-12-8	Propane, 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloro	T	mg/L	8011	<0.0000191		<0.0000192		*	<0.0000191		
78-87-5	Propane, 1,2-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		*	<0.001		
10061-02-6	trans-1,3-Dichloro-1-propene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		*	<0.001		
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloro-1-propene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001	*	<0.001	*	*	<0.001	*	
156-60-5	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		*	<0.001		
75-69-4	Trichlorofluoromethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001	*	<0.001	*	*	<0.001	*	
96-18-4	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		*	<0.001		
95-50-1	Benzene, 1,2-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		*	<0.001		
106-46-7	Benzene, 1,4-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		*	<0.001		
1336-36-3	PCB, Total	T	ug/L	8082		*		*	*		*	
12674-11-2	PCB-1016	T	ug/L	8082		*		*	*		*	
11104-28-2	PCB-1221	T	ug/L	8082		*		*	*		*	
11141-16-5	PCB-1232	T	ug/L	8082		*		*	*		*	
53469-21-9	PCB-1242	T	ug/L	8082		*		*	*		*	
12672-29-6	PCB-1248	T	ug/L	8082		*		*	*		*	

C-25

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number					8004-4815	8004-4816	8004-4812	8004-4811				
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)					387	388	389	390				
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D ⁵	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S
11097-69-1	PCB-1254	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
11096-82-5	PCB-1260	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
11100-14-4	PCB-1268	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
12587-46-1	Gross Alpha	T	pCi/L	9310	6.99	*	4.46	*		*	7.06	*
12587-47-2	Gross Beta	T	pCi/L	9310	193	*	52.2	*		*	55.1	*
10043-66-0	Iodine-131	T	pCi/L			*		*		*		*
13982-63-3	Radium-226	T	pCi/L	AN-1418	0.286	*	0.305	*		*	0.424	*
10098-97-2	Strontium-90	T	pCi/L	905.0	-2.48	*	2.04	*		*	3.17	*
14133-76-7	Technetium-99	T	pCi/L	Tc-02-RC	354	*	112	*		*	60.9	*
14269-63-7	Thorium-230	T	pCi/L	Th-01-RC	1.02	*	0.498	*		*	2.47	*
10028-17-8	Tritium	T	pCi/L	906.0	-117	*	59.4	*		*	0.417	*
S0130- -	Chemical Oxygen Demand	T	mg/L	410.4	20		16	J		*	28	
57-12-5	Cyanide	T	mg/L	9012	<0.2		<0.2			*	<0.2	
20461-54-5	Iodide	T	mg/L	300.0	<0.5		<0.5			*	<0.5	
S0268- -	Total Organic Carbon	T	mg/L	9060	0.862	J	0.577	J		*	2.4	
S0586- -	Total Organic Halides	T	mg/L	9020	0.029		0.00362	J		*	0.0214	

C-26

Division of Waste Management
Solid Waste Branch
14 Reilly Road
Frankfort, KY 40601 (502)564-6716

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY
Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 /1
LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS (S)

AKGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number					8004-4805	8004-4806	8004-4807	8004-4802				
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)					391	392	393	394				
Sample Sequence #					1	1	1	1				
If sample is a Blank, specify Type: (F)ield, (T)rip, (M)ethod, or (E)quipment					NA	NA	NA	NA				
Sample Date and Time (Month/Day/Year hour:minutes)					4/19/2022 10:06	4/19/2022 10:44	4/19/2022 11:19	4/19/2022 08:13				
Duplicate ("Y" or "N") ²					N	N	N	N				
Split ("Y" or "N") ³					N	N	N	N				
Facility Sample ID Number (if applicable)					MW391SG3-22	MW392SG3-22	MW393SG3-22	MW394SG3-22				
Laboratory Sample ID Number (if applicable)					577059001	577059003	577059005	577059007				
Date of Analysis (Month/Day/Year) For <u>Volatile Organics</u> Analysis					4/21/2022	4/21/2022	4/21/2022	4/21/2022				
Gradient with respect to Monitored Unit (UP, DOWN, SIDE, UNKNOWN)					DOWN	DOWN	DOWN	UP				
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D 5	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S ⁷	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S
24959-67-9	Bromide	T	mg/L	9056	0.58	*	0.705	*	0.181	*J	0.802	*
16887-00-6	Chloride(s)	T	mg/L	9056	38.9	J	49.1	J	10.7	J	50.3	J
16984-48-8	Fluoride	T	mg/L	9056	0.155	J	0.182	J	0.183	J	0.115	J
S0595- -	Nitrate & Nitrite	T	mg/L	9056	0.926	J	0.784	J	0.219	J	1.27	J
14808-79-8	Sulfate	T	mg/L	9056	13.5		11.7		20.7		11.7	
NS1894	Barometric Pressure Reading	T	Inches/Hg	Field	30.32		30.32		30.33		30.3	
S0145- -	Specific Conductance	T	µMH0/cm	Field	400		399		438		413	

¹AKGWA # is 0000-0000 for any type of blank.

²Respond "Y" if the sample was a duplicate of another sample in this report.

³Respond "Y" if the sample was split and analyzed by separate laboratories.

⁴Chemical Abstracts Service Registry Number or unique identifier number assigned by agency.

⁵"T" = Total; "D" = Dissolved

⁶"<" indicates a non-detect; do not use "ND" or "BDL". Value shown is Practical Quantification Limit.

⁷Flags are as designated, do not use any other type. Use "*", then describe on "Written Comments Page."

STANDARD FLAGS:

* = See Comments

J = Estimated Value

B = Analyte found in blank

A = Average value

N = Presumptive ID

D = Concentration from analysis of a secondary dilution

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number					8004-4805	8004-4806	8004-4807	8004-4802				
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, BLANK-F, etc.)					391	392	393	394				
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D ⁵	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S
S0906 - -	Static Water Level Elevation	T	Ft. MSL	Field	327.93		327.87		339.93		328.01	
N238	Dissolved Oxygen	T	mg/L	Field	4.79		2.8		2.37		5.49	
S0266- -	Total Dissolved Solids	T	mg/L	160.1	203		224		280		243	
S0296- -	pH	T	Units	Field	6.1		6.05		6.3		5.93	
NS215	Eh	T	mV	Field	386		413		360		432	
S0907 - -	Temperature	T	°C	Field	15.22		15.67		16.28		15.72	
7429-90-5	Aluminum	T	mg/L	6020	<0.05		<0.05		0.0344	J	<0.05	
7440-36-0	Antimony	T	mg/L	6020	<0.003		<0.003		<0.003		<0.003	
7440-38-2	Arsenic	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005		<0.005		0.00254	J	<0.005	
7440-39-3	Barium	T	mg/L	6020	0.205		0.227		0.0992		0.289	
7440-41-7	Beryllium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.0005		<0.0005		<0.0005		<0.0005	
7440-42-8	Boron	T	mg/L	6020	0.024		0.0301		0.0168		0.0194	
7440-43-9	Cadmium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
7440-70-2	Calcium	T	mg/L	6020	24.9		28.5		14.9		28.2	
7440-47-3	Chromium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.01		<0.01		<0.01		<0.01	
7440-48-4	Cobalt	T	mg/L	6020	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
7440-50-8	Copper	T	mg/L	6020	0.00108	J	0.000944	J	0.00138	J	0.00152	J
7439-89-6	Iron	T	mg/L	6020	<0.1		0.0823	J	0.341		0.0603	J
7439-92-1	Lead	T	mg/L	6020	<0.002		<0.002		<0.002		<0.002	
7439-95-4	Magnesium	T	mg/L	6020	10.4		11.2		4.04		11.8	
7439-96-5	Manganese	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005		0.00766		0.00704		0.00386	J
7439-97-6	Mercury	T	mg/L	7470	<0.0002		<0.0002		<0.0002		<0.0002	

C-28

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number					8004-4805	8004-4806	8004-4807	8004-4802				
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)					391	392	393	394				
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D 5	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S						
7439-98-7	Molybdenum	T	mg/L	6020	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
7440-02-0	Nickel	T	mg/L	6020	0.000653	J	0.000799	J	0.000659	J	0.00852	
7440-09-7	Potassium	T	mg/L	6020	1.52		1.86		0.465		1.7	
7440-16-6	Rhodium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
7782-49-2	Selenium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005		<0.005		0.002	J	<0.005	
7440-22-4	Silver	T	mg/L	6020	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
7440-23-5	Sodium	T	mg/L	6020	35.5		30.4		84.7		35.3	
7440-25-7	Tantalum	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
7440-28-0	Thallium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.002		<0.002		<0.002		<0.002	
7440-61-1	Uranium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.0002		<0.0002		<0.0002		<0.0002	
7440-62-2	Vanadium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.02		<0.02		<0.02		<0.02	
7440-66-6	Zinc	T	mg/L	6020	<0.02		<0.02		0.00362	J	<0.02	
108-05-4	Vinyl acetate	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
67-64-1	Acetone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
107-02-8	Acrolein	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
107-13-1	Acrylonitrile	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
71-43-2	Benzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
1330-20-7	Xylenes	T	mg/L	8260	<0.003		<0.003		<0.003		<0.003	
100-42-5	Styrene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
108-88-3	Toluene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
74-97-5	Chlorobromomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	

C-29

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number					8004-4805	8004-4806	8004-4807	8004-4802				
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)					391	392	393	394				
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D S	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S						
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-25-2	Tribromomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
74-83-9	Methyl bromide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
78-93-3	Methyl ethyl ketone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
110-57-6	trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
75-15-0	Carbon disulfide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
75-00-3	Chloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
67-66-3	Chloroform	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
74-87-3	Methyl chloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
156-59-2	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		0.00094	J	<0.001		<0.001	
74-95-3	Methylene bromide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethylene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
106-93-4	Ethane, 1,2-dibromo	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
79-34-5	Ethane, 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
71-55-6	Ethane, 1,1,1-Trichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
79-00-5	Ethane, 1,1,2-Trichloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
630-20-6	Ethane, 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-01-4	Vinyl chloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
127-18-4	Ethene, Tetrachloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
79-01-6	Ethene, Trichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	0.00638		0.0245		<0.001		0.00528	

C-30

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number					8004-4805	8004-4806	8004-4807	8004-4802				
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)					391	392	393	394				
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D 5	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S						
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
74-88-4	Iodomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
124-48-1	Methane, Dibromochloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-09-2	Dichloromethane	T	mg/L	8260	0.00073	J	0.00084	J	0.00072	J	0.00076	J
108-10-1	Methyl isobutyl ketone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
96-12-8	Propane, 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloro	T	mg/L	8011	<0.0000191		<0.0000192		<0.0000189		<0.000019	
78-87-5	Propane, 1,2-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
10061-02-6	trans-1,3-Dichloro-1-propene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloro-1-propene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
156-60-5	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-69-4	Trichlorofluoromethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
96-18-4	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
95-50-1	Benzene, 1,2-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
106-46-7	Benzene, 1,4-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
1336-36-3	PCB, Total	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
12674-11-2	PCB-1016	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
11104-28-2	PCB-1221	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
11141-16-5	PCB-1232	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
53469-21-9	PCB-1242	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
12672-29-6	PCB-1248	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*

C-31

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number					8004-4805	8004-4806	8004-4807	8004-4802				
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)					391	392	393	394				
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D ⁵	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S
11097-69-1	PCB-1254	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
11096-82-5	PCB-1260	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
11100-14-4	PCB-1268	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
12587-46-1	Gross Alpha	T	pCi/L	9310	2.39	*	2.44	*	1.52	*	3.03	*
12587-47-2	Gross Beta	T	pCi/L	9310	7.83	*	1.51	*	3.42	*	8.47	*
10043-66-0	Iodine-131	T	pCi/L			*		*		*		*
13982-63-3	Radium-226	T	pCi/L	AN-1418	0.533	*	0.378	*	0.0617	*	0.42	*
10098-97-2	Strontium-90	T	pCi/L	905.0	6.78	*	6.4	*	1.24	*	2.34	*
14133-76-7	Technetium-99	T	pCi/L	Tc-02-RC	5.73	*	7.37	*	2	*	0.438	*
14269-63-7	Thorium-230	T	pCi/L	Th-01-RC	1.17	*	1.76	*	-0.644	*	-0.455	*
10028-17-8	Tritium	T	pCi/L	906.0	73.1	*	-110	*	113	*	-69.1	*
S0130- -	Chemical Oxygen Demand	T	mg/L	410.4	220		36		16	J	24	
57-12-5	Cyanide	T	mg/L	9012	<0.2		<0.2		<0.2		<0.2	
20461-54-5	Iodide	T	mg/L	300.0	<0.5		<0.5		<0.5		<0.5	
S0268- -	Total Organic Carbon	T	mg/L	9060	0.841	J	0.782	J	2.48		1.01	J
S0586- -	Total Organic Halides	T	mg/L	9020	0.0107		0.0238		0.015		0.00954	J

C-32

Division of Waste Management
Solid Waste Branch
14 Reilly Road
Frankfort, KY 40601 (502)564-6716

RESIDENTIAL/CONTAINED-QUARTERLY
Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 /1
LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS (S)

AKGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number					8004-4801	8004-4803	8004-4817	0000-0000				
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)					395	396	397	E. BLANK				
Sample Sequence #					1	1	1	1				
If sample is a Blank, specify Type: (F)ield, (T)rip, (M)ethod, or (E)quipment					NA	NA	NA	E				
Sample Date and Time (Month/Day/Year hour: minutes)					4/19/2022 08:51	4/19/2022 09:27	4/19/2022 07:22	4/13/2022 06:35				
Duplicate ("Y" or "N") ²					N	N	N	N				
Split ("Y" or "N") ³					N	N	N	N				
Facility Sample ID Number (if applicable)					MW395SG3-22	MW396SG3-22	MW397SG3-22	RI1SG3-22				
Laboratory Sample ID Number (if applicable)					577059009	577059011	577059013	576463014				
Date of Analysis (Month/Day/Year) For <u>Volatile Organics</u> Analysis					4/21/2022	4/21/2022	4/21/2022	4/18/2022				
Gradient with respect to Monitored Unit (UP, DOWN, SIDE, UNKNOWN)					UP	UP	UP	NA				
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D 5	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S ⁷	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S
24959-67-9	Bromide	T	mg/L	9056	0.698	*	0.953	*	0.486	*		*
16887-00-6	Chloride(s)	T	mg/L	9056	45.3	J	56.2	J	33	J		*
16984-48-8	Fluoride	T	mg/L	9056	0.121	J	0.603	J	0.138	J		*
S0595- -	Nitrate & Nitrite	T	mg/L	9056	1.28	J	0.243	J	1.01	J		*
14808-79-8	Sulfate	T	mg/L	9056	11.6		28.6		11.8			*
NS1894	Barometric Pressure Reading	T	Inches/Hg	Field	30.3		30.31		30.29			*
S0145- -	Specific Conductance	T	µMH0/cm	Field	383		718		326			*

¹AKGWA # is 0000-0000 for any type of blank.

²Respond "Y" if the sample was a duplicate of another sample in this report.

³Respond "Y" if the sample was split and analyzed by separate laboratories.

⁴Chemical Abstracts Service Registry Number or unique identifier number assigned by agency.

⁵"T" = Total; "D" = Dissolved

⁶"<" indicates a non-detect; do not use "ND" or "BDL". Value shown is Practical Quantification Limit.

⁷Flags are as designated, do not use any other type. Use "*", then describe on "Written Comments Page."

STANDARD FLAGS:

* = See Comments

J = Estimated Value

B = Analyte found in blank

A = Average value

N = Presumptive ID

D = Concentration from analysis of a secondary dilution

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number					8004-4801	8004-4803	8004-4817	0000-0000				
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, BLANK-F, etc.)					395	396	397	E. BLANK				
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D ⁵	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S
S0906 - -	Static Water Level Elevation	T	Ft. MSL	Field	328.44		373.76		328.03			*
N238	Dissolved Oxygen	T	mg/L	Field	5.12		1.92		6.69			*
S0266- -	Total Dissolved Solids	T	mg/L	160.1	210		411		180			*
S0296- -	pH	T	Units	Field	5.98		6.42		5.94			*
NS215	Eh	T	mV	Field	412		336		440			*
S0907 - -	Temperature	T	°C	Field	15.44		15.5		14.78			*
7429-90-5	Aluminum	T	mg/L	6020	<0.05		<0.05		0.0226	J	<0.05	
7440-36-0	Antimony	T	mg/L	6020	<0.003		<0.003		<0.003		<0.003	
7440-38-2	Arsenic	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
7440-39-3	Barium	T	mg/L	6020	0.251		0.346		0.131		<0.004	
7440-41-7	Beryllium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.0005		<0.0005		<0.0005		<0.0005	
7440-42-8	Boron	T	mg/L	6020	0.0193		0.00553	J	0.00715	J	<0.015	
7440-43-9	Cadmium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
7440-70-2	Calcium	T	mg/L	6020	26.4		32.1		18.5		<0.2	
7440-47-3	Chromium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.01		<0.01		<0.01		<0.01	
7440-48-4	Cobalt	T	mg/L	6020	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
7440-50-8	Copper	T	mg/L	6020	0.00107	J	0.000867	J	0.00181	J	<0.002	
7439-89-6	Iron	T	mg/L	6020	<0.1		0.118		0.045	J	<0.1	
7439-92-1	Lead	T	mg/L	6020	<0.002		<0.002		<0.002		<0.002	
7439-95-4	Magnesium	T	mg/L	6020	11		14.2		7.79		<0.03	
7439-96-5	Manganese	T	mg/L	6020	0.00115	J	0.0219		0.00314	J	<0.005	
7439-97-6	Mercury	T	mg/L	7470	<0.0002		<0.0002		<0.0002		<0.0002	

C-34

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number					8004-4801	8004-4803	8004-4817	0000-0000				
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)					395	396	397	E. BLANK				
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D 5	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S						
7439-98-7	Molybdenum	T	mg/L	6020	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
7440-02-0	Nickel	T	mg/L	6020	0.000954	J	0.000646	J	0.00101	J	<0.002	
7440-09-7	Potassium	T	mg/L	6020	1.59		0.859		1.8		<0.3	
7440-16-6	Rhodium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
7782-49-2	Selenium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
7440-22-4	Silver	T	mg/L	6020	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
7440-23-5	Sodium	T	mg/L	6020	31.4		102		33.6		<0.25	
7440-25-7	Tantalum	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
7440-28-0	Thallium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.002		<0.002		<0.002		<0.002	
7440-61-1	Uranium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.0002		<0.0002		<0.0002		<0.0002	
7440-62-2	Vanadium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.02		<0.02		<0.02		<0.02	
7440-66-6	Zinc	T	mg/L	6020	<0.02		<0.02		0.00484	J	<0.02	
108-05-4	Vinyl acetate	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
67-64-1	Acetone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		0.0146	
107-02-8	Acrolein	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
107-13-1	Acrylonitrile	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
71-43-2	Benzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		0.00125	
1330-20-7	Xylenes	T	mg/L	8260	<0.003		<0.003		<0.003		<0.003	
100-42-5	Styrene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
108-88-3	Toluene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
74-97-5	Chlorobromomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	

C-35

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number					8004-4801	8004-4803	8004-4817	0000-0000				
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)					395	396	397	E. BLANK				
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D S	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S						
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-25-2	Tribromomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
74-83-9	Methyl bromide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
78-93-3	Methyl ethyl ketone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
110-57-6	trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
75-15-0	Carbon disulfide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
75-00-3	Chloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
67-66-3	Chloroform	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
74-87-3	Methyl chloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
156-59-2	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
74-95-3	Methylene bromide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethylene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
106-93-4	Ethane, 1,2-dibromo	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
79-34-5	Ethane, 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
71-55-6	Ethane, 1,1,1-Trichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
79-00-5	Ethane, 1,1,2-Trichloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
630-20-6	Ethane, 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-01-4	Vinyl chloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
127-18-4	Ethene, Tetrachloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
79-01-6	Ethene, Trichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	0.0035		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	

C-36

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number					8004-4801	8004-4803	8004-4817	0000-0000				
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)					395	396	397	E. BLANK				
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D 5	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S						
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
74-88-4	Iodomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
124-48-1	Methane, Dibromochloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-09-2	Dichloromethane	T	mg/L	8260	0.00099	J	0.00076	J	0.00085	J	0.00093	J
108-10-1	Methyl isobutyl ketone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
96-12-8	Propane, 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloro	T	mg/L	8011	<0.0000189		<0.0000189		<0.0000193		<0.0000189	
78-87-5	Propane, 1,2-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
10061-02-6	trans-1,3-Dichloro-1-propene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloro-1-propene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
156-60-5	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-69-4	Trichlorofluoromethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
96-18-4	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
95-50-1	Benzene, 1,2-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		0.00034	J
106-46-7	Benzene, 1,4-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		0.00052	J
1336-36-3	PCB, Total	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
12674-11-2	PCB-1016	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
11104-28-2	PCB-1221	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
11141-16-5	PCB-1232	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
53469-21-9	PCB-1242	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
12672-29-6	PCB-1248	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*

C-37

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number					8004-4801	8004-4803	8004-4817	0000-0000				
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)					395	396	397	E. BLANK				
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D ⁵	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S
11097-69-1	PCB-1254	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
11096-82-5	PCB-1260	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
11100-14-4	PCB-1268	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
12587-46-1	Gross Alpha	T	pCi/L	9310	5.65	*	0.461	*	5.12	*	-1.84	*
12587-47-2	Gross Beta	T	pCi/L	9310	16.5	*	1.56	*	14.5	*	0.0229	*
10043-66-0	Iodine-131	T	pCi/L			*		*		*		*
13982-63-3	Radium-226	T	pCi/L	AN-1418	0.235	*	0.537	*	0.732	*	0.189	*
10098-97-2	Strontium-90	T	pCi/L	905.0	7.16	*	-0.712	*	0.372	*	-1.04	*
14133-76-7	Technetium-99	T	pCi/L	Tc-02-RC	17.4	*	11.8	*	8.11	*	4.17	*
14269-63-7	Thorium-230	T	pCi/L	Th-01-RC	0.264	*	1.1	*	-0.229	*	2.5	*
10028-17-8	Tritium	T	pCi/L	906.0	-15.8	*	-42.4	*	45.4	*	33.8	*
S0130- -	Chemical Oxygen Demand	T	mg/L	410.4	20		16	J	<20			*
57-12-5	Cyanide	T	mg/L	9012	<0.2		<0.2		<0.2			*
20461-54-5	Iodide	T	mg/L	300.0	<0.5		<0.5		<0.5		<0.5	
S0268- -	Total Organic Carbon	T	mg/L	9060	0.956	J	4.36		0.715	J		*
S0586- -	Total Organic Halides	T	mg/L	9020	0.00798	J	0.0559		<0.01			*

C-38

Division of Waste Management
 Solid Waste Branch
 14 Reilly Road
 Frankfort, KY 40601 (502)564-6716

RESIDENTIAL/CONTAINED-QUARTERLY
 Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
 Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 /1
 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS (S)

AKGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number					0000-0000	0000-0000	0000-0000	0000-0000				
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)					F. BLANK	T. BLANK 1	T. BLANK 2	T. BLANK 3				
Sample Sequence #					1	1	1	1				
If sample is a Blank, specify Type: (F)ield, (T)rip, (M)ethod, or (E)quipment					F	T	T	T				
Sample Date and Time (Month/Day/Year hour: minutes)					4/13/2022 07:30	4/13/2022 06:30	4/18/2022 06:15	4/19/2022 06:25				
Duplicate ("Y" or "N") ²					N	N	N	N				
Split ("Y" or "N") ³					N	N	N	N				
Facility Sample ID Number (if applicable)					FB1SG3-22	TB1SG3-22	TB2SG3-22	TB3SG3-22				
Laboratory Sample ID Number (if applicable)					576463013	576463015	576930013	577059015				
Date of Analysis (Month/Day/Year) For <u>Volatile Organics</u> Analysis					4/13/2022	4/18/2022	4/20/2022	4/21/2022				
Gradient with respect to Monitored Unit (UP, DOWN, SIDE, UNKNOWN)					NA	NA	NA	NA				
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D 5	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S ⁷	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S
24959-67-9	Bromide	T	mg/L	9056		*		*		*		*
16887-00-6	Chloride(s)	T	mg/L	9056		*		*		*		*
16984-48-8	Fluoride	T	mg/L	9056		*		*		*		*
S0595- -	Nitrate & Nitrite	T	mg/L	9056		*		*		*		*
14808-79-8	Sulfate	T	mg/L	9056		*		*		*		*
NS1894	Barometric Pressure Reading	T	Inches/Hg	Field		*		*		*		*
S0145- -	Specific Conductance	T	µMH0/cm	Field		*		*		*		*

¹AKGWA # is 0000-0000 for any type of blank.

²Respond "Y" if the sample was a duplicate of another sample in this report.

³Respond "Y" if the sample was split and analyzed by separate laboratories.

⁴Chemical Abstracts Service Registry Number or unique identifier number assigned by agency.

⁵"T" = Total; "D" = Dissolved

⁶"<" indicates a non-detect; do not use "ND" or "BDL". Value shown is Practical Quantification Limit.

⁷Flags are as designated, do not use any other type. Use "*", then describe on "Written Comments Page."

STANDARD FLAGS:

* = See Comments

J = Estimated Value

B = Analyte found in blank

A = Average value

N = Presumptive ID

D = Concentration from analysis of a secondary dilution

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number					0000-0000	0000-0000	0000-0000	0000-0000				
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, BLANK-F, etc.)					F. BLANK	T. BLANK 1	T. BLANK 2	T. BLANK 3				
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D ⁵	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S
S0906 - -	Static Water Level Elevation	T	Ft. MSL	Field		*		*		*		*
N238	Dissolved Oxygen	T	mg/L	Field		*		*		*		*
S0266- -	Total Dissolved Solids	T	mg/L	160.1		*		*		*		*
S0296- -	pH	T	Units	Field		*		*		*		*
NS215	Eh	T	mV	Field		*		*		*		*
S0907 - -	Temperature	T	°C	Field		*		*		*		*
7429-90-5	Aluminum	T	mg/L	6020	<0.05			*		*		*
7440-36-0	Antimony	T	mg/L	6020	<0.003			*		*		*
7440-38-2	Arsenic	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005			*		*		*
7440-39-3	Barium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.004			*		*		*
7440-41-7	Beryllium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.0005			*		*		*
7440-42-8	Boron	T	mg/L	6020	<0.015			*		*		*
7440-43-9	Cadmium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.001			*		*		*
7440-70-2	Calcium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.2			*		*		*
7440-47-3	Chromium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.01			*		*		*
7440-48-4	Cobalt	T	mg/L	6020	<0.001			*		*		*
7440-50-8	Copper	T	mg/L	6020	<0.002			*		*		*
7439-89-6	Iron	T	mg/L	6020	<0.1			*		*		*
7439-92-1	Lead	T	mg/L	6020	<0.002			*		*		*
7439-95-4	Magnesium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.03			*		*		*
7439-96-5	Manganese	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005			*		*		*
7439-97-6	Mercury	T	mg/L	7470	<0.0002			*		*		*

C-40

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number					0000-0000	0000-0000	0000-0000	0000-0000				
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)					F. BLANK	T. BLANK 1	T. BLANK 2	T. BLANK 3				
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D 5	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S						
7439-98-7	Molybdenum	T	mg/L	6020	<0.001			*		*		*
7440-02-0	Nickel	T	mg/L	6020	<0.002			*		*		*
7440-09-7	Potassium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.3			*		*		*
7440-16-6	Rhodium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005			*		*		*
7782-49-2	Selenium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005			*		*		*
7440-22-4	Silver	T	mg/L	6020	<0.001			*		*		*
7440-23-5	Sodium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.25			*		*		*
7440-25-7	Tantalum	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005			*		*		*
7440-28-0	Thallium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.002			*		*		*
7440-61-1	Uranium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.0002			*		*		*
7440-62-2	Vanadium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.02			*		*		*
7440-66-6	Zinc	T	mg/L	6020	<0.02			*		*		*
108-05-4	Vinyl acetate	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
67-64-1	Acetone	T	mg/L	8260	0.00455	J	0.0123		0.0185		0.00849	
107-02-8	Acrolein	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
107-13-1	Acrylonitrile	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
71-43-2	Benzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	T	mg/L	8260	0.00099	J	0.00125		<0.001		0.00129	
1330-20-7	Xylenes	T	mg/L	8260	<0.003		<0.003		<0.003		<0.003	
100-42-5	Styrene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
108-88-3	Toluene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
74-97-5	Chlorobromomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	

C-41

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number					0000-0000	0000-0000	0000-0000	0000-0000				
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)					F. BLANK	T. BLANK 1	T. BLANK 2	T. BLANK 3				
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D S	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-25-2	Tribromomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
74-83-9	Methyl bromide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	*	<0.001	
78-93-3	Methyl ethyl ketone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		0.00307	J	<0.005	
110-57-6	trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
75-15-0	Carbon disulfide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
75-00-3	Chloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	*	<0.001	
67-66-3	Chloroform	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
74-87-3	Methyl chloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	*	<0.001	
156-59-2	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
74-95-3	Methylene bromide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethylene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
106-93-4	Ethane, 1,2-dibromo	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
79-34-5	Ethane, 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
71-55-6	Ethane, 1,1,1-Trichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
79-00-5	Ethane, 1,1,2-Trichloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
630-20-6	Ethane, 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-01-4	Vinyl chloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	*	<0.001	
127-18-4	Ethene, Tetrachloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
79-01-6	Ethene, Trichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	

C-42

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number					0000-0000	0000-0000	0000-0000	0000-0000				
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)					F. BLANK	T. BLANK 1	T. BLANK 2	T. BLANK 3				
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D ⁵	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
74-88-4	Iodomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	*	<0.005	
124-48-1	Methane, Dibromochloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-09-2	Dichloromethane	T	mg/L	8260	0.00096	J	0.00093	J	<0.005		0.00063	J
108-10-1	Methyl isobutyl ketone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
96-12-8	Propane, 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloro	T	mg/L	8011	<0.0000192		<0.0000191		<0.0000191		<0.0000188	
78-87-5	Propane, 1,2-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
10061-02-6	trans-1,3-Dichloro-1-propene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloro-1-propene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	*	<0.001	
156-60-5	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-69-4	Trichlorofluoromethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	*	<0.001	
96-18-4	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
95-50-1	Benzene, 1,2-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		0.00035	J
106-46-7	Benzene, 1,4-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		0.00054	J	<0.001		0.00057	J
1336-36-3	PCB, Total	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
12674-11-2	PCB-1016	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
11104-28-2	PCB-1221	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
11141-16-5	PCB-1232	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
53469-21-9	PCB-1242	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
12672-29-6	PCB-1248	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*

C-43

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number					0000-0000		0000-0000		0000-0000		0000-0000	
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)					F. BLANK		T. BLANK 1		T. BLANK 2		T. BLANK 3	
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D ⁵	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S
11097-69-1	PCB-1254	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
11096-82-5	PCB-1260	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
11100-14-4	PCB-1268	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
12587-46-1	Gross Alpha	T	pCi/L	9310	-0.519	*		*		*		*
12587-47-2	Gross Beta	T	pCi/L	9310	-2.73	*		*		*		*
10043-66-0	Iodine-131	T	pCi/L			*		*		*		*
13982-63-3	Radium-226	T	pCi/L	AN-1418	0.529	*		*		*		*
10098-97-2	Strontium-90	T	pCi/L	905.0	-0.668	*		*		*		*
14133-76-7	Technetium-99	T	pCi/L	Tc-02-RC	0.361	*		*		*		*
14269-63-7	Thorium-230	T	pCi/L	Th-01-RC	2.66	*		*		*		*
10028-17-8	Tritium	T	pCi/L	906.0	43.1	*		*		*		*
S0130- -	Chemical Oxygen Demand	T	mg/L	410.4		*		*		*		*
57-12-5	Cyanide	T	mg/L	9012		*		*		*		*
20461-54-5	Iodide	T	mg/L	300.0	<0.5			*		*		*
S0268- -	Total Organic Carbon	T	mg/L	9060		*		*		*		*
S0586- -	Total Organic Halides	T	mg/L	9020		*		*		*		*

C-44

Division of Waste Management
 Solid Waste Branch
 14 Reilly Road
 Frankfort, KY 40601 (502)564-6716

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY
 Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
 Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS (S)

AKGWA NUMBER , Facility Well/Spring Number		8000-5202											
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)		221											
Sample Sequence #		2											
If sample is a Blank, specify Type: (F)ield, (T)rip, (M)ethod, or (E)quipment		NA											
Sample Date and Time (Month/Day/Year hour: minutes)		4/13/2022 07:27											
Duplicate ("Y" or "N") ²		Y											
Split ("Y" or "N") ³		N											
Facility Sample ID Number (if applicable)		MW221DSG3-22											
Laboratory Sample ID Number (if applicable)		576463003											
Date of Analysis (Month/Day/Year) For <u>Volatile Organics</u> Analysis		4/18/2022											
Gradient with respect to Monitored Unit (UP, DOWN, SIDE, UNKNOWN)		SIDE											
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D 5	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S ⁷	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	
24959-67-9	Bromide	T	mg/L	9056	0.539	*							
16887-00-6	Chloride(s)	T	mg/L	9056	36.4	BJ*							
16984-48-8	Fluoride	T	mg/L	9056	0.194	J							
S0595- -	Nitrate & Nitrite	T	mg/L	9056	0.914	J							
14808-79-8	Sulfate	T	mg/L	9056	15.6	*							
NS1894	Barometric Pressure Reading	T	Inches/Hg	Field		*							
S0145- -	Specific Conductance	T	µMH0/cm	Field		*							

C-45

¹AKGWA # is 0000-0000 for any type of blank.

²Respond "Y" if the sample was a duplicate of another sample in this report.

³Respond "Y" if the sample was split and analyzed by separate laboratories.

⁴Chemical Abstracts Service Registry Number or unique identifier number assigned by agency.

⁵T = Total; "D" = Dissolved

⁶"<" indicates a non-detect; do not use "ND" or "BDL". Value shown is Practical Quantification Limit.

⁷Flags are as designated, do not use any other type. Use "*", then describe on "Written Comments Page."

STANDARD FLAGS:

* = See Comments

J = Estimated Value

B = Analyte found in blank

A = Average value

N = Presumptive ID

D = Concentration from analysis of a secondary dilution

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number					8000-5202								
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, BLANK-F, etc.)					221								
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D ⁵	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	
S0906 - -	Static Water Level Elevation	T	Ft. MSL	Field		*							
N238	Dissolved Oxygen	T	mg/L	Field		*							
S0266- -	Total Dissolved Solids	T	mg/L	160.1	229	*							
S0296- -	pH	T	Units	Field		*							
NS215	Eh	T	mV	Field		*							
S0907 - -	Temperature	T	°C	Field		*							
7429-90-5	Aluminum	T	mg/L	6020	<0.05								
7440-36-0	Antimony	T	mg/L	6020	<0.003								
7440-38-2	Arsenic	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005								
7440-39-3	Barium	T	mg/L	6020	0.217								
7440-41-7	Beryllium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.0005								
7440-42-8	Boron	T	mg/L	6020	0.0216								
7440-43-9	Cadmium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.001								
7440-70-2	Calcium	T	mg/L	6020	23.3								
7440-47-3	Chromium	T	mg/L	6020	0.00743	J							
7440-48-4	Cobalt	T	mg/L	6020	0.000349	J							
7440-50-8	Copper	T	mg/L	6020	0.00164	J							
7439-89-6	Iron	T	mg/L	6020	<0.1								
7439-92-1	Lead	T	mg/L	6020	<0.002								
7439-95-4	Magnesium	T	mg/L	6020	9.96								
7439-96-5	Manganese	T	mg/L	6020	0.00205	J							
7439-97-6	Mercury	T	mg/L	7470	<0.0002								

C-46

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number					8000-5202							
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)					221							
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D 5	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S						
7439-98-7	Molybdenum	T	mg/L	6020	0.00576							
7440-02-0	Nickel	T	mg/L	6020	0.0463							
7440-09-7	Potassium	T	mg/L	6020	2.66							
7440-16-6	Rhodium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005							
7782-49-2	Selenium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005							
7440-22-4	Silver	T	mg/L	6020	<0.001							
7440-23-5	Sodium	T	mg/L	6020	49.3							
7440-25-7	Tantalum	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005							
7440-28-0	Thallium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.002							
7440-61-1	Uranium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.0002							
7440-62-2	Vanadium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.02							
7440-66-6	Zinc	T	mg/L	6020	0.0034	J						
108-05-4	Vinyl acetate	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005							
67-64-1	Acetone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005							
107-02-8	Acrolein	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005							
107-13-1	Acrylonitrile	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005							
71-43-2	Benzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001							
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001							
1330-20-7	Xylenes	T	mg/L	8260	<0.003							
100-42-5	Styrene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001							
108-88-3	Toluene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001							
74-97-5	Chlorobromomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001							

C-47

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number					8000-5202							
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)					221							
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D S	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001							
75-25-2	Tribromomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001							
74-83-9	Methyl bromide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001							
78-93-3	Methyl ethyl ketone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005							
110-57-6	trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005							
75-15-0	Carbon disulfide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005							
75-00-3	Chloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001							
67-66-3	Chloroform	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001							
74-87-3	Methyl chloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001							
156-59-2	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001							
74-95-3	Methylene bromide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001							
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001							
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001							
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethylene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001							
106-93-4	Ethane, 1,2-dibromo	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001							
79-34-5	Ethane, 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001							
71-55-6	Ethane, 1,1,1-Trichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001							
79-00-5	Ethane, 1,1,2-Trichloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001							
630-20-6	Ethane, 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001							
75-01-4	Vinyl chloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001							
127-18-4	Ethene, Tetrachloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001							
79-01-6	Ethene, Trichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001							

C-48

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number					8000-5202								
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)					221								
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D 5	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S							
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001								
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005								
74-88-4	Iodomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005								
124-48-1	Methane, Dibromochloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001								
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001								
75-09-2	Dichloromethane	T	mg/L	8260	0.00084	J							
108-10-1	Methyl isobutyl ketone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005								
96-12-8	Propane, 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloro	T	mg/L	8011	<0.0000192								
78-87-5	Propane, 1,2-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001								
10061-02-6	trans-1,3-Dichloro-1-propene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001								
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloro-1-propene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001								
156-60-5	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001								
75-69-4	Trichlorofluoromethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001								
96-18-4	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001								
95-50-1	Benzene, 1,2-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001								
106-46-7	Benzene, 1,4-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001								
1336-36-3	PCB, Total	T	ug/L	8082		*							
12674-11-2	PCB-1016	T	ug/L	8082		*							
11104-28-2	PCB-1221	T	ug/L	8082		*							
11141-16-5	PCB-1232	T	ug/L	8082		*							
53469-21-9	PCB-1242	T	ug/L	8082		*							
12672-29-6	PCB-1248	T	ug/L	8082		*							

C-49

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER ¹ , Facility Well/Spring Number					8000-5202								
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)					221								
CAS RN ⁴	CONSTITUENT	T D ⁵	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁶	F L A G S	
11097-69-1	PCB-1254	T	ug/L	8082		*							
11096-82-5	PCB-1260	T	ug/L	8082		*							
11100-14-4	PCB-1268	T	ug/L	8082		*							
12587-46-1	Gross Alpha	T	pCi/L	9310	0.852	*							
12587-47-2	Gross Beta	T	pCi/L	9310	4.44	*							
10043-66-0	Iodine-131	T	pCi/L			*							
13982-63-3	Radium-226	T	pCi/L	AN-1418	0.529	*							
10098-97-2	Strontium-90	T	pCi/L	905.0	-0.902	*							
14133-76-7	Technetium-99	T	pCi/L	Tc-02-RC	6.82	*							
14269-63-7	Thorium-230	T	pCi/L	Th-01-RC	-2.85	*							
10028-17-8	Tritium	T	pCi/L	906.0	52.7	*							
S0130- -	Chemical Oxygen Demand	T	mg/L	410.4	152								
57-12-5	Cyanide	T	mg/L	9012	<0.2								
20461-54-5	Iodide	T	mg/L	300.0	<0.5								
S0268- -	Total Organic Carbon	T	mg/L	9060	0.721	J							
S0586- -	Total Organic Halides	T	mg/L	9020	<0.01								

C-50

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY
 Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
 Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
8000-5201 MW220	MW220SG3-22	Bromide	W	Post-digestion spike recovery out of control limits.
		Chloride	W	Post-digestion spike recovery out of control limits.
		Sulfate	W	Post-digestion spike recovery out of control limits.
		Total Dissolved Solids	*	Duplicate analysis not within control limits.
		PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 3.95. Rad error is 3.94.
		Gross beta		TPU is 7.76. Rad error is 7.45.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.774. Rad error is 0.773.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 3.78. Rad error is 3.78.
		Technetium-99	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 11.1. Rad error is 10.9.
		Thorium-230	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.35. Rad error is 2.35.
Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 133. Rad error is 132.		

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY
 Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
 Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
8000-5202 MW221	MW221SG3-22	Bromide	W	Post-digestion spike recovery out of control limits.
		Chloride	W	Post-digestion spike recovery out of control limits.
		Sulfate	W	Post-digestion spike recovery out of control limits.
		Total Dissolved Solids	*	Duplicate analysis not within control limits.
		PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 5.28. Rad error is 5.25.
		Gross beta	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 7.92. Rad error is 7.85.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.887. Rad error is 0.887.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 3. Rad error is 3.
		Technetium-99	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 9.99. Rad error is 9.96.
		Thorium-230	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.44. Rad error is 2.44.
Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 134. Rad error is 134.		

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY
 Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
 Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
8000-5242 MW222	MW222SG3-22	Bromide	W	Post-digestion spike recovery out of control limits.
		Chloride	W	Post-digestion spike recovery out of control limits.
		Sulfate	W	Post-digestion spike recovery out of control limits.
		Total Dissolved Solids	*	Duplicate analysis not within control limits.
		PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 4.47. Rad error is 4.47.
		Gross beta	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 7.39. Rad error is 7.38.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.419. Rad error is 0.419.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 4.25. Rad error is 4.19.
		Technetium-99	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 9.69. Rad error is 9.64.
		Thorium-230	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.1. Rad error is 2.08.
Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 135. Rad error is 135.		

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY
 Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
 Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
8000-5243 MW223	MW223SG3-22	Bromide	W	Post-digestion spike recovery out of control limits.
		Chloride	W	Post-digestion spike recovery out of control limits.
		Sulfate	W	Post-digestion spike recovery out of control limits.
		Total Dissolved Solids	*	Duplicate analysis not within control limits.
		PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 4.95. Rad error is 4.92.
		Gross beta		TPU is 7.6. Rad error is 7.33.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.462. Rad error is 0.462.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 4.47. Rad error is 4.42.
		Technetium-99	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 10. Rad error is 9.95.
		Thorium-230	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.66. Rad error is 2.62.
Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 121. Rad error is 121.		

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY
 Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
 Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
8000-5244	MW224 MW224SG3-22	Bromide	W	Post-digestion spike recovery out of control limits.
		Chloride	W	Post-digestion spike recovery out of control limits.
		Sulfate	W	Post-digestion spike recovery out of control limits.
		Total Dissolved Solids	*	Duplicate analysis not within control limits.
		PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 6.47. Rad error is 6.42.
		Gross beta	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 8.89. Rad error is 8.85.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.58. Rad error is 0.579.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 4.29. Rad error is 4.22.
		Technetium-99	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 10.5. Rad error is 10.4.
		Thorium-230	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.2. Rad error is 2.16.
Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 122. Rad error is 122.		
8004-4820	MW369 MW369UG3-22	Chloride	W	Post-digestion spike recovery out of control limits.
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 7.59. Rad error is 7.41.
		Gross beta		TPU is 11.2. Rad error is 10.1.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.494. Rad error is 0.494.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 3.99. Rad error is 3.99.
		Technetium-99		TPU is 13.2. Rad error is 11.6.
		Thorium-230	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 1.78. Rad error is 1.76.
		Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 152. Rad error is 150.

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY
 Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
 Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
8004-4818	MW370 MW370UG3-22	Chloride	W	Post-digestion spike recovery out of control limits.
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 3.39. Rad error is 3.38.
		Gross beta	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 8.45. Rad error is 8.32.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.566. Rad error is 0.566.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 4.3. Rad error is 4.28.
		Techneium-99		TPU is 10.8. Rad error is 10.5.
		Thorium-230	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.49. Rad error is 2.48.
		Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 146. Rad error is 146.
8004-4808	MW372 MW372UG3-22	Chloride	W	Post-digestion spike recovery out of control limits.
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 5.53. Rad error is 5.52.
		Gross beta		TPU is 12.6. Rad error is 10.7.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.638. Rad error is 0.638.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.82. Rad error is 2.81.
		Techneium-99		TPU is 20.2. Rad error is 18.1.
		Thorium-230	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.11. Rad error is 2.09.
		Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 147. Rad error is 147.
8004-4792	MW373 MW373UG3-22	Chloride	W	Post-digestion spike recovery out of control limits.
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.42. Rad error is 2.42.
		Gross beta	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 8.66. Rad error is 8.56.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.464. Rad error is 0.464.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 4.07. Rad error is 4.07.
		Techneium-99	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 11.5. Rad error is 11.4.
		Thorium-230	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.37. Rad error is 2.35.
		Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 148. Rad error is 148.

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY
 Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
 Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
8004-4809 MW384	MW384SG3-22	Chloride	W	Post-digestion spike recovery out of control limits.
		Methyl bromide	Y1Y2	MS/MSD recovery outside acceptance criteria and MS/MSD RPD outside acceptance criteria.
		Chloroethane	Y2	MS/MSD RPD outside acceptance criteria.
		Methyl chloride	Y2	MS/MSD RPD outside acceptance criteria.
		Vinyl chloride	Y2	MS/MSD RPD outside acceptance criteria.
		Iodomethane	Y1Y2	MS/MSD recovery outside acceptance criteria and MS/MSD RPD outside acceptance criteria.
		cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	Y1	MS/MSD recovery outside acceptance criteria.
		Trichlorofluoromethane	Y2	MS/MSD RPD outside acceptance criteria.
		PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 3.91. Rad error is 3.91.
		Gross beta		TPU is 9.25. Rad error is 8.63.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.413. Rad error is 0.413.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.51. Rad error is 2.51.
		Technetium-99		TPU is 12.8. Rad error is 12.3.
		Thorium-230	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 1.65. Rad error is 1.64.
		Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 133. Rad error is 133.

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY
 Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
 Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
8004-4810 MW385	MW385SG3-22	Chloride	W	Post-digestion spike recovery out of control limits.
		Methyl bromide	Y1Y2	MS/MSD recovery outside acceptance criteria and MS/MSD RPD outside acceptance criteria.
		Chloroethane	Y2	MS/MSD RPD outside acceptance criteria.
		Methyl chloride	Y2	MS/MSD RPD outside acceptance criteria.
		Vinyl chloride	Y2	MS/MSD RPD outside acceptance criteria.
		Iodomethane	Y1Y2	MS/MSD recovery outside acceptance criteria and MS/MSD RPD outside acceptance criteria.
		cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	Y1	MS/MSD recovery outside acceptance criteria.
		Trichlorofluoromethane	Y2	MS/MSD RPD outside acceptance criteria.
		PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 6.17. Rad error is 6.06.
		Gross beta		TPU is 9.02. Rad error is 8.09.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.334. Rad error is 0.334.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 3.89. Rad error is 3.89.
		Technetium-99		TPU is 12.9. Rad error is 12.
		Thorium-230	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 1.85. Rad error is 1.84.
		Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 136. Rad error is 136.

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY
 Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
 Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
8004-4804 MW386	MW386SG3-22	Chloride	W	Post-digestion spike recovery out of control limits.
		Methyl bromide	Y1Y2	MS/MSD recovery outside acceptance criteria and MS/MSD RPD outside acceptance criteria.
		Chloroethane	Y2	MS/MSD RPD outside acceptance criteria.
		Methyl chloride	Y2	MS/MSD RPD outside acceptance criteria.
		Vinyl chloride	Y2	MS/MSD RPD outside acceptance criteria.
		Iodomethane	Y1Y2	MS/MSD recovery outside acceptance criteria and MS/MSD RPD outside acceptance criteria.
		cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	Y1	MS/MSD recovery outside acceptance criteria.
		Trichlorofluoromethane	Y2	MS/MSD RPD outside acceptance criteria.
		PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 5.18. Rad error is 5.17.
		Gross beta	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 5.58. Rad error is 5.56.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.352. Rad error is 0.352.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 3.82. Rad error is 3.79.
		Technetium-99	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 13.9. Rad error is 13.8.
		Thorium-230	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 1.57. Rad error is 1.56.
		Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 132. Rad error is 132.

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY
 Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
 Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
8004-4815 MW387	MW387SG3-22	Chloride	W	Post-digestion spike recovery out of control limits.
		Methyl bromide	Y1Y2	MS/MSD recovery outside acceptance criteria and MS/MSD RPD outside acceptance criteria.
		Chloroethane	Y2	MS/MSD RPD outside acceptance criteria.
		Methyl chloride	Y2	MS/MSD RPD outside acceptance criteria.
		Vinyl chloride	Y2	MS/MSD RPD outside acceptance criteria.
		Iodomethane	Y1Y2	MS/MSD recovery outside acceptance criteria and MS/MSD RPD outside acceptance criteria.
		cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	Y1	MS/MSD recovery outside acceptance criteria.
		Trichlorofluoromethane	Y2	MS/MSD RPD outside acceptance criteria.
		PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 6.1. Rad error is 5.96.
		Gross beta		TPU is 36.6. Rad error is 17.4.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.379. Rad error is 0.379.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 3.57. Rad error is 3.57.
		Technetium-99		TPU is 42.9. Rad error is 17.3.
		Thorium-230	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 1.8. Rad error is 1.78.
		Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 129. Rad error is 129.

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY
 Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
 Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
8004-4816 MW388	MW388SG3-22	Chloride	W	Post-digestion spike recovery out of control limits.
		Methyl bromide	Y1Y2	MS/MSD recovery outside acceptance criteria and MS/MSD RPD outside acceptance criteria.
		Chloroethane	Y2	MS/MSD RPD outside acceptance criteria.
		Methyl chloride	Y2	MS/MSD RPD outside acceptance criteria.
		Vinyl chloride	Y2	MS/MSD RPD outside acceptance criteria.
		Iodomethane	Y1Y2	MS/MSD recovery outside acceptance criteria and MS/MSD RPD outside acceptance criteria.
		cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	Y1	MS/MSD recovery outside acceptance criteria.
		Trichlorofluoromethane	Y2	MS/MSD RPD outside acceptance criteria.
		PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 4.83. Rad error is 4.76.
		Gross beta		TPU is 13.7. Rad error is 10.6.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.366. Rad error is 0.365.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 4.12. Rad error is 4.1.
		Technetium-99		TPU is 18.9. Rad error is 14.1.
		Thorium-230	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.47. Rad error is 2.47.
		Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 136. Rad error is 136.

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY
 Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
 Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
8004-4812 MW389		Bromide		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Chloride		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Fluoride		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Nitrate & Nitrite		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Sulfate		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Barometric Pressure Reading		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Specific Conductance		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Static Water Level Elevation		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Dissolved Oxygen		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Total Dissolved Solids		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		pH		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Eh		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Temperature		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Aluminum		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Antimony		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Arsenic		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Barium		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Beryllium		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Boron		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Cadmium		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
Calcium		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.		
Chromium		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.		
Cobalt		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.		
Copper		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.		
Iron		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.		
Lead		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.		
Magnesium		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.		
Manganese		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.		
Mercury		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.		

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY
 Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
 Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
8004-4812	MW389	Molybdenum		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Nickel		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Potassium		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Rhodium		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Selenium		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Silver		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Sodium		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Tantalum		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Thallium		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Uranium		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Vanadium		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Zinc		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Vinyl acetate		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Acetone		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Acrolein		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Acrylonitrile		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Benzene		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Chlorobenzene		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Xylenes		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Styrene		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Toluene		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Chlorobromomethane		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Bromodichloromethane		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Tribromomethane		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Methyl bromide		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Methyl Ethyl Ketone		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Carbon disulfide		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Chloroethane		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY
 Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
 Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
8004-4812 MW389		Chloroform		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Methyl chloride		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Methylene bromide		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		1,1-Dichloroethane		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		1,2-Dichloroethane		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		1,1-Dichloroethylene		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		1,2-Dibromoethane		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		1,1,1-Trichloroethane		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		1,1,2-Trichloroethane		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Vinyl chloride		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Tetrachloroethene		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Trichloroethene		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Ethylbenzene		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		2-Hexanone		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Iodomethane		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Dibromochloromethane		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Carbon tetrachloride		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Dichloromethane		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Methyl Isobutyl Ketone		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
	1,2-Dichloropropane		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.	
	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.	
	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.	
	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.	
	Trichlorofluoromethane		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.	
	1,2,3-Trichloropropane		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.	

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY
 Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
 Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
8004-4812 MW389		1,2-Dichlorobenzene		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		1,4-Dichlorobenzene		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		PCB, Total		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		PCB-1016		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		PCB-1221		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		PCB-1232		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		PCB-1242		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		PCB-1248		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		PCB-1254		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		PCB-1260		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		PCB-1268		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Gross alpha		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Gross beta		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Iodine-131		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Radium-226		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Strontium-90		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Technetium-99		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Thorium-230		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Tritium		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Chemical Oxygen Demand		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
	Cyanide		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.	
	Iodide		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.	
	Total Organic Carbon		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.	
	Total Organic Halides		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.	

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY
 Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
 Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
8004-4811 MW390	MW390SG3-22	Chloride	W	Post-digestion spike recovery out of control limits.
		Methyl bromide	Y1Y2	MS/MSD recovery outside acceptance criteria and MS/MSD RPD outside acceptance criteria.
		Chloroethane	Y2	MS/MSD RPD outside acceptance criteria.
		Methyl chloride	Y2	MS/MSD RPD outside acceptance criteria.
		Vinyl chloride	Y2	MS/MSD RPD outside acceptance criteria.
		Iodomethane	Y1Y2	MS/MSD recovery outside acceptance criteria and MS/MSD RPD outside acceptance criteria.
		cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	Y1	MS/MSD recovery outside acceptance criteria.
		Trichlorofluoromethane	Y2	MS/MSD RPD outside acceptance criteria.
		PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 6.54. Rad error is 6.43.
		Gross beta		TPU is 13.7. Rad error is 10.4.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.381. Rad error is 0.381.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 4.14. Rad error is 4.11.
		Technetium-99		TPU is 14.2. Rad error is 12.5.
		Thorium-230	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.07. Rad error is 2.03.
		Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 135. Rad error is 135.

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY
 Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
 Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
8004-4805 MW391	MW391SG3-22	Bromide	W	Post-digestion spike recovery out of control limits.
		PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 3.41. Rad error is 3.39.
		Gross beta	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 7.57. Rad error is 7.45.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.465. Rad error is 0.464.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 4.98. Rad error is 4.85.
		Technetium-99	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 11.1. Rad error is 11.
		Thorium-230	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 1.78. Rad error is 1.77.
Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 137. Rad error is 137.		

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY
 Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
 Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
8004-4806 MW392	MW392SG3-22	Bromide	W	Post-digestion spike recovery out of control limits.
		PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 3.71. Rad error is 3.68.
		Gross beta	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 6.28. Rad error is 6.27.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.376. Rad error is 0.376.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 4.62. Rad error is 4.5.
		Technetium-99	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 11.2. Rad error is 11.2.
		Thorium-230	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 1.8. Rad error is 1.77.
Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 127. Rad error is 127.		

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY
 Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
 Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
8004-4807 MW393	MW393SG3-22	Bromide	W	Post-digestion spike recovery out of control limits.
		PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 5.05. Rad error is 5.04.
		Gross beta	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 5.38. Rad error is 5.34.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.238. Rad error is 0.238.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 4.22. Rad error is 4.21.
		Technetium-99	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 10.3. Rad error is 10.3.
		Thorium-230	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.936. Rad error is 0.935.
Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 141. Rad error is 140.		

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY
 Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
 Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
8004-4802 MW394	MW394SG3-22	Bromide	W	Post-digestion spike recovery out of control limits.
		PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 4.33. Rad error is 4.3.
		Gross beta	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 7.74. Rad error is 7.61.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.397. Rad error is 0.396.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.98. Rad error is 2.95.
		Technetium-99	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 11. Rad error is 11.
		Thorium-230	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 1.38. Rad error is 1.38.
Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 132. Rad error is 132.		

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY
 Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
 Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
8004-4801 MW395	MW395SG3-22	Bromide	W	Post-digestion spike recovery out of control limits.
		PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 6.04. Rad error is 5.97.
		Gross beta		TPU is 8.77. Rad error is 8.33.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.352. Rad error is 0.352.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 4.8. Rad error is 4.67.
		Technetium-99	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 11.4. Rad error is 11.2.
Thorium-230	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 1.25. Rad error is 1.25.		
Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 134. Rad error is 134.		

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY
 Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
 Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
8004-4803 MW396	MW396SG3-22	Bromide	W	Post-digestion spike recovery out of control limits.
		PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 3.58. Rad error is 3.57.
		Gross beta	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 5.19. Rad error is 5.18.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.455. Rad error is 0.454.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 3.91. Rad error is 3.91.
		Technetium-99	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 13.5. Rad error is 13.4.
		Thorium-230	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 1.83. Rad error is 1.82.
Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 132. Rad error is 132.		

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY
 Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
 Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
8004-4817 MW397	MW397SG3-22	Bromide	W	Post-digestion spike recovery out of control limits.
		PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 5.85. Rad error is 5.78.
		Gross beta		TPU is 8.39. Rad error is 8.01.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.884. Rad error is 0.883.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 4.25. Rad error is 4.24.
		Technetium-99	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 11. Rad error is 11.
Thorium-230	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 1.16. Rad error is 1.16.		
Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 136. Rad error is 136.		

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY
 Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
 Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
0000-0000 QC	R11SG3-22	Bromide		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Chloride		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Fluoride		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Nitrate & Nitrite		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Sulfate		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Barometric Pressure Reading		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Specific Conductance		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Static Water Level Elevation		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Dissolved Oxygen		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Total Dissolved Solids		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		pH		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Eh		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Temperature		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.72. Rad error is 2.71.
		Gross beta	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 6.31. Rad error is 6.31.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.629. Rad error is 0.629.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 3.8. Rad error is 3.8.
		Technetium-99	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 9.97. Rad error is 9.96.
		Thorium-230	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.42. Rad error is 2.38.
		Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 136. Rad error is 136.
		Chemical Oxygen Demand		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Cyanide		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Total Organic Carbon		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
Total Organic Halides		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.		

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY
 Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
 Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description	
0000-0000 QC	FB1SG3-22	Bromide		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.	
		Chloride		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.	
		Fluoride		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.	
		Nitrate & Nitrite		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.	
		Sulfate		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.	
		Barometric Pressure Reading		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.	
		Specific Conductance		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.	
		Static Water Level Elevation		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.	
		Dissolved Oxygen		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.	
		Total Dissolved Solids		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.	
		pH		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.	
		Eh		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.	
		Temperature		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.	
		PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.	
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.	
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.	
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.	
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.	
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.	
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.	
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.	
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.	
		Gross alpha		U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 5.73. Rad error is 5.73.
		Gross beta		U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 4.49. Rad error is 4.49.
		Iodine-131			Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226		U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.627. Rad error is 0.626.
		Strontium-90		U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 3.84. Rad error is 3.84.
		Technetium-99		U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 12.1. Rad error is 12.1.
		Thorium-230		U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.3. Rad error is 2.27.
		Tritium		U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 135. Rad error is 135.
		Chemical Oxygen Demand			Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Cyanide			Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Total Organic Carbon			Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
Total Organic Halides			Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.		

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY
 Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
 Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
0000-0000 QC	TB1SG3-22	Bromide		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Chloride		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Fluoride		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Nitrate & Nitrite		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Sulfate		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Barometric Pressure Reading		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Specific Conductance		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Static Water Level Elevation		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Dissolved Oxygen		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Total Dissolved Solids		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		pH		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Eh		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Temperature		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Aluminum		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Antimony		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Arsenic		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Barium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Beryllium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Boron		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Cadmium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Calcium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Chromium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Cobalt		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Copper		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Iron		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Lead		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Magnesium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Manganese		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Mercury		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Molybdenum		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
Nickel		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.		
Potassium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.		
Rhodium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.		
Selenium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.		
Silver		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.		
Sodium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.		
Tantalum		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.		
Thallium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.		
Uranium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.		

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY
 Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
 Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
0000-0000 QC	TB1SG3-22	Vanadium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Zinc		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross beta		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Strontium-90		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Technetium-99		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Thorium-230		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Tritium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Chemical Oxygen Demand		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
Cyanide		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.		
Iodide		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.		
Total Organic Carbon		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.		
Total Organic Halides		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.		

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY
 Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
 Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
0000-0000 QC	TB2SG3-22	Bromide		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Chloride		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Fluoride		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Nitrate & Nitrite		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Sulfate		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Barometric Pressure Reading		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Specific Conductance		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Static Water Level Elevation		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Dissolved Oxygen		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Total Dissolved Solids		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		pH		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Eh		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Temperature		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Aluminum		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Antimony		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Arsenic		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Barium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Beryllium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Boron		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Cadmium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Calcium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Chromium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Cobalt		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Copper		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Iron		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Lead		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Magnesium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Manganese		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Mercury		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Molybdenum		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Nickel		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Potassium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Rhodium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
Selenium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.		
Silver		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.		
Sodium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.		
Tantalum		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.		
Thallium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.		
Uranium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.		

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY
 Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
 Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
0000-0000 QC	TB2SG3-22	Vanadium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Zinc		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Methyl bromide	Y1Y2	MS/MSD recovery outside acceptance criteria and MS/MSD RPD outside acceptance criteria.
		Chloroethane	Y2	MS/MSD RPD outside acceptance criteria.
		Methyl chloride	Y2	MS/MSD RPD outside acceptance criteria.
		Vinyl chloride	Y2	MS/MSD RPD outside acceptance criteria.
		Iodomethane	Y1Y2	MS/MSD recovery outside acceptance criteria and MS/MSD RPD outside acceptance criteria.
		cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	Y1	MS/MSD recovery outside acceptance criteria.
		Trichlorofluoromethane	Y2	MS/MSD RPD outside acceptance criteria.
		PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross beta		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Strontium-90		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Technetium-99		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Thorium-230		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Tritium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Chemical Oxygen Demand		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Cyanide		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Iodide		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Total Organic Carbon		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
Total Organic Halides		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.		

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY
 Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
 Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
0000-0000 QC	TB3SG3-22	Bromide		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Chloride		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Fluoride		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Nitrate & Nitrite		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Sulfate		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Barometric Pressure Reading		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Specific Conductance		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Static Water Level Elevation		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Dissolved Oxygen		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Total Dissolved Solids		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		pH		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Eh		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Temperature		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Aluminum		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Antimony		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Arsenic		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Barium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Beryllium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Boron		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Cadmium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Calcium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Chromium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Cobalt		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Copper		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Iron		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Lead		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Magnesium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Manganese		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Mercury		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Molybdenum		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Nickel		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
Potassium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.		
Rhodium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.		
Selenium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.		
Silver		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.		
Sodium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.		
Tantalum		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.		
Thallium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.		
Uranium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.		

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY
 Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
 Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
0000-0000 QC	TB3SG3-22	Vanadium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Zinc		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross beta		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Strontium-90		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Technetium-99		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Thorium-230		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Tritium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Chemical Oxygen Demand		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Cyanide		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Iodide		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Total Organic Carbon		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Total Organic Halides		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY
 Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
 Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
 LAB ID: None

GROUNDWATER WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
8000-5202 MW221	MW221DSG3-22	Bromide	W	Post-digestion spike recovery out of control limits.
		Chloride	W	Post-digestion spike recovery out of control limits.
		Sulfate	W	Post-digestion spike recovery out of control limits.
		Barometric Pressure Reading		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Specific Conductance		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Static Water Level Elevation		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Dissolved Oxygen		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Total Dissolved Solids	*	Duplicate analysis not within control limits.
		pH		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Eh		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Temperature		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 4.27. Rad error is 4.27.
		Gross beta	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 8.21. Rad error is 8.17.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 1.06. Rad error is 1.06.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 3.54. Rad error is 3.54.
		Technetium-99	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 9.81. Rad error is 9.78.
		Thorium-230	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 1.51. Rad error is 1.51.
Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 139. Rad error is 139.		

APPENDIX D
STATISTICAL ANALYSES AND
QUALIFICATION STATEMENT

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

GROUNDWATER STATISTICAL COMMENTS

Introduction

The statistical analyses conducted on the second quarter 2022 groundwater data collected from the C-746-S&T Landfills monitoring wells (MWs) were performed in accordance with Permit GSTR0003, Standard Requirement 3, using the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) guidance document, *EPA Statistical Analysis of Groundwater Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Final Guidance* (1989).

The statistical evaluation was conducted separately for the three groundwater systems: the Upper Continental Recharge System (UCRS), the Upper Regional Gravel Aquifer (URGA), and the Lower Regional Gravel Aquifer (LRGA). For each groundwater system, data from wells considered to represent background conditions were compared with test wells (downgradient or sidegradient wells) (Exhibit D.1). The second quarter 2022 data used to conduct the statistical analyses were collected in April 2022. The statistical analyses for this report first used data from the initial eight quarters that had been sampled for each parameter to develop the historical background value, beginning with the first two baseline sampling events in 2002, when available. Then a second set of statistical analyses, using the last eight quarters, was run on analytes that had at least one compliance well that exceeded the historical background. The sampling dates associated with both the historical and the current background data are listed next to the result in the statistical analysis sheets of this appendix.

Statistical Analysis Process

Constituents of concern that have Kentucky maximum contaminant levels (MCLs) and results that do not exceed their respective MCL are not included in the statistical evaluation. Parameters that have MCLs can be found in 401 KAR 47:030 § 6. For parameters with no established MCL and for those parameters that exceed their MCLs, the most recent results are compared to historical background concentrations, as follows: the data are divided into censored and uncensored observations. The one-sided tolerance interval statistical test is conducted only on parameters that have at least one uncensored (detected) observation. The current result is compared to the results of the one-sided tolerance interval statistical test to determine if the current data exceed the historical background concentration calculated using the first eight quarters of data.

For the statistical analysis of pH, a two-sided tolerance interval statistical test is conducted for pH. The test well results are compared to both an upper and lower tolerance limit (TL) to determine if statistically significant deviations in concentrations exist with respect to upgradient (background) well data from the first eight quarters. The tolerance interval statistical analysis is conducted separately for each parameter in each well (no pooling of downgradient data).

Statistical analyses are performed on the first eight quarters of historical background data, not on the data for the current quarter. Once a statistical result is obtained using the background data, the result for the current quarter is compared to that value. If the value is exceeded, the well is considered to have an exceedance of the statistically derived historical background concentration.

**Exhibit D.1. Station Identification for Monitoring
Wells Analyzed**

Station	Type	Groundwater Unit
MW220	BG	URGA
MW221	SG	URGA
MW222	SG	URGA
MW223	SG	URGA
MW224	SG	URGA
MW369	TW	URGA
MW370	TW	LRGA
MW372	TW	URGA
MW373	TW	LRGA
MW384	SG	URGA
MW385	SG	LRGA
MW386 ¹	SG	UCRS
MW387	TW	URGA
MW388	TW	LRGA
MW389 ^{1*}	TW	UCRS
MW390 ¹	TW	UCRS
MW391	TW	URGA
MW392	TW	LRGA
MW393 ¹	TW	UCRS
MW394	BG	URGA
MW395	BG	LRGA
MW396 ¹	BG	UCRS
MW397	BG	LRGA

¹ **NOTE:** The gradients in UCRS wells are downward. The UCRS wells identified as up-, side- or downgradient are those wells located in the same general direction as the RGA wells considered to be up-, side-, or downgradient.

BG: upgradient or background wells

TW: compliance or test wells

SG: sidegradient wells

*Well was dry this quarter and a groundwater sample could not be collected.

For those parameters that are determined to exceed the historical background concentration, a second one-sided tolerance interval statistical test, or a two-sided tolerance interval statistical test in the case of pH, is conducted. The second one-sided tolerance interval statistical test is conducted to determine whether the current concentration in downgradient wells exceeds the current background, as determined by a comparison against the statistically derived upper TL using the most recent eight quarters of data for the relevant background wells. The tolerance interval statistical analysis is conducted separately for each parameter in each well (no pooling of downgradient data).

For the statistical analysis of pH, a two-sided tolerance interval statistical test is conducted, if required. The test well pH results are compared to both an upper and lower TL to determine if the current pH is different from the current background level to a statistically significant level. Statistical analyses are performed on the last eight quarters of background data, not on the data for the current quarter. Once a statistical result is obtained using the background data, the result for the current quarter is compared to that value. If the value is exceeded, the well has a statistically significant difference in concentration compared to the current background concentration.

A stepwise list of the one-sided tolerance interval statistical procedure applied to the data is summarized below.¹

1. The TL is calculated for the background data (first using the first eight quarters, then using the last eight quarters).
 - For each parameter, the background data are used to establish a baseline. On this data set, the mean (X) and the standard deviation (S) are computed.
 - The data set is checked for normality using coefficient of variation (CV). If $CV \leq 1.0$, then the data are assumed to be normally distributed. Data sets with $CV > 1.0$ are assumed to be log-normally distributed; for data sets with $CV > 1.0$, the data are log-transformed and analyzed.
 - The factor (K) for one-sided upper TL with 95% minimum coverage is determined (Table 5, Appendix B; *EPA Statistical Analysis of Groundwater Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Final Guidance*, 1989) based on the number of background data points.
 - The one-sided upper TL is calculated using the following equation:
$$TL = X + (K \times S)$$
2. Each observation from downgradient wells is compared to the calculated one-sided upper TL in Step 1. If an observation value exceeds the TL, then there is statistically significant evidence that the well concentration exceeds the historical background.

Type of Data Used

Exhibit D.1 presents the background wells (identified as “BG”), the compliance or test wells (identified as “TW”), and the sidegradient wells (identified as “SG”) for the C-746-S&T Residential and Inert Landfills. Exhibit D.2 presents the parameters from the available data set for which a statistical test was performed using the one-sided tolerance interval.

Exhibits D.3, D.4, and D.5 list the number of analyses (observations), nondetects (censored observations), and detects (uncensored observations) by parameter in the UCRS, the URGA, and the LRGA, respectively. Those parameters displayed with bold-face type indicate the one-sided tolerance interval statistical test was performed. The data presented in Exhibits D.3, D.4, and D.5 were collected during the current quarter, second quarter 2022. The observations are representative of the current quarter data. Historical background data are presented in Attachment D1. The sampling dates associated with background data are listed next to the result in Attachment D1. When field duplicate data are available, the higher of the two readings is retained for further evaluation. When a data point has been rejected following data validation or data assessment, this result is not used, and the next available data point is used for the background or current quarter data. A result has been considered a nondetect if it has a “U” validation code.

¹ For pH, two-sided TLs (upper and lower) were calculated with an adjusted K factor using the following equations.

$$\text{upper TL} = X + (K \times S)$$
$$\text{lower TL} = X - (K \times S)$$

Exhibit D.2. List of Parameters Tested Using the One-Sided Upper Tolerance Level Test with Historical Background

Parameters
Acetone
Aluminum
Beta Activity
Boron
Bromide
Calcium
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)
Chloride
<i>cis</i> -1,2-Dichloroethene
Cobalt
Conductivity
Copper
Dissolved Oxygen
Dissolved Solids
Iron
Magnesium
Manganese
Methylene Chloride
Molybdenum
Nickel
Oxidation-Reduction Potential
pH*
Potassium
Sodium
Sulfate
Technetium-99
Toluene
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)
Total Organic Halides (TOX)
Trichloroethene
Zinc

*For pH, the test well results were compared to both an upper and lower TL to determine if the current result differs to a statistically significant degree from the historical background values.

Exhibit D.3. Summary of Censored and Uncensored Data—UCRS

Parameters	Observations	Censored Observation	Uncensored Observation	Statistical Analysis?
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	4	4	0	No
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	4	4	0	No
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	4	4	0	No
1,1-Dichloroethane	4	4	0	No
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	4	4	0	No
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	4	4	0	No
1,2-Dibromoethane	4	4	0	No
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	4	4	0	No
1,2-Dichloropropane	4	4	0	No
2-Butanone	4	4	0	No
2-Hexanone	4	4	0	No
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	4	4	0	No
Acetone	4	2	2	Yes
Acrolein	4	4	0	No
Acrylonitrile	4	4	0	No
Aluminum	4	2	2	Yes
Antimony	4	4	0	No
Beryllium	4	4	0	No
Beta activity	4	3	1	Yes
Boron	4	0	4	Yes
Bromide	4	1	3	Yes
Bromochloromethane	4	4	0	No
Bromodichloromethane	4	4	0	No
Bromoform	4	4	0	No
Bromomethane	4	4	0	No
Calcium	4	0	4	Yes
Carbon disulfide	4	4	0	No
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	4	0	4	Yes
Chloride	4	0	4	Yes
Chlorobenzene	4	4	0	No
Chloroethane	4	4	0	No
Chloroform	4	4	0	No
Chloromethane	4	4	0	No
<i>cis</i> -1,2-Dichloroethene	4	4	0	No
<i>cis</i> -1,3-Dichloropropene	4	4	0	No
Cobalt	4	4	0	No
Conductivity	4	0	4	Yes
Copper	4	0	4	Yes
Cyanide	4	4	0	No
Dibromochloromethane	4	4	0	No
Dibromomethane	4	4	0	No
Dimethylbenzene, Total	4	4	0	No
Dissolved Oxygen	4	0	4	Yes
Dissolved Solids	4	0	4	Yes
Ethylbenzene	4	4	0	No

Exhibit D.3. Summary of Censored and Uncensored Data—UCRS (Continued)

Parameters	Observations	Censored Observation	Uncensored Observation	Statistical Analysis?
Iodide	4	4	0	No
Iodomethane	4	4	0	No
Iron	4	1	3	Yes
Magnesium	4	0	4	Yes
Manganese	4	1	3	Yes
Methylene chloride	4	2	2	Yes
Molybdenum	4	4	0	No
Nickel	4	0	4	Yes
Oxidation-Reduction Potential	4	0	4	Yes
pH	4	0	4	Yes
Potassium	4	0	4	Yes
Radium-226	4	4	0	No
Rhodium	4	4	0	No
Sodium	4	0	4	Yes
Styrene	4	4	0	No
Sulfate	4	0	4	Yes
Tantalum	4	4	0	No
Technetium-99	4	3	1	Yes
Tetrachloroethene	4	4	0	No
Thallium	4	4	0	No
Thorium-230	4	4	0	No
Toluene	4	4	0	No
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	4	0	4	Yes
Total Organic Halides (TOX)	4	0	4	Yes
<i>trans</i> -1,2-Dichloroethene	4	4	0	No
<i>trans</i> -1,3-Dichloropropene	4	4	0	No
<i>trans</i> -1,4-Dichloro-2-Butene	4	4	0	No
Trichlorofluoromethane	4	4	0	No
Vanadium	4	4	0	No
Vinyl Acetate	4	4	0	No
Zinc	4	2	2	Yes

Bold denotes parameters with at least one uncensored observation.

Exhibit D.4. Summary of Censored and Uncensored Data—URGA

Parameters	Observations	Censored Observation	Uncensored Observation	Statistical Analysis?
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	11	11	0	No
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	11	11	0	No
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	11	11	0	No
1,1-Dichloroethane	11	11	0	No
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	11	11	0	No
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	11	11	0	No
1,2-Dibromoethane	11	11	0	No
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	11	11	0	No
1,2-Dichloropropane	11	11	0	No
2-Butanone	11	11	0	No
2-Hexanone	11	11	0	No
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	11	11	0	No
Acetone	11	10	1	Yes
Acrolein	11	11	0	No
Acrylonitrile	11	11	0	No
Aluminum	11	10	1	Yes
Antimony	11	11	0	No
Beryllium	11	11	0	No
Beta activity	11	5	6	Yes
Boron	11	0	11	Yes
Bromide	11	0	11	Yes
Bromochloromethane	11	11	0	No
Bromodichloromethane	11	11	0	No
Bromoform	11	11	0	No
Bromomethane	11	11	0	No
Calcium	11	0	11	Yes
Carbon disulfide	11	11	0	No
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	11	4	7	Yes
Chloride	11	7	4	Yes
Chlorobenzene	11	11	0	No
Chloroethane	11	11	0	No
Chloroform	11	11	0	No
Chloromethane	11	11	0	No
<i>cis</i> -1,2-Dichloroethene	11	11	0	No
<i>cis</i> -1,3-Dichloropropene	11	11	0	No
Cobalt	11	9	2	Yes
Conductivity	11	0	11	Yes
Copper	11	0	11	Yes
Cyanide	11	11	0	No
Dibromochloromethane	11	11	0	No
Dibromomethane	11	11	0	No
Dimethylbenzene, Total	11	11	0	No
Dissolved Oxygen	11	0	11	Yes
Dissolved Solids	11	0	11	Yes
Ethylbenzene	11	11	0	No

Exhibit D.4. Summary of Censored and Uncensored Data—URGA (Continued)

Parameters	Observations	Censored Observation	Uncensored Observation	Statistical Analysis?
Iodide	11	11	0	No
Iodomethane	11	11	0	No
Iron	11	5	6	Yes
Magnesium	11	0	11	Yes
Manganese	11	4	7	Yes
Methylene chloride	11	4	7	Yes
Molybdenum	11	7	4	Yes
Nickel	11	0	11	Yes
Oxidation-Reduction Potential	11	0	11	Yes
pH	11	0	11	Yes
Potassium	11	0	11	Yes
Radium-226	11	11	0	No
Rhodium	11	11	0	No
Sodium	11	0	11	Yes
Styrene	11	11	0	No
Sulfate	11	0	11	Yes
Tantalum	11	11	0	No
Technetium-99	11	7	4	Yes
Tetrachloroethene	11	11	0	No
Thallium	11	11	0	No
Thorium-230	11	11	0	No
Toluene	11	10	1	Yes
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	11	0	11	Yes
Total Organic Halides (TOX)	11	1	10	Yes
<i>trans</i> -1,2-Dichloroethene	11	11	0	No
<i>trans</i> -1,3-Dichloropropene	11	11	0	No
<i>trans</i> -1,4-Dichloro-2-Butene	11	11	0	No
Trichloroethene	11	5	6	Yes
Trichlorofluoromethane	11	11	0	No
Vanadium	11	11	0	No
Vinyl Acetate	11	11	0	No
Zinc	11	8	3	Yes

Bold denotes parameters with at least one uncensored observation.

Exhibit D.5. Summary of Censored and Uncensored Data—LRGA

Parameters	Observations	Censored Observation	Uncensored Observation	Statistical Analysis?
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	7	7	0	No
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	7	7	0	No
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	7	7	0	No
1,1-Dichloroethane	7	7	0	No
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	7	7	0	No
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	7	7	0	No
1,2-Dibromoethane	7	7	0	No
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	7	7	0	No
1,2-Dichloropropane	7	7	0	No
2-Butanone	7	7	0	No
2-Hexanone	7	7	0	No
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	7	7	0	No
Acetone	7	5	2	Yes
Acrolein	7	7	0	No
Acrylonitrile	7	7	0	No
Aluminum	7	6	1	Yes
Antimony	7	7	0	No
Beryllium	7	7	0	No
Beta activity	7	3	4	Yes
Boron	7	0	7	Yes
Bromide	7	0	7	Yes
Bromochloromethane	7	7	0	No
Bromodichloromethane	7	7	0	No
Bromoform	7	7	0	No
Bromomethane	7	7	0	No
Calcium	7	0	7	Yes
Carbon disulfide	7	7	0	No
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	7	2	5	Yes
Chloride	7	2	5	Yes
Chlorobenzene	7	7	0	No
Chloroethane	7	7	0	No
Chloroform	7	7	0	No
Chloromethane	7	7	0	No
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	7	6	1	Yes
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	7	7	0	No
Cobalt	7	7	0	No
Conductivity	7	0	7	Yes
Copper	7	0	7	Yes
Cyanide	7	7	0	No
Dibromochloromethane	7	7	0	No
Dibromomethane	7	7	0	No
Dimethylbenzene, Total	7	7	0	No
Dissolved Oxygen	7	0	7	Yes
Dissolved Solids	7	0	7	Yes
Ethylbenzene	7	7	0	No
Iodide	7	7	0	No
Iodomethane	7	7	0	No
Iron	7	4	3	Yes

Exhibit D.5. Summary of Censored and Uncensored Data—LRGA (Continued)

Parameters	Observations	Censored Observation	Uncensored Observation	Statistical Analysis?
Magnesium	7	0	7	Yes
Manganese	7	0	7	Yes
Methylene chloride	7	4	3	Yes
Molybdenum	7	7	0	No
Nickel	7	0	7	Yes
Oxidation-Reduction Potential	7	0	7	Yes
pH	7	0	7	Yes
Potassium	7	0	7	Yes
Radium-226	7	7	0	No
Rhodium	7	7	0	No
Sodium	7	0	7	Yes
Styrene	7	7	0	No
Sulfate	7	0	7	Yes
Tantalum	7	7	0	No
Technetium-99	7	4	3	Yes
Tetrachloroethene	7	7	0	No
Thallium	7	7	0	No
Thorium-230	7	7	0	No
Toluene	7	7	0	No
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	7	0	7	Yes
Total Organic Halides (TOX)	7	1	6	Yes
<i>trans</i> -1,2-Dichloroethene	7	7	0	No
<i>trans</i> -1,3-Dichloropropene	7	7	0	No
<i>trans</i> -1,4-Dichloro-2-Butene	7	7	0	No
Trichloroethene	7	1	6	Yes
Trichlorofluoromethane	7	7	0	No
Vanadium	7	7	0	No
Vinyl Acetate	7	7	0	No
Zinc	7	5	2	Yes

Bold denotes parameters with at least one uncensored observation.

Discussion of Results from Historical Background Comparison

For the UCRS, URGA, and LRGA, the concentrations of this quarter were compared to the results of the one-sided tolerance interval tests that were calculated using historical background and presented in Attachment D1. For the UCRS, URGA, and LRGA, the test was applied to 26, 30, and 28 parameters, respectively, including those listed in bold print in Exhibits D.3, D.4, and D.5, which include those constituents (beta activity and trichloroethene) that exceeded their MCL. A summary of exceedances when compared to statistically derived historical background by well number is shown in Exhibit D.6.

UCRS

This quarter's results identified exceedances of historical background upper tolerance limit (UTL) for beta activity, oxidation-reduction potential, and technetium-99.

URGA

This quarter's results identified exceedances of historical background UTL for beta activity, calcium, chemical oxygen demand (COD), conductivity, dissolved solids, magnesium, oxidation-reduction potential, sodium, sulfate, and technetium-99.

LRGA

This quarter's results identified exceedances of historical background UTL for beta activity, calcium, conductivity, dissolved solids, magnesium, oxidation-reduction potential, sulfate, technetium-99, and trichloroethene.

Statistical Summary

Summaries of the results of the statistical tests conducted on data obtained from wells in the UCRS, the URGA, and in the LRGA are presented in Exhibit D.7, Exhibit D.8, and Exhibit D.9, respectively.

Exhibit D.6. Summary of Exceedances of Statistically Derived Historical Background Concentrations

UCRS	URGA	LRGA
MW386: Oxidation-reduction potential	MW220: Oxidation-reduction potential, sulfate	MW370: Oxidation-reduction potential, sulfate
MW390: Beta activity, oxidation-reduction potential, technetium-99	MW221: Chemical oxygen demand (COD)	MW373: Calcium, conductivity, dissolved solids, magnesium, oxidation-reduction potential, sulfate
MW393: Oxidation-reduction potential	MW222: Oxidation-reduction potential	MW385: Oxidation-reduction potential, sulfate, technetium-99
MW396: Oxidation-reduction potential	MW224: Oxidation-reduction potential, sulfate	MW388: Beta activity, oxidation-reduction potential, sulfate, technetium-99
	MW369: Technetium-99	MW392: Oxidation-reduction potential, trichloroethene
	MW372: Calcium, conductivity, dissolved solids, magnesium, oxidation-reduction potential, sulfate, technetium-99	MW395: Oxidation-reduction potential
	MW384: Oxidation-reduction potential, sulfate, technetium-99	MW397: Oxidation-reduction potential
	MW387: Beta activity, calcium, dissolved solids, magnesium, oxidation-reduction potential, sodium, sulfate, technetium-99	
	MW391: Chemical oxygen demand (COD)	
	MW394: Oxidation-reduction potential	

Exhibit D.7. Test Summaries for Qualified Parameters for Historical Background—UCRS

Parameter	Performed Test	CV Normality Test*	Results of Tolerance Interval Test Conducted
Acetone	Tolerance Interval	1.73	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Aluminum	Tolerance Interval	0.57	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Beta Activity	Tolerance Interval	1.17	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentration in MW390.
Boron	Tolerance Interval	1.28	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Bromide	Tolerance Interval	0.24	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Calcium	Tolerance Interval	0.20	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	Tolerance Interval	0.02	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Chloride	Tolerance Interval	0.05	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Conductivity	Tolerance Interval	0.12	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Copper	Tolerance Interval	0.48	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Dissolved Oxygen	Tolerance Interval	1.20	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Dissolved Solids	Tolerance Interval	0.19	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Iron	Tolerance Interval	0.48	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Magnesium	Tolerance Interval	0.20	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Manganese	Tolerance Interval	0.46	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.

Exhibit D.7. Test Summaries for Qualified Parameters for Historical Background—UCRS (Continued)

Parameter	Performed Test	CV Normality Test*	Results of Tolerance Interval Test Conducted
Methylene Chloride	Tolerance Interval	0.56	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Nickel	Tolerance Interval	1.27	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Oxidation-Reduction Potential	Tolerance Interval	4.77	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentration in MW386, MW390, MW393, and MW396.
pH	Tolerance Interval	0.05	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Potassium	Tolerance Interval	0.28	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Sodium	Tolerance Interval	0.30	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Sulfate	Tolerance Interval	0.40	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Technetium-99	Tolerance Interval	0.86	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentration in MW390.
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	Tolerance Interval	0.47	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Total Organic Halides (TOX)	Tolerance Interval	0.38	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Zinc	Tolerance Interval	0.79	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.

CV: coefficient of variation

*If CV > 1.0, used log-transformed data.

Exhibit D.8. Test Summaries for Qualified Parameters for Historical Background—URGA

Parameter	Performed Test	CV Normality Test*	Results of Tolerance Interval Test Conducted
Acetone	Tolerance Interval	0.10	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Aluminum	Tolerance Interval	0.28	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Beta Activity ¹	Tolerance Interval	0.97	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentrations in MW387.
Boron	Tolerance Interval	1.45	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Bromide	Tolerance Interval	0.00	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Calcium	Tolerance Interval	0.17	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentrations in MW372 and MW387.
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	Tolerance Interval	0.00	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentrations in MW221 and MW391.
Chloride	Tolerance Interval	0.23	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Cobalt	Tolerance Interval	2.44	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Conductivity	Tolerance Interval	0.28	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentration in MW372.
Copper	Tolerance Interval	0.43	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Dissolved Oxygen	Tolerance Interval	0.50	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Dissolved Solids	Tolerance Interval	0.12	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentration in MW372 and MW387.
Iron	Tolerance Interval	1.17	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Magnesium	Tolerance Interval	0.16	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentration in MW372 and MW387.
Manganese	Tolerance Interval	2.16	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.

Exhibit D.8. Test Summaries for Qualified Parameters for Historical Background—URGA (Continued)

Parameter	Performed Test	CV Normality Test*	Results of Tolerance Interval Test Conducted
Methylene Chloride	Tolerance Interval	0.16	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Molybdenum	Tolerance Interval	1.26	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Nickel	Tolerance Interval	1.79	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Oxidation-Reduction Potential	Tolerance Interval	0.48	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentration in MW220, MW222, MW224, MW372, MW384, MW387, and MW394.
pH	Tolerance Interval	0.05	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Potassium	Tolerance Interval	1.40	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Sodium	Tolerance Interval	0.24	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentration in MW387.
Sulfate	Tolerance Interval	0.25	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentration in MW220, MW224, MW372, MW384, and MW387.
Technetium-99	Tolerance Interval	0.99	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentration in MW369, MW372, MW384, and MW387.
Toluene	Tolerance Interval	0.00	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	Tolerance Interval	0.49	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Total Organic Halides (TOX)	Tolerance Interval	2.57	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Trichloroethene ¹	Tolerance Interval	0.95	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Zinc	Tolerance Interval	0.72	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.

CV: coefficient of variation

*If CV > 1.0, used log-transformed data.

¹ Tolerance interval was calculated based on an MCL exceedance.

Exhibit D.9. Test Summaries for Qualified Parameters for Historical Background—LRGA

Parameter	Performed Test	CV Normality Test*	Results of Tolerance Interval Test Conducted
Acetone	Tolerance Interval	0.02	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Aluminum	Tolerance Interval	0.86	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Beta Activity	Tolerance Interval	0.36	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentration in MW388.
Boron	Tolerance Interval	1.24	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Bromide	Tolerance Interval	0.00	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Calcium	Tolerance Interval	0.50	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentration in MW373.
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	Tolerance Interval	0.04	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration..
Chloride	Tolerance Interval	0.22	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
<i>cis</i> -1,2-Dichloroethene	Tolerance Interval	0.00	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Conductivity	Tolerance Interval	0.14	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentration in MW373.
Copper	Tolerance Interval	0.47	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Dissolved Oxygen	Tolerance Interval	0.52	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Dissolved Solids	Tolerance Interval	0.16	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentration in MW373.
Iron	Tolerance Interval	1.29	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Magnesium	Tolerance Interval	0.51	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentration in MW373.

Exhibit D.9. Test Summaries for Qualified Parameters for Historical Background—LRGA (Continued)

Parameter	Performed Test	CV Normality Test*	Results of Tolerance Interval Test Conducted
Manganese	Tolerance Interval	1.49	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Methylene Chloride	Tolerance Interval	0.55	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Nickel	Tolerance Interval	1.09	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Oxidation-Reduction Potential	Tolerance Interval	0.33	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentration in MW370, MW373, MW385, MW388, MW392, MW395, and MW397.
pH	Tolerance Interval	0.04	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Potassium	Tolerance Interval	0.40	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Sodium	Tolerance Interval	0.47	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Sulfate	Tolerance Interval	0.20	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentration in MW370, MW373, MW385, and MW388.
Technetium-99	Tolerance Interval	0.80	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentration in MW385 and MW388.
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	Tolerance Interval	0.55	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Total Organic Halides (TOX)	Tolerance Interval	0.59	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Trichloroethene ¹	Tolerance Interval	0.78	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentration in MW392.
Zinc	Tolerance Interval	0.76	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.

CV: coefficient of variation

*If CV > 1.0, used log-transformed data.

¹ Tolerance interval was calculated based on an MCL exceedance.

Discussion of Results from Current Background Comparison

For concentrations in wells in the UCRS, URGA, and LRGA that exceeded the TL test using historical background, the concentrations were compared to the one-sided TL calculated using the most recent eight quarters of data and are presented in Attachment D2. For the UCRS, URGA, and LRGA, the test was applied to 3, 10, and 9 parameters, respectively, because these parameter concentrations exceeded the historical background TL.

For downgradient wells only, a summary of instances where concentrations exceeded the TL calculated using current background data is shown in Exhibit D.10.

Exhibit D.10. Summary of Exceedances (Downgradient Wells) of the TL Calculated Using Current Background Concentrations

URGA	LRGA
MW369: Technetium-99	MW370: Sulfate
MW372: Calcium, conductivity, dissolved solids, magnesium, sulfate, technetium-99	MW373: Calcium, conductivity, dissolved solids, magnesium, sulfate
MW387: Beta activity, calcium, dissolved solids, magnesium, sodium, sulfate, technetium-99	MW388: Beta activity, sulfate, technetium-99
MW391: Chemical oxygen demand (COD)	MW392: Trichloroethene

UCRS

Because gradients in the UCRS are downward (vertical), there are no hydrogeologically downgradient UCRS wells. It should be noted; however, that the beta activity and technetium-99 concentrations in UCRS well (MW390) exceeded the current TL this quarter.

URGA

This quarter's results identified current background exceedances in downgradient wells for beta activity, calcium, chemical oxygen demand (COD), conductivity, dissolved solids, magnesium, sodium, sulfate, and technetium-99.

LRGA

This quarter's results identified current background exceedances in downgradient wells for beta activity, calcium, conductivity, dissolved solids, magnesium, sulfate, technetium-99, and trichloroethene.

Statistical Summary

Summaries of the statistical tests conducted on data obtained from wells in the UCRS, the URGA, and the LRGA are presented in Exhibit D.11, Exhibit D.12, and Exhibit D.13, respectively.

Exhibit D.11. Test Summaries for Qualified Parameters for Current Background—UCRS

Parameter	Performed Test	CV Normality Test*	Results of Tolerance Interval Test Conducted
Beta Activity	Tolerance Interval	2.07	Because gradients in UCRS wells are downward, there are no UCRS wells that are hydrogeologically downgradient of the landfill; however, MW390 exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.
Oxidation-Reduction Potential	Tolerance Interval	0.44	None of the test wells exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from current background concentrations to a statistically significant level.
Technetium-99	Tolerance Interval	-12.35	Because gradients in UCRS wells are downward, there are no UCRS wells that are hydrogeologically downgradient of the landfill; however, MW390 exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.

CV: coefficient of variation

*If CV > 1.0, used log-transformed data.

Exhibit D.12. Test Summaries for Qualified Parameters for Current Background—URGA

Parameter	Performed Test	CV Normality Test*	Results of Tolerance Interval Test Conducted
Beta Activity	Tolerance Interval	0.59	MW387 exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.
Calcium	Tolerance Interval	0.12	MW372 and MW387 exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.
Chemical Oxygen Demand	Tolerance Interval	0.77	MW221 and MW391 exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.
Conductivity	Tolerance Interval	0.08	MW372 exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.
Dissolved Solids	Tolerance Interval	0.16	MW372 and MW387 exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.
Magnesium	Tolerance Interval	0.12	MW372 and MW387 exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.
Oxidation-Reduction Potential	Tolerance Interval	0.10	None of the test wells exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from current background concentrations to a statistically significant level.
Sodium	Tolerance Interval	0.13	MW387 exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.
Sulfate	Tolerance Interval	0.27	MW372 and MW387 exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.
Technetium-99	Tolerance Interval	0.54	MW369, MW372, MW384, and MW387 exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.

CV: coefficient of variation

*If CV > 1.0, used log-transformed data.

Exhibit D.13. Test Summaries for Qualified Parameters for Current Background—LRGA

Parameter	Performed Test	CV Normality Test*	Results of Tolerance Interval Test Conducted
Beta Activity	Tolerance Interval	0.78	MW388 exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.
Calcium	Tolerance Interval	0.15	MW373 exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.
Conductivity	Tolerance Interval	0.07	MW373 exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.
Dissolved Solids	Tolerance Interval	0.29	MW373 exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.
Magnesium	Tolerance Interval	0.15	MW373 exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.
Oxidation-Reduction Potential	Tolerance Interval	0.17	None of the test wells exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from current background concentrations to a statistically significant level.
Sulfate	Tolerance Interval	0.03	MW370, MW373, MW385, and MW388 exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.
Technetium-99	Tolerance Interval	0.49	MW385 and MW388 exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.
Trichloroethene	Tolerance Interval	0.70	MW392 exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.

CV: coefficient of variation

* If CV > 1.0, used log-transformed data.

ATTACHMENT D1

**COMPARISON OF CURRENT DATA TO
ONE-SIDED UPPER TOLERANCE INTERVAL TEST
CALCULATED USING
HISTORICAL BACKGROUND DATA**

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Acetone

UNITS: UG/L

UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 28.375 S= 49.188 CV(1)=1.733 **K factor**= 3.188** TL(1)= 185.185 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 2.712 S= 0.943 CV(2)=0.348 **K factor**= 3.188** TL(2)= 5.718 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW396		
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	150	5.011
9/30/2002	16	2.773
10/16/2002	10	2.303
1/13/2003	10	2.303
4/8/2003	10	2.303
7/16/2003	10	2.303
10/14/2003	11	2.398
4/12/2004	10	2.303

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	Yes	2.43	N/A	0.888	NO
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	2.22	N/A	0.798	NO
MW393	Downgradient	No	5	N/A	1.609	N/A
MW396	Upgradient	No	5	N/A	1.609	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, $CV = S/X$ If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, $S = \sqrt{[\text{Sum} \{[(\text{background result}-X)^2]/[\text{count of background results} - 1]\}}^{0.5}$

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, $TL = X + (K * S)$, LL Lower Tolerance Limit, $LL = X - (K * S)$

X Mean, $X = (\text{sum of background results})/(\text{count of background results})$

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-3

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Aluminum

UNITS: mg/L

UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	X= 0.320	S= 0.182	CV(1)=0.567	K factor***= 3.188	TL(1)= 0.900	LL(1)=N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	X= -1.259	S= 0.503	CV(2)=-0.400	K factor***= 3.188	TL(2)= 0.345	LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW396

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.393	-0.934
9/16/2002	0.2	-1.609
10/16/2002	0.2	-1.609
1/13/2003	0.501	-0.691
4/8/2003	0.2	-1.609
7/16/2003	0.2	-1.609
10/14/2003	0.2	-1.609
1/14/2004	0.668	-0.403

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	No	0.05	N/A	-2.996	N/A
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	0.0307	NO	-3.483	N/A
MW393	Downgradient	Yes	0.0344	NO	-3.370	N/A
MW396	Upgradient	No	0.05	N/A	-2.996	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

- CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.
- S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results - 1]]^0.5
- TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)
- X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

*** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-4

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Beta activity

UNITS: pCi/L

UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	X= 4.298	S= 5.012	CV(1)=1.166	K factor***= 3.188	TL(1)= 20.277	LL(1)=N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	X= 1.294	S= 0.988	CV(2)=0.764	K factor***= 3.188	TL(2)= 2.632	LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW396		
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	2.2	0.788
9/16/2002	0.727	-0.319
10/16/2002	7.28	1.985
1/13/2003	6.97	1.942
4/8/2003	13.9	2.632
7/16/2003	2.08	0.732
10/14/2003	-2.42	#Func!
1/14/2004	3.65	1.295

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

#Because the natural log was not possible for all background values, the TL was considered equal to the maximum background value.

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	No	2.51	N/A	0.920	N/A
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	55.1	N/A	4.009	YES
MW393	Downgradient	No	3.42	N/A	1.230	N/A
MW396	Upgradient	No	1.56	N/A	0.445	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW390

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, $CV = S/X$ If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, $S = \sqrt{[\text{Sum} \{[(\text{background result}-X)^2]/[\text{count of background results} - 1]\}} \cdot 0.5$

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, $TL = X + (K * S)$, LL Lower Tolerance Limit, $LL = X - (K * S)$

X Mean, $X = (\text{sum of background results})/(\text{count of background results})$

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009.

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Boron

UNITS: mg/L

UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 0.650 S= 0.833 CV(1)=1.282 K factor***= 3.188 TL(1)= 3.306 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= -1.034 S= 1.066 CV(2)=-1.031 K factor***= 3.188 TL(2)= 2.364 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW396	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	2	0.693
9/16/2002	2	0.693
10/16/2002	0.2	-1.609
1/13/2003	0.2	-1.609
4/8/2003	0.2	-1.609
7/16/2003	0.2	-1.609
10/14/2003	0.2	-1.609
1/14/2004	0.2	-1.609

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	Yes	0.0161	N/A	-4.129	NO
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	0.0182	N/A	-4.006	NO
MW393	Downgradient	Yes	0.0168	N/A	-4.086	NO
MW396	Upgradient	Yes	0.00553	N/A	-5.198	NO

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, $CV = S/X$ If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, $S = [\text{Sum}([(background\ result-X)^2]/[\text{count of background results} - 1])]^{0.5}$

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, $TL = X + (K * S)$, LL Lower Tolerance Limit, $LL = X - (K * S)$

X Mean, $X = (\text{sum of background results})/(\text{count of background results})$

*** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-6

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Bromide

UNITS: mg/L

UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	X = 1.388	S = 0.327	CV(1) =0.236	K factor **= 3.188	TL(1) = 2.430	LL(1) =N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	X = 0.301	S = 0.252	CV(2) =0.838	K factor **= 3.188	TL(2) = 1.105	LL(2) =N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW396

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	1.5	0.405
9/16/2002	1.6	0.470
10/16/2002	1.6	0.470
1/13/2003	1	0.000
4/8/2003	1	0.000
7/16/2003	1	0.000
10/14/2003	1.7	0.531
1/14/2004	1.7	0.531

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	Yes	0.141	NO	-1.959	N/A
MW390	Downgradient	No	0.2	N/A	-1.609	N/A
MW393	Downgradient	Yes	0.181	NO	-1.709	N/A
MW396	Upgradient	Yes	0.953	NO	-0.048	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, $CV = S/X$ If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, $S = [\text{Sum}([(background\ result - X)^2]/[\text{count of background results} - 1])]^{0.5}$

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, $TL = X + (K * S)$, LL Lower Tolerance Limit, $LL = X - (K * S)$

X Mean, $X = (\text{sum of background results})/(\text{count of background results})$

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-7

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Calcium

UNITS: mg/L

UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 41.825 S= 8.445 CV(1)=0.202 K factor***= 3.188 TL(1)= 68.748 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 3.711 S= 0.241 CV(2)=0.065 K factor***= 3.188 TL(2)= 4.479 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW396

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	38.4	3.648
9/16/2002	42.9	3.759
10/16/2002	40.2	3.694
1/13/2003	46.7	3.844
4/8/2003	49.8	3.908
7/16/2003	43.3	3.768
10/14/2003	49.7	3.906
1/14/2004	23.6	3.161

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	Yes	21.4	NO	3.063	N/A
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	28.8	NO	3.360	N/A
MW393	Downgradient	Yes	14.9	NO	2.701	N/A
MW396	Upgradient	Yes	32.1	NO	3.469	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results - 1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

*** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-8

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Chloride

UNITS: mg/L

UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 101.725 S= 5.245 CV(1)=0.052 **K factor****= 3.188 TL(1)= 118.447 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 4.621 S= 0.053 CV(2)=0.011 **K factor****= 3.188 TL(2)= 4.789 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW396	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	91.6	4.517
9/16/2002	98.3	4.588
10/16/2002	101.4	4.619
1/13/2003	108.3	4.685
4/8/2003	100.5	4.610
7/16/2003	102.5	4.630
10/14/2003	106.8	4.671
1/14/2004	104.4	4.648

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	Yes	10.8	NO	2.380	N/A
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	30.9	NO	3.431	N/A
MW393	Downgradient	Yes	10.7	NO	2.370	N/A
MW396	Upgradient	Yes	56.2	NO	4.029	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, $CV = S/X$ If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, $S = [\text{Sum}([(background\ result-X)^2]/[\text{count of background results} - 1])]^{0.5}$

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, $TL = X + (K * S)$, LL Lower Tolerance Limit, $LL = X - (K * S)$

X Mean, $X = (\text{sum of background results})/(\text{count of background results})$

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-10

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison
Copper **UNITS: mg/L** **UCRS**

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	X= 0.028	S= 0.014	CV(1)=0.481	K factor***= 3.188	TL(1)= 0.072	LL(1)=N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	X= -3.650	S= 0.414	CV(2)=-0.113	K factor***= 3.188	TL(2)= -2.331	LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW396	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.05	-2.996
9/16/2002	0.05	-2.996
10/16/2002	0.026	-3.650
1/13/2003	0.02	-3.912
4/8/2003	0.02	-3.912
7/16/2003	0.02	-3.912
10/14/2003	0.02	-3.912
1/14/2004	0.02	-3.912

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	Yes	0.00133	NO	-6.623	N/A
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	0.00217	NO	-6.133	N/A
MW393	Downgradient	Yes	0.00138	NO	-6.586	N/A
MW396	Upgradient	Yes	0.000867	NO	-7.050	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, $CV = S/X$ If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, $S = [\text{Sum}([(background\ result - X)^2]/[\text{count of background results} - 1])]^{0.5}$

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, $TL = X + (K * S)$, LL Lower Tolerance Limit, $LL = X - (K * S)$

X Mean, $X = (\text{sum of background results})/(\text{count of background results})$

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-12

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Dissolved Oxygen

UNITS: mg/L

UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 1.395 S= 1.677 CV(1)=1.202 K factor***= 3.188 TL(1)= 6.743 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= -0.043 S= 0.814 CV(2)=-18.867 K factor***= 3.188 TL(2)= 2.553 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW396	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	5.45	1.696
9/16/2002	0.4	-0.916
10/16/2002	0.54	-0.616
1/13/2003	0.72	-0.329
4/8/2003	0.69	-0.371
7/16/2003	1.1	0.095
10/14/2003	0.71	-0.342
1/14/2004	1.55	0.438

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	Yes	3.03	N/A	1.109	NO
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	5.82	N/A	1.761	NO
MW393	Downgradient	Yes	2.37	N/A	0.863	NO
MW396	Upgradient	Yes	1.92	N/A	0.652	NO

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, $CV = S/X$ If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, $S = [\text{Sum}([(background\ result-X)^2]/[\text{count of background results} - 1])]^{0.5}$

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, $TL = X + (K * S)$, LL Lower Tolerance Limit, $LL = X - (K * S)$

X Mean, $X = (\text{sum of background results})/(\text{count of background results})$

*** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-13

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Dissolved Solids

UNITS: mg/L

UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 550.375 S= 104.330 CV(1)=0.190 **K factor****= 3.188 TL(1)= 882.980 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 6.298 S= 0.162 CV(2)=0.026 **K factor****= 3.188 TL(2)= 6.815 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW396	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	502	6.219
9/16/2002	506	6.227
10/16/2002	543	6.297
1/13/2003	521	6.256
4/8/2003	504	6.223
7/16/2003	532	6.277
10/14/2003	490	6.194
1/14/2004	805	6.691

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	Yes	384	NO	5.951	N/A
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	373	NO	5.922	N/A
MW393	Downgradient	Yes	280	NO	5.635	N/A
MW396	Upgradient	Yes	411	NO	6.019	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, $CV = S/X$ If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, $S = [\text{Sum}([(background\ result-X)^2]/[\text{count of background results} - 1])]^{0.5}$

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, $TL = X + (K * S)$, LL Lower Tolerance Limit, $LL = X - (K * S)$

X Mean, $X = (\text{sum of background results})/(\text{count of background results})$

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-14

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Magnesium

UNITS: mg/L

UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 16.876 S= 3.313 CV(1)=0.196 **K factor***= 3.188** TL(1)= 27.438 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 2.804 S= 0.240 CV(2)=0.086 **K factor***= 3.188** TL(2)= 3.569 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW396	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	15.5	2.741
9/16/2002	17.3	2.851
10/16/2002	17.8	2.879
1/13/2003	19.2	2.955
4/8/2003	17.8	2.879
7/16/2003	17.8	2.879
10/14/2003	20.2	3.006
1/14/2004	9.41	2.242

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	Yes	9.19	NO	2.218	N/A
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	12.5	NO	2.526	N/A
MW393	Downgradient	Yes	4.04	NO	1.396	N/A
MW396	Upgradient	Yes	14.2	NO	2.653	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results - 1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-16

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Manganese

UNITS: mg/L

UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 0.774 S= 0.353 CV(1)=0.456 **K factor**= 3.188** TL(1)= 1.900 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= -0.566 S= 1.192 CV(2)=-2.105 **K factor**= 3.188** TL(2)= 3.235 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW396		
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.57	-0.562
9/16/2002	0.647	-0.435
10/16/2002	0.88	-0.128
1/13/2003	1.132	0.124
4/8/2003	0.965	-0.036
7/16/2003	0.983	-0.017
10/14/2003	0.984	-0.016
1/14/2004	0.0314	-3.461

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	Yes	0.0246	NO	-3.705	N/A
MW390	Downgradient	No	0.005	N/A	-5.298	N/A
MW393	Downgradient	Yes	0.00704	NO	-4.956	N/A
MW396	Upgradient	Yes	0.0219	NO	-3.821	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum (((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results - 1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of *Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance*, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-17

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Methylene chloride

UNITS: UG/L

UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 5.625 S= 3.159 CV(1)=0.562 **K factor***= 3.188** TL(1)= 15.697 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 1.614 S= 0.500 CV(2)=0.310 **K factor***= 3.188** TL(2)= 3.209 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW396

Well No. Gradient
MW389 Downgradient

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	13	2.565
9/30/2002	2	0.693
10/16/2002	5	1.609
1/13/2003	5	1.609
4/8/2003	5	1.609
7/16/2003	5	1.609
10/14/2003	5	1.609
1/14/2004	5	1.609

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	No	5	N/A	1.609	N/A
MW390	Downgradient	No	5	N/A	1.609	N/A
MW393	Downgradient	Yes	0.72	NO	-0.329	N/A
MW396	Upgradient	Yes	0.76	NO	-0.274	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results - 1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-18

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Nickel

UNITS: mg/L

UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 0.016 S= 0.021 CV(1)= 1.272 **K factor**= 3.188** TL(1)= 0.083 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= -4.706 S= 1.057 CV(2)=-0.225 **K factor**= 3.188** TL(2)= -1.338 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW396	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.05	-2.996
9/16/2002	0.05	-2.996
10/16/2002	0.005	-5.298
1/13/2003	0.005	-5.298
4/8/2003	0.00571	-5.166
7/16/2003	0.005	-5.298
10/14/2003	0.005	-5.298
1/14/2004	0.005	-5.298

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	Yes	0.000795	N/A	-7.137	NO
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	0.00154	N/A	-6.476	NO
MW393	Downgradient	Yes	0.000659	N/A	-7.325	NO
MW396	Upgradient	Yes	0.000646	N/A	-7.345	NO

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, $CV = S/X$ If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, $S = [\text{Sum}([(background\ result-X)^2]/[\text{count of background results} - 1])]^{0.5}$

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, $TL = X + (K * S)$, LL Lower Tolerance Limit, $LL = X - (K * S)$

X Mean, $X = (\text{sum of background results})/(\text{count of background results})$

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-19

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Oxidation-Reduction Potential

UNITS: mV

UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 13.000 S= 61.952 CV(1)=4.766 **K factor**= 3.188** TL(1)= 210.502 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 4.364 S= 0.333 CV(2)=0.076 **K factor**= 3.188** TL(2)= 4.736 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW396		
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	60	4.094
4/8/2003	71	4.263
7/16/2003	-56	#Func!
10/14/2003	-54	#Func!
1/14/2004	-22	#Func!
4/12/2004	-6	#Func!
7/20/2004	-3	#Func!
10/12/2004	114	4.736

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

#Because the natural log was not possible for all background values, the TL was considered equal to the maximum background value.

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	Yes	381	N/A	5.943	YES
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	493	N/A	6.201	YES
MW393	Downgradient	Yes	360	N/A	5.886	YES
MW396	Upgradient	Yes	336	N/A	5.817	YES

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

- MW386
- MW390
- MW393
- MW396

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, $CV = S/X$ If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, $S = [\text{Sum}([(background\ result - X)^2]/[\text{count of background results} - 1])]^{0.5}$

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, $TL = X + (K * S)$, LL Lower Tolerance Limit, $LL = X - (K * S)$

X Mean, $X = (\text{sum of background results})/(\text{count of background results})$

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of *Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance*, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-20

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

pH

UNITS: Std Unit

UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 6.460 S= 0.350 CV(1)=0.054 **K factor**= 3.736** TL(1)= 7.766 LL(1)=5.1541

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 1.864 S= 0.054 CV(2)=0.029 **K factor**= 3.736** TL(2)= 2.067 LL(2)=1.6621

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW396

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	6.17	1.820
9/16/2002	6.4	1.856
10/16/2002	5.9	1.775
1/13/2003	6.4	1.856
4/8/2003	6.65	1.895
7/16/2003	6.4	1.856
10/14/2003	6.71	1.904
1/14/2004	7.05	1.953

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)? Result <LL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)? LN(Result) <LL(2)?
MW386	Sidegradient	Yes	6.93	NO	1.936	N/A
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	6.28	NO	1.837	N/A
MW393	Downgradient	Yes	6.3	NO	1.841	N/A
MW396	Upgradient	Yes	6.42	NO	1.859	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum (((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results - 1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-21

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Potassium

UNITS: mg/L

UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 1.411 S= 0.399 CV(1)=0.282 K factor***= 3.188 TL(1)= 2.682 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 0.311 S= 0.271 CV(2)=0.870 K factor***= 3.188 TL(2)= 1.175 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW396

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	2	0.693
9/16/2002	2	0.693
10/16/2002	0.978	-0.022
1/13/2003	1.08	0.077
4/8/2003	1.12	0.113
7/16/2003	1.38	0.322
10/14/2003	1.24	0.215
1/14/2004	1.49	0.399

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	Yes	0.278	NO	-1.280	N/A
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	0.344	NO	-1.067	N/A
MW393	Downgradient	Yes	0.465	NO	-0.766	N/A
MW396	Upgradient	Yes	0.859	NO	-0.152	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, $CV = S/X$ If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, $S = [\text{Sum}([(background\ result-X)^2]/[\text{count of background results} - 1])]^{0.5}$

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, $TL = X + (K * S)$, LL Lower Tolerance Limit, $LL = X - (K * S)$

X Mean, $X = (\text{sum of background results})/(\text{count of background results})$

*** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-22

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Sulfate

UNITS: mg/L

UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 22.463 S= 8.876 CV(1)=0.395 K factor***= 3.188 TL(1)= 50.759 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 3.054 S= 0.351 CV(2)=0.115 K factor***= 3.188 TL(2)= 4.173 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW396	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	41.9	3.735
9/16/2002	26.3	3.270
10/16/2002	20.6	3.025
1/13/2003	16.6	2.809
4/8/2003	23.9	3.174
7/16/2003	18.8	2.934
10/14/2003	12.9	2.557
1/14/2004	18.7	2.929

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	Yes	36	NO	3.584	N/A
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	46.1	NO	3.831	N/A
MW393	Downgradient	Yes	20.7	NO	3.030	N/A
MW396	Upgradient	Yes	28.6	NO	3.353	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, $CV = S/X$ If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, $S = [\text{Sum}([(background\ result-X)^2]/[\text{count of background results} - 1])]^{0.5}$

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, $TL = X + (K * S)$, LL Lower Tolerance Limit, $LL = X - (K * S)$

X Mean, $X = (\text{sum of background results})/(\text{count of background results})$

*** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-24

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Technetium-99

UNITS: pCi/L

UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 7.624 S= 6.558 CV(1)=0.860 K factor***= 3.188 TL(1)= 28.531 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 1.498 S= 1.321 CV(2)=0.882 K factor***= 3.188 TL(2)= 5.710 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW396	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	16.7	2.815
9/16/2002	6.39	1.855
10/16/2002	4.55	1.515
1/13/2003	16.5	2.803
4/8/2003	3.04	1.112
7/16/2003	0.354	-1.038
10/14/2003	11.9	2.477
1/14/2004	1.56	0.445

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	No	13.3	N/A	2.588	N/A
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	60.9	YES	4.109	N/A
MW393	Downgradient	No	2	N/A	0.693	N/A
MW396	Upgradient	No	11.8	N/A	2.468	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW390

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results - 1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-25

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Total Organic Carbon (TOC)

UNITS: mg/L

UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	X= 9.988	S= 4.696	CV(1)=0.470	K factor***= 3.188	TL(1)= 24.959	LL(1)=N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	X= 2.210	S= 0.454	CV(2)=0.205	K factor***= 3.188	TL(2)= 3.657	LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW396	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	19	2.944
9/16/2002	14.6	2.681
10/16/2002	10.4	2.342
1/13/2003	4.4	1.482
4/8/2003	7	1.946
7/16/2003	7.3	1.988
10/14/2003	9.1	2.208
1/14/2004	8.1	2.092

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	Yes	5.51	NO	1.707	N/A
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	2.4	NO	0.875	N/A
MW393	Downgradient	Yes	2.48	NO	0.908	N/A
MW396	Upgradient	Yes	4.36	NO	1.472	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, $CV = S/X$ If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, $S = [\text{Sum}([(background\ result-X)^2]/[\text{count of background results} - 1])]^{0.5}$

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, $TL = X + (K * S)$, LL Lower Tolerance Limit, $LL = X - (K * S)$

X Mean, $X = (\text{sum of background results})/(\text{count of background results})$

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-26

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Total Organic Halides (TOX)

UNITS: ug/L

UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 142.650 S= 53.533 CV(1)=0.375 **K factor**= 3.188** TL(1)= 313.314 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 4.896 S= 0.390 CV(2)=0.080 **K factor**= 3.188** TL(2)= 6.138 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW396

Well No. Gradient
MW389 Downgradient

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	193	5.263
9/16/2002	190	5.247
10/16/2002	221	5.398
1/13/2003	106	4.663
4/8/2003	77.8	4.354
7/16/2003	122	4.804
10/14/2003	86.4	4.459
1/14/2004	145	4.977

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	Yes	176	NO	5.170	N/A
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	21.4	NO	3.063	N/A
MW393	Downgradient	Yes	15	NO	2.708	N/A
MW396	Upgradient	Yes	55.9	NO	4.024	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results - 1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-27

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Zinc

UNITS: mg/L

UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 0.044 S= 0.035 CV(1)=0.786 **K factor**= 3.188** TL(1)= 0.156 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= -3.342 S= 0.682 CV(2)=-0.204 **K factor**= 3.188** TL(2)= -1.168 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW396	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.1	-2.303
9/16/2002	0.1	-2.303
10/16/2002	0.025	-3.689
1/13/2003	0.035	-3.352
4/8/2003	0.035	-3.352
7/16/2003	0.02	-3.912
10/14/2003	0.02	-3.912
1/14/2004	0.02	-3.912

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	No	0.02	N/A	-3.912	N/A
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	0.00552	NO	-5.199	N/A
MW393	Downgradient	Yes	0.00362	NO	-5.621	N/A
MW396	Upgradient	No	0.02	N/A	-3.912	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum (((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results - 1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-28

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Acetone

UNITS: ug/L

URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 10.250 S= 1.000 CV(1)=0.098 **K factor***= 2.523** TL(1)= 12.773 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 2.324 S= 0.084 CV(2)=0.036 **K factor***= 2.523** TL(2)= 2.536 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	10	2.303
1/15/2003	10	2.303
4/10/2003	10	2.303
7/14/2003	10	2.303
10/13/2003	10	2.303
4/13/2004	10	2.303
7/21/2004	10	2.303
10/11/2004	10	2.303

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	No	5	N/A	1.609	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	No	5	N/A	1.609	N/A
MW222	Sidegradient	No	5	N/A	1.609	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	No	5	N/A	1.609	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	No	5	N/A	1.609	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	No	5	N/A	1.609	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	No	5	N/A	1.609	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	No	5	N/A	1.609	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	2.58	NO	0.948	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	No	5	N/A	1.609	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	No	5	N/A	1.609	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	10	2.303
9/30/2002	10	2.303
10/16/2002	10	2.303
1/13/2003	10	2.303
4/10/2003	10	2.303
7/16/2003	10	2.303
10/14/2003	14	2.639
4/12/2004	10	2.303

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results - 1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

*** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-29

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Aluminum

UNITS: mg/L

URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 0.221 S= 0.061 CV(1)=0.277 K factor***= 2.523 TL(1)= 0.376 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= -1.534 S= 0.212 CV(2)=-0.138 K factor***= 2.523 TL(2)= -0.999 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	0.2	-1.609
1/15/2003	0.2	-1.609
4/10/2003	0.2	-1.609
7/14/2003	0.2	-1.609
10/13/2003	0.427	-0.851
1/13/2004	0.309	-1.174
4/13/2004	0.2	-1.609
7/21/2004	0.202	-1.599

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	No	0.05	N/A	-2.996	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	No	0.05	N/A	-2.996	N/A
MW222	Sidegradient	No	0.05	N/A	-2.996	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	No	0.05	N/A	-2.996	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	No	0.05	N/A	-2.996	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	0.0231	NO	-3.768	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	No	0.05	N/A	-2.996	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	No	0.05	N/A	-2.996	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	No	0.05	N/A	-2.996	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	No	0.05	N/A	-2.996	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	No	0.05	N/A	-2.996	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.2	-1.609
9/16/2002	0.2	-1.609
10/16/2002	0.2	-1.609
1/13/2003	0.2	-1.609
4/10/2003	0.2	-1.609
7/16/2003	0.2	-1.609
10/14/2003	0.2	-1.609
1/13/2004	0.2	-1.609

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results - 1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

*** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-30

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Beta activity

UNITS: pCi/L

URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 14.273 S= 13.883 CV(1)=0.973 **K factor***= 2.523** TL(1)= 49.300 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 2.213 S= 1.033 CV(2)=0.467 **K factor***= 2.523** TL(2)= 4.819 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	15.2	2.721
1/15/2003	42.5	3.750
4/10/2003	45.4	3.816
7/14/2003	8.53	2.144
10/13/2003	11.7	2.460
1/13/2004	13.5	2.603
4/13/2004	33.5	3.512
7/21/2004	13.7	2.617

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	13.2	N/A	2.580	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	No	6.4	N/A	1.856	N/A
MW222	Sidegradient	No	2.49	N/A	0.912	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	Yes	12.2	N/A	2.501	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	No	4.65	N/A	1.537	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	30.6	N/A	3.421	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	39.7	N/A	3.681	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	20.2	N/A	3.006	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	193	YES	5.263	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	No	7.83	N/A	2.058	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	No	8.47	N/A	2.137	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	5.03	1.615
9/16/2002	5.57	1.717
10/16/2002	12.8	2.549
1/13/2003	4.3	1.459
4/10/2003	9.52	2.253
7/16/2003	3.92	1.366
10/14/2003	1.06	0.058
1/13/2004	2.14	0.761

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW387

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results - 1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-31

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Boron

UNITS: mg/L

URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 0.425 S= 0.615 CV(1)= 1.447 K factor***= 2.523 TL(1)= 1.976 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= -1.322 S= 0.786 CV(2)= -0.595 K factor***= 2.523 TL(2)= 0.663 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	0.2	-1.609
1/15/2003	0.2	-1.609
4/10/2003	0.2	-1.609
7/14/2003	0.2	-1.609
10/13/2003	0.2	-1.609
1/13/2004	0.2	-1.609
4/13/2004	0.2	-1.609
7/21/2004	0.2	-1.609

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	2	0.693
9/16/2002	2	0.693
10/16/2002	0.2	-1.609
1/13/2003	0.2	-1.609
4/10/2003	0.2	-1.609
7/16/2003	0.2	-1.609
10/14/2003	0.2	-1.609
1/13/2004	0.2	-1.609

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	0.00637	N/A	-5.056	NO
MW221	Sidegradient	Yes	0.022	N/A	-3.817	NO
MW222	Sidegradient	Yes	0.0102	N/A	-4.585	NO
MW223	Sidegradient	Yes	0.00968	N/A	-4.638	NO
MW224	Sidegradient	Yes	0.0384	N/A	-3.260	NO
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	0.0393	N/A	-3.237	NO
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	1.25	N/A	0.223	NO
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	0.037	N/A	-3.297	NO
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	0.0232	N/A	-3.764	NO
MW391	Downgradient	Yes	0.024	N/A	-3.730	NO
MW394	Upgradient	Yes	0.0194	N/A	-3.942	NO

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results - 1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

*** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-32

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Bromide

UNITS: mg/L

URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 1.000 S= 0.000 CV(1)=0.000 K factor***= 2.523 TL(1)= 1.000 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 0.000 S= 0.000 CV(2)=#Num! K factor***= 2.523 TL(2)= 0.000 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	1	0.000
1/15/2003	1	0.000
4/10/2003	1	0.000
7/14/2003	1	0.000
10/13/2003	1	0.000
1/13/2004	1	0.000
4/13/2004	1	0.000
7/21/2004	1	0.000

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	0.236	NO	-1.444	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	Yes	0.614	NO	-0.488	N/A
MW222	Sidegradient	Yes	0.414	NO	-0.882	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	Yes	0.496	NO	-0.701	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	Yes	0.438	NO	-0.826	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	0.381	NO	-0.965	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	0.507	NO	-0.679	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	0.267	NO	-1.321	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	0.625	NO	-0.470	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	Yes	0.58	NO	-0.545	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	Yes	0.802	NO	-0.221	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	1	0.000
9/16/2002	1	0.000
10/16/2002	1	0.000
1/13/2003	1	0.000
4/10/2003	1	0.000
7/16/2003	1	0.000
10/14/2003	1	0.000
1/13/2004	1	0.000

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results - 1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-33

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Calcium

UNITS: mg/L

URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 27.638 S= 4.743 CV(1)=0.172 K factor***= 2.523 TL(1)= 39.604 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 3.304 S= 0.183 CV(2)=0.055 K factor***= 2.523 TL(2)= 3.765 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	23.6	3.161
1/15/2003	25.9	3.254
4/10/2003	30.4	3.414
7/14/2003	33.9	3.523
10/13/2003	21.3	3.059
1/13/2004	20.3	3.011
4/13/2004	23.8	3.170
7/21/2004	19	2.944

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	29.1	NO	3.371	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	Yes	23.3	NO	3.148	N/A
MW222	Sidegradient	Yes	21.1	NO	3.049	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	Yes	22.7	NO	3.122	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	Yes	24.9	NO	3.215	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	16.5	NO	2.803	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	61.1	YES	4.113	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	23.2	NO	3.144	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	42.1	YES	3.740	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	Yes	24.9	NO	3.215	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	Yes	28.2	NO	3.339	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	29.5	3.384
9/16/2002	29.9	3.398
10/16/2002	31.2	3.440
1/13/2003	30.7	3.424
4/10/2003	34.4	3.538
7/16/2003	29.6	3.388
10/14/2003	30.3	3.411
1/13/2004	28.4	3.346

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW372
MW387

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results - 1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-34

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Chloride

UNITS: mg/L

URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 49.044 S= 11.278 CV(1)=0.230 K factor***= 2.523 TL(1)= 77.499 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 3.866 S= 0.244 CV(2)=0.063 K factor***= 2.523 TL(2)= 4.482 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	44.6	3.798
1/15/2003	43.2	3.766
4/10/2003	31.5	3.450
7/14/2003	30.8	3.428
10/13/2003	40.9	3.711
1/13/2004	40.8	3.709
4/13/2004	37.5	3.624
7/21/2004	40.8	3.709

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	No	18.4	N/A	2.912	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	No	36.4	N/A	3.595	N/A
MW222	Sidegradient	No	29.8	N/A	3.395	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	No	36	N/A	3.584	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	No	29.4	N/A	3.381	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	No	30.5	N/A	3.418	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	No	38	N/A	3.638	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	23.7	NO	3.165	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	43.5	NO	3.773	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	Yes	38.9	NO	3.661	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	Yes	50.3	NO	3.918	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	60.4	4.101
9/16/2002	60.3	4.099
10/16/2002	58	4.060
1/13/2003	60.7	4.106
4/10/2003	62.9	4.142
7/16/2003	58.1	4.062
10/14/2003	58.2	4.064
1/13/2004	56	4.025

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results - 1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

*** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-36

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Cobalt

UNITS: mg/L

URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 0.016 S= 0.040 CV(1)=2.440 K factor***= 2.523 TL(1)= 0.116 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= -5.582 S= 1.573 CV(2)=-0.282 K factor***= 2.523 TL(2)= -1.613 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	0.0041	-5.497
1/15/2003	0.00496	-5.306
4/10/2003	0.00289	-5.846
7/14/2003	0.161	-1.826
10/13/2003	0.0226	-3.790
1/13/2004	0.00464	-5.373
4/13/2004	0.001	-6.908
7/21/2004	0.00264	-5.937

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.025	-3.689
9/16/2002	0.025	-3.689
10/16/2002	0.001	-6.908
1/13/2003	0.001	-6.908
4/10/2003	0.001	-6.908
7/16/2003	0.001	-6.908
10/14/2003	0.001	-6.908
1/13/2004	0.001	-6.908

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	No	0.001	N/A	-6.908	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	Yes	0.000349	N/A	-7.960	NO
MW222	Sidegradient	No	0.001	N/A	-6.908	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	No	0.001	N/A	-6.908	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	No	0.001	N/A	-6.908	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	0.00565	N/A	-5.176	NO
MW372	Downgradient	No	0.001	N/A	-6.908	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	No	0.001	N/A	-6.908	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	No	0.001	N/A	-6.908	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	No	0.001	N/A	-6.908	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	No	0.001	N/A	-6.908	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results - 1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of *Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance*, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-37

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Conductivity

UNITS: umho/cm

URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 382.132 S= 107.134 CV(1)=0.280 **K factor***= 2.523** TL(1)= 652.432 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 5.716 S= 1.164 CV(2)=0.204 **K factor***= 2.523** TL(2)= 8.652 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	368	5.908
1/15/2003	433.2	6.071
4/10/2003	489	6.192
7/14/2003	430	6.064
10/13/2003	346	5.846
1/13/2004	365	5.900
4/13/2004	416	6.031
7/21/2004	353	5.866

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	436	NO	6.078	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	Yes	421	NO	6.043	N/A
MW222	Sidegradient	Yes	386	NO	5.956	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	Yes	407	NO	6.009	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	Yes	430	NO	6.064	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	378	NO	5.935	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	738	YES	6.604	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	381	NO	5.943	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	593	NO	6.385	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	Yes	400	NO	5.991	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	Yes	413	NO	6.023	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	406	6.006
9/16/2002	418	6.035
10/16/2002	411	6.019
1/13/2003	422	6.045
4/10/2003	420	6.040
7/16/2003	438	6.082
10/14/2003	3.91	1.364
1/13/2004	395	5.979

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW372

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results - 1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

*** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-38

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Copper

UNITS: mg/L

URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	X= 0.024	S= 0.010	CV(1)=0.429	K factor***= 2.523	TL(1)= 0.050	LL(1)=N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	X= -3.794	S= 0.312	CV(2)=-0.082	K factor***= 2.523	TL(2)= -3.007	LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	0.0211	-3.858
1/15/2003	0.02	-3.912
4/10/2003	0.02	-3.912
7/14/2003	0.02	-3.912
10/13/2003	0.02	-3.912
1/13/2004	0.02	-3.912
4/13/2004	0.02	-3.912
7/21/2004	0.02	-3.912

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	0.00202	NO	-6.205	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	Yes	0.0018	NO	-6.320	N/A
MW222	Sidegradient	Yes	0.00132	NO	-6.630	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	Yes	0.00145	NO	-6.536	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	Yes	0.00205	NO	-6.190	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	0.00216	NO	-6.138	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	0.001	NO	-6.908	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	0.00106	NO	-6.849	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	0.0019	NO	-6.266	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	Yes	0.00108	NO	-6.831	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	Yes	0.00152	NO	-6.489	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results - 1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-39

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Dissolved Oxygen

UNITS: mg/L

URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 3.784 S= 1.887 CV(1)=0.499 **K factor***= 2.523** TL(1)= 8.545 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 1.182 S= 0.612 CV(2)=0.518 **K factor***= 2.523** TL(2)= 2.727 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	6.79	1.915
1/15/2003	7.25	1.981
4/10/2003	3.6	1.281
7/14/2003	0.94	-0.062
10/13/2003	1.65	0.501
1/13/2004	3.48	1.247
4/13/2004	1.05	0.049
7/21/2004	4.46	1.495

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	4.84	NO	1.577	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	Yes	5.57	NO	1.717	N/A
MW222	Sidegradient	Yes	4.37	NO	1.475	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	Yes	5.25	NO	1.658	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	Yes	4.5	NO	1.504	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	1.83	NO	0.604	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	2.8	NO	1.030	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	5.13	NO	1.635	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	2.93	NO	1.075	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	Yes	4.79	NO	1.567	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	Yes	5.49	NO	1.703	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	6.09	1.807
9/16/2002	3.85	1.348
10/16/2002	5.11	1.631
1/13/2003	3.83	1.343
4/10/2003	4.15	1.423
7/16/2003	1.83	0.604
10/14/2003	3.33	1.203
1/13/2004	3.14	1.144

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results - 1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-40

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Dissolved Solids

UNITS: mg/L

URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 232.688 S= 27.490 CV(1)=0.118 **K factor***= 2.523** TL(1)= 302.045 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 5.443 S= 0.118 CV(2)=0.022 **K factor***= 2.523** TL(2)= 5.740 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	208	5.338
1/15/2003	257	5.549
4/10/2003	288	5.663
7/14/2003	262	5.568
10/13/2003	197	5.283
1/13/2004	198	5.288
4/13/2004	245	5.501
7/21/2004	204	5.318

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	236	NO	5.464	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	Yes	229	NO	5.434	N/A
MW222	Sidegradient	Yes	214	NO	5.366	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	Yes	214	NO	5.366	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	Yes	229	NO	5.434	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	234	NO	5.455	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	457	YES	6.125	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	197	NO	5.283	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	320	YES	5.768	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	Yes	203	NO	5.313	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	Yes	243	NO	5.493	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	247	5.509
9/16/2002	259	5.557
10/16/2002	201	5.303
1/13/2003	228	5.429
4/10/2003	249	5.517
7/16/2003	240	5.481
10/14/2003	230	5.438
1/13/2004	210	5.347

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW372
MW387

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results - 1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of *Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance*, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-41

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Iron

UNITS: mg/L

URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 0.897 S= 1.050 CV(1)=1.170 K factor***= 2.523 TL(1)= 3.545 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= -0.565 S= 0.951 CV(2)=-1.683 K factor***= 2.523 TL(2)= 1.834 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	0.2	-1.609
1/15/2003	0.2	-1.609
4/10/2003	0.429	-0.846
7/14/2003	4.33	1.466
10/13/2003	1.81	0.593
1/13/2004	0.793	-0.232
4/13/2004	0.13	-2.040
7/21/2004	0.382	-0.962

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	No	0.1	N/A	-2.303	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	No	0.1	N/A	-2.303	N/A
MW222	Sidegradient	No	0.1	N/A	-2.303	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	Yes	0.0528	N/A	-2.941	NO
MW224	Sidegradient	Yes	0.373	N/A	-0.986	NO
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	0.125	N/A	-2.079	NO
MW372	Downgradient	No	0.1	N/A	-2.303	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	0.0615	N/A	-2.789	NO
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	0.0601	N/A	-2.812	NO
MW391	Downgradient	No	0.1	N/A	-2.303	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	Yes	0.0603	N/A	-2.808	NO

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results - 1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

*** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-42

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Magnesium

UNITS: mg/L

URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 10.796 S= 1.703 CV(1)=0.158 K factor***= 2.523 TL(1)= 15.092 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 2.368 S= 0.158 CV(2)=0.067 K factor***= 2.523 TL(2)= 2.766 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	9.16	2.215
1/15/2003	10	2.303
4/10/2003	10.8	2.380
7/14/2003	14.7	2.688
10/13/2003	9.03	2.201
1/13/2004	8.49	2.139
4/13/2004	9.7	2.272
7/21/2004	8.06	2.087

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	12.1	NO	2.493	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	Yes	9.96	NO	2.299	N/A
MW222	Sidegradient	Yes	9.29	NO	2.229	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	Yes	9.62	NO	2.264	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	Yes	10.7	NO	2.370	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	6.89	NO	1.930	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	22	YES	3.091	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	9.93	NO	2.296	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	17.2	YES	2.845	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	Yes	10.4	NO	2.342	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	Yes	11.8	NO	2.468	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	11.8	2.468
9/16/2002	12.1	2.493
10/16/2002	11.3	2.425
1/13/2003	10.3	2.332
4/10/2003	11.7	2.460
7/16/2003	12	2.485
10/14/2003	12.2	2.501
1/13/2004	11.4	2.434

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW372
MW387

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results - 1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-43

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Manganese

UNITS: mg/L

URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 0.287 S= 0.619 CV(1)=2.156 K factor***= 2.523 TL(1)= 1.848 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= -2.455 S= 1.619 CV(2)=-0.659 K factor***= 2.523 TL(2)= 1.630 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	0.0306	-3.487
1/15/2003	0.0291	-3.537
4/10/2003	0.0137	-4.290
7/14/2003	2.54	0.932
10/13/2003	0.378	-0.973
1/13/2004	0.159	-1.839
4/13/2004	0.00707	-4.952
7/21/2004	0.0841	-2.476

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	No	0.005	N/A	-5.298	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	Yes	0.00205	N/A	-6.190	NO
MW222	Sidegradient	No	0.005	N/A	-5.298	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	Yes	0.00648	N/A	-5.039	NO
MW224	Sidegradient	Yes	0.00229	N/A	-6.079	NO
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	0.0912	N/A	-2.395	NO
MW372	Downgradient	No	0.005	N/A	-5.298	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	0.00149	N/A	-6.509	NO
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	0.0395	N/A	-3.231	NO
MW391	Downgradient	No	0.005	N/A	-5.298	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	Yes	0.00386	N/A	-5.557	NO

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.542	-0.612
9/16/2002	0.155	-1.864
10/16/2002	0.103	-2.273
1/13/2003	0.128	-2.056
4/10/2003	0.005	-5.298
7/16/2003	0.272	-1.302
10/14/2003	0.0795	-2.532
1/13/2004	0.0658	-2.721

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results - 1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-44

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Methylene chloride

UNITS: ug/L

URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	X = 4.813	S = 0.750	CV(1) =0.156	K factor*** = 2.523	TL(1) = 6.705	LL(1) =N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	X = 1.552	S = 0.229	CV(2) =0.148	K factor*** = 2.523	TL(2) = 2.130	LL(2) =N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	5	1.609
1/15/2003	5	1.609
4/10/2003	5	1.609
7/14/2003	5	1.609
10/13/2003	5	1.609
1/13/2004	5	1.609
4/13/2004	5	1.609
7/21/2004	5	1.609

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	1.06	NO	0.058	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	Yes	0.84	NO	-0.174	N/A
MW222	Sidegradient	Yes	0.87	NO	-0.139	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	Yes	0.77	NO	-0.261	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	Yes	0.92	NO	-0.083	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	No	5	N/A	1.609	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	No	5	N/A	1.609	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	No	5	N/A	1.609	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	No	5	N/A	1.609	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	Yes	0.73	NO	-0.315	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	Yes	0.76	NO	-0.274	N/A

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	5	1.609
9/30/2002	2	0.693
10/16/2002	5	1.609
1/13/2003	5	1.609
4/10/2003	5	1.609
7/16/2003	5	1.609
10/14/2003	5	1.609
1/13/2004	5	1.609

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results - 1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-45

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Molybdenum

UNITS: mg/L

URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 0.006 S= 0.008 CV(1)=1.261 **K factor***= 2.523** TL(1)= 0.026 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= -5.747 S= 1.205 CV(2)=-0.210 **K factor***= 2.523** TL(2)= -2.708 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	0.00558	-5.189
1/15/2003	0.00983	-4.622
4/10/2003	0.0109	-4.519
7/14/2003	0.00245	-6.012
10/13/2003	0.00566	-5.174
1/13/2004	0.00572	-5.164
4/13/2004	0.001	-6.908
7/21/2004	0.00392	-5.542

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.025	-3.689
9/16/2002	0.025	-3.689
10/16/2002	0.001	-6.908
1/13/2003	0.001	-6.908
4/10/2003	0.001	-6.908
7/16/2003	0.001	-6.908
10/14/2003	0.001	-6.908
1/13/2004	0.001	-6.908

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	No	0.000937	N/A	-6.973	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	Yes	0.00576	N/A	-5.157	NO
MW222	Sidegradient	Yes	0.00273	N/A	-5.903	NO
MW223	Sidegradient	Yes	0.00449	N/A	-5.406	NO
MW224	Sidegradient	Yes	0.00135	N/A	-6.608	NO
MW369	Downgradient	No	0.001	N/A	-6.908	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	No	0.001	N/A	-6.908	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	No	0.000209	N/A	-8.473	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	No	0.001	N/A	-6.908	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	No	0.001	N/A	-6.908	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	No	0.001	N/A	-6.908	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results - 1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-46

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Nickel

UNITS: mg/L

URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 0.127 S= 0.228 CV(1)= 1.790 K factor***= 2.523 TL(1)= 0.701 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= -3.617 S= 1.837 CV(2)= -0.508 K factor***= 2.523 TL(2)= 1.019 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	0.418	-0.872
1/15/2003	0.738	-0.304
4/10/2003	0.544	-0.609
7/14/2003	0.106	-2.244
10/13/2003	0.0529	-2.939
1/13/2004	0.0209	-3.868
4/13/2004	0.005	-5.298
7/21/2004	0.0192	-3.953

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	0.00823	N/A	-4.800	NO
MW221	Sidegradient	Yes	0.0465	N/A	-3.068	NO
MW222	Sidegradient	Yes	0.0309	N/A	-3.477	NO
MW223	Sidegradient	Yes	0.0621	N/A	-2.779	NO
MW224	Sidegradient	Yes	0.0175	N/A	-4.046	NO
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	0.00428	N/A	-5.454	NO
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	0.000793	N/A	-7.140	NO
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	0.000816	N/A	-7.111	NO
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	0.00106	N/A	-6.849	NO
MW391	Downgradient	Yes	0.000653	N/A	-7.334	NO
MW394	Upgradient	Yes	0.00852	N/A	-4.765	NO

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.05	-2.996
9/16/2002	0.05	-2.996
10/16/2002	0.005	-5.298
1/13/2003	0.005	-5.298
4/10/2003	0.005	-5.298
7/16/2003	0.005	-5.298
10/14/2003	0.005	-5.298
1/13/2004	0.005	-5.298

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results - 1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-47

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Oxidation-Reduction Potential

UNITS: mV

URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 179.872 S= 86.318 CV(1)=0.480 **K factor***= 2.523** TL(1)= 397.652 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 4.861 S= 1.252 CV(2)=0.258 **K factor***= 2.523** TL(2)= 8.021 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	205	5.323
1/15/2003	1.95	0.668
4/10/2003	203	5.313
7/14/2003	30	3.401
10/13/2003	107	4.673
1/13/2004	295	5.687
4/13/2004	190	5.247
7/21/2004	319	5.765

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	412	YES	6.021	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	Yes	376	NO	5.930	N/A
MW222	Sidegradient	Yes	404	YES	6.001	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	Yes	389	NO	5.964	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	Yes	402	YES	5.996	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	382	NO	5.945	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	402	YES	5.996	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	438	YES	6.082	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	445	YES	6.098	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	Yes	386	NO	5.956	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	Yes	432	YES	6.068	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	90	4.500
9/16/2002	240	5.481
10/16/2002	185	5.220
1/13/2003	220	5.394
4/10/2003	196	5.278
7/16/2003	172	5.147
10/14/2003	175	5.165
1/13/2004	249	5.517

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW220
MW222
MW224
MW372
MW384
MW387
MW394

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, $CV = S/X$ If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, $S = [\text{Sum}([(background\ result-X)^2]/[\text{count of background results} - 1])]^{0.5}$

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, $TL = X + (K * S)$, LL Lower Tolerance Limit, $LL = X - (K * S)$

X Mean, $X = (\text{sum of background results})/(\text{count of background results})$

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of *Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance*, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-48

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

pH

UNITS: Std Unit

URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	X = 6.138	S = 0.282	CV(1) =0.046	K factor*** = 2.904	TL(1) = 6.957	LL(1) =5.3179
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	X = 1.813	S = 0.047	CV(2) =0.026	K factor*** = 2.904	TL(2) = 1.950	LL(2) =1.6765

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	6.04	1.798
1/15/2003	6.31	1.842
4/10/2003	6.5	1.872
7/14/2003	6.3	1.841
10/13/2003	6.34	1.847
1/13/2004	6.33	1.845
4/13/2004	6.3	1.841
7/21/2004	5.9	1.775

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)? Result <LL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)? LN(Result) <LL(2)?
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	6.11	NO	1.810	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	Yes	6.12	NO	1.812	N/A
MW222	Sidegradient	Yes	6.05	NO	1.800	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	Yes	6.09	NO	1.807	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	Yes	6.02	NO	1.795	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	6.11	NO	1.810	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	6.09	NO	1.807	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	6.07	NO	1.803	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	6.15	NO	1.816	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	Yes	6.1	NO	1.808	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	Yes	5.93	NO	1.780	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, $CV = S/X$ If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, $S = [\text{Sum}([(background\ result - X)^2]/[\text{count of background results} - 1])]^{0.5}$

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, $TL = X + (K * S)$, LL Lower Tolerance Limit, $LL = X - (K * S)$

X Mean, $X = (\text{sum of background results})/(\text{count of background results})$

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-49

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Potassium

UNITS: mg/L

URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	X= 6.654	S= 9.310	CV(1)= 1.399	K factor***= 2.523	TL(1)= 30.144	LL(1)=N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	X= 1.130	S= 1.208	CV(2)= 1.069	K factor***= 2.523	TL(2)= 4.178	LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	6.7	1.902
1/15/2003	29.7	3.391
4/10/2003	24.9	3.215
7/14/2003	1.13	0.122
10/13/2003	3.43	1.233
1/13/2004	6.71	1.904
4/13/2004	19.3	2.960
7/21/2004	3.97	1.379

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	1.31	N/A	0.270	NO
MW221	Sidegradient	Yes	2.66	N/A	0.978	NO
MW222	Sidegradient	Yes	0.621	N/A	-0.476	NO
MW223	Sidegradient	Yes	2.34	N/A	0.850	NO
MW224	Sidegradient	Yes	1.01	N/A	0.010	NO
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	0.546	N/A	-0.605	NO
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	2.06	N/A	0.723	NO
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	1.43	N/A	0.358	NO
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	1.58	N/A	0.457	NO
MW391	Downgradient	Yes	1.52	N/A	0.419	NO
MW394	Upgradient	Yes	1.7	N/A	0.531	NO

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, $CV = S/X$ If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, $S = \sqrt{[\text{Sum} \{[(\text{background result}-X)^2]/[\text{count of background results} - 1]\}} \cdot 0.5$

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, $TL = X + (K * S)$, LL Lower Tolerance Limit, $LL = X - (K * S)$

X Mean, $X = (\text{sum of background results})/(\text{count of background results})$

*** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of *Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989*, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-50

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Sodium

UNITS: mg/L

URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 36.363 S= 8.666 CV(1)=0.238 K factor***= 2.523 TL(1)= 58.227 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 3.570 S= 0.222 CV(2)=0.062 K factor***= 2.523 TL(2)= 4.129 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	35.4	3.567
1/15/2003	40.6	3.704
4/10/2003	51	3.932
7/14/2003	58.2	4.064
10/13/2003	38.1	3.640
1/13/2004	37	3.611
4/13/2004	43.2	3.766
7/21/2004	33.8	3.520

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	46.2	NO	3.833	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	Yes	49.3	NO	3.898	N/A
MW222	Sidegradient	Yes	48.6	NO	3.884	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	Yes	46.1	NO	3.831	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	Yes	52.2	NO	3.955	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	52	NO	3.951	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	57.7	NO	4.055	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	45.4	NO	3.816	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	58.4	YES	4.067	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	Yes	35.5	NO	3.570	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	Yes	35.3	NO	3.564	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	32.9	3.493
9/16/2002	29.9	3.398
10/16/2002	29	3.367
1/13/2003	27.1	3.300
4/10/2003	24.8	3.211
7/16/2003	35.6	3.572
10/14/2003	33.9	3.523
1/13/2004	31.3	3.444

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW387

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, $CV = S/X$ If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, $S = [\text{Sum}([(background\ result - X)^2]/[\text{count of background results} - 1])]^{0.5}$

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, $TL = X + (K * S)$, LL Lower Tolerance Limit, $LL = X - (K * S)$

X Mean, $X = (\text{sum of background results})/(\text{count of background results})$

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-51

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Sulfate

UNITS: mg/L

URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 10.481 S= 2.648 CV(1)=0.253 K factor***= 2.523 TL(1)= 17.161 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 2.322 S= 0.239 CV(2)=0.103 K factor***= 2.523 TL(2)= 2.925 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	10.4	2.342
1/15/2003	9.8	2.282
4/10/2003	15.4	2.734
7/14/2003	14.9	2.701
10/13/2003	13.5	2.603
1/13/2004	10.3	2.332
4/13/2004	14.3	2.660
7/21/2004	10.5	2.351

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	24.9	YES	3.215	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	Yes	15.6	NO	2.747	N/A
MW222	Sidegradient	Yes	12.7	NO	2.542	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	Yes	16.2	NO	2.785	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	Yes	18.2	YES	2.901	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	8.93	NO	2.189	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	144	YES	4.970	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	19.4	YES	2.965	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	28.1	YES	3.336	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	Yes	13.5	NO	2.603	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	Yes	11.7	NO	2.460	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	11.2	2.416
9/16/2002	8.3	2.116
10/16/2002	8	2.079
1/13/2003	8.5	2.140
4/10/2003	7.9	2.067
7/16/2003	8.4	2.128
10/14/2003	8.2	2.104
1/13/2004	8.1	2.092

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

- MW220
- MW224
- MW372
- MW384
- MW387

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results - 1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

*** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-52

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Technetium-99

UNITS: pCi/L

URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 9.354 S= 9.280 CV(1)=0.992 K factor***= 2.523 TL(1)= 32.768 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 2.270 S= 0.849 CV(2)=0.374 K factor***= 2.523 TL(2)= 3.262 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	19.7	2.981
1/15/2003	26.1	3.262
4/10/2003	3.56	1.270
7/14/2003	0	#Func!
10/13/2003	21	3.045
1/13/2004	6.32	1.844
4/13/2004	3	1.099
7/21/2004	14.6	2.681

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	14	2.639
9/16/2002	5.45	1.696
10/16/2002	2.49	0.912
1/13/2003	18.3	2.907
4/10/2003	-1.45	#Func!
7/16/2003	-1.71	#Func!
10/14/2003	18.3	2.907
1/13/2004	0	#Func!

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

#Because the natural log was not possible for all background values, the TL was considered equal to the maximum background value.

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	No	16	N/A	2.773	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	No	6.92	N/A	1.934	N/A
MW222	Sidegradient	No	8.07	N/A	2.088	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	No	9.98	N/A	2.301	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	No	13.6	N/A	2.610	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	57.2	YES	4.047	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	79.4	YES	4.374	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	34	YES	3.526	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	354	YES	5.869	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	No	5.73	N/A	1.746	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	No	0.438	N/A	-0.826	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

- MW369
- MW372
- MW384
- MW387

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results - 1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-53

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Toluene

UNITS: ug/L

URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	X= 5.000	S= 0.000	CV(1)=0.000	K factor***= 2.523	TL(1)= 5.000	LL(1)=N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	X= 1.609	S= 0.000	CV(2)=0.000	K factor***= 2.523	TL(2)= 1.609	LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	5	1.609
1/15/2003	5	1.609
4/10/2003	5	1.609
7/14/2003	5	1.609
10/13/2003	5	1.609
1/13/2004	5	1.609
4/13/2004	5	1.609
7/21/2004	5	1.609

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	No	1	N/A	0.000	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	No	1	N/A	0.000	N/A
MW222	Sidegradient	No	1	N/A	0.000	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	No	1	N/A	0.000	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	No	1	N/A	0.000	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	1.53	NO	0.425	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	No	1	N/A	0.000	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	No	1	N/A	0.000	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	No	1	N/A	0.000	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	No	1	N/A	0.000	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	No	1	N/A	0.000	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	5	1.609
9/30/2002	5	1.609
10/16/2002	5	1.609
1/13/2003	5	1.609
4/10/2003	5	1.609
7/16/2003	5	1.609
10/14/2003	5	1.609
1/13/2004	5	1.609

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, $CV = S/X$ If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, $S = [\text{Sum}([(background\ result - X)^2]/[\text{count of background results} - 1])]^{0.5}$

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, $TL = X + (K * S)$, LL Lower Tolerance Limit, $LL = X - (K * S)$

X Mean, $X = (\text{sum of background results})/(\text{count of background results})$

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-54

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Total Organic Carbon (TOC)

UNITS: mg/L

URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 1.494 S= 0.737 CV(1)=0.493 **K factor***= 2.523** TL(1)= 3.353 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 0.315 S= 0.402 CV(2)=1.279 **K factor***= 2.523** TL(2)= 1.330 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	1	0.000
1/15/2003	1.1	0.095
4/10/2003	1	0.000
7/14/2003	3.3	1.194
10/13/2003	1.8	0.588
1/13/2004	1	0.000
4/13/2004	2	0.693
7/21/2004	3.1	1.131

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	1.09	NO	0.086	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	Yes	0.721	NO	-0.327	N/A
MW222	Sidegradient	Yes	0.653	NO	-0.426	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	Yes	0.745	NO	-0.294	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	Yes	0.79	NO	-0.236	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	1.05	NO	0.049	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	0.962	NO	-0.039	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	0.711	NO	-0.341	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	0.862	NO	-0.149	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	Yes	0.841	NO	-0.173	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	Yes	1.01	NO	0.010	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	1.3	0.262
9/16/2002	1	0.000
10/16/2002	1	0.000
1/13/2003	1.6	0.470
4/10/2003	1	0.000
7/16/2003	1.4	0.336
10/14/2003	1.3	0.262
1/13/2004	1	0.000

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results - 1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

*** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-55

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Total Organic Halides (TOX)

UNITS: ug/L

URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 63.475 S= 163.135 CV(1)=2.570 **K factor***= 2.523** TL(1)= 475.063 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 3.103 S= 1.145 CV(2)=0.369 **K factor***= 2.523** TL(2)= 5.992 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	50	3.912
1/15/2003	10	2.303
4/10/2003	10	2.303
7/14/2003	10	2.303
10/13/2003	10	2.303
1/13/2004	10	2.303
4/13/2004	10	2.303
7/21/2004	10	2.303

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	50	3.912
9/16/2002	672	6.510
10/16/2002	50	3.912
1/13/2003	36.1	3.586
4/10/2003	10	2.303
7/16/2003	42.7	3.754
10/14/2003	22	3.091
1/13/2004	12.8	2.549

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	4.38	N/A	1.477	NO
MW221	Sidegradient	No	10	N/A	2.303	N/A
MW222	Sidegradient	Yes	5.72	N/A	1.744	NO
MW223	Sidegradient	Yes	4.14	N/A	1.421	NO
MW224	Sidegradient	Yes	9	N/A	2.197	NO
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	24.9	N/A	3.215	NO
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	13.3	N/A	2.588	NO
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	5.2	N/A	1.649	NO
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	29	N/A	3.367	NO
MW391	Downgradient	Yes	10.7	N/A	2.370	NO
MW394	Upgradient	Yes	9.54	N/A	2.255	NO

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results - 1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-56

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Trichloroethene

UNITS: ug/L

URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 8.813 S= 8.376 CV(1)=0.951 K factor***= 2.523 TL(1)= 29.946 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 1.395 S= 1.449 CV(2)=1.039 K factor***= 2.523 TL(2)= 5.052 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	1	0.000
1/15/2003	1	0.000
4/10/2003	1	0.000
7/14/2003	1	0.000
10/13/2003	1	0.000
1/13/2004	1	0.000
4/13/2004	1	0.000
7/21/2004	1	0.000

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	No	1	N/A	0.000	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	No	1	N/A	0.000	N/A
MW222	Sidegradient	No	1	N/A	0.000	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	No	1	N/A	0.000	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	No	1	N/A	0.000	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	1.16	N/A	0.148	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	4.1	N/A	1.411	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	0.6	N/A	-0.511	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	0.98	N/A	-0.020	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	Yes	6.38	NO	1.853	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	Yes	5.28	NO	1.664	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	16	2.773
9/30/2002	20	2.996
10/16/2002	17	2.833
1/13/2003	15	2.708
4/10/2003	10	2.303
7/16/2003	19	2.944
10/14/2003	20	2.996
1/13/2004	16	2.773

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results - 1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

*** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-57

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Zinc

UNITS: mg/L

URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 0.036 S= 0.026 CV(1)=0.722 K factor***= 2.523 TL(1)= 0.101 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= -3.485 S= 0.525 CV(2)=-0.151 K factor***= 2.523 TL(2)= -2.162 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	0.025	-3.689
1/15/2003	0.035	-3.352
4/10/2003	0.035	-3.352
7/14/2003	0.0389	-3.247
10/13/2003	0.026	-3.650
1/13/2004	0.02	-3.912
4/13/2004	0.02	-3.912
7/21/2004	0.02	-3.912

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	No	0.02	N/A	-3.912	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	Yes	0.00503	NO	-5.292	N/A
MW222	Sidegradient	No	0.02	N/A	-3.912	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	No	0.02	N/A	-3.912	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	No	0.02	N/A	-3.912	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	0.00558	NO	-5.189	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	No	0.02	N/A	-3.912	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	No	0.02	N/A	-3.912	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	0.00447	NO	-5.410	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	No	0.02	N/A	-3.912	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	No	0.02	N/A	-3.912	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.1	-2.303
9/16/2002	0.1	-2.303
10/16/2002	0.025	-3.689
1/13/2003	0.035	-3.352
4/10/2003	0.035	-3.352
7/16/2003	0.02	-3.912
10/14/2003	0.02	-3.912
1/13/2004	0.02	-3.912

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results - 1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

*** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-58

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Acetone

UNITS: ug/L

LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 10.063 S= 0.250 CV(1)=0.025 K factor***= 2.523 TL(1)= 10.693 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 2.309 S= 0.024 CV(2)=0.010 K factor***= 2.523 TL(2)= 2.369 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	11	2.398
9/30/2002	10	2.303
10/16/2002	10	2.303
1/13/2003	10	2.303
4/10/2003	10	2.303
7/16/2003	10	2.303
10/14/2003	10	2.303
4/12/2004	10	2.303

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	No	5	N/A	1.609	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	No	5	N/A	1.609	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	2.69	NO	0.990	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	2.73	NO	1.004	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	No	5	N/A	1.609	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	No	5	N/A	1.609	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	No	5	N/A	1.609	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	10	2.303
9/30/2002	10	2.303
10/17/2002	10	2.303
1/13/2003	10	2.303
4/8/2003	10	2.303
7/16/2003	10	2.303
10/14/2003	10	2.303
4/12/2004	10	2.303

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results - 1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-59

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Aluminum

UNITS: mg/L

LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 0.258 S= 0.221 CV(1)=0.856 K factor***= 2.523 TL(1)= 0.815 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= -2.266 S= 2.485 CV(2)=-1.097 K factor***= 2.523 TL(2)= 4.003 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.2	-1.609
9/16/2002	0.2	-1.609
10/16/2002	0.0002	-8.517
1/13/2003	0.737	-0.305
4/10/2003	0.2	-1.609
7/16/2003	0.2	-1.609
10/14/2003	0.2	-1.609
1/13/2004	0.2	-1.609

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	No	0.05	N/A	-2.996	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	No	0.05	N/A	-2.996	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	No	0.05	N/A	-2.996	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	No	0.05	N/A	-2.996	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	No	0.05	N/A	-2.996	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	No	0.05	N/A	-2.996	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	Yes	0.0226	NO	-3.790	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.824	-0.194
9/16/2002	0.2	-1.609
10/17/2002	0.0002	-8.517
1/13/2003	0.363	-1.013
4/8/2003	0.2	-1.609
7/16/2003	0.2	-1.609
10/14/2003	0.2	-1.609
1/13/2004	0.2	-1.609

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results - 1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

*** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-60

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Beta activity

UNITS: pCi/L

LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 7.183 S= 2.612 CV(1)=0.364 K factor***= 2.523 TL(1)= 13.773 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 1.870 S= 0.552 CV(2)=0.295 K factor***= 2.523 TL(2)= 3.261 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	1.09	0.086
9/16/2002	5.79	1.756
10/16/2002	6.82	1.920
1/13/2003	5.01	1.611
4/10/2003	6.1	1.808
7/16/2003	8.51	2.141
10/14/2003	4.99	1.607
1/13/2004	6.58	1.884

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	No	8.86	N/A	2.182	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	No	7.72	N/A	2.044	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	24.4	N/A	3.195	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	52.2	YES	3.955	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	No	1.51	N/A	0.412	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	Yes	16.5	N/A	2.803	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	Yes	14.5	N/A	2.674	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	9.57	2.259
9/16/2002	11	2.398
10/17/2002	9.3	2.230
1/13/2003	8.63	2.155
4/8/2003	10	2.303
7/16/2003	6.89	1.930
10/14/2003	10.1	2.313
1/13/2004	4.55	1.515

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW388

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, $CV = S/X$ If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, $S = [\text{Sum}([(background\ result-X)^2]/[\text{count of background results} - 1])]^{0.5}$

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, $TL = X + (K * S)$, LL Lower Tolerance Limit, $LL = X - (K * S)$

X Mean, $X = (\text{sum of background results})/(\text{count of background results})$

*** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-61

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Boron

UNITS: mg/L

LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 0.650 S= 0.805 CV(1)=1.238 K factor***= 2.523 TL(1)= 2.681 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= -1.034 S= 1.030 CV(2)=-0.996 K factor***= 2.523 TL(2)= 1.564 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	2	0.693
9/16/2002	2	0.693
10/16/2002	0.2	-1.609
1/13/2003	0.2	-1.609
4/10/2003	0.2	-1.609
7/16/2003	0.2	-1.609
10/14/2003	0.2	-1.609
1/13/2004	0.2	-1.609

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	2	0.693
9/16/2002	2	0.693
10/17/2002	0.2	-1.609
1/13/2003	0.2	-1.609
4/8/2003	0.2	-1.609
7/16/2003	0.2	-1.609
10/14/2003	0.2	-1.609
1/13/2004	0.2	-1.609

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	1.02	N/A	0.020	NO
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	1.6	N/A	0.470	NO
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	0.0618	N/A	-2.784	NO
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	0.0313	N/A	-3.464	NO
MW392	Downgradient	Yes	0.0301	N/A	-3.503	NO
MW395	Upgradient	Yes	0.0193	N/A	-3.948	NO
MW397	Upgradient	Yes	0.00715	N/A	-4.941	NO

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results - 1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

*** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-62

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Bromide

UNITS: mg/L

LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 1.000 S= 0.000 CV(1)=0.000 K factor***= 2.523 TL(1)= 1.000 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 0.000 S= 0.000 CV(2)=#Num! K factor***= 2.523 TL(2)= 0.000 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	1	0.000
9/16/2002	1	0.000
10/16/2002	1	0.000
1/13/2003	1	0.000
4/10/2003	1	0.000
7/16/2003	1	0.000
10/14/2003	1	0.000
1/13/2004	1	0.000

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	0.672	NO	-0.397	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	0.703	NO	-0.352	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	0.278	NO	-1.280	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	0.553	NO	-0.592	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	Yes	0.705	NO	-0.350	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	Yes	0.698	NO	-0.360	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	Yes	0.486	NO	-0.722	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	1	0.000
9/16/2002	1	0.000
10/17/2002	1	0.000
1/13/2003	1	0.000
4/8/2003	1	0.000
7/16/2003	1	0.000
10/14/2003	1	0.000
1/13/2004	1	0.000

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results - 1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-63

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Calcium

UNITS: mg/L

LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 23.103 S= 11.538 CV(1)=0.499 **K factor***= 2.523** TL(1)= 52.213 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 2.357 S= 2.411 CV(2)=1.023 **K factor***= 2.523** TL(2)= 8.439 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	32.2	3.472
9/16/2002	33	3.497
10/16/2002	0.0295	-3.523
1/13/2003	32.1	3.469
4/10/2003	40.2	3.694
7/16/2003	32.4	3.478
10/14/2003	33.9	3.523
1/13/2004	31.2	3.440

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	30.5	NO	3.418	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	62.8	YES	4.140	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	25.4	NO	3.235	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	35.3	NO	3.564	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	Yes	28.5	NO	3.350	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	Yes	26.4	NO	3.273	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	Yes	18.5	NO	2.918	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	19.4	2.965
9/16/2002	19	2.944
10/17/2002	0.0179	-4.023
1/13/2003	17.8	2.879
4/8/2003	20.3	3.011
7/16/2003	19.4	2.965
10/14/2003	19.9	2.991
1/13/2004	18.8	2.934

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW373

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results - 1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

*** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-64

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Chloride

UNITS: mg/L

LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 51.844 S= 11.652 CV(1)=0.225 K factor***= 2.523 TL(1)= 81.242 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 3.924 S= 0.229 CV(2)=0.058 K factor***= 2.523 TL(2)= 4.501 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	62.2	4.130
9/16/2002	64.7	4.170
10/16/2002	62.2	4.130
1/13/2003	63.5	4.151
4/10/2003	64.1	4.160
7/16/2003	64	4.159
10/14/2003	63.2	4.146
1/13/2004	60.6	4.104

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	No	38.5	N/A	3.651	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	No	43.5	N/A	3.773	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	23.4	NO	3.153	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	39	NO	3.664	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	Yes	49.1	NO	3.894	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	Yes	45.3	NO	3.813	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	Yes	33	NO	3.497	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	38.9	3.661
9/16/2002	39.8	3.684
10/17/2002	39.3	3.671
1/13/2003	40.5	3.701
4/8/2003	42.1	3.740
7/16/2003	42	3.738
10/14/2003	40.8	3.709
1/13/2004	41.6	3.728

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results - 1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-66

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene UNITS: ug/L LRG

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	X= 5.000	S= 0.000	CV(1)=0.000	K factor***= 2.523	TL(1)= 5.000	LL(1)=N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	X= 1.609	S= 0.000	CV(2)=0.000	K factor***= 2.523	TL(2)= 1.609	LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	5	1.609
9/30/2002	5	1.609
10/16/2002	5	1.609
1/13/2003	5	1.609
4/10/2003	5	1.609
7/16/2003	5	1.609
10/14/2003	5	1.609
1/13/2004	5	1.609

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	No	1	N/A	0.000	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	No	1	N/A	0.000	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	No	1	N/A	0.000	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	No	1	N/A	0.000	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	Yes	0.94	NO	-0.062	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	No	1	N/A	0.000	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	No	1	N/A	0.000	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	5	1.609
9/30/2002	5	1.609
10/17/2002	5	1.609
1/13/2003	5	1.609
4/8/2003	5	1.609
7/16/2003	5	1.609
10/14/2003	5	1.609
1/13/2004	5	1.609

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results - 1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-67

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison
Copper **UNITS: mg/L** **LRGA**

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	X = 0.028	S = 0.013	CV(1) =0.474	K factor **= 2.523	TL(1) = 0.061	LL(1) =N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	X = -3.662	S = 0.406	CV(2) =-0.111	K factor **= 2.523	TL(2) = -2.638	LL(2) =N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.05	-2.996
9/16/2002	0.05	-2.996
10/16/2002	0.0281	-3.572
1/13/2003	0.02	-3.912
4/10/2003	0.02	-3.912
7/16/2003	0.02	-3.912
10/14/2003	0.02	-3.912
1/13/2004	0.02	-3.912

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	0.000976	NO	-6.932	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	0.000968	NO	-6.940	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	0.00346	NO	-5.666	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	0.00171	NO	-6.371	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	Yes	0.000944	NO	-6.965	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	Yes	0.00107	NO	-6.840	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	Yes	0.00181	NO	-6.314	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.05	-2.996
9/16/2002	0.05	-2.996
10/17/2002	0.02	-3.912
1/13/2003	0.02	-3.912
4/8/2003	0.02	-3.912
7/16/2003	0.02	-3.912
10/14/2003	0.02	-3.912
1/13/2004	0.02	-3.912

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, $CV = S/X$ If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, $S = [\text{Sum}([(background\ result - X)^2]/[\text{count of background results} - 1])]^{0.5}$

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, $TL = X + (K * S)$, LL Lower Tolerance Limit, $LL = X - (K * S)$

X Mean, $X = (\text{sum of background results})/(\text{count of background results})$

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-69

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Dissolved Oxygen

UNITS: mg/L

LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 4.678 S= 2.431 CV(1)=0.520 K factor***= 2.523 TL(1)= 10.812 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 1.414 S= 0.550 CV(2)=0.389 K factor***= 2.523 TL(2)= 2.802 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	7.29	1.987
9/30/2002	4.03	1.394
10/16/2002	3.85	1.348
1/13/2003	2.36	0.859
4/10/2003	1.14	0.131
7/16/2003	1.76	0.565
10/14/2003	4.05	1.399
1/13/2004	4.26	1.449

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	3.45	NO	1.238	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	2.79	NO	1.026	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	4.36	NO	1.472	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	4.84	NO	1.577	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	Yes	2.8	NO	1.030	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	Yes	5.12	NO	1.633	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	Yes	6.69	NO	1.901	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	11.56	2.448
9/16/2002	5.86	1.768
10/17/2002	5.94	1.782
1/13/2003	4.66	1.539
4/8/2003	3.77	1.327
7/16/2003	3.47	1.244
10/14/2003	5.34	1.675
1/13/2004	5.51	1.707

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results - 1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

*** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-70

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Dissolved Solids

UNITS: mg/L

LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 219.250 S= 34.107 CV(1)=0.156 **K factor***= 2.523** TL(1)= 305.301 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 5.379 S= 0.152 CV(2)=0.028 **K factor***= 2.523** TL(2)= 5.762 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	249	5.517
9/16/2002	272	5.606
10/16/2002	255	5.541
1/13/2003	211	5.352
4/10/2003	289	5.666
7/16/2003	236	5.464
10/14/2003	224	5.412
1/13/2004	235	5.460

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	296	NO	5.690	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	484	YES	6.182	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	230	NO	5.438	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	273	NO	5.609	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	Yes	224	NO	5.412	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	Yes	210	NO	5.347	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	Yes	180	NO	5.193	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	187	5.231
9/16/2002	197	5.283
10/17/2002	183	5.209
1/13/2003	182	5.204
4/8/2003	217	5.380
7/16/2003	196	5.278
10/14/2003	198	5.288
1/13/2004	177	5.176

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW373

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, $CV = S/X$ If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, $S = [\text{Sum}([(background\ result-X)^2]/[\text{count of background results} - 1])]^{0.5}$

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, $TL = X + (K * S)$, LL Lower Tolerance Limit, $LL = X - (K * S)$

X Mean, $X = (\text{sum of background results})/(\text{count of background results})$

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of *Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989*, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-71

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Iron

UNITS: mg/L

LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 0.400 S= 0.514 CV(1)=1.286 **K factor***= 2.523** TL(1)= 1.698 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= -2.197 S= 2.634 CV(2)=-1.199 **K factor***= 2.523** TL(2)= 4.449 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.294	-1.224
9/16/2002	0.2	-1.609
10/16/2002	0.0002	-8.517
1/13/2003	1.33	0.285
4/10/2003	1.31	0.270
7/16/2003	0.2	-1.609
10/14/2003	0.1	-2.303
1/13/2004	0.1	-2.303

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	No	0.1	N/A	-2.303	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	No	0.1	N/A	-2.303	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	No	0.1	N/A	-2.303	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	0.0554	N/A	-2.893	NO
MW392	Downgradient	Yes	0.0823	N/A	-2.497	NO
MW395	Upgradient	No	0.1	N/A	-2.303	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	Yes	0.045	N/A	-3.101	NO

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	1.58	0.457
9/16/2002	0.232	-1.461
10/17/2002	0.0002	-8.517
1/13/2003	0.453	-0.792
4/8/2003	0.2	-1.609
7/16/2003	0.2	-1.609
10/14/2003	0.1	-2.303
1/13/2004	0.1	-2.303

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results - 1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

*** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-72

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Magnesium

UNITS: mg/L

LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 9.102 S= 4.685 CV(1)=0.515 K factor***= 2.523 TL(1)= 20.922 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 1.423 S= 2.408 CV(2)=1.692 K factor***= 2.523 TL(2)= 7.500 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	12.5	2.526
9/16/2002	13	2.565
10/16/2002	0.0127	-4.366
1/13/2003	11.2	2.416
4/10/2003	17.5	2.862
7/16/2003	12.9	2.557
10/14/2003	13.4	2.595
1/13/2004	12.4	2.518

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	13.2	NO	2.580	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	23.6	YES	3.161	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	10.1	NO	2.313	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	15.9	NO	2.766	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	Yes	11.2	NO	2.416	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	Yes	11	NO	2.398	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	Yes	7.79	NO	2.053	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	7.83	2.058
9/16/2002	7.64	2.033
10/17/2002	0.00658	-5.024
1/13/2003	6.69	1.901
4/8/2003	7.28	1.985
7/16/2003	7.82	2.057
10/14/2003	7.94	2.072
1/13/2004	7.51	2.016

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW373

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, $CV = S/X$ If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, $S = [\text{Sum}([(background\ result-X)^2]/[\text{count of background results} - 1])]^{0.5}$

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, $TL = X + (K * S)$, LL Lower Tolerance Limit, $LL = X - (K * S)$

X Mean, $X = (\text{sum of background results})/(\text{count of background results})$

*** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of *Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989*, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-73

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Manganese

UNITS: mg/L

LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 0.131 S= 0.195 CV(1)= 1.487 K factor***= 2.523 TL(1)= 0.624 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= -3.104 S= 1.529 CV(2)=-0.493 K factor***= 2.523 TL(2)= 0.755 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.361	-1.019
9/16/2002	0.028	-3.576
10/16/2002	0.026	-3.650
1/13/2003	0.0713	-2.641
4/10/2003	0.629	-0.464
7/16/2003	0.297	-1.214
10/14/2003	0.0198	-3.922
1/13/2004	0.0126	-4.374

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	0.00143	N/A	-6.550	NO
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	0.00223	N/A	-6.106	NO
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	0.00117	N/A	-6.751	NO
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	0.0026	N/A	-5.952	NO
MW392	Downgradient	Yes	0.00766	N/A	-4.872	NO
MW395	Upgradient	Yes	0.00115	N/A	-6.768	NO
MW397	Upgradient	Yes	0.00314	N/A	-5.764	NO

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.466	-0.764
9/16/2002	0.077	-2.564
10/17/2002	0.028	-3.576
1/13/2003	0.0164	-4.110
4/8/2003	0.0407	-3.202
7/16/2003	0.0167	-4.092
10/14/2003	0.00555	-5.194
1/13/2004	0.005	-5.298

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results - 1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

*** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-74

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Methylene chloride

UNITS: ug/L

LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 5.625 S= 3.074 CV(1)=0.547 K factor***= 2.523 TL(1)= 13.381 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 1.614 S= 0.483 CV(2)=0.300 K factor***= 2.523 TL(2)= 2.834 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	14	2.639
9/30/2002	2	0.693
10/16/2002	5	1.609
1/13/2003	5	1.609
4/10/2003	5	1.609
7/16/2003	5	1.609
10/14/2003	5	1.609
1/13/2004	5	1.609

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	No	5	N/A	1.609	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	No	5	N/A	1.609	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	No	5	N/A	1.609	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	No	5	N/A	1.609	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	Yes	0.84	NO	-0.174	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	Yes	0.99	NO	-0.010	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	Yes	0.85	NO	-0.163	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	12	2.485
9/30/2002	2	0.693
10/17/2002	5	1.609
1/13/2003	5	1.609
4/8/2003	5	1.609
7/16/2003	5	1.609
10/14/2003	5	1.609
1/13/2004	5	1.609

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results - 1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-75

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Nickel

UNITS: mg/L

LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 0.018 S= 0.020 CV(1)=1.089 K factor***= 2.523 TL(1)= 0.068 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= -4.540 S= 1.020 CV(2)=-0.225 K factor***= 2.523 TL(2)= -1.965 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.05	-2.996
9/16/2002	0.05	-2.996
10/16/2002	0.00702	-4.959
1/13/2003	0.029	-3.540
4/10/2003	0.0091	-4.699
7/16/2003	0.00627	-5.072
10/14/2003	0.005	-5.298
1/13/2004	0.005	-5.298

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.05	-2.996
9/16/2002	0.05	-2.996
10/17/2002	0.005	-5.298
1/13/2003	0.00502	-5.294
4/8/2003	0.005	-5.298
7/16/2003	0.005	-5.298
10/14/2003	0.005	-5.298
1/13/2004	0.005	-5.298

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	0.000834	N/A	-7.089	NO
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	0.000796	N/A	-7.136	NO
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	0.00155	N/A	-6.470	NO
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	0.00334	N/A	-5.702	NO
MW392	Downgradient	Yes	0.000799	N/A	-7.132	NO
MW395	Upgradient	Yes	0.000954	N/A	-6.955	NO
MW397	Upgradient	Yes	0.00101	N/A	-6.898	NO

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results - 1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-76

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Oxidation-Reduction Potential

UNITS: mV

LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 157.250 S= 52.376 CV(1)=0.333 **K factor***= 2.523** TL(1)= 289.395 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 5.003 S= 0.348 CV(2)=0.069 **K factor***= 2.523** TL(2)= 5.880 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	80	4.382
9/16/2002	145	4.977
10/16/2002	125	4.828
1/13/2003	85	4.443
4/10/2003	159	5.069
7/16/2003	98	4.585
10/14/2003	138	4.927
1/13/2004	233	5.451

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	390	YES	5.966	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	399	YES	5.989	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	446	YES	6.100	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	435	YES	6.075	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	Yes	413	YES	6.023	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	Yes	412	YES	6.021	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	Yes	440	YES	6.087	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	115	4.745
9/30/2002	140	4.942
10/17/2002	185	5.220
1/13/2003	230	5.438
4/8/2003	155	5.043
7/16/2003	188	5.236
10/14/2003	187	5.231
1/13/2004	253	5.533

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

- MW370
- MW373
- MW385
- MW388
- MW392
- MW395
- MW397

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, $CV = S/X$ If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, $S = [\text{Sum}([(background\ result-X)^2]/[\text{count of background results} - 1])]^{0.5}$

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, $TL = X + (K * S)$, LL Lower Tolerance Limit, $LL = X - (K * S)$

X Mean, $X = (\text{sum of background results})/(\text{count of background results})$

*** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of *Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009.* D1-77

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Potassium

UNITS: mg/L

LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	X = 1.590	S = 0.642	CV(1) =0.404	K factor **= 2.523	TL(1) = 3.208	LL(1) =N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	X = -0.306	S = 2.457	CV(2) =-8.028	K factor **= 2.523	TL(2) = 5.892	LL(2) =N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	2	0.693
9/16/2002	2	0.693
10/16/2002	0.00129	-6.653
1/13/2003	1.51	0.412
4/10/2003	1.67	0.513
7/16/2003	1.73	0.548
10/14/2003	1.7	0.531
1/13/2004	1.58	0.457

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	2.61	NO	0.959	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	2.57	NO	0.944	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	1.61	NO	0.476	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	1.89	NO	0.637	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	Yes	1.86	NO	0.621	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	Yes	1.59	NO	0.464	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	Yes	1.8	NO	0.588	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	2.03	0.708
9/16/2002	2	0.693
10/17/2002	0.00145	-6.536
1/13/2003	1.69	0.525
4/8/2003	1.73	0.548
7/16/2003	2	0.693
10/14/2003	1.92	0.652
1/13/2004	1.87	0.626

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, $CV = S/X$ If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, $S = [\text{Sum}([(background\ result-X)^2]/[\text{count of background results} - 1])]^{0.5}$

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, $TL = X + (K * S)$, LL Lower Tolerance Limit, $LL = X - (K * S)$

X Mean, $X = (\text{sum of background results})/(\text{count of background results})$

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-79

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Sodium

UNITS: mg/L

LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 29.560 S= 13.894 CV(1)=0.470 **K factor***= 2.523** TL(1)= 64.616 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 2.615 S= 2.411 CV(2)=0.922 **K factor***= 2.523** TL(2)= 8.699 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	27	3.296
9/16/2002	27.2	3.303
10/16/2002	0.0253	-3.677
1/13/2003	22.6	3.118
4/10/2003	53.9	3.987
7/16/2003	30	3.401
10/14/2003	29.1	3.371
1/13/2004	26.4	3.273

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	49	NO	3.892	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	56.1	NO	4.027	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	46.3	NO	3.835	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	49.1	NO	3.894	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	Yes	30.4	NO	3.414	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	Yes	31.4	NO	3.447	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	Yes	33.6	NO	3.515	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	35.2	3.561
9/16/2002	34.3	3.535
10/17/2002	0.0336	-3.393
1/13/2003	31.3	3.444
4/8/2003	46.1	3.831
7/16/2003	38.4	3.648
10/14/2003	37.1	3.614
1/13/2004	34.3	3.535

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results - 1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-80

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Sulfate

UNITS: mg/L

LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 10.756 S= 2.147 CV(1)=0.200 K factor***= 2.523 TL(1)= 16.173 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 2.356 S= 0.203 CV(2)=0.086 K factor***= 2.523 TL(2)= 2.869 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	10.3	2.332
9/16/2002	9.1	2.208
10/16/2002	8.8	2.175
1/13/2003	9	2.197
4/10/2003	8.3	2.116
7/16/2003	8.2	2.104
10/14/2003	8.3	2.116
1/13/2004	8.2	2.104

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	20.9	YES	3.040	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	199	YES	5.293	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	19.3	YES	2.960	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	25	YES	3.219	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	Yes	11.7	NO	2.460	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	Yes	11.6	NO	2.451	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	Yes	11.8	NO	2.468	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	14	2.639
9/16/2002	12.8	2.549
10/17/2002	12.3	2.510
1/13/2003	12.7	2.542
4/8/2003	12.8	2.549
7/16/2003	13.1	2.573
10/14/2003	12.1	2.493
1/13/2004	12.1	2.493

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

- MW370
- MW373
- MW385
- MW388

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, $CV = S/X$ If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, $S = \sqrt{[\text{Sum} \{[(\text{background result}-X)^2]/[\text{count of background results} - 1]\}}^{0.5}$

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, $TL = X + (K * S)$, LL Lower Tolerance Limit, $LL = X - (K * S)$

X Mean, $X = (\text{sum of background results})/(\text{count of background results})$

*** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-81

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Technetium-99

UNITS: pCi/L

LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 11.359 S= 9.138 CV(1)=0.805 K factor***= 2.523 TL(1)= 34.414 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 2.398 S= 0.859 CV(2)=0.358 K factor***= 2.523 TL(2)= 3.246 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	20.8	3.035
9/16/2002	16.2	2.785
10/16/2002	8.28	2.114
1/13/2003	13	2.565
4/10/2003	-9.37	#Func!
7/16/2003	0.826	-0.191
10/14/2003	14.1	2.646
1/13/2004	0	#Func!

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	6.06	1.802
9/16/2002	17.3	2.851
10/17/2002	25.7	3.246
1/13/2003	20.9	3.040
4/8/2003	20.1	3.001
7/16/2003	9.2	2.219
10/14/2003	10.1	2.313
1/13/2004	8.54	2.145

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

#Because the natural log was not possible for all background values, the TL was considered equal to the maximum background value.

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	23.4	NO	3.153	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	No	14.8	N/A	2.695	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	43.7	YES	3.777	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	112	YES	4.718	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	No	7.37	N/A	1.997	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	No	17.4	N/A	2.856	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	No	8.11	N/A	2.093	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW385
MW388

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, $CV = S/X$ If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, $S = [\text{Sum}([(background\ result-X)^2]/[\text{count of background results} - 1])]^{0.5}$

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, $TL = X + (K * S)$, LL Lower Tolerance Limit, $LL = X - (K * S)$

X Mean, $X = (\text{sum of background results})/(\text{count of background results})$

*** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-82

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Total Organic Carbon (TOC)

UNITS: mg/L

LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 1.544 S= 0.856 CV(1)=0.554 K factor***= 2.523 TL(1)= 3.702 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 0.325 S= 0.452 CV(2)=1.393 K factor***= 2.523 TL(2)= 1.465 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	1.6	0.470
9/16/2002	1.1	0.095
10/16/2002	1	0.000
1/13/2003	2	0.693
4/10/2003	3.4	1.224
7/16/2003	2	0.693
10/14/2003	1	0.000
1/13/2004	1	0.000

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	0.94	NO	-0.062	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	1.03	NO	0.030	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	0.691	NO	-0.370	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	0.577	NO	-0.550	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	Yes	0.782	NO	-0.246	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	Yes	0.956	NO	-0.045	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	Yes	0.715	NO	-0.335	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	1	0.000
9/16/2002	1	0.000
10/17/2002	1	0.000
1/13/2003	3.6	1.281
4/8/2003	1.9	0.642
7/16/2003	1.1	0.095
10/14/2003	1	0.000
1/13/2004	1	0.000

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results - 1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

*** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-83

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Total Organic Halides (TOX)

UNITS: ug/L

LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 31.513 S= 18.609 CV(1)=0.591 K factor***= 2.523 TL(1)= 78.462 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 3.240 S= 0.707 CV(2)=0.218 K factor***= 2.523 TL(2)= 5.024 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	50	3.912
9/16/2002	50	3.912
10/16/2002	50	3.912
1/13/2003	18.3	2.907
4/10/2003	51.2	3.936
7/16/2003	42.6	3.752
10/14/2003	12.3	2.510
1/13/2004	10	2.303

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	7.42	NO	2.004	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	10.4	NO	2.342	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	31.8	NO	3.459	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	3.62	NO	1.286	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	Yes	23.8	NO	3.170	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	Yes	7.98	NO	2.077	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	No	10	N/A	2.303	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	50	3.912
9/16/2002	50	3.912
10/17/2002	50	3.912
1/13/2003	12	2.485
4/8/2003	19.9	2.991
7/16/2003	17.9	2.885
10/14/2003	10	2.303
1/13/2004	10	2.303

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results - 1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

*** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-84

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Trichloroethene

UNITS: ug/L

LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 7.313 S= 5.701 CV(1)=0.780 K factor***= 2.523 TL(1)= 21.695 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 1.467 S= 1.213 CV(2)=0.827 K factor***= 2.523 TL(2)= 4.528 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	11	2.398
9/30/2002	14	2.639
10/16/2002	12	2.485
1/13/2003	14	2.639
4/10/2003	14	2.639
7/16/2003	13	2.565
10/14/2003	12	2.485
1/13/2004	11	2.398

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	1.91	N/A	0.647	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	5.06	NO	1.621	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	0.71	N/A	-0.342	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	0.59	N/A	-0.528	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	Yes	24.5	YES	3.199	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	Yes	3.5	N/A	1.253	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	No	1	N/A	0.000	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	5	1.609
9/30/2002	5	1.609
10/17/2002	1	0.000
1/13/2003	1	0.000
4/8/2003	1	0.000
7/16/2003	1	0.000
10/14/2003	1	0.000
1/13/2004	1	0.000

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW392

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, $CV = S/X$ If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, $S = [\text{Sum}([(background\ result-X)^2]/[\text{count of background results} - 1])]^{0.5}$

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, $TL = X + (K * S)$, LL Lower Tolerance Limit, $LL = X - (K * S)$

X Mean, $X = (\text{sum of background results})/(\text{count of background results})$

*** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-85

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Zinc

UNITS: mg/L

LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 0.044 S= 0.034 CV(1)=0.760 K factor***= 2.523 TL(1)= 0.129 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= -3.342 S= 0.659 CV(2)=-0.197 K factor***= 2.523 TL(2)= -1.679 LL(2)=N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.1	-2.303
9/16/2002	0.1	-2.303
10/16/2002	0.025	-3.689
1/13/2003	0.035	-3.352
4/10/2003	0.035	-3.352
7/16/2003	0.02	-3.912
10/14/2003	0.02	-3.912
1/13/2004	0.02	-3.912

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	No	0.02	N/A	-3.912	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	No	0.02	N/A	-3.912	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	0.00405	NO	-5.509	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	No	0.02	N/A	-3.912	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	No	0.02	N/A	-3.912	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	No	0.02	N/A	-3.912	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	Yes	0.00484	NO	-5.331	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.1	-2.303
9/16/2002	0.1	-2.303
10/17/2002	0.025	-3.689
1/13/2003	0.035	-3.352
4/8/2003	0.035	-3.352
7/16/2003	0.02	-3.912
10/14/2003	0.02	-3.912
1/13/2004	0.02	-3.912

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, $CV = S/X$ If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, $S = [\text{Sum}([(background\ result-X)^2]/[\text{count of background results} - 1])]^{0.5}$

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, $TL = X + (K * S)$, LL Lower Tolerance Limit, $LL = X - (K * S)$

X Mean, $X = (\text{sum of background results})/(\text{count of background results})$

*** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D1-86

ATTACHMENT D2

**COMPARISON OF CURRENT DATA TO
ONE-SIDED UPPER TOLERANCE INTERVAL TEST
CALCULATED USING
CURRENT BACKGROUND DATA**

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Current Background Comparison

Beta activity

UNITS: pCi/L

UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 1.574 S= 3.258 CV(1)=2.070 K factor**= 3.188 TL(1)= 11.960 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 1.217 S= 0.391 CV(2)=0.321 K factor**= 3.188 TL(2)= 1.677 LL(2)=N/A

Current Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW396

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
4/22/2020	4.38	1.477
7/29/2020	5.35	1.677
10/22/2020	2.49	0.912
1/26/2021	2.08	0.732
4/14/2021	3.62	1.286
7/21/2021	-0.18	#Func!
10/18/2021	-0.41	#Func!
1/13/2022	-4.74	#Func!

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

#Because the natural log was not possible for all background values, the TL was considered equal to the maximum background value.

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	55.1	N/A	4.009	YES

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Current Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW390

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results -1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009.

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis	Current Background Comparison
Oxidation-Reduction Potential	UNITS: mV
	UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	X= 266.875 S= 118.455 CV(1)=0.444	K factor**= 3.188	TL(1)= 644.509 LL(1)=N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	X= 5.473 S= 0.551 CV(2)=0.101	K factor**= 3.188	TL(2)= 7.231 LL(2)=N/A

Current Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result
--

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW396

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
4/22/2020	401	5.994
7/29/2020	346	5.846
10/22/2020	204	5.318
1/26/2021	80	4.382
4/14/2021	332	5.805
7/21/2021	400	5.991
10/18/2021	181	5.198
1/13/2022	191	5.252

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	Yes	381	NO	5.943	N/A
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	493	NO	6.201	N/A
MW393	Downgradient	Yes	360	NO	5.886	N/A
MW396	Upgradient	Yes	336	NO	5.817	N/A

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Current Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from current background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, $CV = S/X$ If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, $S = \sqrt{[\text{Sum} ((\text{background result}-X)^2)/[\text{count of background results} -1]]}^{0.5}$

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, $TL = X + (K * S)$, LL Lower Tolerance Limit, $LL = X - (K * S)$

X Mean, $X = (\text{sum of background results})/(\text{count of background results})$

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009.

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Current Background Comparison

Beta activity

UNITS: pCi/L

URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 9.159 S= 5.381 CV(1)=0.588 **K factor**= 2.523** TL(1)= 22.736 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 2.153 S= 0.588 CV(2)=0.273 **K factor**= 2.523** TL(2)= 2.939 LL(2)=N/A

Current Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
4/21/2020	16.5	2.803
7/28/2020	18.9	2.939
10/14/2020	13.7	2.617
1/25/2021	5.57	1.717
4/15/2021	9.12	2.210
7/19/2021	12	2.485
10/27/2021	11.4	2.434
1/19/2022	11.8	2.468

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
4/22/2020	5.27	1.662
7/29/2020	12	2.485
10/22/2020	10.9	2.389
1/26/2021	3.05	1.115
4/14/2021	9.32	2.232
7/21/2021	6.04	1.798
10/18/2021	-1.56	#Func!
1/13/2022	2.54	0.932

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

#Because the natural log was not possible for all background values, the TL was considered equal to the maximum background value.

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	193	YES	5.263	N/A

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Current Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW387

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results -1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009.

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Current Background Comparison

Calcium

UNITS: mg/L

URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 24.306 S= 2.797 CV(1)=0.115 **K factor**= 2.523** TL(1)= 31.363 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 3.184 S= 0.117 CV(2)=0.037 **K factor**= 2.523** TL(2)= 3.479 LL(2)=N/A

Current Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
4/21/2020	28.8	3.360
7/28/2020	20.6	3.025
10/14/2020	19.9	2.991
1/25/2021	20.9	3.040
4/15/2021	27.7	3.321
7/19/2021	22.2	3.100
10/27/2021	21.3	3.059
1/19/2022	22	3.091

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	61.1	YES	4.113	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	42.1	YES	3.740	N/A

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
4/22/2020	24.9	3.215
7/29/2020	26	3.258
10/22/2020	27.4	3.311
1/26/2021	25.5	3.239
4/14/2021	26.8	3.288
7/21/2021	24.9	3.215
10/18/2021	24.6	3.203
1/13/2022	25.4	3.235

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Current Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW372
MW387

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum (((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009.

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Current Background Comparison

Conductivity

UNITS: umho/cm

URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 380.188 S= 30.087 CV(1)=0.079 **K factor**= 2.523** TL(1)= 456.097 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 5.938 S= 0.078 CV(2)=0.013 **K factor**= 2.523** TL(2)= 6.135 LL(2)=N/A

Current Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
4/21/2020	435	6.075
7/28/2020	354	5.869
10/14/2020	338	5.823
1/25/2021	344	5.841
4/15/2021	438	6.082
7/19/2021	359	5.883
10/27/2021	341	5.832
1/19/2022	376	5.930

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	738	YES	6.604	N/A

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
4/22/2020	367	5.905
7/29/2020	379	5.938
10/22/2020	375	5.927
1/26/2021	390	5.966
4/14/2021	392	5.971
7/21/2021	400	5.991
10/18/2021	394	5.976
1/13/2022	401	5.994

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Current Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW372

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, $CV = S/X$ If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, $S = \sqrt{[\text{Sum } ((\text{background result}-X)^2)/[\text{count of background results} - 1]]^{0.5}}$

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, $TL = X + (K * S)$, LL Lower Tolerance Limit, $LL = X - (K * S)$

X Mean, $X = (\text{sum of background results})/(\text{count of background results})$

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009.

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Current Background Comparison

Dissolved Solids

UNITS: mg/L

URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 205.250 S= 32.824 CV(1)=0.160 **K factor**= 2.523** TL(1)= 288.064 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 5.313 S= 0.154 CV(2)=0.029 **K factor**= 2.523** TL(2)= 5.702 LL(2)=N/A

Current Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
4/21/2020	214	5.366
7/28/2020	191	5.252
10/14/2020	190	5.247
1/25/2021	161	5.081
4/15/2021	250	5.521
7/19/2021	196	5.278
10/27/2021	194	5.268
1/19/2022	179	5.187

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	457	YES	6.125	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	320	YES	5.768	N/A

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
4/22/2020	200	5.298
7/29/2020	213	5.361
10/22/2020	154	5.037
1/26/2021	196	5.278
4/14/2021	207	5.333
7/21/2021	290	5.670
10/18/2021	219	5.389
1/13/2022	230	5.438

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Current Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW372
MW387

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, $CV = S/X$ If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, $S = \sqrt{[\text{Sum } ((\text{background result}-X)^2)/[\text{count of background results} - 1]]^{0.5}}$

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, $TL = X + (K * S)$, LL Lower Tolerance Limit, $LL = X - (K * S)$

X Mean, $X = (\text{sum of background results})/(\text{count of background results})$

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009.

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Current Background Comparison

Magnesium

UNITS: mg/L

URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 10.186 S= 1.261 CV(1)=0.124 **K factor**= 2.523** TL(1)= 13.366 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 2.314 S= 0.127 CV(2)=0.055 **K factor**= 2.523** TL(2)= 2.634 LL(2)=N/A

Current Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
4/21/2020	11.9	2.477
7/28/2020	8.24	2.109
10/14/2020	8.71	2.164
1/25/2021	8.72	2.166
4/15/2021	11.7	2.460
7/19/2021	9.29	2.229
10/27/2021	8.31	2.117
1/19/2022	9.2	2.219

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	22	YES	3.091	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	17.2	YES	2.845	N/A

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
4/22/2020	10.7	2.370
7/29/2020	11.2	2.416
10/22/2020	11.8	2.468
1/26/2021	10.7	2.370
4/14/2021	11	2.398
7/21/2021	10.7	2.370
10/18/2021	10.3	2.332
1/13/2022	10.5	2.351

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Current Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW372
MW387

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results -1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009.

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Current Background Comparison

Sodium

UNITS: mg/L

URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 36.631 S= 4.686 CV(1)=0.128 **K factor**= 2.523** TL(1)= 48.453 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 3.594 S= 0.125 CV(2)=0.035 **K factor**= 2.523** TL(2)= 3.908 LL(2)=N/A

Current Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
4/21/2020	44	3.784
7/28/2020	38.3	3.645
10/14/2020	38.3	3.645
1/25/2021	36.1	3.586
4/15/2021	46.5	3.839
7/19/2021	39.7	3.681
10/27/2021	39.2	3.669
1/19/2022	41.6	3.728

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	58.4	YES	4.067	N/A

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
4/22/2020	33.4	3.509
7/29/2020	33.7	3.517
10/22/2020	35.4	3.567
1/26/2021	30.9	3.431
4/14/2021	32.9	3.493
7/21/2021	32.1	3.469
10/18/2021	32.4	3.478
1/13/2022	31.6	3.453

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Current Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW387

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results -1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009.

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Current Background Comparison

Sulfate

UNITS: mg/L

URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 14.988 S= 4.061 CV(1)=0.271 **K factor**= 2.523** TL(1)= 25.233 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 2.677 S= 0.248 CV(2)=0.093 **K factor**= 2.523** TL(2)= 3.304 LL(2)=N/A

Current Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
4/21/2020	22.2	3.100
7/28/2020	15.3	2.728
10/14/2020	13.9	2.632
1/25/2021	15.9	2.766
4/15/2021	24.4	3.195
7/19/2021	17	2.833
10/27/2021	16.9	2.827
1/19/2022	19.2	2.955

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	24.9	NO	3.215	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	Yes	18.2	NO	2.901	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	144	YES	4.970	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	19.4	NO	2.965	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	28.1	YES	3.336	N/A

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
4/22/2020	12.7	2.542
7/29/2020	11.7	2.460
10/22/2020	11.3	2.425
1/26/2021	11.4	2.434
4/14/2021	12.5	2.526
7/21/2021	11.8	2.468
10/18/2021	11.9	2.477
1/13/2022	11.7	2.460

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Current Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW372
MW387

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results -1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D2-14

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Current Background Comparison

Technetium-99

UNITS: pCi/L

URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 10.619 S= 5.777 CV(1)=0.544 **K factor**= 2.523** TL(1)= 25.194 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 1.928 S= 1.517 CV(2)=0.786 **K factor**= 2.523** TL(2)= 5.755 LL(2)=N/A

Current Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
4/21/2020	18.7	2.929
7/28/2020	19	2.944
10/14/2020	16.7	2.815
1/25/2021	10.3	2.332
4/15/2021	12.1	2.493
7/19/2021	13.3	2.588
10/27/2021	12.7	2.542
1/19/2022	17.4	2.856

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
4/22/2020	6.29	1.839
7/29/2020	9.21	2.220
10/22/2020	1.28	0.247
1/26/2021	11.4	2.434
4/14/2021	0.0414	-3.184
7/21/2021	9.97	2.300
10/18/2021	6.06	1.802
1/13/2022	5.46	1.697

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	57.2	YES	4.047	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	79.4	YES	4.374	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	34	YES	3.526	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	354	YES	5.869	N/A

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Current Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.

Wells with Exceedances

- MW369
- MW372
- MW384
- MW387

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results -1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009.

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Current Background Comparison

Beta activity

UNITS: pCi/L

LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 9.093 S= 7.071 CV(1)=0.778 K factor**= 2.523 TL(1)= 26.933 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 2.000 S= 0.927 CV(2)=0.464 K factor**= 2.523 TL(2)= 3.303 LL(2)=N/A

Current Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
4/22/2020	7.55	2.022
7/29/2020	13	2.565
10/22/2020	9.97	2.300
1/26/2021	0.657	-0.420
4/14/2021	2.08	0.732
7/21/2021	2.86	1.051
10/18/2021	5.98	1.788
1/13/2022	-2.3	#Func!

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
4/22/2020	8.69	2.162
7/27/2020	17.7	2.874
10/22/2020	9.56	2.258
1/25/2021	13.5	2.603
4/14/2021	12.1	2.493
7/19/2021	27.2	3.303
10/14/2021	7.65	2.035
1/13/2022	9.29	2.229

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

#Because the natural log was not possible for all background values, the TL was considered equal to the maximum background value.

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	52.2	YES	3.955	N/A

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Current Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW388

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results -1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009.

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Current Background Comparison

Calcium

UNITS: mg/L

LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 21.688 S= 3.264 CV(1)=0.150 K factor**= 2.523 TL(1)= 29.921 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 3.066 S= 0.152 CV(2)=0.049 K factor**= 2.523 TL(2)= 3.449 LL(2)=N/A

Current Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
4/22/2020	24	3.178
7/29/2020	24.7	3.207
10/22/2020	25.7	3.246
1/26/2021	24.8	3.211
4/14/2021	24.4	3.195
7/21/2021	25	3.219
10/18/2021	24.3	3.190
1/13/2022	25.5	3.239

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	62.8	YES	4.140	N/A

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
4/22/2020	18.1	2.896
7/27/2020	18.9	2.939
10/22/2020	19.8	2.986
1/25/2021	18.8	2.934
4/14/2021	18.4	2.912
7/19/2021	18.3	2.907
10/14/2021	18.1	2.896
1/13/2022	18.2	2.901

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Current Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW373

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results -1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D2-17

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Current Background Comparison

Conductivity

UNITS: umho/cm

LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 341.813 S= 25.074 CV(1)=0.073 **K factor**= 2.523** TL(1)= 405.074 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 5.832 S= 0.074 CV(2)=0.013 **K factor**= 2.523** TL(2)= 6.018 LL(2)=N/A

Current Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
4/22/2020	350	5.858
7/29/2020	354	5.869
10/22/2020	358	5.881
1/26/2021	358	5.881
4/14/2021	366	5.903
7/21/2021	372	5.919
10/18/2021	375	5.927
1/13/2022	376	5.930

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	777	YES	6.655	N/A

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
4/22/2020	319	5.765
7/27/2020	322	5.775
10/22/2020	324	5.781
1/25/2021	320	5.768
4/14/2021	314	5.749
7/19/2021	326	5.787
10/14/2021	295	5.687
1/13/2022	340	5.829

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Current Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW373

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, $CV = S/X$ If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, $S = \sqrt{[\text{Sum } ((\text{background result}-X)^2)/[\text{count of background results} - 1]]^{0.5}}$

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, $TL = X + (K * S)$, LL Lower Tolerance Limit, $LL = X - (K * S)$

X Mean, $X = (\text{sum of background results})/(\text{count of background results})$

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D2-18

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Current Background Comparison

Dissolved Solids

UNITS: mg/L

LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 160.848 S= 46.047 CV(1)=0.286 **K factor**= 2.523** TL(1)= 277.024 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 4.947 S= 0.757 CV(2)=0.153 **K factor**= 2.523** TL(2)= 6.858 LL(2)=N/A

Current Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
4/22/2020	199	5.293
7/29/2020	173	5.153
10/22/2020	150	5.011
1/26/2021	8.57	2.148
4/14/2021	184	5.215
7/21/2021	204	5.318
10/18/2021	194	5.268
1/13/2022	201	5.303

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	484	YES	6.182	N/A

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
4/22/2020	160	5.075
7/27/2020	179	5.187
10/22/2020	133	4.890
1/25/2021	151	5.017
4/14/2021	157	5.056
7/19/2021	173	5.153
10/14/2021	166	5.112
1/13/2022	141	4.949

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Current Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW373

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, $CV = S/X$ If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, $S = \sqrt{[\text{Sum } ((\text{background result}-X)^2)/[\text{count of background results} - 1]]^{0.5}}$

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, $TL = X + (K * S)$, LL Lower Tolerance Limit, $LL = X - (K * S)$

X Mean, $X = (\text{sum of background results})/(\text{count of background results})$

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009.

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Current Background Comparison

Magnesium

UNITS: mg/L

LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 9.141 S= 1.413 CV(1)=0.155 K factor**= 2.523 TL(1)= 12.705 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 2.201 S= 0.156 CV(2)=0.071 K factor**= 2.523 TL(2)= 2.595 LL(2)=N/A

Current Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
4/22/2020	10.2	2.322
7/29/2020	10.4	2.342
10/22/2020	11.1	2.407
1/26/2021	10.4	2.342
4/14/2021	10.2	2.322
7/21/2021	10.6	2.361
10/18/2021	10.3	2.332
1/13/2022	10.6	2.361

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	23.6	YES	3.161	N/A

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
4/22/2020	7.81	2.055
7/27/2020	7.7	2.041
10/22/2020	8.61	2.153
1/25/2021	7.94	2.072
4/14/2021	7.68	2.039
7/19/2021	7.62	2.031
10/14/2021	7.57	2.024
1/13/2022	7.53	2.019

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Current Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW373

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, $CV = S/X$ If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, $S = \sqrt{[\text{Sum } ((\text{background result}-X)^2)/[\text{count of background results} - 1]]^{0.5}}$

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, $TL = X + (K * S)$, LL Lower Tolerance Limit, $LL = X - (K * S)$

X Mean, $X = (\text{sum of background results})/(\text{count of background results})$

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D2-20

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Current Background Comparison

Sulfate

UNITS: mg/L

LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 11.688 S= 0.403 CV(1)=0.034 **K factor**= 2.523** TL(1)= 12.705 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 2.458 S= 0.034 CV(2)=0.014 **K factor**= 2.523** TL(2)= 2.545 LL(2)=N/A

Current Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
4/22/2020	12.4	2.518
7/29/2020	12	2.485
10/22/2020	11.7	2.460
1/26/2021	11.6	2.451
4/14/2021	12.4	2.518
7/21/2021	11.8	2.468
10/18/2021	11.9	2.477
1/13/2022	11.6	2.451

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	20.9	YES	3.040	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	199	YES	5.293	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	19.3	YES	2.960	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	25	YES	3.219	N/A

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
4/22/2020	11	2.398
7/27/2020	11.7	2.460
10/22/2020	11.1	2.407
1/25/2021	11.5	2.442
4/14/2021	11.3	2.425
7/19/2021	11.3	2.425
10/14/2021	12	2.485
1/13/2022	11.7	2.460

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Current Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.

Wells with Exceedances

- MW370
- MW373
- MW385
- MW388

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum (((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D2-22

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Current Background Comparison

Technetium-99

UNITS: pCi/L

LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 11.117 S= 5.485 CV(1)=0.493 K factor***= 2.523 TL(1)= 24.956 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 2.392 S= 0.462 CV(2)=0.193 K factor***= 2.523 TL(2)= 3.001 LL(2)=N/A

Current Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
4/22/2020	8.44	2.133
7/29/2020	12.2	2.501
10/22/2020	-1.04	#Func!
1/26/2021	14	2.639
4/14/2021	3.78	1.330
7/21/2021	9.45	2.246
10/18/2021	8.55	2.146
1/13/2022	5.03	1.615

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
4/22/2020	15	2.708
7/27/2020	20.1	3.001
10/22/2020	8.46	2.135
1/25/2021	15.2	2.721
4/14/2021	14	2.639
7/19/2021	13.8	2.625
10/14/2021	12.8	2.549
1/13/2022	18.1	2.896

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

#Because the natural log was not possible for all background values, the TL was considered equal to the maximum background value.

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	43.7	YES	3.777	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	112	YES	4.718	N/A

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Current Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW385
MW388

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results -1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D2-23

C-746-S/T Second Quarter 2022 Statistical Analysis Current Background Comparison

Trichloroethene

UNITS: ug/L

LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data X= 1.927 S= 1.348 CV(1)=0.700 **K factor**= 2.523** TL(1)= 5.329 LL(1)=N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data X= 0.453 S= 0.651 CV(2)=1.436 **K factor**= 2.523** TL(2)= 2.095 LL(2)=N/A

Current Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
4/22/2020	1.86	0.621
7/29/2020	1.85	0.615
10/22/2020	2.27	0.820
1/26/2021	2.28	0.824
4/14/2021	2.32	0.842
7/21/2021	5.43	1.692
10/18/2021	3.26	1.182
1/13/2022	4.09	1.409

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW392	Downgradient	Yes	24.5	YES	3.199	N/A

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
4/22/2020	1	0.000
7/27/2020	1	0.000
10/22/2020	1	0.000
1/25/2021	1	0.000
4/14/2021	1	0.000
7/19/2021	1	0.000
10/14/2021	1	0.000
1/13/2022	0.47	-0.755

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Current Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW392

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ((background result-X)^2)/[count of background results -1]]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/>, 2009. D2-24

ATTACHMENT D3

STATISTICIAN QUALIFICATION STATEMENT

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

July 14, 2022


Mr. Dennis Greene
Four Rivers Nuclear Partnership, LLC
5511 Hobbs Road
Kevil, KY 42053

Dear Mr. Greene:

As an Environmental Scientist, with a bachelor's degree in Earth Sciences/Geology, I have over 30 years of experience in reviewing and assessing laboratory analytical results associated with environmental sampling and investigation activities. For the generation of these statistical analyses, my work was reviewed by a qualified independent technical reviewer with Four Rivers Nuclear Partnership, LLC.

For this project, the statistical analyses conducted on the second quarter 2022 monitoring well data collected from the C-746-S&T and C-746-U Landfills were performed in accordance with guidance provided in the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency guidance document, *EPA Statistical Analysis of Groundwater Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Final Guidance* (1989).

Sincerely,



Bryan Smith

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

APPENDIX E
GROUNDWATER FLOW RATE AND DIRECTION

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

GROUNDWATER FLOW RATE AND DIRECTION

Whenever monitoring wells (MWs) are sampled, 401 KAR 48:300, Section 11, requires determination of groundwater flow rate and direction of flow in the uppermost aquifer. The uppermost aquifer below the C-746-S&T Landfills is the Regional Gravel Aquifer (RGA). Water level measurements currently are recorded in several wells at the landfill on a quarterly basis. These measurements were used to plot the potentiometric surface of the RGA for the second quarter 2022 and to determine the groundwater flow rate and direction.


Water levels during this reporting period were measured on April 25 and 26, 2022. As shown on Figure E.1, MW389, screened in the Upper Continental Recharge System (UCRS), is usually dry, while other UCRS wells have recordable water levels. During this reporting period, MW389 had insufficient water for a water level measurement.

The UCRS has a strong vertical hydraulic gradient; therefore, the limited number of available UCRS wells, screened over different elevations, is not sufficient for mapping the potentiometric surface. Figure E.1 shows the location of UCRS MWs. The Upper Regional Gravel Aquifer (URGA) and Lower Regional Gravel Aquifer (LRGA) data were corrected for barometric pressure, if necessary, and converted to elevations to plot the potentiometric surface of the RGA, as a whole, as shown on Table E.1. Figure E.2 is a composite or average map of the URGA and LRGA elevations where well clusters exist. The contour lines are placed based on the average water level elevations of the clusters.¹ During April, RGA groundwater flow was directed inward and then northeast towards the Ohio River. Based on the site potentiometric map (Figure E.2), the hydraulic gradient beneath the landfill, as measured along the defined groundwater flow directions, is 3.03×10^{-4} ft/ft. Additional water level measurements in April (Figure E.3) document the vicinity groundwater hydraulic gradient for the RGA to be 1.35×10^{-3} ft/ft, northward. The hydraulic gradients are shown in Table E.2.

The average linear groundwater flow velocity (v) is determined by multiplying the hydraulic gradient (i) by the hydraulic conductivity (K) [resulting in the specific discharge (q)] and dividing by the effective porosity (n_e). The RGA hydraulic conductivity values used are reported in the administrative application for the New Solid Waste Landfill Permit No. 073-00045NWC1 and range from 425 to 725 ft/day (0.150 to 0.256 cm/s). RGA effective porosity is assumed to be 25%. Vicinity and site flow velocities were calculated using the low and high values for hydraulic conductivity, as shown in Table E.3.

Regional groundwater flow near the C-746-S&T Landfills typically trends northeastward toward the Ohio River. As demonstrated on the potentiometric map for April 2022, RGA groundwater flow from the landfill area was directed to the northeast.

¹ Additional water level measurements, in wells at the C-746-U Landfill and in wells of the surrounding region (MW98, MW100, MW125, MW139, MW165A, MW173, MW197, and MW200), were used to contour the RGA potentiometric surface.



Geological conditions in the UCRS indicate that permeable zones are discontinuous across the plant site. In the vicinity of the C-746-S&T Landfills, one of the wells (MW389) is often dry (or has a low water level that prevents sample collection), while others have recordable water levels. The UCRS contains a strong vertical gradient; therefore, the limited number of UCRS wells is not sufficient to map the potentiometric surface.

Legend	
●	Monitoring Wells
— (light blue)	Streams
— (grey)	Roads
□ (green outline)	C-746-S&T and U Landfill Boundaries

Map Source Information
 Map Location/Date: G:\GIS\ARCVIEWS\PROJECTS\Quarterly Landfill Reports\Landfill Maps 2022 Q2\2022 2Q.aprx 7/25/2022
 Monitoring Wells: G:\GIS\PEGASIS.gdb\locations
 Roads: G:\GIS\PEGASIS.gdb\roadrow
 Landfill Boundary: G:\GIS\PEGASIS.gdb\Facilities
 U Landfill Phases: G:\GIS\SHAPES\BOUNDS\c746u phases.shp
 Streams: G:\GIS\PEGASIS.gdb\streams

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
 DOE PORTSMOUTH/PADUCAH PROJECT OFFICE
 PADUCAH GASEOUS DIFFUSION PLANT



Figure E.1. Potentiometric Measurements of the Upper Continental Recharge System at the C-746-S&T Landfills, April 25 and 26, 2022

Table E.1. C-746-S&T Landfills Second Quarter 2022 (April) Water Levels

C-746-S&T Landfills (April 2022) Water Levels										
Date	Time	Well	Formation	Datum Elev (ft amsl)	BP (in Hg)	Delta BP (ft H ₂ O)	Raw Data		*Corrected Data	
							DTW (ft)	Elev (ft amsl)	DTW (ft)	Elev (ft amsl)
4/25/2022	13:48	MW220	URGA	382.01	30.11	0.00	53.30	328.71	53.30	328.71
4/25/2022	14:07	MW221	URGA	391.38	30.12	-0.01	62.75	328.63	62.74	328.64
4/25/2022	14:00	MW222	URGA	395.27	30.12	-0.01	66.64	328.63	66.63	328.64
4/25/2022	14:04	MW223	URGA	394.38	30.12	-0.01	65.82	328.56	65.81	328.57
4/25/2022	13:57	MW224	URGA	395.69	30.12	-0.01	67.07	328.62	67.06	328.63
4/25/2022	13:51	MW225	URGA	385.73	30.11	0.00	57.18	328.55	57.18	328.55
4/25/2022	14:15	MW353	LRGA	375.05	30.12	-0.01	47.06	327.99	47.05	328.00
4/25/2022	10:43	MW369	URGA	364.23	30.08	0.03	35.50	328.73	35.53	328.70
4/25/2022	10:44	MW370	LRGA	365.12	30.08	0.03	36.38	328.74	36.41	328.71
4/25/2022	10:45	MW371	UCRS	364.64	30.08	0.03	20.63	344.01	20.66	343.98
4/25/2022	10:38	MW372	URGA	359.42	30.08	0.03	30.71	328.71	30.74	328.68
4/25/2022	10:39	MW373	LRGA	359.73	30.08	0.03	31.03	328.70	31.06	328.67
4/25/2022	10:40	MW374	UCRS	359.44	30.08	0.03	16.70	342.74	16.73	342.71
4/25/2022	13:42	MW384	URGA	365.29	30.11	0.00	36.59	328.70	36.59	328.70
4/25/2022	13:43	MW385	LRGA	365.74	30.11	0.00	36.98	328.76	36.98	328.76
4/25/2022	13:45	MW386	UCRS	365.32	30.11	0.00	17.80	347.52	17.80	347.52
4/25/2022	13:37	MW387	URGA	363.48	30.11	0.00	34.76	328.72	34.76	328.72
4/25/2022	13:38	MW388	LRGA	363.45	30.11	0.00	34.75	328.70	34.75	328.70
4/25/2022	13:33	MW389	UCRS	364.11	30.11				NA	NA
4/25/2022	13:31	MW390	UCRS	360.39	30.11	0.00	31.81	328.58	31.81	328.58
4/25/2022	13:11	MW391	URGA	366.67	30.11	0.00	38.04	328.63	38.04	328.63
4/25/2022	13:12	MW392	LRGA	365.85	30.11	0.00	37.25	328.60	37.25	328.60
4/25/2022	13:13	MW393	UCRS	366.62	30.11	0.00	26.26	340.36	26.26	340.36
4/26/2022	9:30	MW394	URGA	378.46	30.39	-0.32	50.03	328.43	49.71	328.75
4/26/2022	9:31	MW395	LRGA	379.12	30.39	-0.32	50.72	328.40	50.40	328.72
4/26/2022	9:32	MW396	UCRS	378.75	30.39	-0.32	4.92	373.83	4.60	374.15
4/25/2022	13:26	MW397	LRGA	387.00	30.11	0.00	58.30	328.70	58.30	328.70
4/25/2022	13:16	MW418	URGA	367.21	30.11	0.00	38.51	328.70	38.51	328.70
4/25/2022	13:17	MW419	LRGA	367.05	30.11	0.00	38.37	328.68	38.37	328.68
Reference Barometric Pressure					30.11					
Elev = elevation										
amsl = above mean sea level										
BP = barometric pressure										
DTW = depth to water in feet below datum										
URGA = Upper Regional Gravel Aquifer										
LRGA = Lower Regional Gravel Aquifer										
UCRS = Upper Continental Recharge System										
*Assumes a barometric efficiency of 1.0										




Figure E.2. Composite Potentiometric Surface of the Regional Gravel Aquifer at the C-746-S&T Landfills, April 25 and 26, 2022




Figure E.3. Vicinity Potentiometric Surface of the Regional Gravel Aquifer, April 25 and 26, 2022

Table E.2. C-746-S&T Landfills Hydraulic Gradients

	ft/ft
Beneath Landfill Mound	3.03×10^{-4}
Vicinity	1.35×10^{-3}

Table E.3. C-746-S&T Landfills Groundwater Flow Rate

Hydraulic Conductivity (K)		Specific Discharge (q)		Average Linear Velocity (v)	
ft/day	cm/s	ft/day	cm/s	ft/day	cm/s
Beneath Landfill Mound					
725	0.256	0.220	7.77×10^{-5}	0.880	3.11×10^{-4}
425	0.150	0.129	4.55×10^{-5}	0.516	1.82×10^{-4}
Vicinity					
725	0.256	0.982	3.47×10^{-4}	3.927	1.39×10^{-3}
425	0.150	0.575	2.03×10^{-4}	2.302	8.12×10^{-4}

APPENDIX F
NOTIFICATIONS

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

NOTIFICATIONS

In accordance with 401 KAR 48:300 § 7, the notification for parameters that exceed the maximum contaminant level (MCL) has been submitted to the Kentucky Division of Waste Management. The parameters are listed on page F-4. The notification for parameters that do not have MCLs but had statistically significant increased concentrations relative to historical background concentrations is provided below.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF PARAMETERS NOTIFICATION

The statistical analyses conducted on the second quarter 2022 groundwater data collected from the C-746-S&T Landfills monitoring wells were performed in accordance with *Groundwater Monitoring Plan for the Solid Waste Permitted Landfills (C-746-S Residential Landfill, C-746-T Inert Landfill, and C-746-U Contained Landfill) at the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah, Kentucky* (LATA Kentucky 2014).

The following are the permit required parameters in 40 CFR § 302.4, Appendix A, which had statistically significant, increased concentrations relative to historical background concentrations.

	<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Monitoring Well</u>
Upper Continental Recharge System	Technetium-99	MW390
Upper Regional Gravel Aquifer	Sodium Technetium-99	MW387 MW369, MW372, MW384, MW387
Lower Regional Gravel Aquifer	Technetium-99	MW385, MW388

NOTE: Although technetium-99 is not cited in 40 CFR § 302.4, Appendix A, this radionuclide is being reported along with the parameters of this regulation.

5/23/2022

**Four Rivers Nuclear Partnership, LLC
PROJECT ENVIRONMENTAL MEASUREMENTS SYSTEM
C-746-S&T LANDFILLS
SOLID WASTE PERMIT NUMBER SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045
MAXIMUM CONTAMINANT LEVEL (MCL) EXCEEDANCE REPORT
Quarterly Groundwater Sampling**

AKGWA	Station	Analysis	Method	Results	Units	MCL
8004-4792	MW373	Trichloroethene	8260D	5.06	ug/L	5
8004-4815	MW387	Beta activity	9310	193	pCi/L	50
8004-4816	MW388	Beta activity	9310	52.2	pCi/L	50
8004-4811	MW390	Beta activity	9310	55.1	pCi/L	50
8004-4805	MW391	Trichloroethene	8260D	6.38	ug/L	5
8004-4806	MW392	Trichloroethene	8260D	24.5	ug/L	5
8004-4802	MW394	Trichloroethene	8260D	5.28	ug/L	5

NOTE 1: MCLs are defined in 401 KAR 47:030.

NOTE 2: MW369, MW370, MW372, and MW373 are down-gradient wells for the C-746-S and C-746-T Landfills and upgradient for the C-746-U Landfill. These wells are sampled with the C-746-U Landfill monitoring well network. These wells are reported on the exceedance reports for C-746-S, C-746-T, and C-746-U.

APPENDIX G
CHART OF MCL AND UTL EXCEEDANCES

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Chart of MCL and Historical UTL Exceedances for the C-746-S&T Landfills

Groundwater Flow System	UCRS					URGA										LRGA							
	S	D	D	D	U	S	S	S	S	S	S	D	D	D	D	U	U	S	D	D	D	D	U
Monitoring Well	386	389	390	393	396	221	222	223	224	384	369	372	387	391	220	394	385	370	373	388	392	395	397
ACETONE																							
Quarter 3, 2003							*					*											
Quarter 4, 2003											*									*			
Quarter 1, 2005									*														
Quarter 4, 2019															*								
ALPHA ACTIVITY																							
Quarter 4, 2002			■	■									■										
Quarter 4, 2008										■													
Quarter 4, 2010										■													
ALUMINUM																							
Quarter 1, 2003			*				*					*	*	*									
Quarter 2, 2003			*				*					*	*	*									
Quarter 3, 2003			*				*	*				*	*	*									
Quarter 4, 2003							*	*			*		*										
Quarter 1, 2004			*				*	*			*												
Quarter 2, 2004							*						*										
Quarter 3, 2004							*						*										
Quarter 4, 2004			*																				
Quarter 1, 2005			*																				
Quarter 2, 2005			*				*																
Quarter 3, 2005			*				*			*												*	
Quarter 4, 2005			*				*			*													
Quarter 1, 2006							*					*											
Quarter 2, 2006			*				*																
Quarter 3, 2006							*																
Quarter 4, 2006			*				*																
Quarter 1, 2007							*											*					
Quarter 2, 2007							*											*					
Quarter 3, 2007							*																
Quarter 4, 2007							*																
Quarter 1, 2008							*						*										
Quarter 2, 2008											*												
Quarter 4, 2008							*																
Quarter 1, 2009			*				*				*												
Quarter 1, 2010			*				*				*												
Quarter 2, 2010			*								*												
Quarter 3, 2010			*								*		*					*		*			
Quarter 1, 2011							*				*												
Quarter 2, 2011			*								*												
Quarter 2, 2012			*																				
Quarter 3, 2012							*																
Quarter 1, 2013							*				*												
Quarter 3, 2013			*								*												
Quarter 1, 2014							*																
Quarter 2, 2014											*												
Quarter 4, 2014			*																				
Quarter 1, 2016							*																
Quarter 2, 2016														*									
Quarter 1, 2017							*																
Quarter 4, 2017																							*
Quarter 1, 2018							*																
Quarter 1, 2020												*											
BARIUM																							
Quarter 3, 2003							■	■															
Quarter 4, 2003							■	■															
BETA ACTIVITY																							
Quarter 4, 2002													■										
Quarter 1, 2003													■					■					

Chart of MCL and Historical UTL Exceedances for the C-746-S&T Landfills (Continued)

Groundwater Flow System	UCRS					URGA										LRGA							
	S	D	D	D	U	S	S	S	S	S	S	D	D	D	D	U	U	S	D	D	D	D	U
Monitoring Well	386	389	390	393	396	221	222	223	224	384	369	372	387	391	220	394	385	370	373	388	392	395	397
BETA ACTIVITY																							
Quarter 2, 2003			■	■														■			■		
Quarter 3, 2003			■															■					
Quarter 4, 2003			■							■			■										
Quarter 1, 2004			■										■					■					
Quarter 2, 2004			■									■	■					■		■	■		
Quarter 3, 2004			■									■	■					■					
Quarter 4, 2004			■									■	■					■					
Quarter 1, 2005			■							■			■					■					
Quarter 2, 2005			■										■					■			■		
Quarter 3, 2005										■			■										
Quarter 4, 2005										■		■	■					■					
Quarter 1, 2006										■		■	■					■		■	■		
Quarter 2, 2006				■						■		■	■					■		■	■		
Quarter 3, 2006										■		■	■					■		■	■		
Quarter 4, 2006	■			■						■		■	■							■	■		
Quarter 1, 2007				■						■		■	■					■		■	■		
Quarter 2, 2007				■						■		■	■					■		■	■		
Quarter 3, 2007										■		■	■					■			■		
Quarter 4, 2007				■						■		■	■					■		■	■		
Quarter 1, 2008				■						■		■	■					■			■		
Quarter 2, 2008				■						■	■	■	■					■			■		
Quarter 3, 2008										■		■	■					■			■		
Quarter 4, 2008										■		■	■					■					
Quarter 1, 2009				■						■		■	■					■					
Quarter 2, 2009										■		■	■					■					
Quarter 3, 2009										■		■	■					■			■		
Quarter 4, 2009										■		■	■					■					
Quarter 1, 2010										■		■	■					■					
Quarter 2, 2010				■						■		■	■					■					
Quarter 3, 2010										■		■	■					■					
Quarter 4, 2010										■		■	■					■					
Quarter 1, 2011										■		■	■					■					
Quarter 2, 2011				■						■		■	■					■					
Quarter 3, 2011										■		■	■					■			■		
Quarter 4, 2011										■		■	■					■					
Quarter 1, 2012				■						■		■	■					■			■		
Quarter 2, 2012				■						■		■	■					■			■		
Quarter 3, 2012										■		■	■					■					
Quarter 4, 2012										■		■	■					■		■	■		
Quarter 1, 2013										■		■	■					■			■		
Quarter 2, 2013										■		■	■					■			■		
Quarter 3, 2013										■		■	■					■		■	■		
Quarter 4, 2013										■		■	■					■			■		
Quarter 1, 2014				■						■		■	■					■					
Quarter 2, 2014										■		■	■					■			■		
Quarter 3, 2014										■		■	■					■			■		
Quarter 4, 2014										■		■	■					■			■		
Quarter 1, 2015										■		■	■					■			■		
Quarter 2, 2015										■		■	■					■			■		
Quarter 3, 2015										■		■	■					■			■		
Quarter 4, 2015										■		■	■					■			■		
Quarter 1, 2016				■						■		■	■					■			■		
Quarter 2, 2016										■		■	■					■			■		
Quarter 3, 2016										■		■	■					■		■	■		
Quarter 4, 2016										■	■	■	■					■			■		
Quarter 1, 2017										■		■	■					■			■		
Quarter 2, 2017										■		■	■					■		■	■		
Quarter 3, 2017										■		■	■					■			■		
Quarter 4, 2017										■		■	■					■		■	■		
Quarter 1, 2018				■						■		■	■					■		■	■		
Quarter 2, 2018				■						■	■	■	■					■			■		
Quarter 3, 2018				■						■		■	■					■		■	■		

Chart of MCL and Historical UTL Exceedances for the C-746-S&T Landfills (Continued)

Groundwater Flow System	UCRS					URGA										LRGA							
	S	D	D	D	U	S	S	S	S	S	S	D	D	D	U	U	S	D	D	D	U	U	
Monitoring Well	386	389	390	393	396	221	222	223	224	384	369	372	387	391	220	394	385	370	373	388	392	395	397
BETA ACTIVITY																							
Quarter 4, 2018										■		■	■					■		■			
Quarter 1, 2019										■			■					■	■		■		
Quarter 2, 2019										■	■		■					■	■		■		
Quarter 3, 2019										■	■	■	■					■	■				
Quarter 4, 2019			■							■		■	■					■	■		■		
Quarter 1, 2020			■									■	■					■	■				
Quarter 2, 2020													■					■	■		■		
Quarter 3, 2020												■	■						■				
Quarter 4, 2020													■					■					
Quarter 1, 2021													■										
Quarter 2, 2021													■										
Quarter 3, 2021													■										
Quarter 4, 2021													■										
Quarter 1, 2022													■										
Quarter 2, 2022			■										■							■			
BROMIDE																							
Quarter 1, 2003			*																				
Quarter 4, 2003			*																				
Quarter 1, 2004			*																				
Quarter 2, 2004			*																				
Quarter 3, 2004			*																				
Quarter 4, 2004			*																				
Quarter 1, 2005			*																				
Quarter 3, 2006			*																				
CALCIUM																							
Quarter 1, 2003			*																				
Quarter 2, 2003			*									*											
Quarter 3, 2003			*																				
Quarter 4, 2003			*									*								*			
Quarter 1, 2004			*									*		*						*			
Quarter 2, 2004			*									*								*			
Quarter 3, 2004			*									*								*			
Quarter 4, 2004			*									*								*			
Quarter 1, 2005												*								*			
Quarter 2, 2005												*								*			
Quarter 3, 2005												*								*			
Quarter 4, 2005												*								*			
Quarter 1, 2006												*								*			
Quarter 2, 2006												*								*			
Quarter 3, 2006												*								*			
Quarter 4, 2006												*								*			
Quarter 1, 2007												*								*			
Quarter 2, 2007												*								*			
Quarter 3, 2007												*								*			
Quarter 4, 2007												*								*			
Quarter 1, 2008												*								*			
Quarter 2, 2008												*								*			
Quarter 3, 2008												*								*			
Quarter 4, 2008												*								*			
Quarter 1, 2009												*								*			
Quarter 2, 2009												*								*			
Quarter 3, 2009												*								*			
Quarter 4, 2009												*								*			
Quarter 1, 2010												*								*			
Quarter 2, 2010												*								*			
Quarter 3, 2010												*								*			
Quarter 4, 2010												*								*			
Quarter 1, 2011												*								*			
Quarter 2, 2011												*	*							*			
Quarter 3, 2011												*								*			
Quarter 4, 2011												*								*			
Quarter 1, 2012												*								*			
Quarter 2, 2012												*								*			
Quarter 3, 2012												*								*			

Chart of MCL and Historical UTL Exceedances for the C-746-S&T Landfills (Continued)

Groundwater Flow System	UCRS					URGA										LRGA							
	S	D	D	D	U	S	S	S	S	S	D	D	D	U	U	S	D	D	D	U	U		
Monitoring Well	386	389	390	393	396	221	222	223	224	384	369	372	387	391	220	394	385	370	373	388	392	395	397
CALCIUM																							
Quarter 4, 2012												*							*				
Quarter 1, 2013												*							*				
Quarter 2, 2013												*							*				
Quarter 3, 2013												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2013												*							*				
Quarter 1, 2014																		*	*				
Quarter 2, 2014												*							*	*			
Quarter 3, 2014												*						*	*				
Quarter 4, 2014												*							*	*			
Quarter 1, 2015												*	*						*	*			
Quarter 2, 2015												*							*	*			
Quarter 3, 2015												*							*	*			
Quarter 4, 2015												*							*	*			
Quarter 1, 2016												*							*	*			
Quarter 2, 2016												*		*					*	*			
Quarter 3, 2016												*							*	*			
Quarter 4, 2016												*							*	*			
Quarter 1, 2017												*							*	*			
Quarter 2, 2017												*							*	*			
Quarter 3, 2017												*							*	*			
Quarter 4, 2017												*							*	*			
Quarter 1, 2018												*							*	*			
Quarter 2, 2018												*							*	*			
Quarter 4, 2018												*							*	*			
Quarter 1, 2019												*							*	*			
Quarter 2, 2019												*							*	*			
Quarter 3, 2019												*							*	*			
Quarter 4, 2019												*	*						*	*			
Quarter 1, 2020												*	*						*	*			
Quarter 2, 2020												*							*	*			
Quarter 3, 2020												*	*						*	*			
Quarter 4, 2020												*	*						*	*			
Quarter 1, 2021												*	*						*	*			
Quarter 2, 2021												*							*	*			
Quarter 3, 2021												*	*						*	*			
Quarter 4, 2021												*	*						*	*			
Quarter 1, 2022												*	*						*	*			
Quarter 2, 2022												*	*						*	*			
CARBON DISULFIDE																							
Quarter 4, 2010												*											
Quarter 1, 2011												*									*		
Quarter 2, 2017												*	*						*				
CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND																							
Quarter 1, 2003				*																			
Quarter 2, 2003				*																			
Quarter 3, 2003				*		*			*														
Quarter 4, 2003				*					*														
Quarter 1, 2004	*			*																			
Quarter 4, 2004	*			*																			
Quarter 1, 2005	*			*																			
Quarter 2, 2005	*			*																			
Quarter 3, 2005	*			*					*		*									*			
Quarter 4, 2005	*			*					*		*												
Quarter 1, 2006	*			*																			
Quarter 2, 2006	*			*																			
Quarter 3, 2006	*			*																			
Quarter 4, 2006																	*						
Quarter 1, 2007	*			*					*														
Quarter 2, 2007	*			*																			
Quarter 3, 2007	*			*																			
Quarter 4, 2007	*			*																			
Quarter 1, 2008	*			*																			
Quarter 2, 2008	*			*																			

Chart of MCL and Historical UTL Exceedances for the C-746-S&T Landfills (Continued)

Groundwater Flow System	UCRS					URGA										LRGA							
	S	D	D	D	U	S	S	S	S	S	D	D	D	U	U	S	D	D	D	U	U		
Monitoring Well	386	389	390	393	396	221	222	223	224	384	369	372	387	391	220	394	385	370	373	388	392	395	397
CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND																							
Quarter 3, 2008	*																						
Quarter 4, 2008	*																						
Quarter 1, 2009	*																						
Quarter 2, 2009	*																			*			
Quarter 3, 2009	*																						
Quarter 4, 2009	*																						
Quarter 1, 2010	*																						
Quarter 2, 2010	*																						
Quarter 3, 2010	*																						
Quarter 4, 2010	*																						
Quarter 3, 2011	*																						
Quarter 4, 2011	*																						
Quarter 1, 2012	*																						
Quarter 1, 2013	*																						
Quarter 3, 2013	*																						
Quarter 3, 2014	*								*			*					*						
Quarter 4, 2014							*																
Quarter 2, 2015																*							
Quarter 3, 2015															*								
Quarter 3, 2016			*								*												
Quarter 4, 2016																	*						
Quarter 2, 2017							*																
Quarter 3, 2017	*														*								
Quarter 4, 2017						*																	
Quarter 2, 2018													*		*								*
Quarter 3, 2018												*											*
Quarter 4, 2018																							*
Quarter 2, 2019				*							*		*					*					*
Quarter 3, 2019											*	*						*			*	*	*
Quarter 4, 2019	*		*				*		*	*	*				*								*
Quarter 1, 2020				*				*													*		
Quarter 2, 2020															*								
Quarter 4, 2020															*								
Quarter 1, 2021												*											
Quarter 2, 2021						*									*								
Quarter 4, 2021	*																						
Quarter 1, 2022						*	*	*					*	*					*	*			
Quarter 2, 2022						*							*										
CHLORIDE																							
Quarter 1, 2003			*																				
Quarter 4, 2003			*																				
Quarter 3, 2003			*																				
Quarter 4, 2003			*																				
Quarter 1, 2004			*																				
Quarter 2, 2004			*																				
Quarter 3, 2004			*																				
Quarter 4, 2004			*																				
Quarter 1, 2005			*																				
Quarter 2, 2005			*																				
Quarter 3, 2005			*																				
Quarter 4, 2005			*																				
Quarter 1, 2006																	*						
Quarter 2, 2006			*																				
Quarter 3, 2006			*																				
Quarter 4, 2006			*																				
Quarter 1, 2007			*																				
Quarter 2, 2007			*																				
Quarter 3, 2007			*																				
Quarter 4, 2007			*																				
Quarter 1, 2008			*																				
Quarter 2, 2008			*																				
Quarter 3, 2008			*																				
Quarter 4, 2008			*																				

Chart of MCL and Historical UTL Exceedances for the C-746-S&T Landfills (Continued)

Groundwater Flow System	UCRS					URGA										LRGA							
	S	D	D	D	U	S	S	S	S	S	D	D	D	D	U	U	S	D	D	D	U	U	
Monitoring Well	386	389	390	393	396	221	222	223	224	384	369	372	387	391	220	394	385	370	373	388	392	395	397
CHLORIDE																							
Quarter 1, 2009			*																				
Quarter 2, 2009			*																				
Quarter 3, 2009			*																				
Quarter 4, 2009			*																				
Quarter 1, 2010			*																				
Quarter 2, 2010			*																				
Quarter 3, 2010			*																				
Quarter 4, 2010			*																				
Quarter 2, 2011			*																				
Quarter 3, 2011			*																				
Quarter 4, 2011			*																				
Quarter 3, 2012			*																				
Quarter 3, 2013			*																				
Quarter 4, 2013			*																				
Quarter 4, 2014			*																				
Quarter 2, 2019																					*		
CHROMIUM																							
Quarter 4, 2002									■														
Quarter 1, 2003									■													■	
Quarter 2, 2003							■		■														
Quarter 3, 2009							■																
Quarter 1, 2019							■																
COBALT																							
Quarter 3, 2003								*															
CONDUCTIVITY																							
Quarter 4, 2002										*										*			
Quarter 1, 2003			*							*										*			
Quarter 2, 2003			*							*										*			
Quarter 3, 2003			*					*		*										*			
Quarter 4, 2003			*							*										*			
Quarter 1, 2004																				*			
Quarter 2, 2004										*										*			
Quarter 3, 2004										*										*			
Quarter 4, 2004			*							*										*			
Quarter 1, 2005										*		*								*			
Quarter 2, 2005											*									*			
Quarter 3, 2005												*								*			
Quarter 4, 2005										*		*								*			
Quarter 1, 2006											*		*							*			
Quarter 2, 2006											*		*							*			
Quarter 3, 2006											*		*							*			
Quarter 4, 2006													*				*			*			
Quarter 1, 2007												*								*			
Quarter 2, 2007												*					*			*			
Quarter 3, 2007												*					*			*			
Quarter 4, 2007											*		*				*			*			
Quarter 1, 2008											*		*							*			
Quarter 2, 2008											*		*							*			
Quarter 3, 2008											*		*				*			*			
Quarter 4, 2008											*		*							*			
Quarter 1, 2009											*		*							*			
Quarter 2, 2009											*		*							*			
Quarter 3, 2009											*		*							*			
Quarter 4, 2009											*		*				*			*			
Quarter 1, 2010											*		*							*			
Quarter 2, 2010											*		*							*			
Quarter 3, 2010											*		*							*			
Quarter 4, 2010											*		*							*			
Quarter 1, 2011										*		*								*			
Quarter 2, 2011										*		*								*			
Quarter 3, 2011										*		*								*			
Quarter 4, 2011										*		*								*			
Quarter 1, 2012										*		*								*			
Quarter 2, 2012										*		*								*			
Quarter 3, 2012										*		*								*			

Chart of MCL and Historical UTL Exceedances for the C-746-S&T Landfills (Continued)

Groundwater Flow System	UCRS					URGA										LRGA							
	S	D	D	D	U	S	S	S	S	S	D	D	D	D	U	U	S	D	D	D	U	U	
Monitoring Well	386	389	390	393	396	221	222	223	224	384	369	372	387	391	220	394	385	370	373	388	392	395	397
CONDUCTIVITY																							
Quarter 4, 2012											*								*				
Quarter 1, 2013											*								*				
Quarter 2, 2013											*								*				
Quarter 3, 2013											*								*				
Quarter 4, 2013											*								*				
Quarter 1, 2014											*								*				
Quarter 2, 2014											*								*				
Quarter 3, 2014											*								*				
Quarter 4, 2014											*								*				
Quarter 1, 2015											*								*				
Quarter 2, 2015											*								*				
Quarter 3, 2015											*								*				
Quarter 4, 2015											*								*				
Quarter 1, 2016											*								*				
Quarter 2, 2016											*								*				
Quarter 3, 2016											*								*				
Quarter 4, 2016											*								*				
Quarter 1, 2017											*								*				
Quarter 2, 2017											*								*				
Quarter 3, 2017											*								*				
Quarter 4, 2017											*								*				
Quarter 1, 2018											*								*				
Quarter 2, 2018											*								*				
Quarter 3, 2018											*								*				
Quarter 4, 2018											*								*				
Quarter 1, 2019											*								*				
Quarter 2, 2019											*								*				
Quarter 3, 2019											*								*				
Quarter 4, 2019											*								*				
Quarter 1, 2020											*								*				
Quarter 2, 2020											*								*	*			
Quarter 3, 2020											*								*				
Quarter 4, 2020											*								*				
Quarter 1, 2021											*								*				
Quarter 2, 2021											*								*				
Quarter 3, 2021											*								*				
Quarter 4, 2021											*								*				
Quarter 1, 2022											*								*				
Quarter 2, 2022											*								*				
DISSOLVED OXYGEN																							
Quarter 3, 2006			*					*															
DISSOLVED SOLIDS																							
Quarter 4, 2002											*								*				
Quarter 1, 2003			*								*								*				
Quarter 2, 2003			*								*								*				
Quarter 3, 2003			*				*	*			*	*							*				
Quarter 4, 2003			*				*		*		*	*							*				
Quarter 1, 2004			*								*	*							*				
Quarter 2, 2004											*	*							*				
Quarter 3, 2004											*	*							*				
Quarter 4, 2004											*	*							*				
Quarter 1, 2005											*	*							*				
Quarter 2, 2005											*	*							*				
Quarter 3, 2005																	*	*	*	*	*	*	
Quarter 4, 2005																	*	*	*	*	*	*	
Quarter 1, 2006																	*	*	*	*	*	*	
Quarter 2, 2006																	*	*	*	*	*	*	
Quarter 3, 2006																	*	*	*	*	*	*	
Quarter 4, 2006										*	*						*	*	*	*	*	*	
Quarter 1, 2007											*	*							*				
Quarter 2, 2007											*	*							*				
Quarter 3, 2007											*	*							*				
Quarter 4, 2007											*	*							*				

Chart of MCL and Historical UTL Exceedances for the C-746-S&T Landfills (Continued)

Groundwater Flow System	UCRS					URGA										LRGA							
	S	D	D	D	U	S	S	S	S	S	S	D	D	D	D	U	U	S	D	D	D	U	U
Monitoring Well	386	389	390	393	396	221	222	223	224	384	369	372	387	391	220	394	385	370	373	388	392	395	397
DISSOLVED SOLIDS																							
Quarter 1, 2008												*							*				
Quarter 2, 2008												*							*				
Quarter 3, 2008												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2008										*		*							*				
Quarter 1, 2009												*							*				
Quarter 2, 2009												*	*						*				
Quarter 3, 2009												*	*						*				
Quarter 4, 2009												*	*						*				
Quarter 1, 2010												*	*						*				
Quarter 2, 2010										*		*	*						*				
Quarter 3, 2010										*		*							*				
Quarter 4, 2010										*		*							*				
Quarter 1, 2011										*		*							*				
Quarter 2, 2011												*	*						*				
Quarter 3, 2011												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2011												*							*				
Quarter 1, 2012												*	*	*					*				
Quarter 2, 2012												*							*				
Quarter 3, 2012											*	*	*						*				
Quarter 4, 2012												*	*						*				
Quarter 1, 2013										*		*							*				
Quarter 2, 2013												*							*				
Quarter 3, 2013												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2013												*							*				
Quarter 1, 2014												*	*						*				
Quarter 2, 2014												*							*				
Quarter 3, 2014									*		*	*							*				
Quarter 4, 2014												*	*						*				
Quarter 1, 2015												*							*				
Quarter 2, 2015												*							*				
Quarter 3, 2015												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2015									*		*							*	*				
Quarter 1, 2016												*							*				
Quarter 2, 2016												*	*	*					*				
Quarter 3, 2016												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2016												*							*				
Quarter 1, 2017												*							*				
Quarter 2, 2017												*							*				
Quarter 3, 2017												*		*	*				*				
Quarter 4, 2017												*							*				
Quarter 1, 2018												*							*				
Quarter 2, 2018												*							*				
Quarter 3, 2018												*		*					*				
Quarter 4, 2018												*							*				
Quarter 1, 2019												*							*				
Quarter 2, 2019												*							*				
Quarter 3, 2019												*	*						*				
Quarter 4, 2019												*							*				
Quarter 1, 2020												*	*						*				
Quarter 2, 2020												*	*						*				
Quarter 3, 2020										*		*	*				*		*				
Quarter 4, 2020												*	*						*				
Quarter 1, 2021												*							*				
Quarter 2, 2021												*	*						*				
Quarter 3, 2021												*	*						*				
Quarter 4, 2021												*	*						*				
Quarter 1, 2022												*	*						*				
Quarter 2, 2022												*	*						*				
IODIDE																							
Quarter 4, 2002																						*	
Quarter 2, 2003							*																
Quarter 3, 2003													*										
Quarter 1, 2004				*																			
Quarter 3, 2010																						*	
Quarter 2, 2013										*													

Chart of MCL and Historical UTL Exceedances for the C-746-S&T Landfills (Continued)

Groundwater Flow System	UCRS					URGA										LRGA								
	S	D	D	D	U	S	S	S	S	S	S	D	D	D	D	U	U	S	D	D	D	D	U	U
Monitoring Well	386	389	390	393	396	221	222	223	224	384	369	372	387	391	220	394	385	370	373	388	392	395	397	
IRON																								
Quarter 1, 2003							*				*	*			*									
Quarter 2, 2003											*	*	*	*										
Quarter 3, 2003							*	*	*		*	*	*											
Quarter 4, 2003											*													
Quarter 1, 2004											*													
Quarter 2, 2004											*	*												
Quarter 3, 2004											*													
Quarter 4, 2004											*													
Quarter 1, 2005													*											
Quarter 2, 2005												*	*											
Quarter 1, 2006							*																	
Quarter 2, 2006													*											
Quarter 3, 2006												*												
Quarter 1, 2007												*	*											
Quarter 2, 2007												*												
Quarter 2, 2008												*												
Quarter 3, 2008												*												
MAGNESIUM																								
Quarter 1, 2003			*																					
Quarter 2, 2003			*									*								*				
Quarter 3, 2003			*				*					*												
Quarter 4, 2003			*									*								*				
Quarter 1, 2004			*									*		*						*				
Quarter 2, 2004			*									*								*				
Quarter 3, 2004			*									*								*				
Quarter 4, 2004			*									*								*				
Quarter 1, 2005												*								*				
Quarter 2, 2005												*								*				
Quarter 3, 2005												*								*				
Quarter 4, 2005												*								*				
Quarter 1, 2006												*								*				
Quarter 2, 2006												*								*				
Quarter 3, 2006												*								*				
Quarter 4, 2006												*								*				
Quarter 1, 2007												*								*				
Quarter 2, 2007												*								*				
Quarter 3, 2007												*								*				
Quarter 4, 2007												*								*				
Quarter 1, 2008												*								*				
Quarter 2, 2008												*								*				
Quarter 3, 2008												*								*				
Quarter 4, 2008												*								*				
Quarter 1, 2009												*								*				
Quarter 2, 2009												*								*				
Quarter 3, 2009												*	*							*				
Quarter 4, 2009												*								*				
Quarter 1, 2010												*								*				
Quarter 2, 2010												*	*							*				
Quarter 3, 2010												*								*				
Quarter 4, 2010												*								*				
Quarter 1, 2011												*								*				
Quarter 2, 2011												*	*							*				
Quarter 3, 2011												*								*				
Quarter 4, 2011												*								*				
Quarter 1, 2012												*								*				
Quarter 2, 2012												*								*				
Quarter 3, 2012												*	*							*				
Quarter 4, 2012												*	*							*				
Quarter 1, 2013												*								*				
Quarter 2, 2013												*								*				
Quarter 3, 2013												*								*				
Quarter 4, 2013												*								*				
Quarter 1, 2014												*								*	*			

Chart of MCL and Historical UTL Exceedances for the C-746-S&T Landfills (Continued)

Groundwater Flow System	UCRS					URGA										LRGA							
	S	D	D	D	U	S	S	S	S	S	S	D	D	D	U	U	S	D	D	D	U	U	
Monitoring Well	386	389	390	393	396	221	222	223	224	384	369	372	387	391	220	394	385	370	373	388	392	395	397
MAGNESIUM																							
Quarter 2, 2014												*	*						*				
Quarter 3, 2014												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2014												*	*						*				
Quarter 1, 2015												*	*						*				
Quarter 2, 2015												*							*				
Quarter 3, 2015												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2015												*							*				
Quarter 1, 2016												*							*				
Quarter 2, 2016												*		*					*				
Quarter 3, 2016												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2016												*		*					*				
Quarter 1, 2017												*		*					*				
Quarter 2, 2017												*							*				
Quarter 3, 2017												*		*					*				
Quarter 4, 2017												*							*				
Quarter 1, 2018												*	*						*				
Quarter 2, 2018												*							*				
Quarter 3, 2018												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2018												*	*	*					*				
Quarter 1, 2019												*		*					*				
Quarter 2, 2019												*							*				
Quarter 3, 2019												*	*						*				
Quarter 4, 2019												*	*						*				
Quarter 1, 2020												*	*						*				
Quarter 2, 2020												*	*						*				
Quarter 3, 2020												*	*						*				
Quarter 4, 2020												*	*						*				
Quarter 1, 2021												*	*						*				
Quarter 2, 2021												*	*						*				
Quarter 3, 2021												*	*						*				
Quarter 4, 2021												*	*						*				
Quarter 1, 2022												*	*						*				
Quarter 2, 2022												*	*						*				
MANGANESE																							
Quarter 4, 2002																						*	
Quarter 3, 2003							*	*															
Quarter 4, 2003							*	*															
Quarter 1, 2004							*																
Quarter 2, 2004							*																
Quarter 4, 2004							*	*															
Quarter 1, 2005							*																
Quarter 3, 2005																						*	
Quarter 3, 2009	*																						
Quarter 1, 2022	*																						
OXIDATION-REDUCTION POTENTIAL																							
Quarter 4, 2003			*																				
Quarter 2, 2004			*																				
Quarter 3, 2004			*															*					
Quarter 4, 2004			*			*																	
Quarter 1, 2005			*															*					
Quarter 2, 2005	*		*																				
Quarter 3, 2005	*		*																				
Quarter 4, 2005			*																				
Quarter 2, 2006			*															*					
Quarter 3, 2006			*															*					
Quarter 4, 2006			*																				
Quarter 1, 2007			*																				
Quarter 2, 2007			*					*															
Quarter 3, 2007			*					*															
Quarter 4, 2007			*																				
Quarter 1, 2008			*			*			*														
Quarter 2, 2008	*		*	*		*							*				*	*	*				
Quarter 3, 2008			*	*		*							*				*	*	*				
Quarter 4, 2008			*	*		*	*	*	*				*				*	*	*				

Chart of MCL and Historical UTL Exceedances for the C-746-S&T Landfills (Continued)

Groundwater Flow System	UCRS					URGA										LRGA							
	S	D	D	D	U	S	S	S	S	S	S	D	D	D	D	U	U	S	D	D	D	U	U
Monitoring Well	386	389	390	393	396	221	222	223	224	384	369	372	387	391	220	394	385	370	373	388	392	395	397
OXIDATION-REDUCTION POTENTIAL																							
Quarter 1, 2009			*				*	*	*				*	*				*	*				
Quarter 3, 2009			*	*		*												*	*	*			
Quarter 4, 2009			*			*			*									*		*			
Quarter 1, 2010	*		*															*	*		*		
Quarter 2, 2010	*		*	*					*				*					*	*		*		
Quarter 3, 2010	*		*	*		*												*	*	*	*		
Quarter 4, 2010			*				*			*			*					*	*	*	*		
Quarter 1, 2011	*		*			*	*	*	*		*		*	*				*	*		*	*	
Quarter 2, 2011	*		*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 3, 2011	*		*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		*		*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 4, 2011	*		*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 1, 2012	*		*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 2, 2012	*		*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 3, 2012	*		*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 4, 2012			*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 1, 2013			*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 2, 2013	*		*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 3, 2013	*		*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 4, 2013			*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 1, 2014	*		*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 2, 2014	*		*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 3, 2014	*		*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 4, 2014	*		*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 1, 2015	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 2, 2015	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 3, 2015	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 4, 2015	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 1, 2016	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 2, 2016	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 3, 2016	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 4, 2016	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 1, 2017	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 2, 2017	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 3, 2017	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 4, 2017	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 1, 2018	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 2, 2018	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 3, 2018	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 4, 2018	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 1, 2019	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 2, 2019	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 3, 2019	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 4, 2019	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 1, 2020	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 2, 2020	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 3, 2020	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 4, 2020	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 1, 2021	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 2, 2021	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 3, 2021	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 4, 2021	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 1, 2022	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 2, 2022	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
PCB-1016																							
Quarter 4, 2003							*	*	*	*								*					
Quarter 3, 2004							*			*													
Quarter 3, 2005							*			*													
Quarter 1, 2006										*													
Quarter 2, 2006										*													
Quarter 4, 2006										*													
Quarter 1, 2007										*	*												
Quarter 2, 2007										*													
Quarter 3, 2007										*													
Quarter 2, 2008										*	*												
Quarter 3, 2008										*													
Quarter 4, 2008										*													

Chart of MCL and Historical UTL Exceedances for the C-746-S&T Landfills (Continued)

Groundwater Flow System	UCRS					URGA										LRGA							
	S	D	D	D	U	S	S	S	S	S	D	D	D	D	U	U	S	D	D	D	D	U	U
Monitoring Well	386	389	390	393	396	221	222	223	224	384	369	372	387	391	220	394	385	370	373	388	392	395	397
PCB-1016																							
Quarter 1, 2009											*												
Quarter 2, 2009											*												
Quarter 3, 2009											*												
Quarter 4, 2009											*												
Quarter 1, 2010											*												
Quarter 2, 2010											*												
Quarter 3, 2010											*												
Quarter 4, 2010											*												
PCB-1232																							
Quarter 1, 2011											*												
PCB-1248																							
Quarter 2, 2008												*											
PCB-1260																							
Quarter 2, 2006																		*					
pH																							
Quarter 4, 2002																		*					
Quarter 2, 2003																		*					
Quarter 3, 2003																		*					
Quarter 4, 2003							*											*					
Quarter 1, 2004							*											*					
Quarter 2, 2004																		*					
Quarter 3, 2004																		*					
Quarter 4, 2004																		*					
Quarter 3, 2005											*							*			*		
Quarter 4, 2005											*							*					
Quarter 1, 2006																		*					
Quarter 2, 2006																		*					
Quarter 3, 2006																		*					
Quarter 3, 2007																		*					
Quarter 4, 2007																		*					
Quarter 4, 2008																		*					
Quarter 1, 2009																		*					
Quarter 1, 2011																		*					
Quarter 2, 2011											*												
Quarter 3, 2011											*												
Quarter 1, 2012														*									
Quarter 1, 2013											*		*					*					
Quarter 4, 2014																						*	
Quarter 2, 2016																		*	*				
POTASSIUM																							
Quarter 4, 2002																		*	*				
Quarter 3, 2004																			*				
Quarter 2, 2005																			*				
Quarter 3, 2005																			*				
Quarter 4, 2005																			*				
Quarter 2, 2006																			*				
Quarter 3, 2006																			*				
Quarter 4, 2006																			*				
Quarter 4, 2008																			*				
Quarter 3, 2012																			*				
Quarter 1, 2013																			*				
Quarter 2, 2013																			*				
Quarter 3, 2013																			*				
RADIUM-226																							
Quarter 4, 2002													*	*								*	
Quarter 2, 2004																			*				
Quarter 2, 2005											*												
Quarter 1, 2009											*												
Quarter 3, 2014											*	*											
Quarter 4, 2014			*								*								*				
Quarter 1, 2015			*				*				*	*							*				
Quarter 2, 2015			*				*				*	*							*				
Quarter 3, 2015			*																				

Chart of MCL and Historical UTL Exceedances for the C-746-S&T Landfills (Continued)

Groundwater Flow System	UCRS					URGA										LRGA							
	S	D	D	D	U	S	S	S	S	S	D	D	D	D	U	U	S	D	D	D	D	U	U
Monitoring Well	386	389	390	393	396	221	222	223	224	384	369	372	387	391	220	394	385	370	373	388	392	395	397
RADIUM-226																							
Quarter 4, 2015					*	*									*		*				*	*	
Quarter 2, 2016			*						*		*	*	*	*	*	*		*					
Quarter 3, 2016																		*					
Quarter 4, 2016	*		*			*			*				*		*					*		*	
Quarter 1, 2017			*						*	*									*				
Quarter 2, 2017																		*	*		*	*	
Quarter 3, 2017					*				*	*	*									*		*	
Quarter 4, 2017																		*		*			
Quarter 1, 2018												*						*		*			
Quarter 4, 2018												*						*					
Quarter 1, 2020																		*					
Quarter 2, 2020															*								
RADIUM-228																							
Quarter 2, 2005							■				■												
Quarter 3, 2005			■																				
Quarter 4, 2005							■		■														
Quarter 1, 2006					■																		
SELENIUM																							
Quarter 4, 2002			■		■																		
Quarter 1, 2003					■																	■	
Quarter 2, 2003			■																				
Quarter 3, 2003			■		■																		
Quarter 4, 2003			■																				
SODIUM																							
Quarter 4, 2002																			*		*		
Quarter 1, 2003				*					*	*	*												
Quarter 2, 2003				*					*	*		*											
Quarter 3, 2003						*	*		*	*													
Quarter 4, 2003						*			*	*													
Quarter 1, 2004									*	*				*									
Quarter 2, 2004									*	*													
Quarter 3, 2004									*	*													
Quarter 4, 2004									*	*													
Quarter 1, 2005										*									*				
Quarter 2, 2005										*									*				
Quarter 3, 2005									*	*									*				
Quarter 4, 2005									*	*													
Quarter 1, 2006									*	*													
Quarter 2, 2006									*	*													
Quarter 3, 2006									*	*		*							*				
Quarter 4, 2006									*	*							*						
Quarter 1, 2007									*	*		*											
Quarter 2, 2007									*	*													
Quarter 3, 2007									*	*													
Quarter 4, 2007									*	*													
Quarter 1, 2008									*	*													
Quarter 3, 2008										*		*											
Quarter 4, 2008									*	*													
Quarter 1, 2009									*	*		*							*				
Quarter 3, 2009										*		*											
Quarter 4, 2009									*	*		*											
Quarter 1, 2010										*		*											
Quarter 2, 2010										*		*											
Quarter 3, 2010										*													
Quarter 4, 2010									*	*													
Quarter 1, 2011									*	*													
Quarter 2, 2011									*	*													
Quarter 4, 2011										*									*				
Quarter 1, 2012										*													
Quarter 3, 2012										*		*							*				
Quarter 4, 2012										*		*							*				

Chart of MCL and Historical UTL Exceedances for the C-746-S&T Landfills (Continued)

Groundwater Flow System	UCRS					URGA										LRGA							
	S	D	D	D	U	S	S	S	S	S	S	D	D	D	D	U	U	S	D	D	D	U	U
Monitoring Well	386	389	390	393	396	221	222	223	224	384	369	372	387	391	220	394	385	370	373	388	392	395	397
SODIUM																							
Quarter 1, 2013										*		*							*				
Quarter 2, 2013											*								*				
Quarter 3, 2013											*								*				
Quarter 4, 2013											*								*				
Quarter 1, 2014											*								*				
Quarter 2, 2014									*	*	*								*				
Quarter 3, 2014										*									*				
Quarter 4, 2014									*	*	*	*							*				
Quarter 1, 2015													*										
Quarter 2, 2015											*												
Quarter 3, 2015										*		*											
Quarter 4, 2015									*	*	*	*							*				
Quarter 2, 2016											*												
Quarter 3, 2016											*											*	
Quarter 1, 2017										*	*	*	*					*					
Quarter 2, 2017									*	*	*	*											
Quarter 2, 2018													*										
Quarter 3, 2018														*									
Quarter 1, 2019													*										
Quarter 2, 2019													*										
Quarter 4, 2019											*	*											
Quarter 1, 2020											*	*							*				
Quarter 2, 2020											*	*	*						*				
Quarter 3, 2020											*	*											
Quarter 4, 2020											*	*											
Quarter 1, 2021											*	*	*										
Quarter 2, 2021											*	*	*										
Quarter 3, 2021											*	*	*										
Quarter 4, 2021											*	*	*										
Quarter 1, 2022											*	*	*										
Quarter 2, 2022											*	*	*										
STRONTIUM-90																							
Quarter 2, 2003										■													
Quarter 1, 2004										■													
SULFATE																							
Quarter 4, 2002																			*				
Quarter 1, 2003											*	*	*				*		*				
Quarter 2, 2003										*	*	*	*				*	*	*				
Quarter 3, 2003										*	*	*	*				*	*	*				
Quarter 4, 2003										*	*	*	*				*	*	*				
Quarter 1, 2004										*	*	*	*				*	*	*				
Quarter 2, 2004										*	*	*	*			*	*	*	*				
Quarter 3, 2004								*	*	*	*	*	*				*	*	*				
Quarter 4, 2004										*	*	*	*				*	*	*				
Quarter 1, 2005										*	*	*	*			*	*	*	*				
Quarter 2, 2005										*	*	*	*			*	*	*	*				
Quarter 3, 2005										*	*	*	*			*	*	*	*				
Quarter 4, 2005										*	*	*	*			*	*	*	*	*			
Quarter 1, 2006										*	*	*	*			*	*	*	*	*			
Quarter 2, 2006									*	*	*	*	*			*	*	*	*	*			
Quarter 3, 2006									*	*	*	*	*			*	*	*	*	*			
Quarter 4, 2006									*	*	*	*	*			*	*	*	*	*			
Quarter 1, 2007									*	*	*	*	*			*	*	*	*	*			
Quarter 2, 2007									*	*	*	*	*			*	*	*	*	*			
Quarter 3, 2007									*	*	*	*	*			*	*	*	*	*			
Quarter 4, 2007									*	*	*	*	*			*	*	*	*	*			
Quarter 1, 2008									*	*	*	*	*			*	*	*	*	*			
Quarter 2, 2008							*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*			
Quarter 3, 2008									*	*	*	*	*			*	*	*	*	*			
Quarter 4, 2008									*	*	*	*	*			*	*	*	*	*			
Quarter 1, 2009									*	*	*	*	*			*	*	*	*	*			
Quarter 2, 2009									*	*	*	*	*			*	*	*	*	*	*		
Quarter 3, 2009									*	*	*	*	*			*	*	*	*	*	*		
Quarter 4, 2009	*								*	*	*	*	*			*	*	*	*	*	*		
Quarter 1, 2010	*								*	*	*	*	*			*	*	*	*	*	*		

Chart of MCL and Historical UTL Exceedances for the C-746-S&T Landfills (Continued)

Groundwater Flow System	UCRS					URGA										LRGA							
	S	D	D	D	U	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	D	D	D	U	U	S	D	D	D	U	U
Monitoring Well	386	389	390	393	396	221	222	223	224	384	369	372	387	391	220	394	385	370	373	388	392	395	397
SULFATE																							
Quarter 2, 2010									*	*		*	*				*	*	*	*			
Quarter 3, 2010										*		*	*				*	*	*	*			
Quarter 4, 2010	*									*		*	*				*	*	*	*			
Quarter 1, 2011	*									*		*	*				*	*	*	*			
Quarter 2, 2011	*									*		*	*	*			*	*	*	*			
Quarter 3, 2011	*									*		*	*	*			*	*	*	*			
Quarter 4, 2011	*									*		*	*				*	*	*	*			
Quarter 1, 2012	*									*		*	*				*	*	*	*			
Quarter 2, 2012	*									*		*	*				*	*	*	*			
Quarter 3, 2012	*									*		*	*				*	*	*	*			
Quarter 4, 2012										*		*	*				*	*	*	*			
Quarter 1, 2013										*		*	*				*	*	*	*			
Quarter 2, 2013										*		*	*	*			*	*	*	*			
Quarter 3, 2013										*		*	*	*			*	*	*	*			
Quarter 4, 2013										*		*	*				*	*	*	*			
Quarter 1, 2014								*		*		*	*				*	*	*	*			
Quarter 2, 2014										*		*	*	*			*	*	*	*			
Quarter 3, 2014										*		*	*	*			*	*	*	*			
Quarter 4, 2014										*		*	*	*			*	*	*	*			
Quarter 1, 2015										*		*	*				*	*	*	*			
Quarter 2, 2015										*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*			
Quarter 3, 2015							*			*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*			
Quarter 4, 2015										*		*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*			
Quarter 1, 2016							*			*		*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*			
Quarter 2, 2016							*			*		*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*			
Quarter 3, 2016							*			*		*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*			
Quarter 4, 2016										*		*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*			
Quarter 1, 2017										*		*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*			
Quarter 2, 2017							*			*		*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*			
Quarter 3, 2017							*			*		*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*			
Quarter 4, 2017										*		*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*			
Quarter 1, 2018										*		*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*			
Quarter 2, 2018							*			*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*			
Quarter 3, 2018							*			*		*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*			
Quarter 4, 2018										*		*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*			
Quarter 1, 2019							*			*		*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*			
Quarter 2, 2019							*			*		*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*			
Quarter 3, 2019			*				*			*		*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	
Quarter 4, 2019			*							*		*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	
Quarter 1, 2020							*			*		*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	
Quarter 2, 2020							*			*		*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	
Quarter 3, 2020			*							*		*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	
Quarter 4, 2020										*		*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	
Quarter 1, 2021										*		*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	
Quarter 2, 2021							*			*		*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	
Quarter 3, 2021										*		*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	
Quarter 4, 2021										*		*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	
Quarter 1, 2022										*		*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	
Quarter 2, 2022								*	*	*		*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	
TECHNETIUM-99																							
Quarter 4, 2002																			*				
Quarter 1, 2003													*				*		*				
Quarter 2, 2003	*		*							*		*					*		*				
Quarter 3, 2003			*							*		*	*				*		*	*			
Quarter 4, 2003			*							*		*	*				*		*	*			
Quarter 1, 2004			*							*		*	*				*		*	*			
Quarter 2, 2004			*							*		*	*				*		*	*			
Quarter 3, 2004			*							*		*	*				*		*	*			
Quarter 4, 2004			*							*		*	*				*	*	*	*			
Quarter 1, 2005			*							*		*	*				*		*	*	*	*	
Quarter 2, 2005			*							*		*	*				*	*	*	*	*	*	
Quarter 3, 2005			*							*		*	*				*	*	*	*	*	*	
Quarter 4, 2005			*							*		*	*				*		*	*	*	*	

Chart of MCL and Historical UTL Exceedances for the C-746-S&T Landfills (Continued)

Groundwater Flow System	UCRS					URGA										LRGA							
	S	D	D	D	U	S	S	S	S	S	S	D	D	D	D	U	U	S	D	D	D	D	U
Monitoring Well	386	389	390	393	396	221	222	223	224	384	369	372	387	391	220	394	385	370	373	388	392	395	397
TECHNETIUM-99																							
Quarter 1, 2006										*		*	*						*	*			
Quarter 2, 2006			*							*								*	*	*	*		
Quarter 3, 2006			*							*			*					*	*	*	*		
Quarter 4, 2006	*									*		*	*						*	*			
Quarter 1, 2007			*							*			*					*		*	*		
Quarter 2, 2007			*							*		*	*					*	*	*	*		
Quarter 3, 2007			*							*	*	*	*					*	*	*	*		
Quarter 4, 2007			*							*		*	*					*		*	*		
Quarter 1, 2008			*							*		*	*					*	*	*	*		
Quarter 2, 2008			*							*	*	*	*					*		*	*		
Quarter 3, 2008										*		*	*					*		*	*		
Quarter 4, 2008			*							*		*	*					*	*	*	*		
Quarter 1, 2009			*							*		*	*					*		*	*		
Quarter 2, 2009			*							*		*	*					*	*	*	*		
Quarter 3, 2009			*							*	*	*	*					*		*	*		
Quarter 4, 2009			*							*		*	*					*		*	*		
Quarter 1, 2010			*							*		*	*					*		*	*		
Quarter 2, 2010			*							*		*	*					*	*	*	*		
Quarter 3, 2010			*							*	*	*	*					*		*	*		
Quarter 4, 2010			*							*		*	*					*		*	*		
Quarter 1, 2011										*			*					*		*	*		
Quarter 2, 2011			*							*			*					*		*	*		
Quarter 3, 2011			*							*		*	*					*		*	*		
Quarter 4, 2011			*							*	*	*	*					*		*	*		
Quarter 1, 2012			*							*			*					*		*	*		
Quarter 2, 2012			*							*		*	*					*		*	*		
Quarter 3, 2012			*							*		*	*					*		*	*		
Quarter 4, 2012										*		*	*					*		*	*		
Quarter 1, 2013										*		*	*					*		*	*		
Quarter 2, 2013										*		*	*					*		*	*		
Quarter 3, 2013			*							*		*	*					*		*	*		
Quarter 4, 2013			*							*		*	*					*		*	*		
Quarter 1, 2014			*							*	*	*	*					*		*	*		
Quarter 2, 2014			*							*	*	*	*	*				*		*	*		
Quarter 3, 2014			*							*	*	*	*					*		*	*		
Quarter 4, 2014			*							*	*	*	*					*		*	*		
Quarter 1, 2015			*							*	*	*	*					*		*	*		
Quarter 2, 2015			*							*	*	*	*					*		*	*		
Quarter 3, 2015			*							*	*	*	*					*	*	*	*		
Quarter 4, 2015			*							*	*	*	*					*	*	*	*		
Quarter 1, 2016			*							*	*	*	*					*		*	*		
Quarter 2, 2016			*				*			*		*	*					*	*	*	*		
Quarter 3, 2016			*				*			*		*	*					*	*	*	*		
Quarter 4, 2016			*				*			*	*	*	*					*	*	*	*		
Quarter 1, 2017			*				*			*		*	*					*	*	*	*		
Quarter 2, 2017			*				*			*		*	*					*	*	*	*		
Quarter 3, 2017			*				*			*	*	*	*					*	*	*	*		
Quarter 4, 2017			*				*			*	*	*	*					*	*	*	*		
Quarter 1, 2018			*				*			*	*	*	*					*	*	*	*		
Quarter 2, 2018			*				*			*	*	*	*					*	*	*	*		
Quarter 3, 2018			*				*			*	*	*	*					*	*	*	*		
Quarter 4, 2018			*				*			*	*	*	*					*	*	*	*		
Quarter 1, 2019			*				*			*	*	*	*					*	*	*	*		
Quarter 2, 2019			*				*			*	*	*	*					*	*	*	*		
Quarter 3, 2019			*				*			*	*	*	*					*	*	*	*		
Quarter 4, 2019			*				*			*	*	*	*					*	*	*	*		
Quarter 1, 2020			*				*			*	*	*	*					*	*	*	*		
Quarter 2, 2020			*				*			*	*	*	*					*	*	*	*		
Quarter 3, 2020			*				*			*	*	*	*					*	*	*	*		
Quarter 4, 2020			*				*			*	*	*	*					*	*	*	*		
Quarter 1, 2021			*				*			*	*	*	*					*	*	*	*		
Quarter 2, 2021			*				*			*	*	*	*					*	*	*	*		
Quarter 3, 2021			*				*			*	*	*	*					*	*	*	*		
Quarter 4, 2021			*				*			*	*	*	*					*	*	*	*		

Chart of MCL and Historical UTL Exceedances for the C-746-S&T Landfills (Continued)

Groundwater Flow System	UCRS					URGA										LRGA							
	S	D	D	D	U	S	S	S	S	S	D	D	D	D	U	U	S	D	D	D	U	U	
Monitoring Well	386	389	390	393	396	221	222	223	224	384	369	372	387	391	220	394	385	370	373	388	392	395	397
TECHNETIUM-99																							
Quarter 1, 2022			*							*	*	*	*				*						
Quarter 2, 2022			*							*	*	*	*				*			*			
THORIUM-230																							
Quarter 1, 2012	*								*					*									
Quarter 4, 2014	*		*																				
Quarter 3, 2015	*								*	*		*		*		*							
Quarter 1, 2017			*						*								*						
THORIUM-234																							
Quarter 2, 2003						*			*					*									
Quarter 4, 2007									*														
TOLUENE																							
Quarter 2, 2014										*	*		*										
TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON																							
Quarter 4, 2002																							*
Quarter 1, 2003				*						*	*						*	*			*		*
Quarter 2, 2003										*	*		*									*	
Quarter 3, 2003							*	*	*	*	*	*											*
Quarter 4, 2003							*		*	*													*
Quarter 1, 2004										*													
Quarter 2, 2004										*	*												
Quarter 3, 2004										*													
Quarter 4, 2004										*													
Quarter 1, 2005										*													
Quarter 2, 2005										*												*	
Quarter 3, 2005										*		*										*	
Quarter 4, 2005										*												*	
Quarter 1, 2006										*													*
Quarter 2, 2006										*		*											*
Quarter 4, 2006										*							*						*
Quarter 1, 2007	*									*								*					*
Quarter 3, 2007	*					*	*	*	*	*			*	*			*						*
Quarter 2, 2011												*											
Quarter 3, 2012	*																						
Quarter 3, 2016																			*				
TOTAL ORGANIC HALIDES																							
Quarter 4, 2002																	*	*			*		*
Quarter 1, 2003				*													*				*		*
Quarter 3, 2003				*																	*		*
Quarter 2, 2004																						*	
Quarter 3, 2004	*																						
Quarter 1, 2005	*																						
Quarter 2, 2005	*																						
Quarter 3, 2005	*																						
Quarter 4, 2005	*																						
Quarter 1, 2006	*																						
Quarter 2, 2006	*																						
Quarter 3, 2006	*																						
Quarter 4, 2006																	*						
Quarter 1, 2007	*																						
Quarter 2, 2007	*																						
Quarter 3, 2007	*																						
Quarter 4, 2007	*																					*	
Quarter 1, 2008	*																						
Quarter 4, 2008	*																						
Quarter 4, 2008	*																						
Quarter 1, 2009	*																						
Quarter 2, 2009	*																				*		
Quarter 3, 2009	*																						
Quarter 4, 2009	*																						
Quarter 1, 2010	*																						
Quarter 2, 2010	*																						
Quarter 3, 2010	*																						

Chart of MCL and Historical UTL Exceedances for the C-746-S&T Landfills (Continued)

Groundwater Flow System	UCRS					URGA										LRGA							
	S	D	D	D	U	S	S	S	S	S	D	D	D	D	U	U	S	D	D	D	D	U	U
Monitoring Well	386	389	390	393	396	221	222	223	224	384	369	372	387	391	220	394	385	370	373	388	392	395	397
TOTAL ORGANIC HALIDES																							
Quarter 4, 2010	*																						
Quarter 1, 2011	*																						
Quarter 3, 2013																					*		
TRICHLOROETHENE																							
Quarter 4, 2002																							
Quarter 1, 2003																							
Quarter 2, 2003																							
Quarter 3, 2003																							
Quarter 4, 2003																							
Quarter 1, 2004																							
Quarter 2, 2004																							
Quarter 3, 2004																							
Quarter 4, 2004																							
Quarter 1, 2005																							
Quarter 2, 2005																							
Quarter 3, 2005																							
Quarter 4, 2005																							
Quarter 1, 2006																							
Quarter 2, 2006																							
Quarter 2, 2007																							
Quarter 3, 2007																							
Quarter 4, 2007																							
Quarter 1, 2008																							
Quarter 2, 2008																							
Quarter 3, 2008																							
Quarter 4, 2008																							
Quarter 1, 2009																							
Quarter 2, 2009																							
Quarter 3, 2009																							
Quarter 4, 2009																							
Quarter 1, 2010																							
Quarter 2, 2010																							
Quarter 3, 2010																							
Quarter 4, 2010																							
Quarter 1, 2011																							
Quarter 2, 2011																							
Quarter 3, 2011																							
Quarter 4, 2011																							
Quarter 1, 2012																							
Quarter 2, 2012																							
Quarter 3, 2012																							
Quarter 4, 2012																							
Quarter 1, 2013																							
Quarter 2, 2013																							
Quarter 3, 2013																							
Quarter 4, 2013																							
Quarter 1, 2014																							
Quarter 2, 2014																							
Quarter 3, 2014																							
Quarter 4, 2014																							
Quarter 1, 2015																							
Quarter 2, 2015																							
Quarter 3, 2015																							
Quarter 4, 2015																							
Quarter 1, 2016																							
Quarter 2, 2016																							
Quarter 3, 2016																							
Quarter 4, 2016																							

Chart of MCL and Historical UTL Exceedances for the C-746-S&T Landfills (Continued)

Groundwater Flow System	UCRS					URGA										LRGA												
	S	D	D	D	U	S	S	S	S	S	S	D	D	D	D	U	U	S	D	D	D	D	U	U				
Monitoring Well	386	389	390	393	396	221	222	223	224	384	369	372	387	391	220	394	385	370	373	388	392	395	397					
TRICHLOROETHENE																												
Quarter 1, 2017												■		■		■												
Quarter 2, 2017												■		■														
Quarter 3, 2017												■		■														
Quarter 4, 2017												■		■														
TRICHLOROETHENE																												
Quarter 1, 2018												■		■		■												
Quarter 2, 2018												■	■	■														
Quarter 3, 2018												■		■														
Quarter 4, 2018												■		■														
Quarter 1, 2019												■		■														
Quarter 2, 2019														■														
Quarter 3, 2019														■														
Quarter 4, 2019														■														
Quarter 1, 2020												■		■														
Quarter 2, 2020														■														
Quarter 3, 2020														■														
Quarter 4, 2020														■														
Quarter 1, 2021														■														
Quarter 2, 2021														■														
Quarter 3, 2021					■									■		■												
Quarter 4, 2021														■														
Quarter 1, 2022														■		■												
Quarter 2, 2022														■		■												
TURBIDITY																												
Quarter 4, 2002																												*
Quarter 1, 2003							*					*		*														
URANIUM																												
Quarter 4, 2002																			*	*								
Quarter 1, 2003																			*	*								
Quarter 4, 2003							*																					
Quarter 1, 2004							*	*	*					*					*									
Quarter 4, 2004																			*									
Quarter 4, 2006																				*					*			
ZINC																												
Quarter 3, 2003														*														
Quarter 4, 2003							*		*				*															
Quarter 4, 2004							*																					
Quarter 4, 2007							*	*	*																			

* Statistical test results indicate an elevated concentration (i.e., a statistically significant increase).
 ■ MCL Exceedance
 ■ Previously reported as an MCL exceedance; however, result was equal to MCL.
 UCRS = Upper Continental Recharge System
 URGA = Upper Regional Gravel Aquifer
 LRGA = Lower Regional Gravel Aquifer
 S = Sidegradient; D = Downgradient; U = Upgradient

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

APPENDIX H
METHANE MONITORING DATA

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

CP3-WM-0017-F03 - C-746-S & T LANDFILL METHANE MONITORING REPORT

Date:	June 13, 2022	Time:	0845	Monitor:	Robert Kirby													
Weather Conditions: Sunny, 80° F, slight wind, humidity: 37%																		
Monitoring Equipment: Multi RAE – Serial # 7974																		
Monitoring Location					Reading (% LEL)													
Ogden Landing Road Entrance	Checked at ground level				0													
North Landfill Gate	Checked at ground level				0													
West Side of Landfill: North 37° 07.652' West 88° 48.029'	Checked at ground level				0													
East Side of Landfill: North 37° 07.628' West 88° 47.798'	Checked at ground level				0													
Cell 1 Gas Vent (17)	1 0	2 0	3 0	4 0	5 0	6 0	7 0	8 0	9 .0	10 0	11 0	12 0	13 0	14 0	15 0	16 0	17 0	0
Cell 2 Gas Vent (3)	1 0	2 0	3 0															0
Cell 3 Gas Vent (7)	1 0	2 0	3 0	4 0	5 0	6 0	7 0											0
Landfill Office	Checked at floor level				0													
Suspect or Problem Areas	None noted				N/A													
Remarks: All gas vents checked 1" from opening.																		
Performed by: 																		
Signature				Date														
				06/27/22														




Figure H.1. C-746-S&T Landfills Methane Monitoring Locations

APPENDIX I

SURFACE WATER ANALYSES AND WRITTEN COMMENTS

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Division of Waste Management
 Solid Waste Branch
 14 Reilly Road
 Frankfort, KY 40601 (502)564-6716

RESIDENTIAL/CONTAINED-QUARTERLY
Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
 LAB ID: None
 For Official Use Only

SURFACE WATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS (S)

Monitoring Point (KPDES Discharge Number, or "UPSTREAM", or "DOWNSTREAM")				L135 UPSTREAM	L154 INSTREAM	L136 INSTREAM							
Sample Sequence #				1	1	1							
If sample is a Blank, specify Type: (F)ield, (T)rip, (M)ethod, or (E)quipment				NA	NA	NA							
Sample Date and Time (Month/Day/Year hour: minutes)				4/6/2022 09:00	4/6/2022 08:34	4/6/2022 08:45							
Duplicate ("Y" or "N") ¹				N	N	N							
Split ('Y' or "N") ²				N	N	N							
Facility Sample ID Number (if applicable)				L135SS3-22	L154US3-22	L136SS3-22							
Laboratory Sample ID Number (if applicable)				575750001	575745002	575750002							
Date of Analysis (Month/Day/Year)				4/22/2022	4/22/2022	4/22/2022							
CAS RN ³		CONSTITUENT	T D 4	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL ⁵	F L A G S ⁷						
A200-00-0	0	Flow	T	MGD	Field		*		*		*		
16887-00-6	2	Chloride(s)	T	MG/L	300.0	10.6		5.26		1.01			
14808-79-8	0	Sulfate	T	MG/L	300.0	2.89	*	4.52	*	7.43	*		
7439-89-6	0	Iron	T	MG/L	200.8	3.65	*	2.44	*	0.55	*		
7440-23-5	0	Sodium	T	MG/L	200.8	9.34		6.26		1.46			
S0268- -	0	Organic Carbon ⁶	T	MG/L	9060	16.6		14.6		14.8			
S0097- -	0	BOD ⁶	T	MG/L	not applicable		*		*		*		
S0130- -	0	Chemical Oxygen Demand	T	MG/L	410.4	69.3		62.1		72.8			

S-1

¹Respond "Y" if the sample was a duplicate of another sample in this report

²Respond "Y" if the sample was split and analyzed by separate laboratories.

³Chemical Abstracts Service Registry Number or unique identifier number assigned by agency.

⁴"T" = Total; "D" = Dissolved

⁵"<" indicates a non-detect; do not use "ND" or "BDL". Value then shown is Practical Quantification Limit

⁶Facility has either/or option on Organic Carbon and (BOD) Biochemical Oxygen Demand - both are not required

⁷Flags are as designated, do not use any other type. Use "*", " then describe on "Written Comments" page.

STANDARD FLAGS:

* = See Comments

J = Estimated Value

B = Analyte found in blank

A = Average value

N = Presumptive ID

D = Concentration from analysis of
 a secondary dilution factor

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY**Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1****Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant****LAB ID: None****Permit Number: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045**

SURFACE WATER WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
L135	L135SS3-22	Flow Rate		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Sulfate	W	Post-digestion spike recovery out of control limits.
		Iron	N	Sample spike (MS/MSD) recovery not within control limits
		Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD)		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Suspended Solids	*	Duplicate analysis not within control limits.
		Alpha activity	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 3.63. Rad error is 3.63.
		Beta activity	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 6.46. Rad error is 6.38.
L154	L154US3-22	Flow Rate		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Sulfate	W	Post-digestion spike recovery out of control limits.
		Iron	N	Sample spike (MS/MSD) recovery not within control limits
		Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD)		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Suspended Solids	*	Duplicate analysis not within control limits.
		Alpha activity	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 3.33. Rad error is 3.32.
		Beta activity	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 6.68. Rad error is 6.63.
L136	L136SS3-22	Flow Rate		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Sulfate	W	Post-digestion spike recovery out of control limits.
		Iron	N	Sample spike (MS/MSD) recovery not within control limits
		Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD)		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Suspended Solids	*	Duplicate analysis not within control limits.
		Alpha activity	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 4.13. Rad error is 4.12.
		Beta activity	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 5.01. Rad error is 5.01.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

APPENDIX J

ANALYTICAL LABORATORY CERTIFICATION

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



Accredited Laboratory

A2LA has accredited

GEL LABORATORIES, LLC

Charleston, SC

for technical competence in the field of

Environmental Testing

In recognition of the successful completion of the A2LA evaluation process that includes an assessment of the laboratory's compliance with ISO/IEC 17025:2017, the 2009 and 2016 TNI Environmental Testing Laboratory Standard, the requirements of the Department of Defense Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (DoD ELAP), and the requirements of the Department of Energy Consolidated Audit Program (DOECAP) as detailed in Version 5.3 of the DoD/DOE Quality System Manual for Environmental Laboratories (QSM), accreditation is granted to this laboratory to perform recognized EPA methods as defined on the associated A2LA Environmental Scope of Accreditation. This accreditation demonstrates technical competence for this defined scope and the operation of a laboratory quality management system (refer to joint ISO-ILAC-IAF Communiqué dated April 2017).



Presented this 16th day of June 2021.

A blue ink signature of a person, likely the Vice President mentioned in the text below.

Vice President, Accreditation Services
For the Accreditation Council
Certificate Number 2567.01
Valid to June 30, 2023

For the tests to which this accreditation applies, please refer to the laboratory's Environmental Scope of Accreditation.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

APPENDIX K
LABORATORY ANALYTICAL METHODS

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

LABORATORY ANALYTICAL METHODS

Analytical Method	Preparation Method	Product
SW846 8260B		Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) by Gas Chromatograph/Mass Spectrometer
SW846 8011	SW846 8011 PREP	Analysis of 1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB), 1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane (DBCP) and 1,2,3-Trichloropropane in Water by GC/ECD Using Methods 504.1 or 8011
SW846 3535A/8082	SW846 3535A	Analysis of The Analysis of Polychlorinated Biphenyls by GC/ECD by ECD
SW846 6020	SW846 3005A	Determination of Metals by ICP-MS
SW846 7470A	SW846 7470A Prep	Mercury Analysis Using the Perkin Elmer Automated Mercury Analyzer
SW846 9060A		Carbon, Total Organic
SW846 9012B	SW846 9010C Distillation	Cyanide, Total
EPA 300.0		Ion Chromatography Iodide
SW846 9056		Ion Chromatography
EPA 160.1		Solids, Total Dissolved
EPA 410.4		COD
Eichrom Industries, AN-1418		AlphaSpec Ra226, Liquid
DOE EML HASL-300, Th-01-RC Modified		Th-01-RC M, Th Isotopes, Liquid
EPA 904.0/SW846 9320 Modified		904.0Mod, Ra228, Liquid
EPA 900.0/SW846 9310		9310, Alpha/Beta Activity, liquid
EPA 905.0 Modified/DOE RP501 Rev. 1 Modified		905.0Mod, Sr90, liquid
DOE EML HASL-300, Tc-02-RC Modified		Tc-02-RC-MOD, Tc99, Liquid
EPA 906.0 Modified		906.0M, Tritium Dist, Liquid

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

APPENDIX L

MICROPURGING STABILITY PARAMETERS

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

**Micro-Purge Stability Parameters
for the C-746-S&T Landfills**

	Temperature (°F)	Conductivity (umho/cm)	pH (Std. Unit)	Dissolved oxygen (mg/L)	Turbidity (NTU)		Temperature (°F)	Conductivity (umho/cm)	pH (Std. Unit)	Dissolved oxygen (mg/L)	Turbidity (NTU)
MW220						MW221					
Date Collected: 4/13/2022						Date Collected: 4/13/2022					
1026	62.6	434	6.20	5.50	2.19	0720	62.4	422	6.15	5.77	2.47
1029	61.9	435	6.12	4.91	2.08	0723	62.2	420	6.13	5.60	2.40
1032	61.8	436	6.11	4.84	2.15	0726	62.2	421	6.12	5.57	2.38
MW222						MW223					
Date Collected: 4/13/2022						Date Collected: 4/13/2022					
0907	62.7	387	6.10	4.81	2.37	0820	62.7	406	6.12	5.47	3.99
0910	62.7	386	6.06	4.45	2.29	0823	62.6	407	6.10	5.30	4.08
0913	62.6	386	6.05	4.37	2.31	0826	62.6	407	6.09	5.25	4.00
MW224						MW369					
Date Collected: 4/13/2022						Date Collected: 4/12/2022					
0947	62.9	427	6.08	4.98	3.06	0752	61.5	371	6.50	4.01	2.32
0950	62.7	429	6.04	4.54	3.33	0755	60.6	375	6.15	1.91	2.29
0953	62.6	430	6.02	4.50	3.40	0758	60.5	378	6.11	1.83	2.31
MW370						MW372					
Date Collected: 4/12/2022						Date Collected: 4/12/2022					
0850	60.7	498	6.01	3.74	1.73	1010	61.5	714	6.18	3.80	1.65
0853	60.5	501	5.99	3.51	1.64	1013	61.1	736	6.10	2.85	1.60
0856	60.6	500	5.99	3.45	1.60	1016	61.0	738	6.09	2.80	1.70
MW373						MW384					
Date Collected: 4/12/2022						Date Collected: 4/18/2022					
1051	61.6	770	6.20	3.54	1.63	0902	59.7	382	6.12	5.84	0.00
1054	61.4	775	6.12	2.85	1.70	0905	60.0	380	6.08	5.16	0.00
1057	61.2	777	6.11	2.79	1.68	0908	60.1	381	6.07	5.13	0.00
MW385						MW386					
Date Collected: 4/18/2022						Date Collected: 4/18/2022					
0939	61.8	415	6.28	6.05	0.00	1013	60.9	589	6.69	4.93	0.00
0942	60.5	417	6.17	4.42	1.51	1016	60.3	604	6.92	3.11	0.00
0945	60.1	417	6.15	4.36	1.44	1019	60.1	605	6.93	3.03	0.00
MW387						MW388					
Date Collected: 4/18/2022						Date Collected: 4/18/2022					
0737	59.0	600	6.18	3.82	0.00	0826	59.7	507	6.18	5.55	0.00
0740	58.6	596	6.16	2.99	0.00	0829	60.1	507	6.16	4.89	0.00
0743	58.5	593	6.15	2.93	0.00	0832	60.2	508	6.15	4.84	0.00
MW390						MW391					
Date Collected: 4/18/2022						Date Collected: 4/19/2022					
0700	56.9	641	6.29	6.48	3.73	0959	59.5	405	6.18	4.99	0.00
0703	58.0	645	6.27	5.80	0.00	1002	59.3	403	6.14	4.85	0.00
0706	58.4	645	6.28	5.82	0.00	1005	59.4	400	6.10	4.79	0.00
MW392						MW393					
Date Collected: 4/19/2022						Date Collected: 4/19/2022					
1037	61.0	398	6.08	2.99	0.00	1112	61.7	438	6.35	3.22	3.67
1040	60.7	400	6.07	2.85	0.00	1115	61.3	438	6.31	2.46	3.42
1043	60.2	399	6.05	2.80	0.00	1118	61.3	438	6.30	2.37	3.26
MW394						MW395					
Date Collected: 4/19/2022						Date Collected: 4/19/2022					
0806	60.6	408	6.05	6.30	4.50	0844	60.7	383	6.09	6.00	0.00
0809	60.5	410	5.96	5.53	3.78	0847	59.9	381	5.99	5.18	0.00
0812	60.3	413	5.93	5.49	3.84	0850	59.8	383	5.98	5.12	0.00
MW396						MW397					
Date Collected: 4/19/2022						Date Collected: 4/19/2022					
0920	60.1	707	6.39	2.90	0.00	0715	57.7	337	5.97	7.08	1.15
0923	59.9	716	6.40	1.98	0.00	0718	58.3	328	5.95	6.77	1.72
0926	59.9	718	6.42	1.92	0.00	0721	58.6	326	5.94	6.69	2.04

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK