Department of Energy

Portsmouth/Paducah Project Office
1017 Majestic Drive, Suite 200
Lexington, Kentucky 40513
(859) 219-4000

MAY 25 2017

Ms. Robin Green
Division of Waste Management
Kentucky Department for Environmental Protection
300 Sower Boulevard, 2nd Floor
Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

PPPO-02-4204430-17A

Mr. Todd Hendricks
Division of Waste Management
Kentucky Department for Environmental Protection
300 Sower Boulevard, 2nd Floor
Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

Mr. Allan Shingleton
Division of Waste Management
Kentucky Department for Environmental Protection
625 Hospital Drive
Madisonville, Kentucky 42431

Dear Ms. Green, Mr. Hendricks, and Mr. Shingleton:

C-746-S&T LANDFILLS FIRST QUARTER CALENDAR YEAR 2017 (JANUARY–MARCH) COMPLIANCE MONITORING REPORT, PADUCAH GASEOUS DIFFUSION PLANT, PADUCAH, KENTUCKY, FPDP-RPT-0088/V1, PERMIT NUMBER SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

Enclosed is the subject report for First Quarter Calendar Year (CY) 2017. This report is required in accordance with Condition ACTV0006, Special Condition Number 3, of the C-746-S&T Solid Waste Landfill Permit Number SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045. The report includes groundwater and surface water analytical data, validation summary, groundwater flow rate and direction determination, figures depicting well locations, and methane monitoring results.

The statistical analyses on the First Quarter 2017 monitoring well data collected from the C-746-S&T Landfills were performed in accordance with Condition GSTR0001, Standard Requirement 3, using the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency guidance document, *Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Final Guidance* (1989). This report also serves as the statistical increase notification for the First Quarter CY17, in accordance with Condition GSTR0003, Standard Requirement 8, of the C-746-S&T Solid Waste Landfill Permit Number SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact David Dollins at (270) 441-6819.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Woodard

Jennifer Woodard
Paducah Site Lead
Portsmouth/Paducah Project Office

Enclosure:
C-746-S&T Landfills First Quarter CY17 (January–March) Compliance Monitoring Report

e-copy w/enclosure:
april.webb@ky.gov, KDEP/Frankfort
brian.begley@ky.gov, KDEP/Frankfort
christopher.jung@ky.gov, KDEP/Frankfort
dave.dollins@lex.doe.gov, PPPO/PAD
edward.winner@ky.gov, KDEP/Frankfort
ffscorrespondence@ffspaducah.com, FFS/Kevil
jennifer.blewett@ffspaducah.com, FFS/Kevil
jennifer.watson@ffspaducah.com, FFS/Kevil
jennifer.woodard@lex.doe.gov, PPPO/PAD
joseph.towarnicky@ffspaducah.com, FFS/Kevil
karen.walker@ffspaducah.com, FFS/Kevil
kelly.layne@ffspaducah.com, FFS/Kevil
ken.davis@ffspaducah.com, FFS/Kevil
kim.knerr@lex.doe.gov, PPPO/PAD
leo.williamson@ky.gov, KDEP/Frankfort
lisa.crabtree@ffspaducah.com, FFS/Kevil
mike.guffey@ky.gov, KDEP/Frankfort
myrna.redfield@ffspaducah.com, FFS/Kevil
pad.rmc@swiftstaley.com, SSI/Kevil
stephaniec.brock@ky.gov, KYRHB/Frankfort
tracey.duncan@lex.doe.gov, PPPO/PAD

C-746-S&T Landfills
First Quarter Calendar Year 2017
(January–March)
Compliance Monitoring Report,
Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant,
Paducah, Kentucky

FLUOR®

This document is approved for public release per review by:

James Sheppard 5-23-17

FPDP Classification Support Date

FPDP-RPT-0088/V1

C-746-S&T Landfills
First Quarter Calendar Year 2017
(January–March)
Compliance Monitoring Report,
Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant,
Paducah, Kentucky

Date Issued—May 2017

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
Office of Environmental Management

Prepared by
FLUOR FEDERAL SERVICES, INC.,
Paducah Deactivation Project
managing the
Deactivation Project at the
Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
under Task Order DE-DT0007774

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

CONTENTS

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

FIGURES

TABLES

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

ACRONYMS

CFR	*Code of Federal Regulations*
CY	calendar year
KAR	*Kentucky Administrative Regulations*
KDWM	Kentucky Division of Waste Management
KRS	*Kentucky Revised Statutes*
LEL	lower explosive limit
LRGA	Lower Regional Gravel Aquifer
MCL	maximum contaminant level
MW	monitoring well
PGDP	Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
RGA	Regional Gravel Aquifer
UCRS	Upper Continental Recharge System
URGA	Upper Regional Gravel Aquifer
UTL	upper tolerance limit

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

1. INTRODUCTION

This report, *C-746-S&T Landfills First Quarter Calendar Year 2017 (January–March) Compliance Monitoring Report, Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah, Kentucky,* is being submitted in accordance with Solid Waste Landfill Permit Number SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045.

The Groundwater, Surface Water, Leachate, and Methane Monitoring Sample Data Reporting Form is provided in Appendix A. The facility information sheet is provided in Appendix B. Groundwater analytical results are recorded on the Kentucky Division of Waste Management (KDWM) Groundwater Sample Analyses forms, which are presented in Appendix C. The statistical analyses and qualification statement are provided in Appendix D. The groundwater flow rate and direction determinations are provided in Appendix E. Appendix F contains the notifications for all permit required parameters whose concentrations exceed the maximum contaminant level (MCL) for Kentucky solid waste facilities provided in 401 *KAR* 47:030 § 6 and for all permit required parameters listed in 40 *CFR* § 302.4, Appendix A, that do not have an MCL and whose concentrations exceed the historical background concentrations [upper tolerance limit (UTL), as established at a 95% confidence]. Appendix G provides a chart of exceedances of the MCL and historical UTL that have occurred since the fourth quarter calendar year (CY) 2002. Methane monitoring results are documented on the approved C-746-S&T Landfills Methane Monitoring Report form provided in Appendix H. The form includes pertinent remarks/observations as required by 401 *KAR* 48:090 § 4. Surface water results are provided in Appendix I.

1.1 BACKGROUND

The C-746-S&T Landfills are closed, solid waste landfills located north of the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant (PGDP) and south of the C-746-U Landfill. Construction and operation of the C-746-S Residential Landfill were permitted in April 1981 under Solid Waste Landfill Permit Number 073-00014. The permitted C-746-S Landfill area covers about 16 acres and contains a clay liner with a cover of compacted soil. The C-746-S Landfill was a sanitary landfill for PGDP. The C-746-S Landfill is closed and has been inactive since July 1995.

Construction and operation of the C-746-T Inert Landfill were permitted in February 1985 under Solid Waste Landfill Permit Number 073-00015. The permitted C-746-T Landfill area covers about 20 acres and contains a clay liner with a cover of compacted soil. The C-746-T Landfill was used to dispose of construction debris (e.g., concrete, wood, and rock) and steam plant fly ash from PGDP. The C-746-T Landfill is closed and has been inactive since June 1992.

1.2 MONITORING PERIOD ACTIVITIES

1.2.1 Groundwater Monitoring

Three zones are monitored at the site: the Upper Continental Recharge System (UCRS), the Upper Regional Gravel Aquifer (URGA), and the Lower Regional Gravel Aquifer (LRGA). There are 23 monitoring wells (MWs) under permit for the C-746-S&T Landfills: 5 UCRS wells, 11 URGA wells, and 7 LRGA wells. A map of the MW locations is presented in Figure 1. All MWs listed on the permit were sampled this quarter except MW389 (screened in the UCRS), which had an insufficient amount of water to obtain a water level measurement or sample; therefore, there are no analytical results for this location.



Figure 1. C-746-S&T Landfills Groundwater Monitoring Well Network

Consistent with the approved Groundwater Monitoring Plan (LATA Kentucky 2014), UCRS wells are included in the monitoring program. Groundwater flow gradients are downward through the UCRS, but the underlying Regional Gravel Aquifer (RGA) flows laterally. Groundwater flow in the RGA is typically in a north-northeasterly direction in the vicinity of the C-746-S&T Landfills. The Ohio River and lower reaches of Little Bayou Creek are the discharge areas for the RGA flow system from the vicinity of the landfills. Consistent with the conceptual site model, the constituent concentrations in UCRS wells are considered to be representative only of the conditions local to the well or sourced from overlying soils; thus, no discussion of potential "upgradient" sources is relevant to the discussion for the UCRS. Nevertheless, a UTL for background also has been calculated for UCRS wells using concentrations from UCRS wells located in the same direction (relative to the landfill) as those RGA wells identified as upgradient. The results from these wells are considered to represent historical "background" for the UCRS water quality. Similarly, other gradient references for UCRS wells are identified using the same gradient references (relative to the landfill) that are attributed to nearby RGA wells. Results from UCRS wells are compared to this UTL, and exceedances of these values are reported in the quarterly report.

Groundwater sampling was conducted within the first quarter 2017 in accordance with the Groundwater Monitoring Plan (LATA Kentucky 2014) using Fluor Federal Services, Inc., procedure CP4-ES-2101, *Groundwater Sampling*. Appropriate sample containers and preservatives were utilized. The laboratory also used U.S. Environmental Protection Agency-approved methods, as applicable. The parameters specified in Permit Condition GSTR0003, Special Condition 3, were analyzed for all locations sampled.

The groundwater flow rate and direction determination are provided in Appendix E. Depth-to-water was measured on January 24, 2017, in MWs of the C-746-S&T Landfills (see Table E.1); in MWs of the C-746-U Landfill; and in MWs of the surrounding region (shown on Figure E.3). Water level measurements in 39 vicinity wells define the potentiometric surface for the RGA. Normal regional flow in the RGA is north to northeastward, toward the Ohio River. The hydraulic gradient for the RGA in the vicinity of the C-746-S&T Landfills in January was 3.03×10^{-4} ft/ft, while the gradient beneath the C-746-S&T Landfills was 1.96×10^{-4} ft/ft. Calculated groundwater flow rates (average linear velocities) for the RGA at the C-746-S&T Landfills range from 0.333 to 0.878 ft/day (see Table E.3).

1.2.2 Methane Monitoring

Methane monitoring was conducted in accordance with 401 *KAR* 48:090 § 4 and the approved Explosive Gas Monitoring Program (KEEC 2011), which is Technical Application, Attachment 12, of the Solid Waste Landfill Permit. Landfill operations staff monitored for the occurrence of methane in 1 on-site building location, 4 locations along the landfill boundary, and 27 gas-passive vents located in Cells 1, 2, and 3 of the C-746-S Landfill on March 16, 2017. See Appendix H for a map (Figure H.1) of the monitoring locations. Monitoring identified 0% of the lower explosive limit (LEL) of methane at all locations, which is compliant with the regulatory requirement of < 100% LEL at boundary locations and < 25% LEL at all other locations. The results are documented on the approved C-746-S&T Landfills Methane Log provided in Appendix H.

1.2.3 Surface Water Monitoring

Surface water was monitored, as specified in 401 *KAR* 48:300 § 2, and the approved *Surface Water Monitoring Plan for C-746-S and C-746-T Landfills Permit Numbers KY-073-00014 and KY-073-00015, Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah, Kentucky* (PRS 2008), which is Technical Application Attachment 24, of the Solid Waste Landfill Permit. Sampling was performed at three locations (see Figure 2) monitored for the C-746-S&T Landfills. The landfills have an upstream location, L135; a downstream location, L154; and a location capturing runoff from the landfill surface, L136.



Figure 2. C-746-S&T Landfills Surface Water Monitoring Locations

The parameters identified in the Solid Waste Landfill Permit were analyzed for report only format, pursuant to Permit Condition GMNP0003, Standard Requirement 1. Surface water results are provided in Appendix I.

1.3 KEY RESULTS

Groundwater data were evaluated in accordance with the approved *Groundwater Monitoring Plan for the Solid Waste Permitted Landfills (C-746-S Residential Landfill, C-746-T Inert Landfill, and C-746-U Contained Landfill) at the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah, Kentucky*, (LATA Kentucky 2014) which is Technical Application, Attachment 25, of the Solid Waste Landfill permit. Parameters that had concentrations that exceeded their respective MCL are listed in Table 1. Those constituents that exceeded their respective MCL were further evaluated against their historical background UTL. Table 2 identifies parameters (without MCLs) with concentrations that exceeded the statistically derived historical background UTL during the first quarter 2017, as well as parameters that exceeded their MCL and also exceeded their historical background UTL. Those constituents (present in downgradient wells) that exceed their historical background UTL were evaluated against their current UTL-derived background using the most recent eight quarters of data from wells considered to be upgradient (Table 3).

The notification of parameters that exceeded the MCL has been submitted electronically to KDWM, in accordance with 401 *KAR* 48:300 § 7, prior to the submittal of this report.

The constituents that exceeded their MCL were subjected to a comparison against the UTL concentrations calculated using historical concentrations from wells identified as background. In accordance with the approved Groundwater Monitoring Plan, the MCL exceedances for trichloroethene in MW372, MW373, and MW391 (downgradient wells) do not exceed the historical background concentration and are considered to be a Type 1 exceedance—not attributable to the C-746-S&T Landfills.

The MCL exceedances for trichloroethene in MW392 and beta activity in MW387 and MW388 (downgradient wells) were shown to exceed both the historical background UTL and the current background UTL; therefore, preliminarily they were considered to be Type 2 exceedances because the source(s) of these exceedances is not determined. To evaluate these preliminary Type 2 exceedances further, the parameter was subjected to the Mann-Kendall statistical test for trend using the most recent eight quarters of data. The results are summarized in Table 4. MW387 and MW388 had no increasing Mann-Kendall trend for beta activity and are considered to be Type 1 exceedances (not attributable to the landfill). MW392 had an increasing trend for trichloroethene that is discussed in detail later in this section.

This report serves as the notification of parameters that had statistically significant increased concentrations relative to historical background concentrations, as required by Permit Number SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045, Condition GSTR0003, Standard Requirement 8, and 401 *KAR* 48:300 § 7.

Table 1. Summary of MCL Exceedances

UCRS	URGA	LRGA
None	MW372: Trichloroethene	MW373:Trichloroethene
	MW384: Beta activity	MW385: Beta activity
	MW387: Beta activity	MW388: Beta activity
	MW391: Trichloroethene	MW392: Trichloroethene
	MW394: Trichloroethene	

Table 2. Exceedances of Statistically Derived Historical Background Concentrations

UCRS*	URGA	LRGA
MW386: Oxidation-reduction potential	**MW220:** Oxidation-reduction potential, sulfate	**MW370:** Oxidation-reduction potential, radium-226, sodium, sulfate, technetium-99
MW390: Oxidation-reduction potential, radium-226, technetium-99, thorium-230	**MW222:** Aluminum	**MW373:** Calcium, conductivity, dissolved solids, magnesium, sulfate
MW393: Oxidation-reduction potential	**MW223:** Oxidation-reduction potential	**MW385:** Beta activity,[a] sulfate, technetium-99, thorium-230
MW396: Oxidation-reduction potential	**MW224:** Oxidation-reduction potential	**MW388:** Beta activity,[a] oxidation-reduction potential, sulfate, technetium-99
	MW369: Radium-226, sodium	**MW392:** Trichloroethene
	MW372: Calcium, dissolved solids, magnesium, sulfate	**MW395:** Oxidation-reduction potential
	MW384: Beta activity,[a] radium-226, sodium, sulfate, technetium-99, thorium-230	**MW397:** Oxidation-reduction potential
	MW387: Beta activity,[a] sodium, sulfate, technetium-99	
	MW391: Magnesium, sulfate	

*Gradients in the UCRS are downward. UCRS gradient designations are identified using the same gradient reference (relative to the landfill) that is attributed to nearby RGA wells.
Sidegradient wells: MW221, MW222, MW223, MW224, MW384, MW385, MW386
Downgradient wells: MW369, MW370, MW372, MW373, MW387, MW388, MW389, MW390, MW391, MW392, MW393
Upgradient wells: MW220, MW394, MW395, MW396,[b] MW397
[a] Beta activity has an MCL; the exceedances of the MCL were subjected to a comparison against the statistically derived historical background.
[b] In the same direction (relative to the landfill) as RGA wells considered to be upgradient.

Table 3. Exceedances of Current Background UTL in Downgradient Wells

URGA	LRGA
MW369: Sodium	**MW370:** Sulfate, technetium-99
MW372: Calcium, dissolved solids, magnesium, sulfate	**MW373:** Calcium, conductivity, dissolved solids, magnesium, sulfate
MW387: Beta activity, sodium, sulfate, technetium-99	**MW388:** Beta activity, sulfate, technetium-99
MW391: Magnesium, sulfate	**MW392:** Trichloroethene

Table 4. C-746-S and T Landfills Downgradient Wells Trend Summary Utilizing the Previous Eight Quarters

Location	Well ID	Parameter	Sample Size	Alpha[1]	p-Value [2]	S[3]	Var(S)[4]	Sen's Slope[5]	Kendall Correlation[6]	Decision[7]
C-746-S and T Landfills Downgradient Wells	MW369	Sodium	8	0.05	0.054	14.00	0.000	2.462	0.500	No Trend
	MW370	Sulfate	8	0.05	0.159	9.000	64.33	0.145	0.327	No Trend
		Technetium-99	8	0.05	0.199	8.000	0.000	5.105	0.286	No Trend
	MW372	Calcium	8	0.05	0.003	-22.00	0.000	-2.714	-0.786	Negative Trend
		Dissolved Solids	8	0.05	0.016	-18.00	0.000	-13.29	-0.643	Negative Trend
		Magnesium	8	0.05	0.000	-26.00	0.000	-0.978	-0.929	Negative Trend
		Sulfate	8	0.05	0.001	-25.00	64.33	-10.25	-0.909	Negative Trend
	MW373	Calcium	8	0.05	0.054	-14.00	0.000	-1.038	-0.500	No Trend
		Conductivity	8	0.05	0.054	-14.00	0.000	-12.95	-0.500	No Trend
		Dissolved Solids	8	0.05	0.360	-4.000	0.000	-6.167	-0.143	No Trend
		Magnesium	8	0.05	0.031	-16.00	0.000	-0.583	-0.571	Negative Trend
		Sulfate	8	0.05	0.007	-20.00	0.000	-7.429	-0.714	Negative Trend
	MW387	Beta Activity	8	0.05	0.089	-12.00	0.000	-5.083	-0.429	No Trend
		Sodium	8	0.05	0.452	-2.000	0.000	-0.067	-0.071	No Trend
		Sulfate	8	0.05	0.106	11.00	64.33	0.833	0.400	No Trend
		Technetium-99	8	0.05	0.274	-6.000	0.000	-5.458	-0.214	No Trend
	MW388	Beta Activity	8	0.05	0.360	-4.000	0.000	-1.770	-0.143	No Trend
		Sulfate	8	0.05	0.227	7.000	64.33	0.225	0.255	No Trend
		Technetium-99	8	0.05	0.360	4.000	0.000	4.733	0.143	No Trend
	MW391	Magnesium	8	0.05	0.031	16.00	0.000	0.731	0.571	Positive Trend
		Sulfate	8	0.05	0.089	12.00	0.000	4.126	0.429	No Trend
	MW392	Trichloroethene	8	0.05	0.007	20.00	0.000	0.792	0.714	Positive Trend

Footnotes:

[1]An alpha of 0.05 represents a 95% confidence interval.

[2]The p-value represents the risk of acceptance the H_a hypothesis of a trend, in terms of a percentage.

[3]The initial value of the Mann-Kendall statistic, S, is assumed to be 0 (e.g., no trend). If a data value from a later time period is higher than a data value from an earlier time period, S is incremented by 1. On the other hand, if the data value from a later time period is lower than a data value sampled earlier, S is decremented by 1. The net result of all such increments and decrements yields the final value of S. A very high positive value of S is an indicator of an increasing trend, and a very low negative value indicates a decreasing trend.

[4]VAR(S) represents the variance of S in the sample set and takes into account statistical ties.

[5]The magnitude of trend is predicted by the Sen's Slope. Here, the slope is described as the median of all $(x_j-x_k)/(j-k)$, where x is a data point and j and k are values of time.

[6]Kendall's correlation is described as the difference of concordant pairs and discordant pairs, also taking sample size and statistical ties into account. When the Kendall's correlation is positive, it indicates an increasing trend and when it is negative, it indicates a decreasing trend.

[7]The Mann-Kendall decision operates on two hypothesis, the H_0 and H_a. H_0 assumes there is no trend in the data, whereas H_a assumes either a positive or negative trend. Two different tests were ran to test for positive or negative trends. This table reports the test with the lowest p-value.

Note: Statistics generated using XLSTAT.

The constituents that had exceedances of the statistically derived historical background UTL underwent additional statistical evaluation. The current-quarter concentrations were compared to the current background UTL, developed using the most recent eight quarters of data from wells identified as upgradient, to identify if the current downgradient concentrations are consistent with current background values. Table 3 summarizes the evaluation against current background UTL for those constituents present in downgradient wells with historical UTL exceedances. In accordance with the approved Groundwater Monitoring Plan, constituents in downgradient wells that exceed the historical UTL, but do not exceed the current UTL, are considered not to have a landfill source; therefore, they are a Type 1 exceedance.

Those constituents listed in Table 3 exceed both the historical UTL and the current UTL and preliminarily are considered to be a Type 2 exceedance per the approved Groundwater Monitoring Plan. To evaluate these preliminary Type 2 exceedances further, the parameters were subjected to the Mann-Kendall statistical test for trend using the most recent eight quarters of data. The results are summarized in Table 4. All but two of these preliminary Type 2 exceedances in downgradient wells—magnesium in MW391 and trichloroethene in MW392—did not have an increasing trend and are considered to be Type 1 exceedances (not attributable to the landfill).

The Mann-Kendall statistical test indicates that there is an increasing trend of magnesium in MW391 over the past eight quarters. In accordance with the Groundwater Monitoring Plan, this is considered a Type 2 exceedance (source unknown). The source of the trend is believed to be unrelated to the C-746-S&T Landfills because the adjacent URGA well, MW372, does not show the increasing Mann-Kendall trend (refer to Table 4). In addition, the source of magnesium in this well may be associated with non-landfill alternative sources that simultaneously could increase sulfate, dissolved solids, specific conductivity, calcium, and magnesium—all of which have similar concentration fluctuations over the past eight quarters.

The Mann-Kendall statistical test indicates that there is an increasing trend of trichloroethene in MW392 over the past eight quarters. In accordance with the Groundwater Monitoring Plan, this is considered a Type 2 exceedance (source unknown). The source of the trend is believed to be unrelated to the C-746-S&T Landfills because the collocated URGA well, MW391, does not exceed the historical background concentration (refer to Table 2). In addition, if there were a landfill source, it would be expected that more of the downgradient wells would have this exceedance, and it would be expected that there would be more exceedances in the (shallow) URGA relative to the (deeper) LRGA.

In accordance with Permit Condition GSTR0003, Variance 2, of the Solid Waste Permit, the groundwater assessment and corrective action requirements of 401 *KAR* 48:300 § 8 shall not apply to the C-746-S Residential Landfill and the C-746-T Inert Landfill. This variance in the Permit provides that groundwater assessment and corrective actions for these landfills will be conducted in accordance with the corrective action requirements of 401 *KAR* 34:060 § 12.

The statistical evaluation of current UCRS wells against the current UCRS background UTL identified UCRS well MW390 with a technetium-99 value that exceeds both the historical and current backgrounds. Because this well is not hydrogeologically downgradient of the C-746-S&T Landfills, this exceedance is not attributable to C-746-S&T sources and is considered to be a Type 1 exceedance (Table 5).

Table 5. Exceedances of Current Background UTL in Downgradient UCRS Wells

UCRS
MW390: Technetium-99

All MCL and UTL exceedances, except for the listed parameters—magnesium in MW391 and trichloroethene in MW392, reported for this quarter were evaluated and considered to be Type 1 exceedances—not attributable to the C-746-S&T Landfills. The increasing trends for these listed parameters do not appear to be landfill-related, given the data collected to date. The listed parameters will continue to be evaluated in the context of these observations.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

2. DATA EVALUATION/STATISTICAL SYNOPSIS

The statistical analyses conducted on the first quarter 2017 groundwater data collected from the C-746-S&T Landfills MWs were performed in accordance with the Groundwater Monitoring Plan (LATA Kentucky 2014). The statistical analyses for this report utilize data from the first eight quarters that were sampled for each parameter, beginning with the first two baseline sampling events in 2002, when available. The sampling dates associated with background data are listed next to the result in the statistical analysis sheets in Appendix D (Attachments D1 and D2).

For those parameters that exceed the respective Kentucky solid waste facility MCL found in 401 *KAR* 47:030 § 6, these exceedances were documented and evaluated further as follows. Exceedances were reviewed against historical background results (UTL). If the MCL exceedance was found not to exceed the historical UTL, the exceedance was noted as a Type 1 exceedance—an exceedance not attributable to the landfills. If there was an exceedance of the MCL in a downgradient well and this constituent also exceeded the historical background, the quarterly result was compared to the current background UTL (developed using the most recent eight quarters of data from wells identified as upgradient) to identify if this exceedance is attributable to upgradient/non-landfill sources. If the downgradient concentration was less than the current background, the exceedance was noted as a Type 1 exceedance. If a constituent exceeds its Kentucky solid waste facility MCL, historical background UTL, and current background UTL, it was reported as a Type 2 exceedance—source undetermined. Type 2 exceedances (undetermined source) were further evaluated using the Mann-Kendall test for trend. If there was not a statistically significant increasing trend for a constituent in a downgradient well, the exceedance was reclassified as a Type 1 exceedance (not attributable to the landfills).

For those parameters that do not have a Kentucky solid waste facility MCL, the same process was used. If a constituent without an MCL exceeded its historical background UTL and its current background UTL, it was evaluated further to identify the source of the exceedance, if possible. If the source of the exceedance could not be identified, it was reported as a Type 2 exceedance.

To calculate the UTL, the data are divided into censored (nondetects) and uncensored (detected) observations. The one-sided tolerance interval statistical test is conducted only on parameters that have at least one uncensored observation. Results of the one-sided tolerance interval statistical test are used to determine whether the data show a statistical exceedance in concentrations with respect to historical background concentrations (UTL).

For the statistical analysis of pH, a two-sided tolerance interval statistical test is conducted. The test well results are compared to both the upper and lower tolerance limit to determine if statistically significant deviations in concentrations exist with respect to upgradient (background) well data.

A stepwise list of the one-sided tolerance interval statistical procedures applied to the data is provided in Appendix D under Statistical Analysis Process. The statistical analysis was conducted separately for each parameter in each well. The MWs included historically in the statistical analyses are listed in Table 6.

Table 6. Monitoring Wells Included in Statistical Analysis*

UCRS	URGA	LRGA
MW386	MW220 (upgradient)	MW370
MW389***	MW221	MW373
MW390	MW222	MW385
MW393	MW223	MW388
MW396**	MW224	MW392
	MW369	MW395 (upgradient)
	MW372	MW397 (upgradient)
	MW384	
	MW387	
	MW391	
	MW394 (upgradient)	

*A map showing the MW locations is shown on Figure 1.
**In the same direction (relative to the landfill) as RGA wells considered to be upgradient.
***MW389 had insufficient water to permit a water sample for laboratory analysis.

2.1 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF GROUNDWATER DATA

Parameters requiring statistical analysis are summarized in Appendix D for each hydrological unit. A stepwise list for determining exceedances of statistically derived historical background concentrations is provided in Appendix D under Statistical Analysis Process. A comparison of the current quarter's results to the statistically derived historical background was conducted for parameters that do not have MCLs and also for those parameters whose concentrations exceed MCLs. Appendix G summarizes the occurrences (by well and by quarter) of exceedances of historical UTLs and MCL exceedances. The constituents that had exceedances of the statistically derived historical background UTL underwent additional statistical evaluation. The current-quarter concentrations were compared to the current background UTL developed using the most recent eight quarters of data from wells identified as upgradient in order to determine if the current downgradient concentrations are consistent with current background values. Table 3 summarizes the constituents present in downgradient wells with historical UTL exceedances that are above the current UTL. Those constituents that have exceeded both the historical and current background UTLs in downgradient wells were further evaluated for increasing trends and are listed in Table 4.

2.1.1 Upper Continental Recharge System

In this quarter, 29 parameters, including those with MCLs, required statistical analysis in the UCRS. During the first quarter, oxidation-reduction potential, radium-226, technetium-99, and thorium-230 displayed concentrations that exceeded their respective historical UTLs and are listed in Table 2. Technetium-99 exceeded the current background UTL and is included in Table 5.

2.1.2 Upper Regional Gravel Aquifer

In this quarter, 31 parameters, including those with MCLs, required statistical analysis in the URGA. During the first quarter, aluminum, beta activity, calcium, dissolved solids, magnesium, oxidation-reduction potential, radium-226, sodium, sulfate, technetium-99, and thorium-230 displayed concentrations that exceeded their respective historical UTLs and are listed in Table 2. Beta activity, calcium, dissolved solids, magnesium, sodium, sulfate, and technetium-99 exceeded the current background UTL in downgradient wells and are included in Table 3.

2.1.3 Lower Regional Gravel Aquifer

In this quarter, 33 parameters, including those with MCLs, required statistical analysis in the LRGA. During the first quarter, beta activity, calcium, conductivity, dissolved solids, magnesium, oxidation-reduction potential, radium-226, sodium, sulfate, technetium-99, thorium-230, and trichloroethene displayed concentrations that exceeded their respective historical UTL and are listed in Table 2. Beta activity, calcium, conductivity, dissolved solids, magnesium, sulfate, technetium-99, and trichloroethene exceeded the current background UTL in downgradient wells and are included in Table 3.

2.2 DATA VERIFICATION AND VALIDATION

Data verification is the process of comparing a data set against set standard or contractual requirements. In accordance with the approved Groundwater Monitoring Plan (LATA Kentucky 2014), data verification is performed for 100% of the data. Data are flagged as necessary.

Data validation was performed on 100% of the organic, inorganic, and radiochemical analytical data by a qualified individual independent from sampling, laboratory, project management, or other decision-making personnel. Data validation evaluates the laboratory adherence to analytical method requirements. Validation qualifiers are added by the independent validator and not the laboratory. Validation qualifiers are not requested on the groundwater reporting forms.

Field quality control samples are collected for each sampling event. Field blanks, rinseate blanks, and trip blanks are obtained to ensure quality of field and laboratory practices, and data are reported in the Groundwater Sample Analysis forms in Appendix C. Laboratory quality control samples—such as matrix spikes, matrix spike duplicates, and method blanks—are performed by the laboratory. Both field and laboratory quality control sample results are reviewed as part of the data verification/validation process.

Data validation results for this data set indicated that all data were considered usable.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

3. PROFESSIONAL GEOLOGIST AUTHORIZATION

DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION: *C-746-S&T Landfills*
First Quarter Calendar Year 2017 (January–March)
Compliance Monitoring Report,
Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant,
Paducah, Kentucky (FPDP-RPT-0088/V1)

Stamped and signed pursuant to my authority as a duly registered geologist under the provisions of *KRS* Chapter 322A.

PG113927
KDavis
5-23-17

Kenneth R. Davis
Kenneth R. Davis

PG113927

May 23, 2017
Date

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

4. REFERENCES

KEEC (Kentucky Energy and Environment Cabinet) 2011. Solid Waste Landfill Permit, Number SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045, Division of Waste Management, Solid Waste Branch, Technical Application Attachment 12, "Explosive Gas Monitoring Program," January 21.

LATA Kentucky (LATA Environmental Services of Kentucky, LLC) 2014. *Groundwater Monitoring Plan for the Solid Waste Permitted Landfills (C-746-S Residential Landfill, C-746-T Inert Landfill, and C-746-U Contained Landfill) at the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah, Kentucky*, PAD-PROJ-0139, LATA Environmental Services of Kentucky, LLC, Kevil, KY, June.

PRS (Paducah Remediation Services, LLC) 2008. *Surface Water Monitoring Plan for C-746-S and C-746-T Landfills Permit Numbers KY-073-00014 and KY-073-00015, Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah, Kentucky*, Paducah Remediation Services, LLC, Kevil, KY, June.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

APPENDIX A

GROUNDWATER, SURFACE WATER, LEACHATE, AND METHANE MONITORING SAMPLE DATA REPORTING FORM

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

GROUNDWATER, SURFACE WATER, LEACHATE, AND METHANE MONITORING SAMPLE DATA REPORTING FORM

NATURAL RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION CABINET
DEPARTMENT FOR ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
DIVISION OF WASTE MANAGEMENT
SOLID WASTE BRANCH
14 REILLY ROAD
FRANKFORT, KY 40601

Facility Name: U.S. DOE–Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant (As officially shown on DWM Permit Face)

Activity: C-746-S&T Landfills

Permit No: SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

Finds/Unit No: _____

Quarter & Year: 1st Qtr. CY 2017

Please check the following as applicable:

_____ Characterization X Quarterly _____ Semiannual _____ Annual _____ Assessment

Please check applicable submittal(s): X Groundwater X Surface Water

_____ Leachate X Methane Monitoring

This form is to be utilized by those sites required by regulation (Kentucky Waste Management Regulations-401 *KAR* 48:300 and 45:160) or by statute (Kentucky Revised Statues Chapter 224) to conduct groundwater and surface water monitoring under the jurisdiction of the Division of Waste Management. **You must report any indication of contamination within forty-eight (48) hours of making the determination using statistical analyses, direct comparison, or other similar techniques. Submitting the lab report is NOT considered notification.** Instructions for completing the form are attached. Do not submit the instruction pages.

I certify under penalty of law that the document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons directly responsible for gathering information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for such violations.

Myrna E. Redfield, Director
Environmental Management
Fluor Federal Services, Inc.

5/24/17
Date

Jennifer Woodard, Paducah Site Lead
U.S. Department of Energy

5/25/17
Date

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

APPENDIX B

FACILITY INFORMATION SHEET

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

FACILITY INFORMATION SHEET

Sampling Date: Groundwater: January 2017; Surface Water: February and March 2017; Methane: March 2017 | County: McCracken | Permit Nos. SW07300014, SW07300015, SW07300045

Facility Name: U.S. DOE—Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
(As officially shown on DWM Permit Face)

Site Address: 5501 Hobbs Road (Street) | Kevil, Kentucky (City/State) | 42053 (Zip)

Phone No: (270) 441-6800 | Latitude: N 37° 07' 37.70" | Longitude: W 88° 47' 55.41"

OWNER INFORMATION

Facility Owner: U.S. DOE, Robert E. Edwards III, Manager | Phone No: (859) 227-5020

Contact Person: Myrna E. Redfield | Phone No: (270) 441-5113

Contact Person Title: Director, Environmental Management, Fluor Federal Services, Inc.

Mailing Address: 5511 Hobbs Road (Street) | Kevil, Kentucky (City/State) | 42053 (Zip)

SAMPLING PERSONNEL
(IF OTHER THAN LANDFILL OR LABORATORY)

Company: GEO Consultants, LLC

Contact Person: Sam Martin | Phone No: (270) 441-6755

Mailing Address: 199 Kentucky Avenue (Street) | Kevil, Kentucky (City/State) | 42053 (Zip)

LABORATORY RECORD #1

Laboratory: GEL Laboratories, LLC | Lab ID No: KY90129

Contact Person: Valerie Davis | Phone No: (843) 769-7391

Mailing Address: 2040 Savage Road (Street) | Charleston, South Carolina (City/State) | 29407 (Zip)

LABORATORY RECORD #2

Laboratory: N/A | Lab ID No: N/A

Contact Person: N/A | Phone No: N/A

Mailing Address: N/A (Street) | City/State | Zip

LABORATORY RECORD #3

Laboratory: N/A | Lab ID No: N/A

Contact Person: N/A | Phone No: N/A

Mailing Address: N/A (Street) | City/State | Zip

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

APPENDIX C

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSES AND WRITTEN COMMENTS

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Division of Waste Management
Solid Waste Branch
14 Reilly Road
Frankfort, KY 40601 (502)564-6716

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY
Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Permit Number: 073-00014 & 073-00015

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
LAB ID: None
For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS(S)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number	8000-5201	8000-5202	8000-5242	8000-5243
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)	220	221	222	223
Sample Sequence #	1	1	1	1
If sample is a Blank, specify Type: (F)ield, (T)rip, (M)ethod, or (E)quipment	NA	NA	NA	NA
Sample Date and Time (Month/Day/Year hour: minutes)	1/11/2017 08:47	1/5/2017 11:00	1/5/2017 12:55	1/5/2017 12:10
Duplicate ("Y" or "N")[2]	N	N	N	N
Split ("Y" or "N")[3]	N	N	N	N
Facility Sample ID Number (if applicable)	MW220SG2-17	MW221SG2-17	MW222SG2-17	MW223SG2-17
Laboratory Sample ID Number (if applicable)	414135001	413785003	413785005	413785007
Date of Analysis (Month/Day/Year) For Volatile Organics Analysis	1/13/2017	1/9/2017	1/9/2017	1/9/2017
Gradient with respect to Monitored Unit (UP, DOWN, SIDE, UNKNOWN)	UP	SIDE	SIDE	SIDE

CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS[7]	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
24959-67-9		Bromide	T	mg/L	9056	0.221		0.462		0.464		0.449	
16887-00-6		Chloride(s)	T	mg/L	9056	23.2		33.7		33.6		31.3	
16984-48-8		Fluoride	T	mg/L	9056	0.109		0.146		0.204		0.205	
S0595- -		Nitrate & Nitrite	T	mg/L	9056	1.22		1.12		1.17		1.27	
14808-79-8		Sulfate	T	mg/L	9056	18.4		14.3		10.8		13.9	
NS1894		Barometric Pressure Reading	T	Inches/Hg	Field	29.97		30.08		30.04		30.08	
S0145- -		Specific Conductance	T	μMH0/cm	Field	345		395		317		414	

[1]AKGWA # is 0000-0000 for any type of blank.
[2]Respond "Y" if the sample was a duplicate of another sample in this report.
[3]Respond "Y" if the sample was split and analyzed by separate laboratories.
[4]Chemical Abstracts Service Registry Number or unique identifier number assigned by agency.
[5]"T" = Total; "D" = Dissolved
[6]"<" indicates a non-detect; do not use "ND" or "BDL". Value shown is Practical Quantification Limit.
[7]Flags are as designated, do not use any other type. Use "*," then describe on "Written Comments Page."

STANDARD FLAGS:
* = See Comments
J = Estimated Value
B = Analyte found in blank
A = Average value
N = Presumptive ID
D = Concentration from analysis of a secondary dilution

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY
Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Permit Number: 073-00014 & 073-00015

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
LAB ID: None
For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number						8000-5201		8000-5202		8000-5242		8000-5243	
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, BLANK-F, etc.)						220		221		222		223	
CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
S0906 - -		Static Water Level Elevation	T	Ft. MSL	Field	323.91		323.53		323.65		323.58	
N238		Dissolved Oxygen	T	mg/L	Field	5.58		5.64		5.91		3.81	
S0266- -		Total Dissolved Solids	T	mg/L	160.1	201	B	240	*	214	*	251	*
S0296- -		pH	T	Units	Field	6.05		6.28		6.4		6.15	
NS215		Eh	T	mV	Field	417		321		392		423	
S0907 - -		Temperature	T	°C	Field	14.83		15.78		14.89		13.39	
7429-90-5		Aluminum	T	mg/L	6020	0.0444	J	<0.05		0.418		<0.05	
7440-36-0		Antimony	T	mg/L	6020	<0.003		<0.003		<0.003		<0.003	
7440-38-2		Arsenic	T	mg/L	6020	0.00217	BJ	<0.005		0.00213	J	<0.005	
7440-39-3		Barium	T	mg/L	6020	0.196		0.216		0.222		0.264	
7440-41-7		Beryllium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.0005		<0.0005		<0.0005		<0.0005	
7440-42-8		Boron	T	mg/L	6020	0.0142	J	0.0125	J	0.00932	J	<0.015	
7440-43-9		Cadmium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
7440-70-2		Calcium	T	mg/L	6020	19.6		22.7		15		22	
7440-47-3		Chromium	T	mg/L	6020	0.00383	J	0.0106	B	0.00789	BJ	0.0213	B
7440-48-4		Cobalt	T	mg/L	6020	0.000227	J	0.000397	J	0.00409		0.000736	J
7440-50-8		Copper	T	mg/L	6020	0.00119		0.00185		0.00175		0.00122	
7439-89-6		Iron	T	mg/L	6020	0.0727	J	0.0401	J	0.552		<0.1	
7439-92-1		Lead	T	mg/L	6020	<0.002		<0.002		<0.002		<0.002	
7439-95-4		Magnesium	T	mg/L	6020	8.48		10.1		7.05		9.14	
7439-96-5		Manganese	T	mg/L	6020	0.00192	J	0.00113	J	0.00637		<0.005	
7439-97-6		Mercury	T	mg/L	7470	<0.0002		<0.0002		<0.0002		<0.0002	

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number						8000-5201		8000-5202		8000-5242		8000-5243	
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)						220		221		222		223	
CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
7439-98-7		Molybdenum	T	mg/L	6020	0.00071		0.00228		0.00049	J	0.00671	
7440-02-0		Nickel	T	mg/L	6020	0.0198		0.0251		0.0264		0.129	
7440-09-7		Potassium	T	mg/L	6020	2.71		1.4		0.745		4.89	
7440-16-6		Rhodium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
7782-49-2		Selenium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
7440-22-4		Silver	T	mg/L	6020	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
7440-23-5		Sodium	T	mg/L	6020	41		48.6		47.8		49.8	
7440-25-7		Tantalum	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005	*	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
7440-28-0		Thallium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.002		<0.002		<0.002		<0.002	
7440-61-1		Uranium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.0002		<0.0002		<0.0002		<0.0002	
7440-62-2		Vanadium	T	mg/L	6020	0.00736	J	<0.01		0.00475	J	<0.01	
7440-66-6		Zinc	T	mg/L	6020	0.00498	J	0.00367	J	<0.01		<0.01	
108-05-4		Vinyl acetate	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005	*	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
67-64-1		Acetone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
107-02-8		Acrolein	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
107-13-1		Acrylonitrile	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
71-43-2		Benzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
108-90-7		Chlorobenzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
1330-20-7		Xylenes	T	mg/L	8260	<0.003		<0.003		<0.003		<0.003	
100-42-5		Styrene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
108-88-3		Toluene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
74-97-5		Chlorobromomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number						8000-5201		8000-5202		8000-5242		8000-5243	
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)						220		221		222		223	
CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
75-27-4		Bromodichloromethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-25-2		Tribromomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
74-83-9		Methyl bromide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
78-93-3		Methyl ethyl ketone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
110-57-6		trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
75-15-0		Carbon disulfide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
75-00-3		Chloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
67-66-3		Chloroform	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
74-87-3		Methyl chloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
156-59-2		cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
74-95-3		Methylene bromide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-34-3		1,1-Dichloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
107-06-2		1,2-Dichloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-35-4		1,1-Dichloroethylene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
106-93-4		Ethane, 1,2-dibromo	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
79-34-5		Ethane, 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
71-55-6		Ethane, 1,1,1-Trichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
79-00-5		Ethane, 1,1,2-Trichloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
630-20-6		Ethane, 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-01-4		Vinyl chloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
127-18-4		Ethene, Tetrachloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
79-01-6		Ethene, Trichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	

RESIDENTIAL/CONTAINED-QUARTERLY
Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Permit Number: 073-00014 & 073-00015

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
LAB ID: None
For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number						8000-5201		8000-5202		8000-5242		8000-5243	
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)						220		221		222		223	
CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
100-41-4		Ethylbenzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
591-78-6		2-Hexanone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
74-88-4		Iodomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
124-48-1		Methane, Dibromochloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
56-23-5		Carbon Tetrachloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-09-2		Dichloromethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
108-10-1		Methyl isobutyl ketone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
96-12-8		Propane, 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloro	T	mg/L	8011	<0.0000195		<0.0000195		<0.0000194		<0.0000198	
78-87-5		Propane, 1,2-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
10061-02-6		trans-1,3-Dichloro-1-propene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
10061-01-5		cis-1,3-Dichloro-1-propene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
156-60-5		trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-69-4		Trichlorofluoromethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
96-18-4		1,2,3-Trichloropropane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
95-50-1		Benzene, 1,2-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
106-46-7		Benzene, 1,4-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
1336-36-3		PCB,Total	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
12674-11-2		PCB-1016	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
11104-28-2		PCB-1221	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
11141-16-5		PCB-1232	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
53469-21-9		PCB-1242	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
12672-29-6		PCB-1248	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*

RESIDENTIAL/CONTAINED-QUARTERLY
Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Permit Number: 073-00014 & 073-00015

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
LAB ID: None
For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number						8000-5201		8000-5202		8000-5242		8000-5243	
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)						220		221		222		223	
CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
11097-69-1		PCB-1254	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
11096-82-5		PCB-1260	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
11100-14-4		PCB-1268	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
12587-46-1		Gross Alpha	T	pCi/L	9310	1.82	*	0.811	*	-2.36	*	-1.14	*
12587-47-2		Gross Beta	T	pCi/L	9310	13.6	*	6.37	*	3.84	*	1.85	*
10043-66-0		Iodine-131	T	pCi/L			*		*		*		*
13982-63-3		Radium-226	T	pCi/L	AN-1418	0.308	*	0.469	*	0.212	*	0.327	*
10098-97-2		Strontium-90	T	pCi/L	905.0	-2.85	*	0.424	*	3.48	*	1.45	*
14133-76-7		Technetium-99	T	pCi/L	Tc-02-RC	23.2	*	14.8	*	11.3	*	5.58	*
14269-63-7		Thorium-230	T	pCi/L	Th-01-RC	-0.126	*	0.342	*	0.395	*	0.294	*
10028-17-8		Tritium	T	pCi/L	906.0	137	*	-125	*	-50.5	*	-93.3	*
S0130- -		Chemical Oxygen Demand	T	mg/L	410.4	12.7	J	<20		<20		10.1	J
57-12-5		Cyanide	T	mg/L	9012	<0.2		<0.2		<0.2		<0.2	
20461-54-5		Iodide	T	mg/L	300.0	<0.5		<0.5		<0.5		<0.5	
S0268- -		Total Organic Carbon	T	mg/L	9060	1.14	J	1.02	J	0.889	J	1.06	J
S0586- -		Total Organic Halides	T	mg/L	9020	0.00622	J	0.0136		0.00694	J	0.0068	J

Division of Waste Management
Solid Waste Branch
14 Reilly Road
Frankfort, KY 40601 (502)564-6716

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY
Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Permit Number: 073-00014 & 073-00015

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
LAB ID: None
For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS(S)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number	8000-5244	8004-4820	8004-4818	8004-4808
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)	224	369	370	372
Sample Sequence #	1	1	1	1
If sample is a Blank, specify Type: (F)ield, (T)rip, (M)ethod, or (E)quipment	NA	NA	NA	NA
Sample Date and Time (Month/Day/Year hour: minutes)	1/11/2017 07:53	1/18/2017 08:28	1/18/2017 09:22	1/19/2017 08:53
Duplicate ("Y" or "N")[2]	N	N	N	N
Split ("Y" or "N")[3]	N	N	N	N
Facility Sample ID Number (if applicable)	MW224SG2-17	MW369UG2-17	MW370UG2-17	MW372UG2-17
Laboratory Sample ID Number (if applicable)	414135003	414569011	414569001	414675001
Date of Analysis (Month/Day/Year) For Volatile Organics Analysis	1/13/2017	1/20/2017	1/23/2017	1/24/2017
Gradient with respect to Monitored Unit (UP, DOWN, SIDE, UNKNOWN)	SIDE	DOWN	DOWN	DOWN

CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS[7]	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
24959-67-9		Bromide	T	mg/L	9056	0.317		0.367		0.463		0.639	J
16887-00-6		Chloride(s)	T	mg/L	9056	20.6		33.6		37.4		48.1	
16984-48-8		Fluoride	T	mg/L	9056	0.22		0.169		0.118		0.18	
S0595- -		Nitrate & Nitrite	T	mg/L	9056	0.633		0.475	J	1.11		0.0513	J
14808-79-8		Sulfate	T	mg/L	9056	10		4.95		19.6		66.2	
NS1894		Barometric Pressure Reading	T	Inches/Hg	Field	29.98		30.23		30.25		29.93	
S0145- -		Specific Conductance	T	μMH0/cm	Field	393		390		444		595	

[1]AKGWA # is 0000-0000 for any type of blank.
[2]Respond "Y" if the sample was a duplicate of another sample in this report.
[3]Respond "Y" if the sample was split and analyzed by separate laboratories.
[4]Chemical Abstracts Service Registry Number or unique identifier number assigned by agency.
[5]"T" = Total; "D" = Dissolved
[6]"<" indicates a non-detect; do not use "ND" or "BDL". Value shown is Practical Quantification Limit.
[7]Flags are as designated, do not use any other type. Use "*," then describe on "Written Comments Page."

STANDARD FLAGS:
* = See Comments
J = Estimated Value
B = Analyte found in blank
A = Average value
N = Presumptive ID
D = Concentration from analysis of a secondary dilution

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number						8000-5244		8004-4820		8004-4818		8004-4808	
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, BLANK-F, etc.)						224		369		370		372	
CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
S0906 - -		Static Water Level Elevation	T	Ft. MSL	Field	323.81		323.07		323.04		323.47	
N238		Dissolved Oxygen	T	mg/L	Field	4.6		1.38		3.41		0.89	
S0266- -		Total Dissolved Solids	T	mg/L	160.1	234	B	213		240		326	
S0296- -		pH	T	Units	Field	5.91		6.23		6.16		6.02	
NS215		Eh	T	mV	Field	442		381		412		263	
S0907 - -		Temperature	T	°C	Field	14.17		13.83		13.94		14.11	
7429-90-5		Aluminum	T	mg/L	6020	0.0339	J	0.0694		<0.05		0.0749	
7440-36-0		Antimony	T	mg/L	6020	<0.003		<0.003		<0.003		<0.003	
7440-38-2		Arsenic	T	mg/L	6020	0.0021	BJ	<0.005		0.00198	BJ	0.00425	J
7440-39-3		Barium	T	mg/L	6020	0.202		0.503		0.266		0.0446	
7440-41-7		Beryllium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.0005		<0.0005		<0.0005		<0.0005	
7440-42-8		Boron	T	mg/L	6020	0.0151		0.00843	J	0.0313		0.983	
7440-43-9		Cadmium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
7440-70-2		Calcium	T	mg/L	6020	18.8		19.5		32		47.5	
7440-47-3		Chromium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.01		<0.01		<0.01		<0.01	
7440-48-4		Cobalt	T	mg/L	6020	0.000662	J	0.0107		0.000584	J	0.000823	BJ
7440-50-8		Copper	T	mg/L	6020	0.000387	J	0.0013		0.000654	J	<0.001	
7439-89-6		Iron	T	mg/L	6020	0.066	J	0.239		0.0548	J	1.58	
7439-92-1		Lead	T	mg/L	6020	<0.002		<0.002		<0.002		<0.002	
7439-95-4		Magnesium	T	mg/L	6020	8.43		8.47		14.4		18.6	
7439-96-5		Manganese	T	mg/L	6020	0.00401	J	0.157		0.00862		0.0202	
7439-97-6		Mercury	T	mg/L	7470	<0.0002		<0.0002		<0.0002		<0.0002	

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY
Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Permit Number: 073-00014 & 073-00015

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
LAB ID: None
For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number						8000-5244		8004-4820		8004-4818		8004-4808	
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)						224		369		370		372	
CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
7439-98-7		Molybdenum	T	mg/L	6020	<0.0005		<0.0005		<0.0005		0.000723	
7440-02-0		Nickel	T	mg/L	6020	0.00308		0.00601		0.000998	J	0.00148	J
7440-09-7		Potassium	T	mg/L	6020	0.72		0.571		2.94		2.14	
7440-16-6		Rhodium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
7782-49-2		Selenium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
7440-22-4		Silver	T	mg/L	6020	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
7440-23-5		Sodium	T	mg/L	6020	51.7		72		79.9		43.4	
7440-25-7		Tantalum	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005	*	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	*
7440-28-0		Thallium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.002		<0.002		<0.002		0.000997	J
7440-61-1		Uranium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.0002		<0.0002		<0.0002		<0.0002	*
7440-62-2		Vanadium	T	mg/L	6020	0.00684	J	<0.01		<0.01		<0.01	
7440-66-6		Zinc	T	mg/L	6020	<0.01		0.00389	J	<0.01		0.00485	J
108-05-4		Vinyl acetate	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005	*	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	*
67-64-1		Acetone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
107-02-8		Acrolein	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
107-13-1		Acrylonitrile	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
71-43-2		Benzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
108-90-7		Chlorobenzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
1330-20-7		Xylenes	T	mg/L	8260	<0.003		<0.003		<0.003		<0.003	
100-42-5		Styrene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
108-88-3		Toluene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
74-97-5		Chlorobromomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY
Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Permit Number: 073-00014 & 073-00015

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
LAB ID: None
For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number						8000-5244		8004-4820		8004-4818		8004-4808	
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)						224		369		370		372	
CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
75-27-4		Bromodichloromethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-25-2		Tribromomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
74-83-9		Methyl bromide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
78-93-3		Methyl ethyl ketone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
110-57-6		trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
75-15-0		Carbon disulfide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
75-00-3		Chloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
67-66-3		Chloroform	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
74-87-3		Methyl chloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
156-59-2		cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
74-95-3		Methylene bromide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-34-3		1,1-Dichloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
107-06-2		1,2-Dichloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-35-4		1,1-Dichloroethylene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
106-93-4		Ethane, 1,2-dibromo	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
79-34-5		Ethane, 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
71-55-6		Ethane, 1,1,1-Trichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
79-00-5		Ethane, 1,1,2-Trichloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
630-20-6		Ethane, 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-01-4		Vinyl chloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
127-18-4		Ethene, Tetrachloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
79-01-6		Ethene, Trichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		0.00497		0.00178		0.00712	

RESIDENTIAL/CONTAINED-QUARTERLY
Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Permit Number: 073-00014 & 073-00015

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
LAB ID: None
For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number						8000-5244		8004-4820		8004-4818		8004-4808	
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)						224		369		370		372	
CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
100-41-4		Ethylbenzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
591-78-6		2-Hexanone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
74-88-4		Iodomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
124-48-1		Methane, Dibromochloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
56-23-5		Carbon Tetrachloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-09-2		Dichloromethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
108-10-1		Methyl isobutyl ketone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
96-12-8		Propane, 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloro	T	mg/L	8011	<0.0000198		<0.0000199	*	<0.0000195	*	<0.0000199	
78-87-5		Propane, 1,2-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
10061-02-6		trans-1,3-Dichloro-1-propene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
10061-01-5		cis-1,3-Dichloro-1-propene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
156-60-5		trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-69-4		Trichlorofluoromethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
96-18-4		1,2,3-Trichloropropane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
95-50-1		Benzene, 1,2-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
106-46-7		Benzene, 1,4-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
1336-36-3		PCB,Total	T	ug/L	8082		*	0.0475	J	<0.1		<0.0952	
12674-11-2		PCB-1016	T	ug/L	8082		*	<0.101		<0.1		<0.0952	
11104-28-2		PCB-1221	T	ug/L	8082		*	<0.101		<0.1		<0.0952	
11141-16-5		PCB-1232	T	ug/L	8082		*	<0.101		<0.1		<0.0952	
53469-21-9		PCB-1242	T	ug/L	8082		*	0.0475	J	<0.1		<0.0952	
12672-29-6		PCB-1248	T	ug/L	8082		*	<0.101		<0.1		<0.0952	

RESIDENTIAL/CONTAINED-QUARTERLY
Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Permit Number: 073-00014 & 073-00015

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
LAB ID: None
For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number						8000-5244		8004-4820		8004-4818		8004-4808	
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)						224		369		370		372	
CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
11097-69-1		PCB-1254	T	ug/L	8082		*	<0.101		<0.1		<0.0952	
11096-82-5		PCB-1260	T	ug/L	8082		*	<0.101		<0.1		<0.0952	
11100-14-4		PCB-1268	T	ug/L	8082		*	<0.101		<0.1		<0.0952	
12587-46-1		Gross Alpha	T	pCi/L	9310	0.37	*	2.72	*	3	*	-0.207	*
12587-47-2		Gross Beta	T	pCi/L	9310	1.9	*	8.82	*	44.8	*	14.2	*
10043-66-0		Iodine-131	T	pCi/L			*		*		*		*
13982-63-3		Radium-226	T	pCi/L	AN-1418	0.476	*	0.868	*	0.72	*	0.54	*
10098-97-2		Strontium-90	T	pCi/L	905.0	-1.17	*	1.5	*	2.37	*	-1.59	*
14133-76-7		Technetium-99	T	pCi/L	Tc-02-RC	0.195	*	27	*	82.8	*	24.7	*
14269-63-7		Thorium-230	T	pCi/L	Th-01-RC	-0.0688	*	-0.00581	*	0.216	*	0.32	*
10028-17-8		Tritium	T	pCi/L	906.0	41.8	*	28.4	*	-10.5	*	59.4	*
S0130- -		Chemical Oxygen Demand	T	mg/L	410.4	16.8	J	<20		<20		<20	
57-12-5		Cyanide	T	mg/L	9012	<0.2		<0.2		0.00176	J	<0.2	
20461-54-5		Iodide	T	mg/L	300.0	<0.5		<0.5		<0.5		<0.5	
S0268- -		Total Organic Carbon	T	mg/L	9060	1.48	J	1.88	J	1.26	J	2.22	
S0586- -		Total Organic Halides	T	mg/L	9020	<0.01		0.0411		0.00954	J	0.01	

Division of Waste Management
Solid Waste Branch
14 Reilly Road
Frankfort, KY 40601 (502)564-6716

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY
Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Permit Number: 073-00014 & 073-00015

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
LAB ID: None
For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS(S)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number	8004-4792	8004-4809	8004-4810	8004-4804
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)	373	384	385	386
Sample Sequence #	1	1	1	1
If sample is a Blank, specify Type: (F)ield, (T)rip, (M)ethod, or (E)quipment	NA	NA	NA	NA
Sample Date and Time (Month/Day/Year hour: minutes)	1/19/2017 10:23	1/4/2017 13:04	1/5/2017 08:11	1/5/2017 07:31
Duplicate ("Y" or "N")[2]	N	N	N	N
Split ("Y" or "N")[3]	N	N	N	N
Facility Sample ID Number (if applicable)	MW373UG2-17	MW384SG2-17	MW385SG2-17	MW386SG2-17
Laboratory Sample ID Number (if applicable)	414675009	413720005	413785001	413785009
Date of Analysis (Month/Day/Year) For Volatile Organics Analysis	1/24/2017	1/5/2017	1/9/2017	1/9/2017
Gradient with respect to Monitored Unit (UP, DOWN, SIDE, UNKNOWN)	DOWN	SIDE	SIDE	SIDE

CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS[7]	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
24959-67-9		Bromide	T	mg/L	9056	0.601		0.526		0.248		0.154	J
16887-00-6		Chloride(s)	T	mg/L	9056	47.8		47.9		24.8		14.4	
16984-48-8		Fluoride	T	mg/L	9056	0.193		0.213		0.147		0.523	
S0595- -		Nitrate & Nitrite	T	mg/L	9056	1.11		1.27		0.561		<0.1	
14808-79-8		Sulfate	T	mg/L	9056	110		19.7		19.9		46.5	
NS1894		Barometric Pressure Reading	T	Inches/Hg	Field	29.89		30.21		30.05		30.05	
S0145- -		Specific Conductance	T	μMH0/cm	Field	781		490		474		631	

[1]AKGWA # is 0000-0000 for any type of blank.
[2]Respond "Y" if the sample was a duplicate of another sample in this report.
[3]Respond "Y" if the sample was split and analyzed by separate laboratories.
[4]Chemical Abstracts Service Registry Number or unique identifier number assigned by agency.
[5]"T" = Total; "D" = Dissolved
[6]"<" indicates a non-detect; do not use "ND" or "BDL". Value shown is Practical Quantification Limit.
[7]Flags are as designated, do not use any other type. Use "*," then describe on "Written Comments Page."

STANDARD FLAGS:
* = See Comments
J = Estimated Value
B = Analyte found in blank
A = Average value
N = Presumptive ID
D = Concentration from analysis of a secondary dilution

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY
Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Permit Number: 073-00014 & 073-00015

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
LAB ID: None
For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number						8004-4792		8004-4809		8004-4810		8004-4804	
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, BLANK-F, etc.)						373		384		385		386	
CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
S0906 - -		Static Water Level Elevation	T	Ft. MSL	Field	323.49		323		323.18		346.82	
N238		Dissolved Oxygen	T	mg/L	Field	2.27		4.32		2.11		1.79	
S0266- -		Total Dissolved Solids	T	mg/L	160.1	413		243		21.4	*	403	*
S0296- -		pH	T	Units	Field	6.25		6.22		6.6		6.68	
NS215		Eh	T	mV	Field	279		330		240		171	
S0907 - -		Temperature	T	°C	Field	14.78		14.72		13.33		12.78	
7429-90-5		Aluminum	T	mg/L	6020	<0.05		<0.05		<0.05		<0.05	
7440-36-0		Antimony	T	mg/L	6020	<0.003		<0.003		<0.003		<0.003	
7440-38-2		Arsenic	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005		0.00215	BJ	0.00202	J	0.00347	J
7440-39-3		Barium	T	mg/L	6020	0.0296		0.127		0.259		0.201	
7440-41-7		Beryllium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.0005		<0.0005		<0.0005		<0.0005	
7440-42-8		Boron	T	mg/L	6020	1.59		0.0143	J	0.013	J	<0.015	
7440-43-9		Cadmium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
7440-70-2		Calcium	T	mg/L	6020	69.6		30.8		44.2		24.7	
7440-47-3		Chromium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.01		0.00343	BJ	<0.01		0.00469	BJ
7440-48-4		Cobalt	T	mg/L	6020	0.000198	BJ	0.000111	J	0.000172	J	0.00784	
7440-50-8		Copper	T	mg/L	6020	0.000438	J	0.000979	J	0.00117		0.00173	
7439-89-6		Iron	T	mg/L	6020	0.0749	J	0.042	J	<0.1		1.29	
7439-92-1		Lead	T	mg/L	6020	<0.002		<0.002		<0.002		<0.002	
7439-95-4		Magnesium	T	mg/L	6020	23.9		11.1		16.4		10.6	
7439-96-5		Manganese	T	mg/L	6020	0.00334	J	0.00132	J	0.00667		1.41	
7439-97-6		Mercury	T	mg/L	7470	<0.0002		0.00009	BJ	<0.0002		<0.0002	

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY
Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Permit Number: 073-00014 & 073-00015

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
LAB ID: None
For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number						8004-4792		8004-4809		8004-4810		8004-4804	
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)						373		384		385		386	
CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
7439-98-7		Molybdenum	T	mg/L	6020	<0.0005		<0.0005		0.00058		0.000554	
7440-02-0		Nickel	T	mg/L	6020	0.00155	J	0.00116	J	0.00142	J	0.00247	
7440-09-7		Potassium	T	mg/L	6020	2.57		1.19		1.99		0.373	
7440-16-6		Rhodium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
7782-49-2		Selenium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005		0.00304	J	<0.005		<0.005	
7440-22-4		Silver	T	mg/L	6020	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
7440-23-5		Sodium	T	mg/L	6020	58.3		83.2		34.3		152	
7440-25-7		Tantalum	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005	*	<0.005	*	<0.005		<0.005	
7440-28-0		Thallium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.002		<0.002		<0.002		<0.002	
7440-61-1		Uranium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.0002	*	<0.0002		0.000246		<0.0002	
7440-62-2		Vanadium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.01		<0.01		<0.01		<0.01	
7440-66-6		Zinc	T	mg/L	6020	<0.01		<0.01		<0.01		<0.01	
108-05-4		Vinyl acetate	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005	*	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
67-64-1		Acetone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
107-02-8		Acrolein	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
107-13-1		Acrylonitrile	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
71-43-2		Benzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
108-90-7		Chlorobenzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
1330-20-7		Xylenes	T	mg/L	8260	<0.003		<0.003		<0.003		<0.003	
100-42-5		Styrene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
108-88-3		Toluene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
74-97-5		Chlorobromomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number						8004-4792		8004-4809		8004-4810		8004-4804	
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)						373		384		385		386	
CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
75-27-4		Bromodichloromethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-25-2		Tribromomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
74-83-9		Methyl bromide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
78-93-3		Methyl ethyl ketone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
110-57-6		trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
75-15-0		Carbon disulfide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
75-00-3		Chloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
67-66-3		Chloroform	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
74-87-3		Methyl chloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
156-59-2		cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
74-95-3		Methylene bromide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-34-3		1,1-Dichloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
107-06-2		1,2-Dichloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-35-4		1,1-Dichloroethylene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
106-93-4		Ethane, 1,2-dibromo	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
79-34-5		Ethane, 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
71-55-6		Ethane, 1,1,1-Trichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
79-00-5		Ethane, 1,1,2-Trichloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
630-20-6		Ethane, 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-01-4		Vinyl chloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
127-18-4		Ethene, Tetrachloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
79-01-6		Ethene, Trichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	0.00853		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	

RESIDENTIAL/CONTAINED-QUARTERLY
Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
Permit Number: 073-00014 & 073-00015 LAB ID: None
For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number						8004-4792		8004-4809		8004-4810		8004-4804	
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)						373		384		385		386	
CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
100-41-4		Ethylbenzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
591-78-6		2-Hexanone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
74-88-4		Iodomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
124-48-1		Methane, Dibromochloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
56-23-5		Carbon Tetrachloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-09-2		Dichloromethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
108-10-1		Methyl isobutyl ketone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
96-12-8		Propane, 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloro	T	mg/L	8011	<0.00002		<0.0000197		<0.0000196		<0.0000199	
78-87-5		Propane, 1,2-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
10061-02-6		trans-1,3-Dichloro-1-propene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
10061-01-5		cis-1,3-Dichloro-1-propene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
156-60-5		trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-69-4		Trichlorofluoromethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
96-18-4		1,2,3-Trichloropropane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
95-50-1		Benzene, 1,2-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
106-46-7		Benzene, 1,4-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
1336-36-3		PCB,Total	T	ug/L	8082	<0.106			*		*		*
12674-11-2		PCB-1016	T	ug/L	8082	<0.106			*		*		*
11104-28-2		PCB-1221	T	ug/L	8082	<0.106			*		*		*
11141-16-5		PCB-1232	T	ug/L	8082	<0.106			*		*		*
53469-21-9		PCB-1242	T	ug/L	8082	<0.106			*		*		*
12672-29-6		PCB-1248	T	ug/L	8082	<0.106			*		*		*

RESIDENTIAL/CONTAINED-QUARTERLY
Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Permit Number: 073-00014 & 073-00015

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
LAB ID: None
For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number						8004-4792		8004-4809		8004-4810		8004-4804	
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)						373		384		385		386	
CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
11097-69-1		PCB-1254	T	ug/L	8082	<0.106			*		*		*
11096-82-5		PCB-1260	T	ug/L	8082	<0.106			*		*		*
11100-14-4		PCB-1268	T	ug/L	8082	<0.106			*		*		*
12587-46-1		Gross Alpha	T	pCi/L	9310	1.89	*	-0.917	*	0.863	*	0.641	*
12587-47-2		Gross Beta	T	pCi/L	9310	15.6	*	103	*	54	*	1.32	*
10043-66-0		Iodine-131	T	pCi/L			*		*		*		*
13982-63-3		Radium-226	T	pCi/L	AN-1418	0.332	*	0.678	*	1.32	*	0.747	*
10098-97-2		Strontium-90	T	pCi/L	905.0	0.0653	*	3.18	*	2.38	*	2.26	*
14133-76-7		Technetium-99	T	pCi/L	Tc-02-RC	33.1	*	144	*	92.5	*	3.12	*
14269-63-7		Thorium-230	T	pCi/L	Th-01-RC	-0.217	*	0.985	*	1.4	*	-0.109	*
10028-17-8		Tritium	T	pCi/L	906.0	-63.8	*	-6.36	*	20.9	*	-67.3	*
S0130- -		Chemical Oxygen Demand	T	mg/L	410.4	14.6	J	18.1	J	<20		24.8	
57-12-5		Cyanide	T	mg/L	9012	<0.2		<0.2		<0.2		<0.2	
20461-54-5		Iodide	T	mg/L	300.0	<0.5		<0.5		<0.5		<0.5	
S0268- -		Total Organic Carbon	T	mg/L	9060	1.27	J	1.12	J	0.918	J	7.29	
S0586- -		Total Organic Halides	T	mg/L	9020	0.0155		0.014		0.0101		0.174	

Division of Waste Management
Solid Waste Branch
14 Reilly Road
Frankfort, KY 40601 (502)564-6716

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY
Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Permit Number: 073-00014 & 073-00015

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
LAB ID: None
For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS(S)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number	8004-4815	8004-4816	8004-4812	8004-4811
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)	387	388	389	390
Sample Sequence #	1	1	1	1
If sample is a Blank, specify Type: (F)ield, (T)rip, (M)ethod, or (E)quipment	NA	NA	NA	NA
Sample Date and Time (Month/Day/Year hour: minutes)	1/4/2017 11:42	1/4/2017 12:20	NA	1/4/2017 07:56
Duplicate ("Y" or "N")[2]	N	N	N	N
Split ("Y" or "N")[3]	N	N	N	N
Facility Sample ID Number (if applicable)	MW387SG2-17	MW388SG2-17	NA	MW390SG2-17
Laboratory Sample ID Number (if applicable)	413720007	413720009	NA	413720001
Date of Analysis (Month/Day/Year) For Volatile Organics Analysis	1/5/2017	1/6/2017	NA	1/5/2017
Gradient with respect to Monitored Unit (UP, DOWN, SIDE, UNKNOWN)	DOWN	DOWN	SIDE	DOWN

CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS[7]	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
24959-67-9		Bromide	T	mg/L	9056	0.474		0.355			*	0.621	
16887-00-6		Chloride(s)	T	mg/L	9056	41.2		33.5			*	63.3	
16984-48-8		Fluoride	T	mg/L	9056	0.486		0.15			*	0.255	
S0595- -		Nitrate & Nitrite	T	mg/L	9056	1.16		1.19			*	3	
14808-79-8		Sulfate	T	mg/L	9056	30.1		24.9			*	30.8	
NS1894		Barometric Pressure Reading	T	Inches/Hg	Field	30.23		30.21			*	30.19	
S0145- -		Specific Conductance	T	μMH0/cm	Field	519		436			*	680	

[1]AKGWA # is 0000-0000 for any type of blank.
[2]Respond "Y" if the sample was a duplicate of another sample in this report.
[3]Respond "Y" if the sample was split and analyzed by separate laboratories.
[4]Chemical Abstracts Service Registry Number or unique identifier number assigned by agency.
[5]"T" = Total; "D" = Dissolved
[6]"<" indicates a non-detect; do not use "ND" or "BDL". Value shown is Practical Quantification Limit.
[7]Flags are as designated, do not use any other type. Use "*," then describe on "Written Comments Page."

STANDARD FLAGS:
* = See Comments
J = Estimated Value
B = Analyte found in blank
A = Average value
N = Presumptive ID
D = Concentration from analysis of a secondary dilution

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number						8004-4815		8004-4816		8004-4812		8004-4811	
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, BLANK-F, etc.)						387		388		389		390	
CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
S0906 - -		Static Water Level Elevation	T	Ft. MSL	Field	323.04		322.99			*	322.98	
N238		Dissolved Oxygen	T	mg/L	Field	4.28		4.91			*	4.65	
S0266- -		Total Dissolved Solids	T	mg/L	160.1	276		241			*	361	
S0296- -		pH	T	Units	Field	6.18		6.1			*	6.35	
NS215		Eh	T	mV	Field	348		327			*	380	
S0907 - -		Temperature	T	°C	Field	13.39		14.17			*	12.94	
7429-90-5		Aluminum	T	mg/L	6020	0.0191	J	<0.05			*	0.175	
7440-36-0		Antimony	T	mg/L	6020	<0.003		<0.003			*	<0.003	
7440-38-2		Arsenic	T	mg/L	6020	0.00366	BJ	0.00196	BJ		*	0.00192	BJ
7440-39-3		Barium	T	mg/L	6020	0.12		0.222			*	0.263	
7440-41-7		Beryllium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.0005		<0.0005			*	<0.0005	
7440-42-8		Boron	T	mg/L	6020	0.0339		0.0243			*	0.011	J
7440-43-9		Cadmium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.001		<0.001			*	<0.001	
7440-70-2		Calcium	T	mg/L	6020	35.7		28.9			*	34.2	
7440-47-3		Chromium	T	mg/L	6020	0.00311	BJ	<0.01			*	<0.01	
7440-48-4		Cobalt	T	mg/L	6020	0.000153	J	0.000151	J		*	0.000434	J
7440-50-8		Copper	T	mg/L	6020	0.000995	J	0.000951	J		*	0.00212	
7439-89-6		Iron	T	mg/L	6020	0.0489	J	0.0492	J		*	0.204	
7439-92-1		Lead	T	mg/L	6020	<0.002		<0.002			*	<0.002	
7439-95-4		Magnesium	T	mg/L	6020	14.2		11.6			*	13.3	
7439-96-5		Manganese	T	mg/L	6020	0.00151	J	<0.005			*	0.00132	J
7439-97-6		Mercury	T	mg/L	7470	0.00009	BJ	0.000093	BJ		*	0.00009	BJ

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number						8004-4815		8004-4816		8004-4812		8004-4811	
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)						387		388		389		390	
CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
7439-98-7		Molybdenum	T	mg/L	6020	<0.0005		<0.0005			*	0.000543	
7440-02-0		Nickel	T	mg/L	6020	0.00135	J	0.00173	J		*	0.00202	
7440-09-7		Potassium	T	mg/L	6020	1.84		2.23			*	0.416	
7440-16-6		Rhodium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005		<0.005			*	<0.005	
7782-49-2		Selenium	T	mg/L	6020	0.00213	J	<0.005			*	<0.005	
7440-22-4		Silver	T	mg/L	6020	<0.001		<0.001			*	<0.001	
7440-23-5		Sodium	T	mg/L	6020	65.4		57.1			*	134	
7440-25-7		Tantalum	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005	*	<0.005	*		*	<0.005	*
7440-28-0		Thallium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.002		<0.002			*	<0.002	
7440-61-1		Uranium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.0002		<0.0002			*	0.000141	J
7440-62-2		Vanadium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.01		<0.01			*	<0.01	
7440-66-6		Zinc	T	mg/L	6020	<0.01		<0.01			*	0.00467	J
108-05-4		Vinyl acetate	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005			*	<0.005	
67-64-1		Acetone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005			*	<0.005	
107-02-8		Acrolein	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005			*	<0.005	
107-13-1		Acrylonitrile	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005			*	<0.005	
71-43-2		Benzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001			*	<0.001	
108-90-7		Chlorobenzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001			*	<0.001	
1330-20-7		Xylenes	T	mg/L	8260	<0.003		<0.003			*	<0.003	
100-42-5		Styrene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001			*	<0.001	
108-88-3		Toluene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001			*	<0.001	
74-97-5		Chlorobromomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001			*	<0.001	

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY
Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Permit Number: 073-00014 & 073-00015

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
LAB ID: None
For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number						8004-4815		8004-4816		8004-4812		8004-4811	
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)						387		388		389		390	
CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
75-27-4		Bromodichloromethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001			*	<0.001	
75-25-2		Tribromomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001			*	<0.001	
74-83-9		Methyl bromide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001			*	<0.001	
78-93-3		Methyl ethyl ketone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005			*	<0.005	
110-57-6		trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005			*	<0.005	
75-15-0		Carbon disulfide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005			*	<0.005	
75-00-3		Chloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001			*	<0.001	
67-66-3		Chloroform	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001			*	<0.001	
74-87-3		Methyl chloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001			*	<0.001	
156-59-2		cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001			*	<0.001	
74-95-3		Methylene bromide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001			*	<0.001	
75-34-3		1,1-Dichloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001			*	<0.001	
107-06-2		1,2-Dichloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001			*	<0.001	
75-35-4		1,1-Dichloroethylene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001			*	<0.001	
106-93-4		Ethane, 1,2-dibromo	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001			*	<0.001	
79-34-5		Ethane, 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001			*	<0.001	
71-55-6		Ethane, 1,1,1-Trichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001			*	<0.001	
79-00-5		Ethane, 1,1,2-Trichloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001			*	<0.001	
630-20-6		Ethane, 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001			*	<0.001	
75-01-4		Vinyl chloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001			*	<0.001	
127-18-4		Ethene, Tetrachloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001			*	<0.001	
79-01-6		Ethene, Trichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	0.00083	J	0.00067	J		*	0.00037	J

RESIDENTIAL/CONTAINED-QUARTERLY
Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
Permit Number: 073-00014 & 073-00015 LAB ID: None
For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number						8004-4815		8004-4816		8004-4812		8004-4811	
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)						387		388		389		390	
CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
100-41-4		Ethylbenzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001			*	<0.001	
591-78-6		2-Hexanone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005			*	<0.005	
74-88-4		Iodomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005			*	<0.005	
124-48-1		Methane, Dibromochloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001			*	<0.001	
56-23-5		Carbon Tetrachloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001			*	<0.001	
75-09-2		Dichloromethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005			*	<0.005	
108-10-1		Methyl isobutyl ketone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005			*	<0.005	
96-12-8		Propane, 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloro	T	mg/L	8011	<0.0000201		<0.0000197			*	<0.0000199	
78-87-5		Propane, 1,2-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001			*	<0.001	
10061-02-6		trans-1,3-Dichloro-1-propene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001			*	<0.001	
10061-01-5		cis-1,3-Dichloro-1-propene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001			*	<0.001	
156-60-5		trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001			*	<0.001	
75-69-4		Trichlorofluoromethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001			*	<0.001	
96-18-4		1,2,3-Trichloropropane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001			*	<0.001	
95-50-1		Benzene, 1,2-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001			*	<0.001	
106-46-7		Benzene, 1,4-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001			*	<0.001	
1336-36-3		PCB,Total	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
12674-11-2		PCB-1016	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
11104-28-2		PCB-1221	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
11141-16-5		PCB-1232	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
53469-21-9		PCB-1242	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
12672-29-6		PCB-1248	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*

RESIDENTIAL/CONTAINED-QUARTERLY
Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Permit Number: 073-00014 & 073-00015

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
LAB ID: None
For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number						8004-4815		8004-4816		8004-4812		8004-4811	
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)						387		388		389		390	
CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
11097-69-1		PCB-1254	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
11096-82-5		PCB-1260	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
11100-14-4		PCB-1268	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
12587-46-1		Gross Alpha	T	pCi/L	9310	-1.29	*	2.54	*		*	-1.01	*
12587-47-2		Gross Beta	T	pCi/L	9310	154	*	86.4	*		*	40.9	*
10043-66-0		Iodine-131	T	pCi/L			*		*		*		*
13982-63-3		Radium-226	T	pCi/L	AN-1418	0.342	*	0.536	*		*	1.19	*
10098-97-2		Strontium-90	T	pCi/L	905.0	2.12	*	2.5	*		*	2.32	*
14133-76-7		Technetium-99	T	pCi/L	Tc-02-RC	249	*	142	*		*	55.3	*
14269-63-7		Thorium-230	T	pCi/L	Th-01-RC	0.438	*	0.111	*		*	0.661	*
10028-17-8		Tritium	T	pCi/L	906.0	-14.7	*	7.98	*		*	53.9	*
S0130- -		Chemical Oxygen Demand	T	mg/L	410.4	22		22			*	18.1	J
57-12-5		Cyanide	T	mg/L	9012	<0.2		<0.2			*	<0.2	
20461-54-5		Iodide	T	mg/L	300.0	<0.5		<0.5			*	<0.5	
S0268- -		Total Organic Carbon	T	mg/L	9060	1.14	J	0.933	J		*	2.07	
S0586- -		Total Organic Halides	T	mg/L	9020	0.0106		0.0164			*	0.0186	

Division of Waste Management
Solid Waste Branch
14 Reilly Road
Frankfort, KY 40601 (502)564-6716

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY
Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Permit Number: 073-00014 & 073-00015

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
LAB ID: None
For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS(S)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number	8004-4805	8004-4806	8004-4807	8004-4802
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)	391	392	393	394
Sample Sequence #	1	1	1	1
If sample is a Blank, specify Type: (F)ield, (T)rip, (M)ethod, or (E)quipment	NA	NA	NA	NA
Sample Date and Time (Month/Day/Year hour: minutes)	1/5/2017 10:14	1/5/2017 09:00	1/5/2017 09:35	1/17/2017 07:54
Duplicate ("Y" or "N")[2]	N	N	N	N
Split ("Y" or "N")[3]	N	N	N	N
Facility Sample ID Number (if applicable)	MW391SG2-17	MW392SG2-17	MW393SG2-17	MW394SG2-17
Laboratory Sample ID Number (if applicable)	413785011	413785013	413785015	414471001
Date of Analysis (Month/Day/Year) For Volatile Organics Analysis	1/9/2017	1/9/2017	1/9/2017	1/19/2017
Gradient with respect to Monitored Unit (UP, DOWN, SIDE, UNKNOWN)	DOWN	DOWN	DOWN	UP

CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS[7]	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
24959-67-9		Bromide	T	mg/L	9056	0.595		0.632		0.187	J	0.697	
16887-00-6		Chloride(s)	T	mg/L	9056	47.7		48.5		14.6		53.4	
16984-48-8		Fluoride	T	mg/L	9056	0.106		0.151		0.103		0.163	
S0595- -		Nitrate & Nitrite	T	mg/L	9056	1.15		0.742		<0.1		1.23	
14808-79-8		Sulfate	T	mg/L	9056	50.2		7.21		11.9		10.8	
NS1894		Barometric Pressure Reading	T	Inches/Hg	Field	30.08		30.06		30.05		29.98	
S0145- -		Specific Conductance	T	μMH0/cm	Field	471		409		399		427	

[1]AKGWA # is 0000-0000 for any type of blank.
[2]Respond "Y" if the sample was a duplicate of another sample in this report.
[3]Respond "Y" if the sample was split and analyzed by separate laboratories.
[4]Chemical Abstracts Service Registry Number or unique identifier number assigned by agency.
[5]"T" = Total; "D" = Dissolved
[6]"<" indicates a non-detect; do not use "ND" or "BDL". Value shown is Practical Quantification Limit.
[7]Flags are as designated, do not use any other type. Use "*," then describe on "Written Comments Page."

STANDARD FLAGS:
* = See Comments
J = Estimated Value
B = Analyte found in blank
A = Average value
N = Presumptive ID
D = Concentration from analysis of a secondary dilution

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number						8004-4805		8004-4806		8004-4807		8004-4802	
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, BLANK-F, etc.)						391		392		393		394	
CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
S0906 - -		Static Water Level Elevation	T	Ft. MSL	Field	323.23		323.24		339.46		323.69	
N238		Dissolved Oxygen	T	mg/L	Field	3.71		1.99		4.3		4.81	
S0266- -		Total Dissolved Solids	T	mg/L	160.1	283	*	260	*	260	*	213	
S0296- -		pH	T	Units	Field	6.13		6.35		6.23		5.97	
NS215		Eh	T	mV	Field	311		289		247		397	
S0907 - -		Temperature	T	°C	Field	14.83		14.56		15.11		13.94	
7429-90-5		Aluminum	T	mg/L	6020	<0.05		0.0242	J	0.0278	J	<0.05	
7440-36-0		Antimony	T	mg/L	6020	<0.003		<0.003		<0.003		<0.003	
7440-38-2		Arsenic	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005		<0.005		0.00423	J	<0.005	
7440-39-3		Barium	T	mg/L	6020	0.197		0.208		0.113		0.259	
7440-41-7		Beryllium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.0005		<0.0005		<0.0005		<0.0005	
7440-42-8		Boron	T	mg/L	6020	0.127		0.0276		0.0206		0.0225	
7440-43-9		Cadmium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
7440-70-2		Calcium	T	mg/L	6020	39.2		31		12.9		26.7	
7440-47-3		Chromium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.01		<0.01		0.00465	BJ	<0.01	
7440-48-4		Cobalt	T	mg/L	6020	0.000151	J	0.000206	J	0.0001	J	<0.001	
7440-50-8		Copper	T	mg/L	6020	0.000987	J	0.000878	J	0.00113		0.000747	J
7439-89-6		Iron	T	mg/L	6020	0.0525	J	0.109		1.42		0.0545	J
7439-92-1		Lead	T	mg/L	6020	<0.002		<0.002		<0.002		<0.002	
7439-95-4		Magnesium	T	mg/L	6020	16.2		10.8		3.79		11.7	
7439-96-5		Manganese	T	mg/L	6020	0.00212	J	0.0296		0.0426		0.00444	J
7439-97-6		Mercury	T	mg/L	7470	<0.0002		<0.0002		<0.0002		<0.0002	

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number						8004-4805		8004-4806		8004-4807		8004-4802	
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)						391		392		393		394	
CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
7439-98-7		Molybdenum	T	mg/L	6020	<0.0005		<0.0005		<0.0005		<0.0005	
7440-02-0		Nickel	T	mg/L	6020	0.000879	J	0.00125	J	<0.002		0.00252	
7440-09-7		Potassium	T	mg/L	6020	1.82		1.86		0.459		1.09	
7440-16-6		Rhodium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
7782-49-2		Selenium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
7440-22-4		Silver	T	mg/L	6020	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
7440-23-5		Sodium	T	mg/L	6020	37.7		37.8		96.8		35.3	
7440-25-7		Tantalum	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
7440-28-0		Thallium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.002		<0.002		<0.002		<0.002	
7440-61-1		Uranium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.0002		<0.0002		<0.0002		<0.0002	
7440-62-2		Vanadium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.01		<0.01		<0.01		<0.01	
7440-66-6		Zinc	T	mg/L	6020	<0.01		<0.01		<0.01		<0.01	
108-05-4		Vinyl acetate	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
67-64-1		Acetone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
107-02-8		Acrolein	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
107-13-1		Acrylonitrile	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
71-43-2		Benzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
108-90-7		Chlorobenzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
1330-20-7		Xylenes	T	mg/L	8260	<0.003		<0.003		<0.003		<0.003	
100-42-5		Styrene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
108-88-3		Toluene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
74-97-5		Chlorobromomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number						8004-4805		8004-4806		8004-4807		8004-4802	
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)						391		392		393		394	
CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
75-27-4		Bromodichloromethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-25-2		Tribromomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
74-83-9		Methyl bromide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
78-93-3		Methyl ethyl ketone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
110-57-6		trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
75-15-0		Carbon disulfide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
75-00-3		Chloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
67-66-3		Chloroform	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
74-87-3		Methyl chloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
156-59-2		cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	T	mg/L	8260	0.00049	J	0.00083	J	<0.001		<0.001	
74-95-3		Methylene bromide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-34-3		1,1-Dichloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
107-06-2		1,2-Dichloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-35-4		1,1-Dichloroethylene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
106-93-4		Ethane, 1,2-dibromo	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
79-34-5		Ethane, 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
71-55-6		Ethane, 1,1,1-Trichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
79-00-5		Ethane, 1,1,2-Trichloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
630-20-6		Ethane, 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-01-4		Vinyl chloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
127-18-4		Ethene, Tetrachloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
79-01-6		Ethene, Trichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	0.011		0.022		0.00248		0.00786	

RESIDENTIAL/CONTAINED-QUARTERLY
Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Permit Number: 073-00014 & 073-00015

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
LAB ID: None
For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number						8004-4805		8004-4806		8004-4807		8004-4802	
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)						391		392		393		394	
CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
100-41-4		Ethylbenzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
591-78-6		2-Hexanone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
74-88-4		Iodomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
124-48-1		Methane, Dibromochloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
56-23-5		Carbon Tetrachloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-09-2		Dichloromethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
108-10-1		Methyl isobutyl ketone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
96-12-8		Propane, 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloro	T	mg/L	8011	<0.0000197		<0.0000195		<0.0000194		<0.0000199	
78-87-5		Propane, 1,2-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
10061-02-6		trans-1,3-Dichloro-1-propene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
10061-01-5		cis-1,3-Dichloro-1-propene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
156-60-5		trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-69-4		Trichlorofluoromethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
96-18-4		1,2,3-Trichloropropane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
95-50-1		Benzene, 1,2-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
106-46-7		Benzene, 1,4-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
1336-36-3		PCB,Total	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
12674-11-2		PCB-1016	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
11104-28-2		PCB-1221	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
11141-16-5		PCB-1232	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
53469-21-9		PCB-1242	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
12672-29-6		PCB-1248	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*

RESIDENTIAL/CONTAINED-QUARTERLY
Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Permit Number: 073-00014 & 073-00015

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
LAB ID: None
For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number						8004-4805		8004-4806		8004-4807		8004-4802	
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)						391		392		393		394	
CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
11097-69-1		PCB-1254	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
11096-82-5		PCB-1260	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
11100-14-4		PCB-1268	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
12587-46-1		Gross Alpha	T	pCi/L	9310	2.8	*	0.619	*	0.871	*	1.25	*
12587-47-2		Gross Beta	T	pCi/L	9310	-1.93	*	1.8	*	-0.509	*	5.57	*
10043-66-0		Iodine-131	T	pCi/L			*		*		*		*
13982-63-3		Radium-226	T	pCi/L	AN-1418	0.252	*	0.631	*	0.514	*	0.518	*
10098-97-2		Strontium-90	T	pCi/L	905.0	3.73	*	2.85	*	1.93	*	1.9	*
14133-76-7		Technetium-99	T	pCi/L	Tc-02-RC	5.9	*	7.69	*	-1.71	*	7.79	*
14269-63-7		Thorium-230	T	pCi/L	Th-01-RC	-0.00562	*	0.381	*	0.142	*	-0.0549	*
10028-17-8		Tritium	T	pCi/L	906.0	1.53	*	34.9	*	40.7	*	7.36	*
S0130- -		Chemical Oxygen Demand	T	mg/L	410.4	<20		10.1	J	<20		9.95	J
57-12-5		Cyanide	T	mg/L	9012	<0.2		<0.2		<0.2		<0.2	
20461-54-5		Iodide	T	mg/L	300.0	<0.5		<0.5		<0.5		<0.5	
S0268- -		Total Organic Carbon	T	mg/L	9060	1	J	1.1	J	2.56		1.02	J
S0586- -		Total Organic Halides	T	mg/L	9020	0.014		0.0239		0.0311		0.01	

Division of Waste Management
Solid Waste Branch
14 Reilly Road
Frankfort, KY 40601 (502)564-6716

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY
Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Permit Number: 073-00014 & 073-00015

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
LAB ID: None
For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS(S)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number	8004-4801	8004-4803	8004-4817	0000-0000
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)	395	396	397	E. BLANK
Sample Sequence #	1	1	1	1
If sample is a Blank, specify Type: (F)ield, (T)rip, (M)ethod, or (E)quipment	NA	NA	NA	E
Sample Date and Time (Month/Day/Year hour: minutes)	1/17/2017 09:27	1/17/2017 08:47	1/11/2017 09:51	1/4/2017 07:08
Duplicate ("Y" or "N")[2]	N	N	N	N
Split ("Y" or "N")[3]	N	N	N	N
Facility Sample ID Number (if applicable)	MW395SG2-17	MW396SG2-17	MW397SG2-17	RI1SG2-17
Laboratory Sample ID Number (if applicable)	414471003	414471005	414135005	413720012
Date of Analysis (Month/Day/Year) For Volatile Organics Analysis	1/20/2017	1/20/2017	1/13/2017	1/7/2017
Gradient with respect to Monitored Unit (UP, DOWN, SIDE, UNKNOWN)	UP	UP	UP	NA

CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS[7]	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
24959-67-9		Bromide	T	mg/L	9056	0.541		1.06		0.494			*
16887-00-6		Chloride(s)	T	mg/L	9056	46.7		73.9		39.6			*
16984-48-8		Fluoride	T	mg/L	9056	0.122		0.594		0.095	J		*
S0595- -		Nitrate & Nitrite	T	mg/L	9056	1.67		<0.1		1.61			*
14808-79-8		Sulfate	T	mg/L	9056	10.1		23.2		11.6			*
NS1894		Barometric Pressure Reading	T	Inches/Hg	Field	30.03		30.01		29.98			*
S0145- -		Specific Conductance	T	μMH0/cm	Field	386		790		337			*

[1]AKGWA # is 0000-0000 for any type of blank.
[2]Respond "Y" if the sample was a duplicate of another sample in this report.
[3]Respond "Y" if the sample was split and analyzed by separate laboratories.
[4]Chemical Abstracts Service Registry Number or unique identifier number assigned by agency.
[5]"T" = Total; "D" = Dissolved
[6]"<" indicates a non-detect; do not use "ND" or "BDL". Value shown is Practical Quantification Limit.
[7]Flags are as designated, do not use any other type. Use "*," then describe on "Written Comments Page."

STANDARD FLAGS:
* = See Comments
J = Estimated Value
B = Analyte found in blank
A = Average value
N = Presumptive ID
D = Concentration from analysis of a secondary dilution

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY
Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Permit Number: 073-00014 & 073-00015

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
LAB ID: None
For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number						8004-4801		8004-4803		8004-4817		0000-0000	
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, BLANK-F, etc.)						395		396		397		E. BLANK	
CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
S0906 - -		Static Water Level Elevation	T	Ft. MSL	Field	324.06		370.34		323.67			*
N238		Dissolved Oxygen	T	mg/L	Field	4.88		4.07		5.79			*
S0266- -		Total Dissolved Solids	T	mg/L	160.1	223		460		187	B		*
S0296- -		pH	T	Units	Field	6.39		6.37		6.08			*
NS215		Eh	T	mV	Field	299		209		416			*
S0907 - -		Temperature	T	°C	Field	14.11		14.28		15.83			*
7429-90-5		Aluminum	T	mg/L	6020	<0.05		<0.05		0.0642		<0.05	
7440-36-0		Antimony	T	mg/L	6020	<0.003		<0.003		<0.003		<0.003	
7440-38-2		Arsenic	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005		0.00231	J	0.00191	BJ	<0.005	
7440-39-3		Barium	T	mg/L	6020	0.269		0.429		0.136		<0.002	
7440-41-7		Beryllium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.0005		<0.0005		<0.0005		<0.0005	
7440-42-8		Boron	T	mg/L	6020	0.0223		0.00844	J	0.0112	J	<0.015	
7440-43-9		Cadmium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
7440-70-2		Calcium	T	mg/L	6020	25.9		35.7		19.5		<0.2	
7440-47-3		Chromium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.01		<0.01		<0.01		<0.01	
7440-48-4		Cobalt	T	mg/L	6020	<0.001		0.00298		<0.001		<0.001	
7440-50-8		Copper	T	mg/L	6020	0.000619	J	0.00129		0.000615	J	<0.001	
7439-89-6		Iron	T	mg/L	6020	<0.1		2.51		0.0865	J	<0.1	
7439-92-1		Lead	T	mg/L	6020	<0.002		<0.002		<0.002		<0.002	
7439-95-4		Magnesium	T	mg/L	6020	11.4		15.4		8.54		<0.03	
7439-96-5		Manganese	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005		0.558		0.00343	J	<0.005	
7439-97-6		Mercury	T	mg/L	7470	<0.0002		<0.0002		<0.0002		0.000088	BJ

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY
Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Permit Number: 073-00014 & 073-00015

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
LAB ID: None
For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number						8004-4801		8004-4803		8004-4817		0000-0000	
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)						395		396		397		E. BLANK	
CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
7439-98-7		Molybdenum	T	mg/L	6020	<0.0005		0.000517		<0.0005		<0.0005	
7440-02-0		Nickel	T	mg/L	6020	0.000686	J	0.00129	J	0.00059	J	<0.002	
7440-09-7		Potassium	T	mg/L	6020	1.56		0.803		1.82		<0.3	
7440-16-6		Rhodium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
7782-49-2		Selenium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
7440-22-4		Silver	T	mg/L	6020	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
7440-23-5		Sodium	T	mg/L	6020	29.1		116		33.4		<0.25	
7440-25-7		Tantalum	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	*	<0.005	*
7440-28-0		Thallium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.002		<0.002		<0.002		<0.002	
7440-61-1		Uranium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.0002		0.000071	J	<0.0002		<0.0002	
7440-62-2		Vanadium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.01		<0.01		0.00778	J	<0.01	
7440-66-6		Zinc	T	mg/L	6020	<0.01		<0.01		0.00357	J	<0.01	
108-05-4		Vinyl acetate	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	*	<0.005	
67-64-1		Acetone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
107-02-8		Acrolein	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
107-13-1		Acrylonitrile	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
71-43-2		Benzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
108-90-7		Chlorobenzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
1330-20-7		Xylenes	T	mg/L	8260	<0.003		<0.003		<0.003		<0.003	
100-42-5		Styrene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
108-88-3		Toluene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
74-97-5		Chlorobromomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number						8004-4801		8004-4803		8004-4817		0000-0000	
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)						395		396		397		E. BLANK	
CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
75-27-4		Bromodichloromethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-25-2		Tribromomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
74-83-9		Methyl bromide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
78-93-3		Methyl ethyl ketone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
110-57-6		trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
75-15-0		Carbon disulfide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
75-00-3		Chloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
67-66-3		Chloroform	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
74-87-3		Methyl chloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
156-59-2		cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
74-95-3		Methylene bromide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-34-3		1,1-Dichloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
107-06-2		1,2-Dichloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-35-4		1,1-Dichloroethylene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
106-93-4		Ethane, 1,2-dibromo	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
79-34-5		Ethane, 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
71-55-6		Ethane, 1,1,1-Trichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
79-00-5		Ethane, 1,1,2-Trichloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
630-20-6		Ethane, 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-01-4		Vinyl chloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
127-18-4		Ethene, Tetrachloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
79-01-6		Ethene, Trichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	0.00365		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	

RESIDENTIAL/CONTAINED-QUARTERLY
Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
Permit Number: 073-00014 & 073-00015 LAB ID: None
For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number						8004-4801		8004-4803		8004-4817		0000-0000	
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)						395		396		397		E. BLANK	
CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
100-41-4		Ethylbenzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
591-78-6		2-Hexanone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
74-88-4		Iodomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
124-48-1		Methane, Dibromochloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
56-23-5		Carbon Tetrachloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-09-2		Dichloromethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
108-10-1		Methyl isobutyl ketone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
96-12-8		Propane, 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloro	T	mg/L	8011	<0.0000196		<0.0000196		<0.0000195		<0.0000195	
78-87-5		Propane, 1,2-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
10061-02-6		trans-1,3-Dichloro-1-propene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
10061-01-5		cis-1,3-Dichloro-1-propene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
156-60-5		trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-69-4		Trichlorofluoromethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
96-18-4		1,2,3-Trichloropropane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
95-50-1		Benzene, 1,2-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
106-46-7		Benzene, 1,4-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
1336-36-3		PCB,Total	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
12674-11-2		PCB-1016	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
11104-28-2		PCB-1221	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
11141-16-5		PCB-1232	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
53469-21-9		PCB-1242	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
12672-29-6		PCB-1248	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*

RESIDENTIAL/CONTAINED-QUARTERLY
Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Permit Number: 073-00014 & 073-00015

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
LAB ID: None
For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number						8004-4801		8004-4803		8004-4817		0000-0000	
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)						395		396		397		E. BLANK	
CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
11097-69-1		PCB-1254	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
11096-82-5		PCB-1260	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
11100-14-4		PCB-1268	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
12587-46-1		Gross Alpha	T	pCi/L	9310	0.491	*	1.82	*	-1.85	*	-0.759	*
12587-47-2		Gross Beta	T	pCi/L	9310	5.31	*	0.0175	*	4.42	*	-1.59	*
10043-66-0		Iodine-131	T	pCi/L			*		*		*		*
13982-63-3		Radium-226	T	pCi/L	AN-1418	0.347	*	0.79	*	0.374	*	0.31	*
10098-97-2		Strontium-90	T	pCi/L	905.0	1.36	*	1.14	*	-0.646	*	4.26	*
14133-76-7		Technetium-99	T	pCi/L	Tc-02-RC	11.4	*	3.72	*	8.85	*	-5.51	*
14269-63-7		Thorium-230	T	pCi/L	Th-01-RC	0.526	*	0.408	*	-0.0832	*	0.0915	*
10028-17-8		Tritium	T	pCi/L	906.0	22.8	*	55	*	175	*	103	*
S0130- -		Chemical Oxygen Demand	T	mg/L	410.4	<20		16.9	J	18.9	J		*
57-12-5		Cyanide	T	mg/L	9012	<0.2		<0.2		<0.2			*
20461-54-5		Iodide	T	mg/L	300.0	<0.5		0.616		<0.5		<0.5	
S0268- -		Total Organic Carbon	T	mg/L	9060	0.879	J	6.37		0.792	J		*
S0586- -		Total Organic Halides	T	mg/L	9020	<0.01		0.0406		<0.01			*

Division of Waste Management
Solid Waste Branch
14 Reilly Road
Frankfort, KY 40601 (502)564-6716

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY
Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Permit Number: 073-00014 & 073-00015

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
LAB ID: None
For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS(S)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number	0000-0000	0000-0000	0000-0000	0000-0000
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)	F. BLANK	T. BLANK 1	T. BLANK 2	T. BLANK 3
Sample Sequence #	1	1	1	1
If sample is a Blank, specify Type: (F)ield, (T)rip, (M)ethod, or (E)quipment	F	T	T	T
Sample Date and Time (Month/Day/Year hour: minutes)	1/4/2017 13:10	1/4/2017 07:05	1/5/2017 07:00	1/11/2017 06:55
Duplicate ("Y" or "N")[2]	N	N	N	N
Split ("Y" or "N")[3]	N	N	N	N
Facility Sample ID Number (if applicable)	FB1SG2-17	TB1SG2-17	TB2SG2-17	TB3SG2-17
Laboratory Sample ID Number (if applicable)	413720011	41372013	413785017	414135007
Date of Analysis (Month/Day/Year) For Volatile Organics Analysis	1/7/2017	1/6/2017	1/9/2017	1/13/2017
Gradient with respect to Monitored Unit (UP, DOWN, SIDE, UNKNOWN)	NA	NA	NA	NA

CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS[7]	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
24959-67-9		Bromide	T	mg/L	9056		*		*		*		*
16887-00-6		Chloride(s)	T	mg/L	9056		*		*		*		*
16984-48-8		Fluoride	T	mg/L	9056		*		*		*		*
S0595- -		Nitrate & Nitrite	T	mg/L	9056		*		*		*		*
14808-79-8		Sulfate	T	mg/L	9056		*		*		*		*
NS1894		Barometric Pressure Reading	T	Inches/Hg	Field		*		*		*		*
S0145- -		Specific Conductance	T	μMH0/cm	Field		*		*		*		*

[1]AKGWA # is 0000-0000 for any type of blank.
[2]Respond "Y" if the sample was a duplicate of another sample in this report.
[3]Respond "Y" if the sample was split and analyzed by separate laboratories.
[4]Chemical Abstracts Service Registry Number or unique identifier number assigned by agency.
[5]"T" = Total; "D" = Dissolved
[6]"<" indicates a non-detect; do not use "ND" or "BDL". Value shown is Practical Quantification Limit.
[7]Flags are as designated, do not use any other type. Use "*," then describe on "Written Comments Page."

STANDARD FLAGS:
* = See Comments
J = Estimated Value
B = Analyte found in blank
A = Average value
N = Presumptive ID
D = Concentration from analysis of a secondary dilution

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY
Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Permit Number: 073-00014 & 073-00015

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
LAB ID: None
For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number						0000-0000		0000-0000		0000-0000		0000-0000	
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, BLANK-F, etc.)						F. BLANK		T. BLANK 1		T. BLANK 2		T. BLANK 3	
CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
S0906 - -		Static Water Level Elevation	T	Ft. MSL	Field		*		*		*		*
N238		Dissolved Oxygen	T	mg/L	Field		*		*		*		*
S0266- -		Total Dissolved Solids	T	mg/L	160.1		*		*		*		*
S0296- -		pH	T	Units	Field		*		*		*		*
NS215		Eh	T	mV	Field		*		*		*		*
S0907 - -		Temperature	T	°C	Field		*		*		*		*
7429-90-5		Aluminum	T	mg/L	6020	<0.05			*		*		*
7440-36-0		Antimony	T	mg/L	6020	<0.003			*		*		*
7440-38-2		Arsenic	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005			*		*		*
7440-39-3		Barium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.002			*		*		*
7440-41-7		Beryllium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.0005			*		*		*
7440-42-8		Boron	T	mg/L	6020	<0.015			*		*		*
7440-43-9		Cadmium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.001			*		*		*
7440-70-2		Calcium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.2			*		*		*
7440-47-3		Chromium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.01			*		*		*
7440-48-4		Cobalt	T	mg/L	6020	<0.001			*		*		*
7440-50-8		Copper	T	mg/L	6020	<0.001			*		*		*
7439-89-6		Iron	T	mg/L	6020	<0.1			*		*		*
7439-92-1		Lead	T	mg/L	6020	<0.002			*		*		*
7439-95-4		Magnesium	T	mg/L	6020	0.0143	J		*		*		*
7439-96-5		Manganese	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005			*		*		*
7439-97-6		Mercury	T	mg/L	7470	0.000094	BJ		*		*		*

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number						0000-0000		0000-0000		0000-0000		0000-0000	
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)						F. BLANK		T. BLANK 1		T. BLANK 2		T. BLANK 3	
CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
7439-98-7		Molybdenum	T	mg/L	6020	<0.0005			*		*		*
7440-02-0		Nickel	T	mg/L	6020	<0.002			*		*		*
7440-09-7		Potassium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.3			*		*		*
7440-16-6		Rhodium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005			*		*		*
7782-49-2		Selenium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005			*		*		*
7440-22-4		Silver	T	mg/L	6020	<0.001			*		*		*
7440-23-5		Sodium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.25			*		*		*
7440-25-7		Tantalum	T	mg/L	6020	<0.005	*		*		*		*
7440-28-0		Thallium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.002			*		*		*
7440-61-1		Uranium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.0002			*		*		*
7440-62-2		Vanadium	T	mg/L	6020	<0.01			*		*		*
7440-66-6		Zinc	T	mg/L	6020	<0.01			*		*		*
108-05-4		Vinyl acetate	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	*
67-64-1		Acetone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		0.00231	J
107-02-8		Acrolein	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
107-13-1		Acrylonitrile	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
71-43-2		Benzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
108-90-7		Chlorobenzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
1330-20-7		Xylenes	T	mg/L	8260	<0.003		<0.003		<0.003		<0.003	
100-42-5		Styrene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
108-88-3		Toluene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
74-97-5		Chlorobromomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number						0000-0000		0000-0000		0000-0000		0000-0000	
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)						F. BLANK		T. BLANK 1		T. BLANK 2		T. BLANK 3	
CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
75-27-4		Bromodichloromethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-25-2		Tribromomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
74-83-9		Methyl bromide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
78-93-3		Methyl ethyl ketone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
110-57-6		trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
75-15-0		Carbon disulfide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
75-00-3		Chloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
67-66-3		Chloroform	T	mg/L	8260	0.00034	J	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
74-87-3		Methyl chloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
156-59-2		cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
74-95-3		Methylene bromide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-34-3		1,1-Dichloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
107-06-2		1,2-Dichloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-35-4		1,1-Dichloroethylene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
106-93-4		Ethane, 1,2-dibromo	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
79-34-5		Ethane, 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
71-55-6		Ethane, 1,1,1-Trichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
79-00-5		Ethane, 1,1,2-Trichloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
630-20-6		Ethane, 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-01-4		Vinyl chloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
127-18-4		Ethene, Tetrachloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
79-01-6		Ethene, Trichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	

RESIDENTIAL/CONTAINED-QUARTERLY
Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
Permit Number: 073-00014 & 073-00015 LAB ID: None
For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number						0000-0000		0000-0000		0000-0000		0000-0000	
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)						F. BLANK		T. BLANK 1		T. BLANK 2		T. BLANK 3	
CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
100-41-4		Ethylbenzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
591-78-6		2-Hexanone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
74-88-4		Iodomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
124-48-1		Methane, Dibromochloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
56-23-5		Carbon Tetrachloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-09-2		Dichloromethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
108-10-1		Methyl isobutyl ketone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005		<0.005		<0.005	
96-12-8		Propane, 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloro	T	mg/L	8011	<0.0000199		<0.0000201		<0.0000198		<0.0000197	
78-87-5		Propane, 1,2-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
10061-02-6		trans-1,3-Dichloro-1-propene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
10061-01-5		cis-1,3-Dichloro-1-propene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
156-60-5		trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
75-69-4		Trichlorofluoromethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
96-18-4		1,2,3-Trichloropropane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
95-50-1		Benzene, 1,2-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
106-46-7		Benzene, 1,4-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	
1336-36-3		PCB,Total	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
12674-11-2		PCB-1016	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
11104-28-2		PCB-1221	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
11141-16-5		PCB-1232	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
53469-21-9		PCB-1242	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
12672-29-6		PCB-1248	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*

RESIDENTIAL/CONTAINED-QUARTERLY
Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Permit Number: 073-00014 & 073-00015

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
LAB ID: None
For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number						0000-0000		0000-0000		0000-0000		0000-0000	
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)						F. BLANK		T. BLANK 1		T. BLANK 2		T. BLANK 3	
CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
11097-69-1		PCB-1254	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
11096-82-5		PCB-1260	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
11100-14-4		PCB-1268	T	ug/L	8082		*		*		*		*
12587-46-1		Gross Alpha	T	pCi/L	9310	-0.0558	*		*		*		*
12587-47-2		Gross Beta	T	pCi/L	9310	-0.674	*		*		*		*
10043-66-0		Iodine-131	T	pCi/L			*		*		*		*
13982-63-3		Radium-226	T	pCi/L	AN-1418	0.549	*		*		*		*
10098-97-2		Strontium-90	T	pCi/L	905.0	0.894	*		*		*		*
14133-76-7		Technetium-99	T	pCi/L	Tc-02-RC	-10.6	*		*		*		*
14269-63-7		Thorium-230	T	pCi/L	Th-01-RC	-0.235	*		*		*		*
10028-17-8		Tritium	T	pCi/L	906.0	56.2	*		*		*		*
S0130- -		Chemical Oxygen Demand	T	mg/L	410.4		*		*		*		*
57-12-5		Cyanide	T	mg/L	9012		*		*		*		*
20461-54-5		Iodide	T	mg/L	300.0	<0.5			*		*		*
S0268- -		Total Organic Carbon	T	mg/L	9060		*		*		*		*
S0586- -		Total Organic Halides	T	mg/L	9020		*		*		*		*

Division of Waste Management
Solid Waste Branch
14 Reilly Road
Frankfort, KY 40601 (502)564-6716

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY
Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Permit Number: 073-00014 & 073-00015

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
LAB ID: None
For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS(S)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number					0000-0000		8004-4809				
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)					T. BLANK 4		384				
Sample Sequence #					1		2				
If sample is a Blank, specify Type: (F)ield, (T)rip, (M)ethod, or (E)quipment					T		NA				
Sample Date and Time (Month/Day/Year hour: minutes)					1/17/2017 07:15		1/4/2017 13:04				
Duplicate ("Y" or "N")[2]					N		Y				
Split ("Y" or "N")[3]					N		N				
Facility Sample ID Number (if applicable)					TB4SG2-17		MW384DSG2-17				
Laboratory Sample ID Number (if applicable)					414471007		413720003				
Date of Analysis (Month/Day/Year) For Volatile Organics Analysis					1/20/2017		1/5/2017				
Gradient with respect to Monitored Unit (UP, DOWN, SIDE, UNKNOWN)					NA		SIDE				
CAS RN[4]		**CONSTITUENT**	**TD[5]**	**Unit OF MEASURE**	**METHOD**	**DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]**	**FLAGS[7]**	**DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]**	**FLAGS**	**DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]**	**FLAGS**	**DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]**	**FLAGS**
24959-67-9		**Bromide**	**T**	**mg/L**	**9056**		*	0.531					
16887-00-6		**Chloride(s)**	**T**	**mg/L**	**9056**		*	48					
16984-48-8		**Fluoride**	**T**	**mg/L**	**9056**		*	0.222					
S0595- -		**Nitrate & Nitrite**	**T**	**mg/L**	**9056**		*	1.29					
14808-79-8		**Sulfate**	**T**	**mg/L**	**9056**		*	20.3					
NS1894		**Barometric Pressure Reading**	**T**	**Inches/Hg**	**Field**		*	30.21					
S0145- -		**Specific Conductance**	**T**	**μMH0/cm**	**Field**		*	490					

[1]AKGWA # is 0000-0000 for any type of blank.
[2]Respond "Y" if the sample was a duplicate of another sample in this report.
[3]Respond "Y" if the sample was split and analyzed by separate laboratories.
[4]Chemical Abstracts Service Registry Number or unique identifier number assigned by agency.
[5]"T" = Total; "D" = Dissolved
[6]"<" indicates a non-detect; do not use "ND" or "BDL". Value shown is Practical Quantification Limit.
[7]Flags are as designated, do not use any other type. Use "*," then describe on "Written Comments Page."

STANDARD FLAGS:
* = See Comments
J = Estimated Value
B = Analyte found in blank
A = Average value
N = Presumptive ID
D = Concentration from analysis of a secondary dilution

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY
Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Permit Number: 073-00014 & 073-00015

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
LAB ID: None
For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number						0000-0000		8004-4809					
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, BLANK-F, etc.)						T. BLANK 4		384					
CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
S0906 - -		Static Water Level Elevation	T	Ft. MSL	Field		*	323					
N238		Dissolved Oxygen	T	mg/L	Field		*	4.32					
S0266- -		Total Dissolved Solids	T	mg/L	160.1		*	240					
S0296- -		pH	T	Units	Field		*	6.22					
NS215		Eh	T	mV	Field		*	330					
S0907 - -		Temperature	T	°C	Field		*	14.72					
7429-90-5		Aluminum	T	mg/L	6020		*	<0.05					
7440-36-0		Antimony	T	mg/L	6020		*	<0.003					
7440-38-2		Arsenic	T	mg/L	6020		*	0.0021	BJ				
7440-39-3		Barium	T	mg/L	6020		*	0.128					
7440-41-7		Beryllium	T	mg/L	6020		*	<0.0005					
7440-42-8		Boron	T	mg/L	6020		*	0.0148	J				
7440-43-9		Cadmium	T	mg/L	6020		*	<0.001					
7440-70-2		Calcium	T	mg/L	6020		*	31.8					
7440-47-3		Chromium	T	mg/L	6020		*	0.00349	BJ				
7440-48-4		Cobalt	T	mg/L	6020		*	0.000114	J				
7440-50-8		Copper	T	mg/L	6020		*	0.00104					
7439-89-6		Iron	T	mg/L	6020		*	<0.1					
7439-92-1		Lead	T	mg/L	6020		*	<0.002					
7439-95-4		Magnesium	T	mg/L	6020		*	11.1					
7439-96-5		Manganese	T	mg/L	6020		*	0.00137	J				
7439-97-6		Mercury	T	mg/L	7470		*	0.00009	BJ				

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number						0000-0000		8004-4809					
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)						T. BLANK 4		384					
CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
7439-98-7		Molybdenum	T	mg/L	6020		*	<0.0005					
7440-02-0		Nickel	T	mg/L	6020		*	0.0011	J				
7440-09-7		Potassium	T	mg/L	6020		*	1.2					
7440-16-6		Rhodium	T	mg/L	6020		*	<0.005					
7782-49-2		Selenium	T	mg/L	6020		*	0.00271	J				
7440-22-4		Silver	T	mg/L	6020		*	<0.001					
7440-23-5		Sodium	T	mg/L	6020		*	71.6					
7440-25-7		Tantalum	T	mg/L	6020		*	<0.005	*				
7440-28-0		Thallium	T	mg/L	6020		*	<0.002					
7440-61-1		Uranium	T	mg/L	6020		*	<0.0002					
7440-62-2		Vanadium	T	mg/L	6020		*	<0.01					
7440-66-6		Zinc	T	mg/L	6020		*	<0.01					
108-05-4		Vinyl acetate	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005					
67-64-1		Acetone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005					
107-02-8		Acrolein	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005					
107-13-1		Acrylonitrile	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005					
71-43-2		Benzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001					
108-90-7		Chlorobenzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001					
1330-20-7		Xylenes	T	mg/L	8260	<0.003		<0.003					
100-42-5		Styrene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001					
108-88-3		Toluene	T	mg/L	8260	0.00036	J	<0.001					
74-97-5		Chlorobromomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001					

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
LAB ID: None
For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number						0000-0000		8004-4809					
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)						T. BLANK 4		384					
CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
75-27-4		Bromodichloromethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001					
75-25-2		Tribromomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001					
74-83-9		Methyl bromide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001					
78-93-3		Methyl ethyl ketone	T	mg/L	8260	0.00372	J	<0.005					
110-57-6		trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005					
75-15-0		Carbon disulfide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005					
75-00-3		Chloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001					
67-66-3		Chloroform	T	mg/L	8260	0.00138		<0.001					
74-87-3		Methyl chloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001					
156-59-2		cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001					
74-95-3		Methylene bromide	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001					
75-34-3		1,1-Dichloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001					
107-06-2		1,2-Dichloroethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001					
75-35-4		1,1-Dichloroethylene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001					
106-93-4		Ethane, 1,2-dibromo	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001					
79-34-5		Ethane, 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001					
71-55-6		Ethane, 1,1,1-Trichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001					
79-00-5		Ethane, 1,1,2-Trichloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001					
630-20-6		Ethane, 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloro	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001					
75-01-4		Vinyl chloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001					
127-18-4		Ethene, Tetrachloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001					
79-01-6		Ethene, Trichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		0.00038	J				

RESIDENTIAL/CONTAINED-QUARTERLY
Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Permit Number: 073-00014 & 073-00015

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
LAB ID: None
For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number						0000-0000		8004-4809					
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)						T. BLANK 4		384					
CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
100-41-4		Ethylbenzene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001					
591-78-6		2-Hexanone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005					
74-88-4		Iodomethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005					
124-48-1		Methane, Dibromochloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001					
56-23-5		Carbon Tetrachloride	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001					
75-09-2		Dichloromethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005					
108-10-1		Methyl isobutyl ketone	T	mg/L	8260	<0.005		<0.005					
96-12-8		Propane, 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloro	T	mg/L	8011	<0.00002		<0.0000201					
78-87-5		Propane, 1,2-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001					
10061-02-6		trans-1,3-Dichloro-1-propene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001					
10061-01-5		cis-1,3-Dichloro-1-propene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001					
156-60-5		trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001					
75-69-4		Trichlorofluoromethane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001					
96-18-4		1,2,3-Trichloropropane	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001					
95-50-1		Benzene, 1,2-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001					
106-46-7		Benzene, 1,4-Dichloro-	T	mg/L	8260	<0.001		<0.001					
1336-36-3		PCB,Total	T	ug/L	8082		*		*				
12674-11-2		PCB-1016	T	ug/L	8082		*		*				
11104-28-2		PCB-1221	T	ug/L	8082		*		*				
11141-16-5		PCB-1232	T	ug/L	8082		*		*				
53469-21-9		PCB-1242	T	ug/L	8082		*		*				
12672-29-6		PCB-1248	T	ug/L	8082		*		*				

RESIDENTIAL/CONTAINED-QUARTERLY
Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Permit Number: 073-00014 & 073-00015

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
LAB ID: None
For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

AKGWA NUMBER[1], Facility Well/Spring Number						0000-0000		8004-4809					
Facility's Local Well or Spring Number (e.g., MW-1, MW-2, etc.)						T. BLANK 4		384					
CAS RN[4]		CONSTITUENT	TD[5]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[6]	FLAGS
11097-69-1		PCB-1254	T	ug/L	8082		*		*				
11096-82-5		PCB-1260	T	ug/L	8082		*		*				
11100-14-4		PCB-1268	T	ug/L	8082		*		*				
12587-46-1		Gross Alpha	T	pCi/L	9310		*	-1.08	*				
12587-47-2		Gross Beta	T	pCi/L	9310		*	95.5	*				
10043-66-0		Iodine-131	T	pCi/L			*		*				
13982-63-3		Radium-226	T	pCi/L	AN-1418		*	0.463	*				
10098-97-2		Strontium-90	T	pCi/L	905.0		*	2.12	*				
14133-76-7		Technetium-99	T	pCi/L	Tc-02-RC		*	149	*				
14269-63-7		Thorium-230	T	pCi/L	Th-01-RC		*	0.224	*				
10028-17-8		Tritium	T	pCi/L	906.0		*	-39.2	*				
S0130- -		Chemical Oxygen Demand	T	mg/L	410.4		*	20					
57-12-5		Cyanide	T	mg/L	9012		*	<0.2					
20461-54-5		Iodide	T	mg/L	300.0		*	<0.5					
S0268- -		Total Organic Carbon	T	mg/L	9060		*	1.14	J				
S0586- -		Total Organic Halides	T	mg/L	9020		*	0.00706	J				

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant LAB ID:None

Permit Numbers: 073-00014 and 073-00015 For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER
WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
8000-5201 MW220	MW220SG2-17	Tantalum	N	Sample spike (MS/MSD) recovery not within control limits
		Vinyl acetate	Y1	MS/MSD recovery outside acceptance criteria
		PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.83. Rad error is 2.81.
		Gross beta		TPU is 3.26. Rad error is 2.39.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.406. Rad error is 0.405.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.06. Rad error is 2.06.
		Technetium-99		TPU is 11.8. Rad error is 11.5.
		Thorium-230	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.239. Rad error is 0.239.
		Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 136. Rad error is 133.

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant LAB ID:None

Permit Numbers: 073-00014 and 073-00015 For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER
WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
8000-5202 MW221	MW221SG2-17	Total Dissolved Solids	*	Duplicate analysis not within control limits.
		PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.6. Rad error is 2.59.
		Gross beta		TPU is 2.64. Rad error is 2.43.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.474. Rad error is 0.474.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.42. Rad error is 2.42.
		Technetium-99	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 10.3. Rad error is 10.2.
		Thorium-230	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.389. Rad error is 0.382.
		Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 125. Rad error is 125.

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant LAB ID:None

Permit Numbers: 073-00014 and 073-00015 For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER
WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
8000-5242 MW222	MW222SG2-17	Total Dissolved Solids	*	Duplicate analysis not within control limits.
		PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.3. Rad error is 2.3.
		Gross beta		TPU is 1.81. Rad error is 1.69.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.319. Rad error is 0.319.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.89. Rad error is 2.83.
		Technetium-99	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 8.59. Rad error is 8.5.
		Thorium-230	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.383. Rad error is 0.375.
		Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 135. Rad error is 135.

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant LAB ID:None

Permit Numbers: 073-00014 and 073-00015 For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER
WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
8000-5243 MW223	MW223SG2-17	Total Dissolved Solids	*	Duplicate analysis not within control limits.
		PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.32. Rad error is 2.31.
		Gross beta	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 1.4. Rad error is 1.37.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.408. Rad error is 0.408.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.69. Rad error is 2.69.
		Technetium-99	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 7.56. Rad error is 7.53.
		Thorium-230	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.426. Rad error is 0.42.
		Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 128. Rad error is 128.

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant LAB ID:None

Permit Numbers: 073-00014 and 073-00015 For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER
WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
8000-5244 MW224	MW224SG2-17	Tantalum	N	Sample spike (MS/MSD) recovery not within control limits
		Vinyl acetate	Y1	MS/MSD recovery outside acceptance criteria
		PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.48. Rad error is 2.47.
		Gross beta	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 1.82. Rad error is 1.79.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.454. Rad error is 0.454.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 1.53. Rad error is 1.53.
		Technetium-99	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 10.9. Rad error is 10.9.
		Thorium-230	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.264. Rad error is 0.263.
		Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 118. Rad error is 118.
8004-4820 MW369	MW369UG2-17	1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	LY1	LCS or LCSD recovery outside of control limits AND MS/MSD recovery outside acceptance criteria
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 3.03. Rad error is 3.
		Gross beta		TPU is 2.69. Rad error is 2.25.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226		TPU is 0.6. Rad error is 0.599.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 1.86. Rad error is 1.84.
		Technetium-99		TPU is 12.4. Rad error is 12.1.
		Thorium-230	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.7. Rad error is 0.699.
		Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 127. Rad error is 127.

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant LAB ID:None

Permit Numbers: 073-00014 and 073-00015 For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER
WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
8004-4818 MW370	MW370UG2-17	1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	LY1	LCS or LCSD recovery outside of control limits AND MS/MSD recovery outside acceptance criteria
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.14. Rad error is 2.08.
		Gross beta		TPU is 7.73. Rad error is 1.93.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226		TPU is 0.529. Rad error is 0.529.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.56. Rad error is 2.53.
		Technetium-99		TPU is 17.6. Rad error is 15.
		Thorium-230	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.779. Rad error is 0.773.
		Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 121. Rad error is 121.
8004-4808 MW372	MW372UG2-17	Tantalum	N	Sample spike (MS/MSD) recovery not within control limits
		Uranium	N	Sample spike (MS/MSD) recovery not within control limits
		Vinyl acetate	L	LCS or LCSD recovery outside of control limits
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.13. Rad error is 2.13.
		Gross beta		TPU is 3.65. Rad error is 2.77.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226		TPU is 0.427. Rad error is 0.426.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.53. Rad error is 2.53.
		Technetium-99		TPU is 12.1. Rad error is 11.8.
		Thorium-230	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.893. Rad error is 0.886.
		Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 125. Rad error is 125.
8004-4792 MW373	MW373UG2-17	Tantalum	N	Sample spike (MS/MSD) recovery not within control limits
		Uranium	N	Sample spike (MS/MSD) recovery not within control limits
		Vinyl acetate	L	LCS or LCSD recovery outside of control limits
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.41. Rad error is 2.39.
		Gross beta		TPU is 3.99. Rad error is 3.09.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.349. Rad error is 0.348.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 1.97. Rad error is 1.97.
		Technetium-99		TPU is 12. Rad error is 11.4.
		Thorium-230	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.566. Rad error is 0.565.
		Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 125. Rad error is 125.

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant LAB ID:None

Permit Numbers: 073-00014 and 073-00015 For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER
WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
8004-4809 MW384	MW384SG2-17	Tantalum	N	Sample spike (MS/MSD) recovery not within control limits
		PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 1.52. Rad error is 1.52.
		Gross beta		TPU is 17.2. Rad error is 4.13.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226		TPU is 0.469. Rad error is 0.468.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.89. Rad error is 2.84.
		Technetium-99		TPU is 22.4. Rad error is 15.7.
		Thorium-230		TPU is 0.588. Rad error is 0.563.
		Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 118. Rad error is 118.
8004-4810 MW385	MW385SG2-17	Total Dissolved Solids	*	Duplicate analysis not within control limits.
		PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.68. Rad error is 2.68.
		Gross beta		TPU is 9.6. Rad error is 3.91.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226		TPU is 0.898. Rad error is 0.896.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 1.96. Rad error is 1.93.
		Technetium-99		TPU is 14.3. Rad error is 10.
		Thorium-230		TPU is 0.722. Rad error is 0.684.
		Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 126. Rad error is 125.

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant LAB ID:None

Permit Numbers: 073-00014 and 073-00015 For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER
WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
8004-4804 MW386	MW386SG2-17	Total Dissolved Solids	*	Duplicate analysis not within control limits.
		PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.52. Rad error is 2.52.
		Gross beta	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 1.66. Rad error is 1.65.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226		TPU is 0.549. Rad error is 0.548.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.66. Rad error is 2.64.
		Technetium-99	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 7.93. Rad error is 7.92.
		Thorium-230	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.295. Rad error is 0.295.
		Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 127. Rad error is 127.

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant LAB ID:None

Permit Numbers: 073-00014 and 073-00015 For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER
WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
8004-4815 MW387	MW387SG2-17	Tantalum	N	Sample spike (MS/MSD) recovery not within control limits
		PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.25. Rad error is 2.25.
		Gross beta		TPU is 25.7. Rad error is 5.75.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.385. Rad error is 0.385.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 3.16. Rad error is 3.14.
		Technetium-99		TPU is 32.8. Rad error is 17.7.
		Thorium-230	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.473. Rad error is 0.463.
		Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 118. Rad error is 118.
8004-4816 MW388	MW388SG2-17	Tantalum	N	Sample spike (MS/MSD) recovery not within control limits
		PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.36. Rad error is 2.32.
		Gross beta		TPU is 14.7. Rad error is 4.36.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226		TPU is 0.396. Rad error is 0.395.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.7. Rad error is 2.67.
		Technetium-99		TPU is 21.6. Rad error is 14.8.
		Thorium-230	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.378. Rad error is 0.375.
		Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 120. Rad error is 120.

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant LAB ID:None

Permit Numbers: 073-00014 and 073-00015 For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER
WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
8004-4812 MW389		Bromide		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Chloride		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Fluoride		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Nitrate & Nitrite		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Sulfate		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Barometric Pressure Reading		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Specific Conductance		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Static Water Level Elevation		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Dissolved Oxygen		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Total Dissolved Solids		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		pH		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Eh		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Temperature		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Aluminum		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Antimony		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Arsenic		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Barium		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Beryllium		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Boron		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Cadmium		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Calcium		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Chromium		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Cobalt		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Copper		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Iron		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Lead		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Magnesium		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Manganese		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Mercury		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant LAB ID:None

Permit Numbers: 073-00014 and 073-00015 For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER
WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
8004-4812 MW389		Molybdenum		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Nickel		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Potassium		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Rhodium		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Selenium		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Silver		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Sodium		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Tantalum		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Thallium		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Uranium		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Vanadium		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Zinc		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Vinyl acetate		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Acetone		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Acrolein		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Acrylonitrile		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Benzene		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Chlorobenzene		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Xylenes		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Styrene		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Toluene		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Chlorobromomethane		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Bromodichloromethane		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Tribromomethane		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Methyl bromide		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Methyl Ethyl Ketone		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Carbon disulfide		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Chloroethane		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant LAB ID:None

Permit Numbers: 073-00014 and 073-00015 For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER
WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
8004-4812 MW389		Chloroform		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Methyl chloride		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Methylene bromide		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		1,1-Dichloroethane		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		1,2-Dichloroethane		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		1,1-Dichloroethylene		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		1,2-Dibromoethane		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		1,1,1-Trichloroethane		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		1,1,2-Trichloroethane		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Vinyl chloride		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Tetrachloroethene		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Trichloroethene		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Ethylbenzene		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		2-Hexanone		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Iodomethane		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Dibromochloromethane		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Carbon tetrachloride		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Dichloromethane		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Methyl Isobutyl Ketone		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		1,2-Dichloropropane		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		trans-1,3-Dichloropropene		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		cis-1,3-Dichloropropene		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		trans-1,2-Dichloroethene		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Trichlorofluoromethane		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		1,2,3-Trichloropropane		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant LAB ID:None

Permit Numbers: 073-00014 and 073-00015 For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER
WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
8004-4812 MW389		1,2-Dichlorobenzene		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		1,4-Dichlorobenzene		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		PCB, Total		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		PCB-1016		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		PCB-1221		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		PCB-1232		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		PCB-1242		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		PCB-1248		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		PCB-1254		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		PCB-1260		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		PCB-1268		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Gross alpha		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Gross beta		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Iodine-131		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Radium-226		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Strontium-90		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Technetium-99		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Thorium-230		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Tritium		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Chemical Oxygen Demand		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Cyanide		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Iodide		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Total Organic Carbon		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.
		Total Organic Halides		During sampling, the well was dry; therefore, no sample was collected.

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant LAB ID:None

Permit Numbers: 073-00014 and 073-00015 For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER
WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
8004-4811 MW390	MW390SG2-17	Tantalum	N	Sample spike (MS/MSD) recovery not within control limits
		PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.44. Rad error is 2.44.
		Gross beta		TPU is 7.31. Rad error is 2.93.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226		TPU is 0.624. Rad error is 0.623.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.27. Rad error is 2.24.
		Technetium-99		TPU is 14.6. Rad error is 13.2.
		Thorium-230		TPU is 0.502. Rad error is 0.486.
		Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 120. Rad error is 119.
8004-4805 MW391	MW391SG2-17	Total Dissolved Solids	*	Duplicate analysis not within control limits.
		PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 3.12. Rad error is 3.08.
		Gross beta	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 1.89. Rad error is 1.89.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.413. Rad error is 0.413.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 3.22. Rad error is 3.17.
		Technetium-99	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 7.79. Rad error is 7.76.
		Thorium-230	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.567. Rad error is 0.566.
		Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 128. Rad error is 128.

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant LAB ID: None

Permit Numbers: 073-00014 and 073-00015 For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER
WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
8004-4806 MW392	MW392SG2-17	Total Dissolved Solids	*	Duplicate analysis not within control limits.
		PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.5. Rad error is 2.5.
		Gross beta	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 1.41. Rad error is 1.37.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226		TPU is 0.488. Rad error is 0.488.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.39. Rad error is 2.34.
		Technetium-99	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 8.06. Rad error is 8.01.
		Thorium-230	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.48. Rad error is 0.472.
		Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 135. Rad error is 135.

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant LAB ID:None

Permit Numbers: 073-00014 and 073-00015 For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER
WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
8004-4807 MW393	MW393SG2-17	Total Dissolved Solids	*	Duplicate analysis not within control limits.
		PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.61. Rad error is 2.61.
		Gross beta	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.06. Rad error is 2.06.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.469. Rad error is 0.468.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.19. Rad error is 2.16.
		Technetium-99	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 7.83. Rad error is 7.83.
		Thorium-230	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.399. Rad error is 0.395.
		Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 135. Rad error is 135.
8004-4802 MW394	MW394SG2-17	PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.49. Rad error is 2.48.
		Gross beta		TPU is 3.08. Rad error is 2.94.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226		TPU is 0.382. Rad error is 0.382.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.26. Rad error is 2.24.
		Technetium-99	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 9.75. Rad error is 9.71.
		Thorium-230	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.32. Rad error is 0.319.
		Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 124. Rad error is 124.

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant LAB ID:None

Permit Numbers: 073-00014 and 073-00015 For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER
WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
8004-4801 MW395	MW395SG2-17	PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.1. Rad error is 2.1.
		Gross beta		TPU is 2.95. Rad error is 2.82.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226		TPU is 0.383. Rad error is 0.383.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.08. Rad error is 2.07.
		Technetium-99	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 9.39. Rad error is 9.31.
		Thorium-230	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.481. Rad error is 0.469.
		Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 128. Rad error is 128.
8004-4803 MW396	MW396SG2-17	PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.06. Rad error is 2.02.
		Gross beta	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 1.23. Rad error is 1.23.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226		TPU is 0.5. Rad error is 0.499.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.66. Rad error is 2.66.
		Technetium-99	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 9.73. Rad error is 9.72.
		Thorium-230	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.497. Rad error is 0.489.
		Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 123. Rad error is 123.

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant LAB ID:None

Permit Numbers: 073-00014 and 073-00015 For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER
WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
8004-4817 MW397	MW397SG2-17	Tantalum	N	Sample spike (MS/MSD) recovery not within control limits
		Vinyl acetate	Y1	MS/MSD recovery outside acceptance criteria
		PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.07. Rad error is 2.07.
		Gross beta		TPU is 2.02. Rad error is 1.88.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.356. Rad error is 0.356.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 1.64. Rad error is 1.64.
		Technetium-99	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 11.2. Rad error is 11.2.
		Thorium-230	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.296. Rad error is 0.295.
		Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 135. Rad error is 131.

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant LAB ID:None

Permit Numbers: 073-00014 and 073-00015 For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER
WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
0000-0000 QC	RI1SG2-17	Bromide		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Chloride		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Fluoride		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Nitrate & Nitrite		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Sulfate		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Barometric Pressure Reading		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Specific Conductance		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Static Water Level Elevation		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Dissolved Oxygen		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Total Dissolved Solids		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		pH		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Eh		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Temperature		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Tantalum	N	Sample spike (MS/MSD) recovery not within control limits
		PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 1.04. Rad error is 1.04.
		Gross beta	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 1.65. Rad error is 1.65.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.372. Rad error is 0.371.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 3.32. Rad error is 3.25.
		Technetium-99	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 10.2. Rad error is 10.2.
		Thorium-230	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.393. Rad error is 0.39.
		Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 126. Rad error is 124.
		Chemical Oxygen Demand		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Cyanide		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Total Organic Carbon		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Total Organic Halides		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant LAB ID:None

Permit Numbers: 073-00014 and 073-00015 For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER
WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
0000-0000 QC	FB1SG2-17	Bromide		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Chloride		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Fluoride		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Nitrate & Nitrite		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Sulfate		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Barometric Pressure Reading		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Specific Conductance		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Static Water Level Elevation		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Dissolved Oxygen		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Total Dissolved Solids		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		pH		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Eh		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Temperature		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Tantalum	N	Sample spike (MS/MSD) recovery not within control limits
		PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 1.53. Rad error is 1.53.
		Gross beta	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.2. Rad error is 2.2.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226		TPU is 0.453. Rad error is 0.452.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.07. Rad error is 2.07.
		Technetium-99	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 10.1. Rad error is 10.1.
		Thorium-230	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.163. Rad error is 0.162.
		Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 122. Rad error is 122.
		Chemical Oxygen Demand		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Cyanide		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Total Organic Carbon		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Total Organic Halides		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant LAB ID:None

Permit Numbers: 073-00014 and 073-00015 For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER
WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
0000-0000 QC	TB1SG2-17	Bromide		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Chloride		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Fluoride		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Nitrate & Nitrite		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Sulfate		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Barometric Pressure Reading		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Specific Conductance		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Static Water Level Elevation		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Dissolved Oxygen		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Total Dissolved Solids		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		pH		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Eh		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Temperature		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Aluminum		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Antimony		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Arsenic		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Barium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Beryllium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Boron		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Cadmium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Calcium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Chromium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Cobalt		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Copper		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Iron		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Lead		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Magnesium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Manganese		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Mercury		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Molybdenum		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Nickel		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Potassium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Rhodium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Selenium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Silver		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Sodium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Tantalum		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Thallium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Uranium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant LAB ID:None

Permit Numbers: 073-00014 and 073-00015 For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER
WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
0000-0000 QC	TB1SG2-17	Vanadium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Zinc		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross beta		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Strontium-90		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Technetium-99		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Thorium-230		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Tritium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Chemical Oxygen Demand		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Cyanide		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Iodide		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Total Organic Carbon		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Total Organic Halides		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant LAB ID:None

Permit Numbers: 073-00014 and 073-00015 For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER
WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
0000-0000 QC	TB2SG2-17	Bromide		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Chloride		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Fluoride		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Nitrate & Nitrite		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Sulfate		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Barometric Pressure Reading		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Specific Conductance		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Static Water Level Elevation		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Dissolved Oxygen		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Total Dissolved Solids		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		pH		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Eh		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Temperature		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Aluminum		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Antimony		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Arsenic		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Barium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Beryllium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Boron		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Cadmium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Calcium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Chromium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Cobalt		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Copper		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Iron		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Lead		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Magnesium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Manganese		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Mercury		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Molybdenum		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Nickel		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Potassium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Rhodium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Selenium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Silver		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Sodium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Tantalum		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Thallium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Uranium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant LAB ID:None

Permit Numbers: 073-00014 and 073-00015 For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER
WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
0000-0000 QC	TB2SG2-17	Vanadium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Zinc		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross beta		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Strontium-90		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Technetium-99		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Thorium-230		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Tritium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Chemical Oxygen Demand		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Cyanide		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Iodide		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Total Organic Carbon		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Total Organic Halides		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant LAB ID:None

Permit Numbers: 073-00014 and 073-00015 For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER
WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
0000-0000 QC	TB3SG2-17	Bromide		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Chloride		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Fluoride		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Nitrate & Nitrite		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Sulfate		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Barometric Pressure Reading		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Specific Conductance		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Static Water Level Elevation		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Dissolved Oxygen		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Total Dissolved Solids		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		pH		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Eh		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Temperature		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Aluminum		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Antimony		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Arsenic		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Barium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Beryllium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Boron		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Cadmium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Calcium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Chromium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Cobalt		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Copper		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Iron		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Lead		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Magnesium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Manganese		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Mercury		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Molybdenum		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Nickel		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Potassium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Rhodium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Selenium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Silver		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Sodium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Tantalum		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Thallium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Uranium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant LAB ID:None

Permit Numbers: 073-00014 and 073-00015 For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER
WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
0000-0000 QC	TB3SG2-17	Vanadium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Zinc		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Vinyl acetate	Y1	MS/MSD recovery outside acceptance criteria
		PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross beta		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Strontium-90		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Technetium-99		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Thorium-230		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Tritium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Chemical Oxygen Demand		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Cyanide		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Iodide		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Total Organic Carbon		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Total Organic Halides		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant LAB ID:None

Permit Numbers: 073-00014 and 073-00015 For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER
WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
0000-0000 QC	TB4SG2-17	Bromide		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Chloride		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Fluoride		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Nitrate & Nitrite		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Sulfate		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Barometric Pressure Reading		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Specific Conductance		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Static Water Level Elevation		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Dissolved Oxygen		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Total Dissolved Solids		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		pH		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Eh		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Temperature		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Aluminum		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Antimony		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Arsenic		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Barium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Beryllium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Boron		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Cadmium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Calcium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Chromium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Cobalt		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Copper		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Iron		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Lead		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Magnesium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Manganese		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Mercury		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Molybdenum		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Nickel		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Potassium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Rhodium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Selenium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Silver		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Sodium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Tantalum		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Thallium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Uranium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant LAB ID:None

Permit Numbers: 073-00014 and 073-00015 For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER
WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
0000-0000 QC	TB4SG2-17	Vanadium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Zinc		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross beta		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Strontium-90		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Technetium-99		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Thorium-230		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Tritium		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Chemical Oxygen Demand		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Cyanide		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Iodide		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Total Organic Carbon		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Total Organic Halides		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant LAB ID:None

Permit Numbers: 073-00014 and 073-00015 For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER
WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
8004-4809 MW384	MW384DSG2-17	Tantalum	N	Sample spike (MS/MSD) recovery not within control limits
		PCB, Total		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1016		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1221		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1232		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1242		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1248		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1254		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1260		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		PCB-1268		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Gross alpha	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.32. Rad error is 2.32.
		Gross beta		TPU is 16. Rad error is 3.97.
		Iodine-131		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Radium-226	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.432. Rad error is 0.432.
		Strontium-90	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 2.88. Rad error is 2.86.
		Technetium-99		TPU is 23. Rad error is 15.9.
		Thorium-230	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 0.384. Rad error is 0.379.
		Tritium	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 116. Rad error is 116.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

APPENDIX D

STATISTICAL ANALYSES AND QUALIFICATION STATEMENT

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

GROUNDWATER STATISTICAL COMMENTS

Introduction

The statistical analyses conducted on the first quarter 2017 groundwater data collected from the C-746-S&T Landfills monitoring wells (MWs) were performed in accordance with Permit GSTR0001, Standard Requirement 3, using the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) guidance document, *EPA Statistical Analysis of Groundwater Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Final Guidance* (1989).

The statistical evaluation was conducted separately for the three groundwater systems: the Upper Continental Recharge System (UCRS), the Upper Regional Gravel Aquifer (URGA), and the Lower Regional Gravel Aquifer (LRGA). For each groundwater system, data from wells considered to represent background conditions were compared with test wells (downgradient or sidegradient wells) (Exhibit D.1). The first quarter 2017 data used to conduct the statistical analyses were collected in January 2017. The statistical analyses for this report first used data from the first eight quarters that had been sampled for each parameter to develop the historical background value, beginning with the first two baseline sampling events in 2002, when available. Then a second set of statistical analyses was run on analytes that had at least one downgradient well that exceeded the historical background, using the last eight quarters. The sampling dates associated with both the historical and the current background data are listed next to the result in the statistical analysis sheets of this appendix.

Statistical Analysis Process

Constituents of concern that have Kentucky maximum contaminant levels (MCLs) and results that do not exceed their respective MCL are not included in the statistical evaluation. Parameters that have MCLs can be found in 401 *KAR* 47:030 § 6. For parameters with no established MCL and for those parameters that exceed their MCLs, the most recent results are compared to historical background concentrations, as follows: the data are divided into censored and uncensored observations. The one-sided tolerance interval statistical test is conducted only on parameters that have at least one uncensored (detected) observation. The current result is compared to the results of the one-sided tolerance interval statistical test to determine if the current data exceed the historical background concentration calculated using the first eight quarters of data.

For the statistical analysis of pH, a two-sided tolerance interval statistical test is conducted for pH. The test well results are compared to both an upper and lower tolerance limit (TL) to determine if statistically significant deviations in concentrations exist with respect to upgradient (background) well data from the first eight quarters. The tolerance interval statistical analysis is conducted separately for each parameter in each well (no pooling of downgradient data).

Statistical analyses are performed on the first eight quarters of historical background data, not on the data for the current quarter. Once a statistical result is obtained using the background data, the result for the

current quarter is compared to that value. If the value is exceeded, the well is considered to have an exceedance of the statistically derived historical background concentration.

For those parameters that are determined to exceed the historical background concentration, a second one-sided tolerance interval statistical test, or a two-sided tolerance interval statistical test in the case of pH, is conducted. The second one-sided tolerance interval statistical test is conducted to determine whether the current concentration in downgradient wells exceeds the current background, as determined by a comparison against the statistically derived upper TL using the most recent eight quarters of data for the relevant background wells. The tolerance interval statistical analysis is conducted separately for each parameter in each well (no pooling of downgradient data).

For the statistical analysis of pH, a two-sided tolerance interval statistical test is conducted, if required. The test well pH results are compared to both an upper and lower TL to determine if the current pH is different from the current background level to a statistically significant level. Statistical analyses are performed on the last eight quarters of current background data, not on the data for the current quarter. Once a statistical result is obtained using the background data, the result for the current quarter is compared to that value. If the value is exceeded, the well has a statistically significant difference in concentration compared to the current background concentration.

A stepwise list of the one-sided tolerance interval statistical procedure applied to the data is summarized below.[1]

1. The TL is calculated for the background data (first using the first eight quarters, then using the last eight quarters).

    - For each parameter, the background data are used to establish a baseline. On this data set, the mean (X) and the standard deviation (S) are computed.

    - The data set is checked for normality using coefficient of variation (CV). If $CV \leq 1.0$, then the data are assumed to be normally distributed. Data sets with $CV > 1.0$ are assumed to be log-normally distributed; for data sets with $CV > 1.0$, the data are log-transformed and analyzed.

    - The factor (K) for one-sided upper TL with 95% minimum coverage is determined (Table 5, Appendix B; *EPA Statistical Analysis of Groundwater Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Final Guidance*, 1989) based on the number of background data points.

    - The one-sided upper TL is calculated using the following equation:
      $TL = X + (K \times S)$

2. Each observation from downgradient wells is compared to the calculated one-sided upper TL in Step 1. If an observation value exceeds the TL, then there is statistically significant evidence that the well concentration exceeds the historical background.

---

[1] For pH, two-sided TLs (upper and lower) were calculated with an adjusted K factor using the following equations:
upper $TL = X + (K \times S)$
lower $TL = X - (K \times S)$

Type of Data Used

Exhibit D.1 presents the upgradient or background wells (identified as "BG"), the downgradient or test wells (identified as "TW"), and the sidegradient wells (identified as "SG") for the C-746-S&T Residential and Inert Landfills. Exhibit D.2 presents the parameters from the available data set for which a statistical test was performed using the one-sided tolerance interval.

Exhibits D.3, D.4, and D.5 list the number of analyses (observations), nondetects (censored observations), and detects (uncensored observations) by parameter in the UCRS, the URGA, and the LRGA, respectively. Those parameters displayed with bold-face type indicate the one-sided tolerance interval statistical test was performed. The data presented in Exhibits D.3, D.4, and D.5 were collected during the current quarter, first quarter 2017. The observations are representative of the current quarter data. Background data are presented in Attachment D1. The sampling dates associated with background data are listed next to the result in Attachment D1. When field duplicate data are available, the higher of the two readings is retained for further evaluation. When a data point has been rejected following data validation, this result is not used, and the next available data point is used for the background or current quarter data. A result has been considered a nondect if it has a "U" validation code.

Exhibit D.1. Station Identification for Monitoring Wells Analyzed

Station	Type	Groundwater Unit
MW220	BG	URGA
MW221	SG	URGA
MW222	SG	URGA
MW223	SG	URGA
MW224	SG	URGA
MW369	TW	URGA
MW370	TW	LRGA
MW372	TW	URGA
MW373	TW	LRGA
MW384	SG	URGA
MW385	SG	LRGA
MW386[1]	SG	UCRS
MW387	TW	URGA
MW388	TW	LRGA
MW389[1]*	TW	UCRS
MW390[1]	TW	UCRS
MW391	TW	URGA
MW392	TW	LRGA
MW393[1]	TW	UCRS
MW394	BG	URGA
MW395	BG	LRGA
MW396[1]	BG	UCRS
MW397	BG	LRGA

[1]**NOTE:** The gradients in UCRS wells are downward. The UCRS wells identified as up-, side- or downgradient are those wells located in the same general direction as the RGA wells considered to be up-, side-, or downgradient.
BG: upgradient or background wells
TW: downgradient or test wells
SG: sidegradient wells
***Well was dry this quarter and a groundwater sample could not be collected.

Exhibit D.2. List of Parameters Tested Using the One-Sided Upper Tolerance Level Test with Historical Background

Parameters
Aluminum
Beta Activity
Boron
Bromide
Calcium
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)
Chloride
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene
Cobalt
Conductivity
Copper
Cyanide
Dissolved Oxygen
Dissolved Solids
Iodide
Iron
Magnesium
Manganese
Molybdenum
Nickel
Oxidation-Reduction Potential
pH*
Potassium
Radium-226
Sodium
Sulfate
Technetium-99
Thorium-230
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)
Total Organic Halides (TOX)
Trichloroethene
Uranium
Vanadium
Zinc

*For pH, the test well results were compared to both an upper and lower TL to determine if the current result differs to a statistically significant degree from the historical background values.

.

Exhibit D.3. Summary of Censored and Uncensored Data—UCRS

Parameters	Observations	Censored Observation	Uncensored Observation	Statistical Analysis?
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	4	4	0	No
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	4	4	0	No
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	4	4	0	No
1,1-Dichloroethane	4	4	0	No
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	4	4	0	No
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	4	4	0	No
1,2-Dibromoethane	4	4	0	No
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	4	4	0	No
1,2-Dichloropropane	4	4	0	No
2-Butanone	4	4	0	No
2-Hexanone	4	4	0	No
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	4	4	0	No
Acetone	4	4	0	No
Acrolein	4	4	0	No
Acrylonitrile	4	4	0	No
Aluminum	**4**	**2**	**2**	**Yes**
Antimony	4	4	0	No
Beryllium	4	4	0	No
Boron	**4**	**1**	**3**	**Yes**
Bromide	**4**	**0**	**4**	**Yes**
Bromochloromethane	4	4	0	No
Bromodichloromethane	4	4	0	No
Bromoform	4	4	0	No
Bromomethane	4	4	0	No
Calcium	**4**	**0**	**4**	**Yes**
Carbon Disulfide	4	4	0	No
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	**4**	**1**	**3**	**Yes**
Chloride	**4**	**0**	**4**	**Yes**
Chlorobenzene	4	4	0	No
Chloroethane	4	4	0	No
Chloroform	4	4	0	No
Chloromethane	4	4	0	No
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	4	4	0	No
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	4	4	0	No
Cobalt	**4**	**0**	**4**	**Yes**
Conductivity	**4**	**0**	**4**	**Yes**
Copper	**4**	**0**	**4**	**Yes**
Cyanide	4	4	0	No
Dibromochloromethane	4	4	0	No
Dibromomethane	4	4	0	No
Dimethylbenzene, Total	4	4	0	No
Dissolved Oxygen	**4**	**0**	**4**	**Yes**
Dissolved Solids	**4**	**0**	**4**	**Yes**
Ethylbenzene	4	4	0	No
Iodide	**4**	**3**	**1**	**Yes**

Exhibit D.3. Summary of Censored and Uncensored Data—UCRS (Continued)

Parameters	Observations	Censored Observation	Uncensored Observation	Statistical Analysis?
Iodomethane	4	4	0	No
Iron	**4**	**0**	**4**	**Yes**
Magnesium	**4**	**0**	**4**	**Yes**
Manganese	**4**	**0**	**4**	**Yes**
Methylene Chloride	4	4	0	No
Molybdenum	**4**	**2**	**2**	**Yes**
Nickel	**4**	**1**	**3**	**Yes**
Oxidation-Reduction Potential	**4**	**0**	**4**	**Yes**
pH	**4**	**0**	**4**	**Yes**
Potassium	**4**	**0**	**4**	**Yes**
Radium-226	**4**	**3**	**1**	**Yes**
Rhodium	4	4	0	No
Sodium	**4**	**0**	**4**	**Yes**
Styrene	4	4	0	No
Sulfate	**4**	**0**	**4**	**Yes**
Tantalum	4	4	0	No
Technetium-99	**4**	**3**	**1**	**Yes**
Tetrachloroethene	4	4	0	No
Thallium	4	4	0	No
Thorium-230	**4**	**3**	**1**	**Yes**
Toluene	4	4	0	No
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	**4**	**0**	**4**	**Yes**
Total Organic Halides (TOX)	**4**	**0**	**4**	**Yes**
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	4	4	0	No
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	4	4	0	No
trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-Butene	4	4	0	No
Trichlorofluoromethane	4	4	0	No
Uranium	**4**	**3**	**1**	**Yes**
Vanadium	4	4	0	No
Vinyl Acetate	4	4	0	No
Zinc	**4**	**3**	**1**	**Yes**

Bold denotes parameters with at least one uncensored observation.

Exhibit D.4. Summary of Censored and Uncensored Data—URGA

Parameters	Observations	Censored Observation	Uncensored Observation	Statistical Analysis?
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	11	11	0	No
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	11	11	0	No
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	11	11	0	No
1,1-Dichloroethane	11	11	0	No
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	11	11	0	No
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	11	11	0	No
1,2-Dibromoethane	11	11	0	No
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	11	11	0	No
1,2-Dichloropropane	11	11	0	No
2-Butanone	11	11	0	No
2-Hexanone	11	11	0	No
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	11	11	0	No
Acetone	11	11	0	No
Acrolein	11	11	0	No
Acrylonitrile	11	11	0	No
Aluminum	**11**	**5**	**6**	**Yes**
Antimony	11	11	0	No
Beryllium	11	11	0	No
Beta Activity	**11**	**3**	**8**	**Yes**
Boron	**11**	**1**	**10**	**Yes**
Bromide	**11**	**0**	**11**	**Yes**
Bromochloromethane	11	11	0	No
Bromodichloromethane	11	11	0	No
Bromoform	11	11	0	No
Bromomethane	11	11	0	No
Calcium	**11**	**0**	**11**	**Yes**
Carbon Disulfide	11	11	0	No
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	**11**	**5**	**6**	**Yes**
Chloride	**11**	**0**	**11**	**Yes**
Chlorobenzene	11	11	0	No
Chloroethane	11	11	0	No
Chloroform	11	11	0	No
Chloromethane	11	11	0	No
***cis*-1,2-Dichloroethene**	**11**	**10**	**1**	**Yes**
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	11	11	0	No
Cobalt	**11**	**1**	**10**	**Yes**
Conductivity	**11**	**0**	**11**	**Yes**
Copper	**11**	**1**	**10**	**Yes**
Cyanide	11	11	0	No
Dibromochloromethane	11	11	0	No
Dibromomethane	11	11	0	No
Dimethylbenzene, Total	11	11	0	No
Dissolved Oxygen	**11**	**0**	**11**	**Yes**
Dissolved Solids	**11**	**0**	**11**	**Yes**
Ethylbenzene	11	11	0	No

Exhibit D.4. Summary of Censored and Uncensored Data—URGA (Continued)

Parameters	Observations	Censored Observation	Uncensored Observation	Statistical Analysis?
Iodide	11	11	0	No
Iodomethane	11	11	0	No
Iron	**11**	**2**	**9**	**Yes**
Magnesium	**11**	**0**	**11**	**Yes**
Manganese	**11**	**1**	**10**	**Yes**
Methylene Chloride	11	11	0	No
Molybdenum	**11**	**7**	**4**	**Yes**
Nickel	**11**	**0**	**11**	**Yes**
Oxidation-Reduction Potential	**11**	**0**	**11**	**Yes**
pH	**11**	**0**	**11**	**Yes**
Potassium	**11**	**0**	**11**	**Yes**
Radium-226	**11**	**8**	**3**	**Yes**
Rhodium	11	11	0	No
Sodium	**11**	**0**	**11**	**Yes**
Styrene	11	11	0	No
Sulfate	**11**	**0**	**11**	**Yes**
Tantalum	11	11	0	No
Technetium-99	**11**	**6**	**5**	**Yes**
Tetrachloroethene	11	11	0	No
Thallium	11	11	0	No
Thorium-230	**11**	**10**	**1**	**Yes**
Toluene	11	11	0	No
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	**11**	**0**	**11**	**Yes**
Total Organic Halides (TOX)	**11**	**1**	**10**	**Yes**
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	11	11	0	No
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	11	11	0	No
trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-Butene	11	11	0	No
Trichloroethene	**11**	**5**	**6**	**Yes**
Trichlorofluoromethane	11	11	0	No
Uranium	11	11	0	No
Vanadium	**11**	**8**	**3**	**Yes**
Vinyl Acetate	11	11	0	No
Zinc	**11**	**7**	**4**	**Yes**

Bold denotes parameters with at least one uncensored observation.

Exhibit D.5. Summary of Censored and Uncensored Data—LRGA

Parameters	Observations	Censored Observation	Uncensored Observation	Statistical Analysis?
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	7	7	0	No
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	7	7	0	No
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	7	7	0	No
1,1-Dichloroethane	7	7	0	No
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	7	7	0	No
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	7	7	0	No
1,2-Dibromoethane	7	7	0	No
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	7	7	0	No
1,2-Dichloropropane	7	7	0	No
2-Butanone	7	7	0	No
2-Hexanone	7	7	0	No
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	7	7	0	No
Acetone	7	7	0	No
Acrolein	7	7	0	No
Acrylonitrile	7	7	0	No
Aluminum	**7**	**5**	**2**	**Yes**
Antimony	7	7	0	No
Aroclor-1268	2	2	0	No
Beryllium	7	7	0	No
Beta Activity	**7**	**1**	**6**	**Yes**
Boron	**7**	**0**	**7**	**Yes**
Bromide	**7**	**0**	**7**	**Yes**
Bromochloromethane	7	7	0	No
Bromodichloromethane	7	7	0	No
Bromoform	7	7	0	No
Bromomethane	7	7	0	No
Calcium	**7**	**0**	**7**	**Yes**
Carbon Disulfide	7	7	0	No
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	**7**	**3**	**4**	**Yes**
Chloride	**7**	**0**	**7**	**Yes**
Chlorobenzene	7	7	0	No
Chloroethane	7	7	0	No
Chloroform	7	7	0	No
Chloromethane	7	7	0	No
***cis*-1,2-Dichloroethene**	**7**	**6**	**1**	**Yes**
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	7	7	0	No
Cobalt	**7**	**3**	**4**	**Yes**
Conductivity	**7**	**0**	**7**	**Yes**
Copper	**7**	**0**	**7**	**Yes**
Cyanide	**7**	**6**	**1**	**Yes**
Dibromochloromethane	7	7	0	No
Dibromomethane	7	7	0	No
Dimethylbenzene, Total	7	7	0	No
Dissolved Oxygen	**7**	**0**	**7**	**Yes**
Dissolved Solids	**7**	**0**	**7**	**Yes**
Ethylbenzene	7	7	0	No
Iodide	7	7	0	No
Iodomethane	7	7	0	No

Exhibit D.5. Summary of Censored and Uncensored Data—LRGA (Continued)

Parameters	Observations	Censored Observation	Uncensored Observation	Statistical Analysis?
Iron	**7**	**2**	**5**	**Yes**
Magnesium	**7**	**0**	**7**	**Yes**
Manganese	**7**	**2**	**5**	**Yes**
Methylene Chloride	7	7	0	No
Molybdenum	**7**	**6**	**1**	**Yes**
Nickel	**7**	**0**	**7**	**Yes**
Oxidation-Reduction Potential	**7**	**0**	**7**	**Yes**
pH	**7**	**0**	**7**	**Yes**
Potassium	**7**	**0**	**7**	**Yes**
Radium-226	**7**	**5**	**2**	**Yes**
Rhodium	7	7	0	No
Sodium	**7**	**0**	**7**	**Yes**
Styrene	7	7	0	No
Sulfate	**7**	**0**	**7**	**Yes**
Tantalum	7	7	0	No
Technetium-99	**7**	**3**	**4**	**Yes**
Tetrachloroethene	7	7	0	No
Thallium	7	7	0	No
Thorium-230	**7**	**6**	**1**	**Yes**
Toluene	7	7	0	No
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	**7**	**0**	**7**	**Yes**
Total Organic Halides (TOX)	**7**	**2**	**5**	**Yes**
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	7	7	0	No
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	7	7	0	No
trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-Butene	7	7	0	No
Trichloroethene	**7**	**2**	**5**	**Yes**
Trichlorofluoromethane	7	7	0	No
Uranium	**7**	**6**	**1**	**Yes**
Vanadium	**7**	**6**	**1**	**Yes**
Vinyl Acetate	7	7	0	No
Zinc	**7**	**6**	**1**	**Yes**

Bold denotes parameters with at least one uncensored observation.

Discussion of Results from Historical Background Comparison

For the UCRS, URGA, and LRGA, the concentrations of this quarter were compared to the results of the one-sided upper tolerance interval tests that were calculated using historical background and presented in Attachment D1. The statistician qualification statement is presented in Attachment D3. For the UCRS, URGA, and LRGA, the test was applied to 29, 31, and 33 parameters, respectively, including those listed in bold print in Exhibits D.3, D.4, and D.5, which includes those constituents (beta activity and trichloroethene) that exceeded their MCL. A summary of exceedances when compared to statistically derived historical upgradient background by well number is shown in Exhibit D.6.

UCRS

This quarter's results identified exceedances of historical background UTL for oxidation-reduction potential, radium-226, technetium-99, and thorium-230.

URGA

This quarter's results identified exceedances of historical background UTL for aluminum, beta activity, calcium, dissolved solids, magnesium, oxidation-reduction potential, radium-226, sodium, sulfate, technetium-99, and thorium-230.

LRGA

This quarter's results identified exceedances of historical background UTL for beta activity, calcium, conductivity, dissolved solids, magnesium, oxidation-reduction potential, radium-226, sodium, sulfate, technetium-99, thorium-230, and trichloroethene.

Statistical Summary

Summaries of the results of the statistical tests conducted on data obtained from wells in the UCRS, the URGA, and in the LRGA are presented in Exhibit D.7, Exhibit D.8, and Exhibit D.9, respectively.

Exhibit D.6. Summary of Exceedances of Statistically Derived Historical Background Concentrations

UCRS	URGA	LRGA
MW386: Oxidation-reduction potential	**MW220:** Oxidation-reduction potential, sulfate	**MW370:** Oxidation-reduction potential, radium-226, sodium, sulfate, technetium-99
MW390: Oxidation-reduction potential, radium-226, technetium-99, thorium-230	**MW222:** Aluminum	**MW373:** Calcium, conductivity, dissolved solids, magnesium, sulfate
MW393: Oxidation-reduction potential	**MW223:** Oxidation-reduction potential	**MW385:** Beta activity, sulfate, technetium-99, thorium-230
MW396: Oxidation-reduction potential	**MW224:** Oxidation-reduction potential	**MW388:** Beta activity, oxidation-reduction potential, sulfate, technetium-99
	MW369: Radium-226, sodium	**MW392:** Trichloroethene
	MW372: Calcium, dissolved solids, magnesium, sulfate	**MW395:** Oxidation-reduction potential
	MW384: Beta activity, radium-226, sodium, sulfate, technetium-99, thorium-230	**MW397:** Oxidation-reduction potential
	MW387: Beta activity, sodium, sulfate, technetium-99	
	MW391: Magnesium, sulfate	

Exhibit D.7. Test Summaries for Qualified Parameters for Historical Background—UCRS

Parameter	Performed Test	CV Normality Test*	Results of Tolerance Interval Test Conducted
Aluminum	Tolerance Interval	0.57	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Boron	Tolerance Interval	1.28	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Bromide	Tolerance Interval	0.24	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Calcium	Tolerance Interval	0.20	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COB)	Tolerance Interval	0.02	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Chloride	Tolerance Interval	0.05	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Cobalt	Tolerance Interval	1.34	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Conductivity	Tolerance Interval	0.12	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Copper	Tolerance Interval	0.48	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Dissolved Oxygen	Tolerance Interval	1.20	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Dissolved Solids	Tolerance Interval	0.19	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Iodide	Tolerance Interval	0.13	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Iron	Tolerance Interval	0.48	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Magnesium	Tolerance Interval	0.20	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Manganese	Tolerance Interval	0.46	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Molybdenum	Tolerance Interval	1.51	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.

Exhibit D.7. Test Summaries for Qualified Parameters for Historical Background—UCRS (Continued)

Parameter	Performed Test	CV Normality Test*	Results of Tolerance Interval Test Conducted
Nickel	Tolerance Interval	1.27	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Oxidation-Reduction Potential	Tolerance Interval	4.77	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentration in MW386, MW390, MW393, and MW396.
pH	Tolerance Interval	0.05	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Potassium	Tolerance Interval	0.28	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Radium-226	Tolerance Interval	1.78	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentration in MW390.
Sodium	Tolerance Interval	0.30	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Sulfate	Tolerance Interval	0.40	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Technetium-99	Tolerance Interval	0.86	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentration in MW390.
Thorium-230	Tolerance Interval	2.01	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentration in MW390.
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	Tolerance Interval	0.47	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Total Organic Halides (TOX)	Tolerance Interval	0.38	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Uranium	Tolerance Interval	0.31	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Zinc	Tolerance Interval	0.79	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.

CV: coefficient of variation
*If CV > 1.0, used log-transformed data.

Exhibit D.8. Test Summaries for Qualified Parameters for Historical Background—URGA

Parameter	Performed Test	CV Normality Test*	Results of Tolerance Interval Test Conducted
Aluminum	Tolerance Interval	0.28	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentration in MW222.
Beta Activity[1]	Tolerance Interval	0.97	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentration in MW384 and MW387.
Boron	Tolerance Interval	1.45	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Bromide	Tolerance Interval	0.00	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Calcium	Tolerance Interval	0.17	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentration in MW372.
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	Tolerance Interval	0.00	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Chloride	Tolerance Interval	0.23	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	Tolerance Interval	0.00	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Cobalt	Tolerance Interval	2.44	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Conductivity	Tolerance Interval	0.28	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Copper	Tolerance Interval	0.43	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Dissolved Oxygen	Tolerance Interval	0.50	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Dissolved Solids	Tolerance Interval	0.12	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentration in MW372.
Iron	Tolerance Interval	1.17	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Magnesium	Tolerance Interval	0.16	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentration in MW372 and MW391.
Manganese	Tolerance Interval	2.16	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.

Exhibit D.8. Test Summaries for Qualified Parameters for Historical Background—URGA (Continued)

Parameter	Performed Test	CV Normality Test*	Results of Tolerance Interval Test Conducted
Molybdenum	Tolerance Interval	1.26	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Nickel	Tolerance Interval	1.79	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Oxidation-Reduction Potential	Tolerance Interval	0.48	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentration in MW220, MW223, and MW224.
pH	Tolerance Interval	0.05	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Potassium	Tolerance Interval	1.40	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Radium-226	Tolerance Interval	10.59	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentration in MW369 and MW384.
Sodium	Tolerance Interval	0.24	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentration in MW369, MW384, and MW387.
Sulfate	Tolerance Interval	0.25	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentration in MW220, MW372, MW384, MW387, and MW391.
Technetium-99	Tolerance Interval	0.99	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentration in MW384 and MW387.
Thorium-230	Tolerance Interval	1.65	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentration in MW384.
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	Tolerance Interval	0.49	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Total Organic Halides (TOX)	Tolerance Interval	2.57	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Trichloroethene[1]	Tolerance Interval	0.95	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Vanadium	Tolerance Interval	0.08	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Zinc	Tolerance Interval	0.72	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.

CV: coefficient of variation
* If CV > 1.0, used log-transformed data.
[1] Tolerance interval was calculated based on an MCL exceedance.

Exhibit D.9. Test Summaries for Qualified Parameters for Historical Background—LRGA

Parameter	Performed Test	CV Normality Test*	Results of Tolerance Interval Test Conducted
Aluminum	Tolerance Interval	0.86	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Beta Activity[1]	Tolerance Interval	0.36	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentration in MW385 and MW388.
Boron	Tolerance Interval	1.24	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Bromide	Tolerance Interval	0.00	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Calcium	Tolerance Interval	0.50	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentration in MW373.
Chemical Oxygen Demand	Tolerance Interval	0.04	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Chloride	Tolerance Interval	0.22	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	Tolerance Interval	0.00	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Cobalt	Tolerance Interval	1.51	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Conductivity	Tolerance Interval	0.14	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentration in MW373.
Copper	Tolerance Interval	0.47	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Cyanide	Tolerance Interval	0.00	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Dissolved Oxygen	Tolerance Interval	0.52	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Dissolved Solids	Tolerance Interval	0.16	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentration in MW373.
Iron	Tolerance Interval	1.29	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Magnesium	Tolerance Interval	0.51	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentration in MW373.

Exhibit D.9. Test Summaries for Qualified Parameters for Historical Background—LRGA (Continued)

Parameter	Performed Test	CV Normality Test*	Results of Tolerance Interval Test Conducted
Manganese	Tolerance Interval	1.49	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Molybdenum	Tolerance Interval	1.45	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Nickel	Tolerance Interval	1.09	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Oxidation-Reduction Potential	Tolerance Interval	0.33	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentration in MW370, MW388, MW395, and MW397.
pH	Tolerance Interval	0.04	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Potassium	Tolerance Interval	0.40	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Radium-226	Tolerance Interval	10.74	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentration in MW370.
Sodium	Tolerance Interval	0.47	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentration in MW370.
Sulfate	Tolerance Interval	0.20	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentration in MW370, MW373, MW385, and MW388.
Technetium-99	Tolerance Interval	0.80	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentration in MW370, MW385, and MW388.
Thorium-230	Tolerance Interval	1.35	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentration in MW385.
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	Tolerance Interval	0.55	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Total Organic Halides (TOX)	Tolerance Interval	0.59	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Trichloroethene[1]	Tolerance Interval	0.78	Current results exceed statistically derived historical background concentration in MW392.
Uranium	Tolerance Interval	0.00	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.

Exhibit D.9. Test Summaries for Qualified Parameters for Historical Background—LRGA (Continued)

Parameter	Performed Test	CV Normality Test*	Results of Tolerance Interval Test Conducted
Vanadium	Tolerance Interval	0.11	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.
Zinc	Tolerance Interval	0.76	No exceedance of statistically derived historical background concentration.

CV: coefficient of variation
*If CV > 1.0, used log-transformed data.
[1] Tolerance interval was calculated based on an MCL exceedance.

Discussion of Results from Current Background Comparison

For the UCRS, URGA, and LRGA, the concentrations from downgradient wells were compared to the one-sided TL calculated using the most recent eight quarters of data and are presented in Attachment D2. The statistician qualification statement is presented in Attachment D3. For the UCRS, URGA, and LRGA, the test was applied to 4, 11, and 12 parameters, respectively, because these parameter concentrations exceeded the historical background TL. A summary of instances where downgradient well concentrations exceeded the TL calculated using current background data is shown in Exhibit D.10, presented by well number.

Exhibit D.10. Summary of Exceedances (Downgradient Wells) of the TL Calculated Using Current Background Concentrations

URGA	LRGA
MW369: Sodium	**MW370:** Sulfate, technetium-99
MW372: Calcium, dissolved solids, magnesium, sulfate	**MW373:** Calcium, conductivity, dissolved solids, magnesium, sulfate
MW387: Beta activity, sodium, sulfate, technetium-99	**MW388:** Beta activity, sulfate, technetium-99
MW391: Magnesium, sulfate	**MW392:** Trichloroethene

UCRS

Because gradients in the UCRS are downward (vertical), there are no hydrogeologically downgradient UCRS wells. It should be noted, however, that the technetium-99 concentration in one UCRS well (i.e., MW390) was higher than the current TL this quarter.

URGA

This quarter's results identified current background exceedances in downgradient wells for beta activity, calcium, dissolved solids, magnesium, sodium, sulfate, and technetium-99.

LRGA

This quarter's results identified current background exceedances in downgradient wells for beta activity, calcium, conductivity, dissolved solids, magnesium, sulfate, technetium-99, and trichloroethene.

Statistical Summary

Summaries of the statistical tests conducted on data obtained from wells in the UCRS, the URGA, and in the LRGA are presented in Exhibit D.11, Exhibit D.12, and Exhibit D.13, respectively.

Exhibit D.11. Test Summaries for Qualified Parameters for Current Background—UCRS

Parameter	Performed Test	CV Normality Test*	Results of Tolerance Interval Test Conducted
Oxidation-Reduction Potential	Tolerance Interval	0.37	None of the test wells exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from current background concentrations to a statistically significant level.
Radium-226	Tolerance Interval	0.39	None of the test wells exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from current background concentrations to a statistically significant level.
Technetium-99	Tolerance Interval	-45.37	Because gradients in UCRS wells are downward, there are no UCRS wells that are hydrogeologically downgradient of the landfill. However, MW390 exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.
Thorium-230	Tolerance Interval	1.50	None of the test wells exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from current background concentrations to a statistically significant level.

CV: coefficient of variation
*If CV > 1.0, used log-transformed data.

Exhibit D.12. Test Summaries for Qualified Parameters for Current Background—URGA

Parameter	Performed Test	CV Normality Test*	Results of Tolerance Interval Test Conducted
Aluminum	Tolerance Interval	0.88	MW222 exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.
Beta Activity	Tolerance Interval	0.57	MW384 and MW387 exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.
Calcium	Tolerance Interval	0.16	MW372 exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.
Dissolved Solids	Tolerance Interval	0.15	MW372 exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.
Magnesium	Tolerance Interval	0.16	MW372 and MW391 exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.
Oxidation-Reduction Potential	Tolerance Interval	0.26	None of the test wells exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from current background concentrations to a statistically significant level.
Radium-226	Tolerance Interval	0.42	None of the test wells exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from current background concentrations to a statistically significant level.
Sodium	Tolerance Interval	0.15	MW369, MW384, and MW387 exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.
Sulfate	Tolerance Interval	0.29	MW372, MW387, and MW391 exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.
Technetium-99	Tolerance Interval	0.68	MW384 and MW387 exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.
Thorium-230	Tolerance Interval	3.73	None of the test wells exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from current background concentrations to a statistically significant level.

CV: coefficient of variation
*If CV > 1.0, used log-transformed data.

Exhibit D.13. Test Summaries for Qualified Parameters for Current Background—LRGA

Parameter	Performed Test	CV Normality Test*	Results of Tolerance Interval Test Conducted
Beta Activity	Tolerance Interval	0.81	MW385 and MW388 exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.
Calcium	Tolerance Interval	0.21	MW373 exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.
Conductivity	Tolerance Interval	0.08	MW373 exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.
Dissolved Solids	Tolerance Interval	0.15	MW373 exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.
Magnesium	Tolerance Interval	0.22	MW373 exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.
Oxidation-Reduction Potential	Tolerance Interval	0.21	None of the test wells exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from current background concentrations to a statistically significant level.
Radium-226	Tolerance Interval	0.51	None of the test wells exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from current background concentrations to a statistically significant level.
Sodium	Tolerance Interval	0.51	None of the test wells exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from current background concentrations to a statistically significant level.
Sulfate	Tolerance Interval	0.07	MW370, MW373, MW385, and MW388 exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.
Technetium-99	Tolerance Interval	0.44	MW370, MW385, and MW388 exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.
Thorium-230	Tolerance Interval	2.16	None of the test wells exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from current background concentrations to a statistically significant level.
Trichloroethene	Tolerance Interval	0.69	MW392 exceeded the upper TL, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.

CV: coefficient of variation
* If CV > 1.0, used log-transformed data.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

ATTACHMENT D1

COMPARISON OF CURRENT DATA TO ONE-SIDED UPPER TOLERANCE INTERVAL TEST CALCULATED USING HISTORICAL BACKGROUND DATA

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Aluminum UNITS: mg/L UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 0.320	**S=** 0.182	**CV(1)=** 0.567	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(1)=** 0.900	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** -1.259	**S=** 0.503	**CV(2)=** -0.400	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(2)=** 0.345	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW396	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.393	-0.934
9/16/2002	0.2	-1.609
10/16/2002	0.2	-1.609
1/13/2003	0.501	-0.691
4/8/2003	0.2	-1.609
7/16/2003	0.2	-1.609
10/14/2003	0.2	-1.609
1/14/2004	0.668	-0.403

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	No	0.05	N/A	-2.996	N/A
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	0.175	NO	-1.743	N/A
MW393	Downgradient	Yes	0.0278	NO	-3.583	N/A
MW396	Upgradient	No	0.05	N/A	-2.996	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Boron UNITS: mg/L UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 0.650	**S=** 0.833	**CV(1)=** 1.282	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(1)=** 3.306	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** -1.034	**S=** 1.066	**CV(2)=** -1.031	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(2)=** 2.364	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW396	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	2	0.693
9/16/2002	2	0.693
10/16/2002	0.2	-1.609
1/13/2003	0.2	-1.609
4/8/2003	0.2	-1.609
7/16/2003	0.2	-1.609
10/14/2003	0.2	-1.609
1/14/2004	0.2	-1.609

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	No	0.015	N/A	-4.200	N/A
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	0.011	N/A	-4.510	NO
MW393	Downgradient	Yes	0.0206	N/A	-3.882	NO
MW396	Upgradient	Yes	0.00844	N/A	-4.775	NO

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Bromide **UNITS: mg/L** **UCRS**

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 1.388	**S=** 0.327	**CV(1)=** 0.236	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(1)=** 2.430	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 0.301	**S=** 0.252	**CV(2)=** 0.838	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(2)=** 1.105	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW396	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	1.5	0.405
9/16/2002	1.6	0.470
10/16/2002	1.6	0.470
1/13/2003	1	0.000
4/8/2003	1	0.000
7/16/2003	1	0.000
10/14/2003	1.7	0.531
1/14/2004	1.7	0.531

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	Yes	0.154	NO	-1.871	N/A
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	0.621	NO	-0.476	N/A
MW393	Downgradient	Yes	0.187	NO	-1.677	N/A
MW396	Upgradient	Yes	1.06	NO	0.058	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Calcium UNITS: mg/L UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 41.825	**S=** 8.445	**CV(1)=** 0.202	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(1)=** 68.748	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 3.711	**S=** 0.241	**CV(2)=** 0.065	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(2)=** 4.479	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW396	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	38.4	3.648
9/16/2002	42.9	3.759
10/16/2002	40.2	3.694
1/13/2003	46.7	3.844
4/8/2003	49.8	3.908
7/16/2003	43.3	3.768
10/14/2003	49.7	3.906
1/14/2004	23.6	3.161

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	Yes	24.7	NO	3.207	N/A
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	34.2	NO	3.532	N/A
MW393	Downgradient	Yes	12.9	NO	2.557	N/A
MW396	Upgradient	Yes	35.7	NO	3.575	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) UNITS: mg/L UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 35.375	**S=** 0.744	**CV(1)=** 0.021	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(1)=** 37.747	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 3.566	**S=** 0.021	**CV(2)=** 0.006	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(2)=** 3.632	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW396	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	36	3.584
9/16/2002	35	3.555
10/16/2002	37	3.611
1/13/2003	35	3.555
4/8/2003	35	3.555
7/16/2003	35	3.555
10/14/2003	35	3.555
1/14/2004	35	3.555

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	Yes	24.8	NO	3.211	N/A
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	18.1	NO	2.896	N/A
MW393	Downgradient	No	20	N/A	2.996	N/A
MW396	Upgradient	Yes	16.9	NO	2.827	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Chloride UNITS: mg/L UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 101.725	**S=** 5.245	**CV(1)=** 0.052	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(1)=** 118.447	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 4.621	**S=** 0.053	**CV(2)=** 0.011	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(2)=** 4.789	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW396	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	91.6	4.517
9/16/2002	98.3	4.588
10/16/2002	101.4	4.619
1/13/2003	108.3	4.685
4/8/2003	100.5	4.610
7/16/2003	102.5	4.630
10/14/2003	106.8	4.671
1/14/2004	104.4	4.648

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	Yes	14.4	NO	2.667	N/A
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	63.3	NO	4.148	N/A
MW393	Downgradient	Yes	14.6	NO	2.681	N/A
MW396	Upgradient	Yes	73.9	NO	4.303	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Cobalt UNITS: mg/L UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 0.008	**S=** 0.011	**CV(1)=** 1.340	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(1)=** 0.042	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** -5.645	**S=** 1.339	**CV(2)=** -0.237	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(2)=** -1.377	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW396	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.025	-3.689
9/16/2002	0.025	-3.689
10/16/2002	0.001	-6.908
1/13/2003	0.00324	-5.732
4/8/2003	0.00436	-5.435
7/16/2003	0.00276	-5.893
10/14/2003	0.001	-6.908
1/14/2004	0.001	-6.908

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	Yes	0.00784	N/A	-4.849	NO
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	0.000434	N/A	-7.742	NO
MW393	Downgradient	Yes	0.0001	N/A	-9.210	NO
MW396	Upgradient	Yes	0.00298	N/A	-5.816	NO

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Conductivity UNITS: umho/cm UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data **X=** 922.500 **S=** 107.616 **CV(1)=** 0.117 **K factor**=** 3.188 **TL(1)=** 1265.579 **LL(1)=**N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data **X=** 6.822 **S=** 0.111 **CV(2)=** 0.016 **K factor**=** 3.188 **TL(2)=** 7.175 **LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW396	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	784	6.664
9/30/2002	871	6.770
10/16/2002	868	6.766
1/13/2003	912	6.816
4/8/2003	942	6.848
7/16/2003	910	6.813
10/14/2003	935	6.841
1/14/2004	1158	7.054

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	Yes	631	NO	6.447	N/A
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	680	NO	6.522	N/A
MW393	Downgradient	Yes	399	NO	5.989	N/A
MW396	Upgradient	Yes	790	NO	6.672	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Copper UNITS: mg/L UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 0.028	**S=** 0.014	**CV(1)=** 0.481	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(1)=** 0.072	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** -3.650	**S=** 0.414	**CV(2)=** -0.113	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(2)=** -2.331	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW396	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.05	-2.996
9/16/2002	0.05	-2.996
10/16/2002	0.026	-3.650
1/13/2003	0.02	-3.912
4/8/2003	0.02	-3.912
7/16/2003	0.02	-3.912
10/14/2003	0.02	-3.912
1/14/2004	0.02	-3.912

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	Yes	0.00173	NO	-6.360	N/A
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	0.00212	NO	-6.156	N/A
MW393	Downgradient	Yes	0.00113	NO	-6.786	N/A
MW396	Upgradient	Yes	0.00129	NO	-6.653	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Dissolved Oxygen UNITS: mg/L UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 1.395	**S=** 1.677	**CV(1)=** 1.202	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(1)=** 6.743	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** -0.043	**S=** 0.814	**CV(2)=** -18.867	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(2)=** 2.553	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW396	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	5.45	1.696
9/16/2002	0.4	-0.916
10/16/2002	0.54	-0.616
1/13/2003	0.72	-0.329
4/8/2003	0.69	-0.371
7/16/2003	1.1	0.095
10/14/2003	0.71	-0.342
1/14/2004	1.55	0.438

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	Yes	1.79	N/A	0.582	NO
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	4.65	N/A	1.537	NO
MW393	Downgradient	Yes	4.3	N/A	1.459	NO
MW396	Upgradient	Yes	4.07	N/A	1.404	NO

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Dissolved Solids UNITS: mg/L UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data **X=** 550.375 **S=** 104.330 **CV(1)=** 0.190 **K factor**=** 3.188 **TL(1)=** 882.980 **LL(1)=**N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data **X=** 6.298 **S=** 0.162 **CV(2)=** 0.026 **K factor**=** 3.188 **TL(2)=** 6.815 **LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW396	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	502	6.219
9/16/2002	506	6.227
10/16/2002	543	6.297
1/13/2003	521	6.256
4/8/2003	504	6.223
7/16/2003	532	6.277
10/14/2003	490	6.194
1/14/2004	805	6.691

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	Yes	403	NO	5.999	N/A
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	361	NO	5.889	N/A
MW393	Downgradient	Yes	260	NO	5.561	N/A
MW396	Upgradient	Yes	460	NO	6.131	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Iodide UNITS: mg/L UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 2.150	**S=** 0.283	**CV(1)=** 0.132	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(1)=** 3.052	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 0.759	**S=** 0.123	**CV(2)=** 0.162	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(2)=** 1.150	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW396	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	2	0.693
9/16/2002	2	0.693
10/16/2002	2	0.693
1/13/2003	2	0.693
4/8/2003	2	0.693
7/16/2003	2.7	0.993
10/14/2003	2.5	0.916
1/14/2004	2	0.693

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	No	0.5	N/A	-0.693	N/A
MW390	Downgradient	No	0.5	N/A	-0.693	N/A
MW393	Downgradient	No	0.5	N/A	-0.693	N/A
MW396	Upgradient	Yes	0.616	NO	-0.485	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Iron UNITS: mg/L UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 7.796	**S=** 3.723	**CV(1)=** 0.478	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(1)=** 19.666	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 1.880	**S=** 0.723	**CV(2)=** 0.384	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(2)=** 4.184	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW396	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	1.8	0.588
9/16/2002	9.53	2.254
10/16/2002	7.43	2.006
1/13/2003	9.93	2.296
4/8/2003	10.2	2.322
7/16/2003	9.16	2.215
10/14/2003	11.9	2.477
1/14/2004	2.42	0.884

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	Yes	1.29	NO	0.255	N/A
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	0.204	NO	-1.590	N/A
MW393	Downgradient	Yes	1.42	NO	0.351	N/A
MW396	Upgradient	Yes	2.51	NO	0.920	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Magnesium UNITS: mg/L UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 16.876	**S=** 3.313	**CV(1)=** 0.196	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(1)=** 27.438	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 2.804	**S=** 0.240	**CV(2)=** 0.086	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(2)=** 3.569	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW396	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	15.5	2.741
9/16/2002	17.3	2.851
10/16/2002	17.8	2.879
1/13/2003	19.2	2.955
4/8/2003	17.8	2.879
7/16/2003	17.8	2.879
10/14/2003	20.2	3.006
1/14/2004	9.41	2.242

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	Yes	10.6	NO	2.361	N/A
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	13.3	NO	2.588	N/A
MW393	Downgradient	Yes	3.79	NO	1.332	N/A
MW396	Upgradient	Yes	15.4	NO	2.734	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Manganese UNITS: mg/L UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 0.774	**S=** 0.353	**CV(1)=** 0.456	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(1)=** 1.900	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** -0.566	**S=** 1.192	**CV(2)=** -2.105	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(2)=** 3.235	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW396	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.57	-0.562
9/16/2002	0.647	-0.435
10/16/2002	0.88	-0.128
1/13/2003	1.132	0.124
4/8/2003	0.965	-0.036
7/16/2003	0.983	-0.017
10/14/2003	0.984	-0.016
1/14/2004	0.0314	-3.461

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	Yes	1.41	NO	0.344	N/A
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	0.00132	NO	-6.630	N/A
MW393	Downgradient	Yes	0.0426	NO	-3.156	N/A
MW396	Upgradient	Yes	0.558	NO	-0.583	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Molybdenum UNITS: mg/L UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 0.007	**S=** 0.011	**CV(1)=** 1.507	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(1)=** 0.042	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** -5.928	**S=** 1.420	**CV(2)=** -0.240	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(2)=** -1.400	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW396	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.025	-3.689
9/16/2002	0.025	-3.689
10/16/2002	0.001	-6.908
1/13/2003	0.00128	-6.661
4/8/2003	0.00271	-5.911
7/16/2003	0.00117	-6.751
10/14/2003	0.001	-6.908
1/14/2004	0.001	-6.908

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	Yes	0.000554	N/A	-7.498	NO
MW390	Downgradient	No	0.000543	N/A	-7.518	N/A
MW393	Downgradient	No	0.0005	N/A	-7.601	N/A
MW396	Upgradient	Yes	0.000517	N/A	-7.567	NO

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Nickel **UNITS: mg/L** **UCRS**

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 0.016	**S=** 0.021	**CV(1)=** 1.272	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(1)=** 0.083	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** -4.706	**S=** 1.057	**CV(2)=** -0.225	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(2)=** -1.338	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW396	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.05	-2.996
9/16/2002	0.05	-2.996
10/16/2002	0.005	-5.298
1/13/2003	0.005	-5.298
4/8/2003	0.00571	-5.166
7/16/2003	0.005	-5.298
10/14/2003	0.005	-5.298
1/14/2004	0.005	-5.298

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	Yes	0.00247	N/A	-6.004	NO
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	0.00202	N/A	-6.205	NO
MW393	Downgradient	No	0.002	N/A	-6.215	N/A
MW396	Upgradient	Yes	0.00129	N/A	-6.653	NO

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Oxidation-Reduction Potential UNITS: mV UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 13.000	**S=** 61.952	**CV(1)=** 4.766	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(1)=** 210.502	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 4.364	**S=** 0.333	**CV(2)=** 0.076	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(2)=** 4.736	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW396	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	60	4.094
4/8/2003	71	4.263
7/16/2003	-56	#Func!
10/14/2003	-54	#Func!
1/14/2004	-22	#Func!
4/12/2004	-6	#Func!
7/20/2004	-3	#Func!
10/12/2004	114	4.736

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

#Because the natural log was not possbile for all background values, the TL was considered equal to the maximum background value.

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	Yes	171	N/A	5.142	YES
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	380	N/A	5.940	YES
MW393	Downgradient	Yes	247	N/A	5.509	YES
MW396	Upgradient	Yes	209	N/A	5.342	YES

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW386
MW390
MW393
MW396

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

pH UNITS: Std Unit UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data **X=** 6.460 **S=** 0.350 **CV(1)=** 0.054 **K factor**=** 3.736 **TL(1)=** 7.766 **LL(1)=**5.1541

Statistics-Transformed Background Data **X=** 1.864 **S=** 0.054 **CV(2)=** 0.029 **K factor**=** 3.736 **TL(2)=** 2.067 **LL(2)=**1.6621

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW396	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	6.17	1.820
9/16/2002	6.4	1.856
10/16/2002	5.9	1.775
1/13/2003	6.4	1.856
4/8/2003	6.65	1.895
7/16/2003	6.4	1.856
10/14/2003	6.71	1.904
1/14/2004	7.05	1.953

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)? Result <LL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)? LN(Result) <LL(2)?
MW386	Sidegradient	Yes	6.68	NO	1.899	N/A
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	6.35	NO	1.848	N/A
MW393	Downgradient	Yes	6.23	NO	1.829	N/A
MW396	Upgradient	Yes	6.37	NO	1.852	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Potassium UNITS: mg/L UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 1.411	**S=** 0.399	**CV(1)=** 0.282	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(1)=** 2.682	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 0.311	**S=** 0.271	**CV(2)=** 0.870	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(2)=** 1.175	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW396	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	2	0.693
9/16/2002	2	0.693
10/16/2002	0.978	-0.022
1/13/2003	1.08	0.077
4/8/2003	1.12	0.113
7/16/2003	1.38	0.322
10/14/2003	1.24	0.215
1/14/2004	1.49	0.399

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	Yes	0.373	NO	-0.986	N/A
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	0.416	NO	-0.877	N/A
MW393	Downgradient	Yes	0.459	NO	-0.779	N/A
MW396	Upgradient	Yes	0.803	NO	-0.219	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Radium-226 UNITS: pCi/L UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 0.157	**S=** 0.280	**CV(1)=** 1.782	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(1)=** 1.050	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** -1.836	**S=** 1.229	**CV(2)=** -0.669	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(2)=** -0.371	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW396	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/16/2002	0.69	-0.371
1/13/2003	-0.00693	#Func!
10/14/2003	-0.0514	#Func!
1/14/2004	0.494	-0.705
4/12/2004	-0.082	#Func!
7/20/2004	0.0879	-2.432
10/12/2004	0.0408	-3.199
1/18/2005	0.0844	-2.472

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

#Because the natural log was not possbile for all background values, the TL was considered equal to the maximum background value.

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	No	0.747	N/A	-0.292	N/A
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	1.19	N/A	0.174	YES
MW393	Downgradient	No	0.514	N/A	-0.666	N/A
MW396	Upgradient	No	0.79	N/A	-0.236	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW390

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Sodium UNITS: mg/L UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data **X=** 106.825 **S=** 32.041 **CV(1)=** 0.300 **K factor**=** 3.188 **TL(1)=** 208.973 **LL(1)=**N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data **X=** 4.595 **S=** 0.492 **CV(2)=** 0.107 **K factor**=** 3.188 **TL(2)=** 6.163 **LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW396	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	115	4.745
9/16/2002	116	4.754
10/16/2002	117	4.762
1/13/2003	122	4.804
4/8/2003	106	4.663
7/16/2003	117	4.762
10/14/2003	132	4.883
1/14/2004	29.6	3.388

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	Yes	152	NO	5.024	N/A
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	134	NO	4.898	N/A
MW393	Downgradient	Yes	96.8	NO	4.573	N/A
MW396	Upgradient	Yes	116	NO	4.754	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Sulfate UNITS: mg/L UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data **X=** 22.463 **S=** 8.876 **CV(1)=** 0.395 **K factor**=** 3.188 **TL(1)=** 50.759 **LL(1)=**N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data **X=** 3.054 **S=** 0.351 **CV(2)=** 0.115 **K factor**=** 3.188 **TL(2)=** 4.173 **LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW396	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	41.9	3.735
9/16/2002	26.3	3.270
10/16/2002	20.6	3.025
1/13/2003	16.6	2.809
4/8/2003	23.9	3.174
7/16/2003	18.8	2.934
10/14/2003	12.9	2.557
1/14/2004	18.7	2.929

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	Yes	46.5	NO	3.839	N/A
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	30.8	NO	3.428	N/A
MW393	Downgradient	Yes	11.9	NO	2.477	N/A
MW396	Upgradient	Yes	23.2	NO	3.144	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Technetium-99 UNITS: pCi/L UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 7.624	**S=** 6.558	**CV(1)=** 0.860	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(1)=** 28.531	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 1.498	**S=** 1.321	**CV(2)=** 0.882	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(2)=** 5.710	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW396	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	16.7	2.815
9/16/2002	6.39	1.855
10/16/2002	4.55	1.515
1/13/2003	16.5	2.803
4/8/2003	3.04	1.112
7/16/2003	0.354	-1.038
10/14/2003	11.9	2.477
1/14/2004	1.56	0.445

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	No	3.12	N/A	1.138	N/A
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	55.3	YES	4.013	N/A
MW393	Downgradient	No	-1.71	N/A	#Error	N/A
MW396	Upgradient	No	3.72	N/A	1.314	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW390

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Thorium-230 UNITS: pCi/L UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 0.103	**S=** 0.206	**CV(1)=** 2.010	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(1)=** 0.760	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** -2.743	**S=** 2.515	**CV(2)=** -0.917	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(2)=** -0.611	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW396	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/12/2004	0.543	-0.611
1/18/2005	0.00196	-6.235
4/19/2005	0.285	-1.255
7/11/2005	-0.0108	#Func!
10/17/2005	0.0566	-2.872
1/19/2006	-0.00122	#Func!
4/11/2006	-0.049	#Func!
7/17/2006	-0.0049	#Func!

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

#Because the natural log was not possbile for all background values, the TL was considered equal to the maximum background value.

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	No	-0.109	N/A	#Error	N/A
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	0.661	N/A	-0.414	YES
MW393	Downgradient	No	0.142	N/A	-1.952	N/A
MW396	Upgradient	No	0.408	N/A	-0.896	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW390

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Total Organic Carbon (TOC) UNITS: mg/L UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 9.988	**S=** 4.696	**CV(1)=** 0.470	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(1)=** 24.959	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 2.210	**S=** 0.454	**CV(2)=** 0.205	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(2)=** 3.657	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW396	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	19	2.944
9/16/2002	14.6	2.681
10/16/2002	10.4	2.342
1/13/2003	4.4	1.482
4/8/2003	7	1.946
7/16/2003	7.3	1.988
10/14/2003	9.1	2.208
1/14/2004	8.1	2.092

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	Yes	7.29	NO	1.987	N/A
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	2.07	NO	0.728	N/A
MW393	Downgradient	Yes	2.56	NO	0.940	N/A
MW396	Upgradient	Yes	6.37	NO	1.852	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Total Organic Halides (TOX) UNITS: ug/L UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data **X=** 142.650 **S=** 53.533 **CV(1)=** 0.375 **K factor**=** 3.188 **TL(1)=** 313.314 **LL(1)=**N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data **X=** 4.896 **S=** 0.390 **CV(2)=** 0.080 **K factor**=** 3.188 **TL(2)=** 6.138 **LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW396	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	193	5.263
9/16/2002	190	5.247
10/16/2002	221	5.398
1/13/2003	106	4.663
4/8/2003	77.8	4.354
7/16/2003	122	4.804
10/14/2003	86.4	4.459
1/14/2004	145	4.977

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	Yes	174	NO	5.159	N/A
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	18.6	NO	2.923	N/A
MW393	Downgradient	Yes	31.1	NO	3.437	N/A
MW396	Upgradient	Yes	40.6	NO	3.704	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Uranium UNITS: mg/L UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 0.001	**S=** 0.000	**CV(1)=** 0.314	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(1)=** 0.002	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** -6.821	**S=** 0.245	**CV(2)=** -0.036	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(2)=** -6.040	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW396	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.002	-6.215
9/16/2002	0.001	-6.908
10/16/2002	0.001	-6.908
1/13/2003	0.001	-6.908
4/8/2003	0.001	-6.908
7/16/2003	0.001	-6.908
10/14/2003	0.001	-6.908
1/14/2004	0.001	-6.908

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	No	0.0002	N/A	-8.517	N/A
MW390	Downgradient	No	0.000141	N/A	-8.867	N/A
MW393	Downgradient	No	0.0002	N/A	-8.517	N/A
MW396	Upgradient	Yes	0.000071	NO	-9.553	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Zinc UNITS: mg/L UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 0.044	**S=** 0.035	**CV(1)=** 0.786	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(1)=** 0.156	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** -3.342	**S=** 0.682	**CV(2)=** -0.204	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(2)=** -1.168	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW396	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.1	-2.303
9/16/2002	0.1	-2.303
10/16/2002	0.025	-3.689
1/13/2003	0.035	-3.352
4/8/2003	0.035	-3.352
7/16/2003	0.02	-3.912
10/14/2003	0.02	-3.912
1/14/2004	0.02	-3.912

Dry/Partially Dry Wells

Well No.	Gradient
MW389	Downgradient

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	No	0.01	N/A	-4.605	N/A
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	0.00467	NO	-5.367	N/A
MW393	Downgradient	No	0.01	N/A	-4.605	N/A
MW396	Upgradient	No	0.01	N/A	-4.605	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Aluminum UNITS: mg/L URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 0.221	**S=** 0.061	**CV(1)=** 0.277	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 0.376	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** -1.534	**S=** 0.212	**CV(2)=** -0.138	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** -0.999	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	0.2	-1.609
1/15/2003	0.2	-1.609
4/10/2003	0.2	-1.609
7/14/2003	0.2	-1.609
10/13/2003	0.427	-0.851
1/13/2004	0.309	-1.174
4/13/2004	0.2	-1.609
7/21/2004	0.202	-1.599

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.2	-1.609
9/16/2002	0.2	-1.609
10/16/2002	0.2	-1.609
1/13/2003	0.2	-1.609
4/10/2003	0.2	-1.609
7/16/2003	0.2	-1.609
10/14/2003	0.2	-1.609
1/13/2004	0.2	-1.609

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	0.0444	NO	-3.115	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	No	0.05	N/A	-2.996	N/A
MW222	Sidegradient	Yes	0.418	YES	-0.872	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	No	0.05	N/A	-2.996	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	Yes	0.0339	NO	-3.384	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	0.0694	NO	-2.668	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	0.0749	NO	-2.592	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	No	0.05	N/A	-2.996	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	0.0191	NO	-3.958	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	No	0.05	N/A	-2.996	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	No	0.05	N/A	-2.996	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW222

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Beta activity UNITS: pCi/L URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 14.273	**S=** 13.883	**CV(1)=** 0.973	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 49.300	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 2.213	**S=** 1.033	**CV(2)=** 0.467	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 4.819	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW220	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	15.2	2.721
1/15/2003	42.5	3.750
4/10/2003	45.4	3.816
7/14/2003	8.53	2.144
10/13/2003	11.7	2.460
1/13/2004	13.5	2.603
4/13/2004	33.5	3.512
7/21/2004	13.7	2.617

Well Number:	MW394	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	5.03	1.615
9/16/2002	5.57	1.717
10/16/2002	12.8	2.549
1/13/2003	4.3	1.459
4/10/2003	9.52	2.253
7/16/2003	3.92	1.366
10/14/2003	1.06	0.058
1/13/2004	2.14	0.761

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	13.6	N/A	2.610	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	Yes	6.37	N/A	1.852	N/A
MW222	Sidegradient	Yes	3.84	N/A	1.345	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	No	1.85	N/A	0.615	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	No	1.9	N/A	0.642	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	8.82	N/A	2.177	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	14.2	N/A	2.653	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	103	YES	4.635	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	154	YES	5.037	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	No	-1.93	N/A	#Error	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	Yes	5.57	N/A	1.717	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW384
MW387

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Boron UNITS: mg/L URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 0.425	**S=** 0.615	**CV(1)=** 1.447	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 1.976	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** -1.322	**S=** 0.786	**CV(2)=** -0.595	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 0.663	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	0.2	-1.609
1/15/2003	0.2	-1.609
4/10/2003	0.2	-1.609
7/14/2003	0.2	-1.609
10/13/2003	0.2	-1.609
1/13/2004	0.2	-1.609
4/13/2004	0.2	-1.609
7/21/2004	0.2	-1.609

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	2	0.693
9/16/2002	2	0.693
10/16/2002	0.2	-1.609
1/13/2003	0.2	-1.609
4/10/2003	0.2	-1.609
7/16/2003	0.2	-1.609
10/14/2003	0.2	-1.609
1/13/2004	0.2	-1.609

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	0.0142	N/A	-4.255	NO
MW221	Sidegradient	Yes	0.0125	N/A	-4.382	NO
MW222	Sidegradient	Yes	0.00932	N/A	-4.676	NO
MW223	Sidegradient	No	0.015	N/A	-4.200	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	Yes	0.0151	N/A	-4.193	NO
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	0.00843	N/A	-4.776	NO
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	0.983	N/A	-0.017	NO
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	0.0148	N/A	-4.213	NO
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	0.0339	N/A	-3.384	NO
MW391	Downgradient	Yes	0.127	N/A	-2.064	NO
MW394	Upgradient	Yes	0.0225	N/A	-3.794	NO

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Bromide UNITS: mg/L URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 1.000	**S=** 0.000	**CV(1)=** 0.000	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 1.000	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 0.000	**S=** 0.000	**CV(2)=** #Num!	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 0.000	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	1	0.000
1/15/2003	1	0.000
4/10/2003	1	0.000
7/14/2003	1	0.000
10/13/2003	1	0.000
1/13/2004	1	0.000
4/13/2004	1	0.000
7/21/2004	1	0.000

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	1	0.000
9/16/2002	1	0.000
10/16/2002	1	0.000
1/13/2003	1	0.000
4/10/2003	1	0.000
7/16/2003	1	0.000
10/14/2003	1	0.000
1/13/2004	1	0.000

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	0.221	NO	-1.510	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	Yes	0.462	NO	-0.772	N/A
MW222	Sidegradient	Yes	0.464	NO	-0.768	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	Yes	0.449	NO	-0.801	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	Yes	0.317	NO	-1.149	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	0.367	NO	-1.002	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	0.639	NO	-0.448	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	0.531	NO	-0.633	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	0.474	NO	-0.747	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	Yes	0.595	NO	-0.519	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	Yes	0.697	NO	-0.361	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Calcium UNITS: mg/L URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 27.638	**S=** 4.743	**CV(1)=** 0.172	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 39.604	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 3.304	**S=** 0.183	**CV(2)=** 0.055	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 3.765	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	23.6	3.161
1/15/2003	25.9	3.254
4/10/2003	30.4	3.414
7/14/2003	33.9	3.523
10/13/2003	21.3	3.059
1/13/2004	20.3	3.011
4/13/2004	23.8	3.170
7/21/2004	19	2.944

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	29.5	3.384
9/16/2002	29.9	3.398
10/16/2002	31.2	3.440
1/13/2003	30.7	3.424
4/10/2003	34.4	3.538
7/16/2003	29.6	3.388
10/14/2003	30.3	3.411
1/13/2004	28.4	3.346

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	19.6	NO	2.976	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	Yes	22.7	NO	3.122	N/A
MW222	Sidegradient	Yes	15	NO	2.708	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	Yes	22	NO	3.091	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	Yes	18.8	NO	2.934	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	19.5	NO	2.970	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	47.5	YES	3.861	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	31.8	NO	3.459	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	35.7	NO	3.575	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	Yes	39.2	NO	3.669	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	Yes	26.7	NO	3.285	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW372

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) UNITS: mg/L URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 35.000	**S=** 0.000	**CV(1)=** 0.000	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 35.000	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 3.555	**S=** 0.000	**CV(2)=** 0.000	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 3.555	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	35	3.555
1/15/2003	35	3.555
4/10/2003	35	3.555
7/14/2003	35	3.555
10/13/2003	35	3.555
1/13/2004	35	3.555
4/13/2004	35	3.555
7/21/2004	35	3.555

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	35	3.555
9/16/2002	35	3.555
10/16/2002	35	3.555
1/13/2003	35	3.555
4/10/2003	35	3.555
7/16/2003	35	3.555
10/14/2003	35	3.555
1/13/2004	35	3.555

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	12.7	NO	2.542	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	No	20	N/A	2.996	N/A
MW222	Sidegradient	No	20	N/A	2.996	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	Yes	10.1	NO	2.313	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	Yes	16.8	NO	2.821	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	No	20	N/A	2.996	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	No	20	N/A	2.996	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	20	NO	2.996	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	22	NO	3.091	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	No	20	N/A	2.996	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	Yes	9.95	NO	2.298	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Chloride UNITS: mg/L URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 49.044	**S=** 11.278	**CV(1)=** 0.230	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 77.499	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 3.866	**S=** 0.244	**CV(2)=** 0.063	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 4.482	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW220	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	44.6	3.798
1/15/2003	43.2	3.766
4/10/2003	31.5	3.450
7/14/2003	30.8	3.428
10/13/2003	40.9	3.711
1/13/2004	40.8	3.709
4/13/2004	37.5	3.624
7/21/2004	40.8	3.709

Well Number:	MW394	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	60.4	4.101
9/16/2002	60.3	4.099
10/16/2002	58	4.060
1/13/2003	60.7	4.106
4/10/2003	62.9	4.142
7/16/2003	58.1	4.062
10/14/2003	58.2	4.064
1/13/2004	56	4.025

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	23.2	NO	3.144	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	Yes	33.7	NO	3.517	N/A
MW222	Sidegradient	Yes	33.6	NO	3.515	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	Yes	31.3	NO	3.444	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	Yes	20.6	NO	3.025	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	33.6	NO	3.515	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	48.1	NO	3.873	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	48	NO	3.871	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	41.2	NO	3.718	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	Yes	47.7	NO	3.865	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	Yes	53.4	NO	3.978	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

cis-1,2-Dichloroethene UNITS: ug/L URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 5.000	**S=** 0.000	**CV(1)=** 0.000	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 5.000	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 1.609	**S=** 0.000	**CV(2)=** 0.000	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 1.609	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW220	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	5	1.609
1/15/2003	5	1.609
4/10/2003	5	1.609
7/14/2003	5	1.609
10/13/2003	5	1.609
1/13/2004	5	1.609
4/13/2004	5	1.609
7/21/2004	5	1.609

Well Number:	MW394	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	5	1.609
9/30/2002	5	1.609
10/16/2002	5	1.609
1/13/2003	5	1.609
4/10/2003	5	1.609
7/16/2003	5	1.609
10/14/2003	5	1.609
1/13/2004	5	1.609

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	No	1	N/A	0.000	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	No	1	N/A	0.000	N/A
MW222	Sidegradient	No	1	N/A	0.000	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	No	1	N/A	0.000	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	No	1	N/A	0.000	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	No	1	N/A	0.000	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	No	1	N/A	0.000	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	No	1	N/A	0.000	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	No	1	N/A	0.000	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	Yes	0.49	NO	-0.713	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	No	1	N/A	0.000	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Cobalt UNITS: mg/L URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 0.016	**S=** 0.040	**CV(1)=** 2.440	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 0.116	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** -5.582	**S=** 1.573	**CV(2)=** -0.282	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** -1.613	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	0.0041	-5.497
1/15/2003	0.00496	-5.306
4/10/2003	0.00289	-5.846
7/14/2003	0.161	-1.826
10/13/2003	0.0226	-3.790
1/13/2004	0.00464	-5.373
4/13/2004	0.001	-6.908
7/21/2004	0.00264	-5.937

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.025	-3.689
9/16/2002	0.025	-3.689
10/16/2002	0.001	-6.908
1/13/2003	0.001	-6.908
4/10/2003	0.001	-6.908
7/16/2003	0.001	-6.908
10/14/2003	0.001	-6.908
1/13/2004	0.001	-6.908

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	0.000227	N/A	-8.391	NO
MW221	Sidegradient	Yes	0.000397	N/A	-7.832	NO
MW222	Sidegradient	Yes	0.00409	N/A	-5.499	NO
MW223	Sidegradient	Yes	0.000736	N/A	-7.214	NO
MW224	Sidegradient	Yes	0.000662	N/A	-7.320	NO
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	0.0107	N/A	-4.538	NO
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	0.000823	N/A	-7.103	NO
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	0.000114	N/A	-9.079	NO
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	0.000153	N/A	-8.785	NO
MW391	Downgradient	Yes	0.000151	N/A	-8.798	NO
MW394	Upgradient	No	0.001	N/A	-6.908	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Conductivity UNITS: umho/cm URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data **X=** 382.132 **S=** 107.134 **CV(1)=** 0.280 **K factor**=** 2.523 **TL(1)=** 652.432 **LL(1)=**N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data **X=** 5.716 **S=** 1.164 **CV(2)=** 0.204 **K factor**=** 2.523 **TL(2)=** 8.652 **LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW220	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	368	5.908
1/15/2003	433.2	6.071
4/10/2003	489	6.192
7/14/2003	430	6.064
10/13/2003	346	5.846
1/13/2004	365	5.900
4/13/2004	416	6.031
7/21/2004	353	5.866

Well Number:	MW394	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	406	6.006
9/16/2002	418	6.035
10/16/2002	411	6.019
1/13/2003	422	6.045
4/10/2003	420	6.040
7/16/2003	438	6.082
10/14/2003	3.91	1.364
1/13/2004	395	5.979

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	345	NO	5.844	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	Yes	395	NO	5.979	N/A
MW222	Sidegradient	Yes	317	NO	5.759	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	Yes	414	NO	6.026	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	Yes	393	NO	5.974	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	390	NO	5.966	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	595	NO	6.389	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	490	NO	6.194	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	519	NO	6.252	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	Yes	471	NO	6.155	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	Yes	427	NO	6.057	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Copper UNITS: mg/L URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 0.024	**S=** 0.010	**CV(1)=** 0.429	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 0.050	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** -3.794	**S=** 0.312	**CV(2)=** -0.082	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** -3.007	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	0.0211	-3.858
1/15/2003	0.02	-3.912
4/10/2003	0.02	-3.912
7/14/2003	0.02	-3.912
10/13/2003	0.02	-3.912
1/13/2004	0.02	-3.912
4/13/2004	0.02	-3.912
7/21/2004	0.02	-3.912

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.05	-2.996
9/16/2002	0.05	-2.996
10/16/2002	0.02	-3.912
1/13/2003	0.02	-3.912
4/10/2003	0.02	-3.912
7/16/2003	0.02	-3.912
10/14/2003	0.02	-3.912
1/13/2004	0.02	-3.912

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	0.00119	NO	-6.734	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	Yes	0.00185	NO	-6.293	N/A
MW222	Sidegradient	Yes	0.00175	NO	-6.348	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	Yes	0.00122	NO	-6.709	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	Yes	0.000387	NO	-7.857	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	0.0013	NO	-6.645	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	No	0.001	N/A	-6.908	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	0.00104	NO	-6.869	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	0.000995	NO	-6.913	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	Yes	0.000987	NO	-6.921	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	Yes	0.000747	NO	-7.199	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Dissolved Oxygen UNITS: mg/L URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 3.784	**S=** 1.887	**CV(1)=** 0.499	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 8.545	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 1.182	**S=** 0.612	**CV(2)=** 0.518	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 2.727	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW220	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	6.79	1.915
1/15/2003	7.25	1.981
4/10/2003	3.6	1.281
7/14/2003	0.94	-0.062
10/13/2003	1.65	0.501
1/13/2004	3.48	1.247
4/13/2004	1.05	0.049
7/21/2004	4.46	1.495

Well Number:	MW394	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	6.09	1.807
9/16/2002	3.85	1.348
10/16/2002	5.11	1.631
1/13/2003	3.83	1.343
4/10/2003	4.15	1.423
7/16/2003	1.83	0.604
10/14/2003	3.33	1.203
1/13/2004	3.14	1.144

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	5.58	NO	1.719	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	Yes	5.64	NO	1.730	N/A
MW222	Sidegradient	Yes	5.91	NO	1.777	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	Yes	3.81	NO	1.338	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	Yes	4.6	NO	1.526	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	1.38	NO	0.322	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	0.89	NO	-0.117	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	4.32	NO	1.463	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	4.28	NO	1.454	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	Yes	3.71	NO	1.311	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	Yes	4.81	NO	1.571	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Dissolved Solids UNITS: mg/L URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data **X=** 232.688 **S=** 27.490 **CV(1)=** 0.118 **K factor**=** 2.523 **TL(1)=** 302.045 **LL(1)=**N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data **X=** 5.443 **S=** 0.118 **CV(2)=** 0.022 **K factor**=** 2.523 **TL(2)=** 5.740 **LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW220	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	208	5.338
1/15/2003	257	5.549
4/10/2003	288	5.663
7/14/2003	262	5.568
10/13/2003	197	5.283
1/13/2004	198	5.288
4/13/2004	245	5.501
7/21/2004	204	5.318

Well Number:	MW394	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	247	5.509
9/16/2002	259	5.557
10/16/2002	201	5.303
1/13/2003	228	5.429
4/10/2003	249	5.517
7/16/2003	240	5.481
10/14/2003	230	5.438
1/13/2004	210	5.347

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	201	NO	5.303	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	Yes	240	NO	5.481	N/A
MW222	Sidegradient	Yes	214	NO	5.366	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	Yes	251	NO	5.525	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	Yes	234	NO	5.455	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	213	NO	5.361	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	326	YES	5.787	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	243	NO	5.493	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	276	NO	5.620	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	Yes	283	NO	5.645	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	Yes	213	NO	5.361	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW372

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Iron UNITS: mg/L URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 0.897	**S=** 1.050	**CV(1)=** 1.170	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 3.545	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** -0.565	**S=** 0.951	**CV(2)=** -1.683	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 1.834	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

Well Number:	MW220	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	0.2	-1.609
1/15/2003	0.2	-1.609
4/10/2003	0.429	-0.846
7/14/2003	4.33	1.466
10/13/2003	1.81	0.593
1/13/2004	0.793	-0.232
4/13/2004	0.13	-2.040
7/21/2004	0.382	-0.962

Well Number:	MW394	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	1.34	0.293
9/16/2002	0.328	-1.115
10/16/2002	1.38	0.322
1/13/2003	1.3	0.262
4/10/2003	0.494	-0.705
7/16/2003	0.62	-0.478
10/14/2003	0.37	-0.994
1/13/2004	0.251	-1.382

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	0.0727	N/A	-2.621	NO
MW221	Sidegradient	Yes	0.0401	N/A	-3.216	NO
MW222	Sidegradient	Yes	0.552	N/A	-0.594	NO
MW223	Sidegradient	No	0.1	N/A	-2.303	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	Yes	0.066	N/A	-2.718	NO
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	0.239	N/A	-1.431	NO
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	1.58	N/A	0.457	NO
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	0.042	N/A	-3.170	NO
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	0.0489	N/A	-3.018	NO
MW391	Downgradient	Yes	0.0525	N/A	-2.947	NO
MW394	Upgradient	Yes	0.0545	N/A	-2.910	NO

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Magnesium UNITS: mg/L URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 10.796	**S=** 1.703	**CV(1)=** 0.158	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 15.092	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 2.368	**S=** 0.158	**CV(2)=** 0.067	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 2.766	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	9.16	2.215
1/15/2003	10	2.303
4/10/2003	10.8	2.380
7/14/2003	14.7	2.688
10/13/2003	9.03	2.201
1/13/2004	8.49	2.139
4/13/2004	9.7	2.272
7/21/2004	8.06	2.087

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	11.8	2.468
9/16/2002	12.1	2.493
10/16/2002	11.3	2.425
1/13/2003	10.3	2.332
4/10/2003	11.7	2.460
7/16/2003	12	2.485
10/14/2003	12.2	2.501
1/13/2004	11.4	2.434

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	8.48	NO	2.138	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	Yes	10.1	NO	2.313	N/A
MW222	Sidegradient	Yes	7.05	NO	1.953	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	Yes	9.14	NO	2.213	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	Yes	8.43	NO	2.132	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	8.47	NO	2.137	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	18.6	YES	2.923	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	11.1	NO	2.407	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	14.2	NO	2.653	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	Yes	16.2	YES	2.785	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	Yes	11.7	NO	2.460	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW372
MW391

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Manganese UNITS: mg/L URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 0.287	**S=** 0.619	**CV(1)=** 2.156	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 1.848	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** -2.455	**S=** 1.619	**CV(2)=** -0.659	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 1.630	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	0.0306	-3.487
1/15/2003	0.0291	-3.537
4/10/2003	0.0137	-4.290
7/14/2003	2.54	0.932
10/13/2003	0.378	-0.973
1/13/2004	0.159	-1.839
4/13/2004	0.00707	-4.952
7/21/2004	0.0841	-2.476

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.542	-0.612
9/16/2002	0.155	-1.864
10/16/2002	0.103	-2.273
1/13/2003	0.128	-2.056
4/10/2003	0.005	-5.298
7/16/2003	0.272	-1.302
10/14/2003	0.0795	-2.532
1/13/2004	0.0658	-2.721

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	0.00192	N/A	-6.255	NO
MW221	Sidegradient	Yes	0.00113	N/A	-6.786	NO
MW222	Sidegradient	Yes	0.00637	N/A	-5.056	NO
MW223	Sidegradient	No	0.005	N/A	-5.298	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	Yes	0.00401	N/A	-5.519	NO
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	0.157	N/A	-1.852	NO
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	0.0202	N/A	-3.902	NO
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	0.00137	N/A	-6.593	NO
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	0.00151	N/A	-6.496	NO
MW391	Downgradient	Yes	0.00212	N/A	-6.156	NO
MW394	Upgradient	Yes	0.00444	N/A	-5.417	NO

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Molybdenum UNITS: mg/L URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 0.006	**S=** 0.008	**CV(1)=** 1.261	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 0.026	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** -5.747	**S=** 1.205	**CV(2)=** -0.210	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** -2.708	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW220	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	0.00558	-5.189
1/15/2003	0.00983	-4.622
4/10/2003	0.0109	-4.519
7/14/2003	0.00245	-6.012
10/13/2003	0.00566	-5.174
1/13/2004	0.00572	-5.164
4/13/2004	0.001	-6.908
7/21/2004	0.00392	-5.542

Well Number:	MW394	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.025	-3.689
9/16/2002	0.025	-3.689
10/16/2002	0.001	-6.908
1/13/2003	0.001	-6.908
4/10/2003	0.001	-6.908
7/16/2003	0.001	-6.908
10/14/2003	0.001	-6.908
1/13/2004	0.001	-6.908

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	No	0.00071	N/A	-7.250	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	Yes	0.00228	N/A	-6.084	NO
MW222	Sidegradient	Yes	0.00049	N/A	-7.621	NO
MW223	Sidegradient	Yes	0.00671	N/A	-5.004	NO
MW224	Sidegradient	No	0.0005	N/A	-7.601	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	No	0.0005	N/A	-7.601	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	0.000723	N/A	-7.232	NO
MW384	Sidegradient	No	0.0005	N/A	-7.601	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	No	0.0005	N/A	-7.601	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	No	0.0005	N/A	-7.601	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	No	0.0005	N/A	-7.601	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Nickel UNITS: mg/L URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 0.127	**S=** 0.228	**CV(1)=** 1.790	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 0.701	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** -3.617	**S=** 1.837	**CV(2)=** -0.508	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 1.019	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW220	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	0.418	-0.872
1/15/2003	0.738	-0.304
4/10/2003	0.544	-0.609
7/14/2003	0.106	-2.244
10/13/2003	0.0529	-2.939
1/13/2004	0.0209	-3.868
4/13/2004	0.005	-5.298
7/21/2004	0.0192	-3.953

Well Number:	MW394	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.05	-2.996
9/16/2002	0.05	-2.996
10/16/2002	0.005	-5.298
1/13/2003	0.005	-5.298
4/10/2003	0.005	-5.298
7/16/2003	0.005	-5.298
10/14/2003	0.005	-5.298
1/13/2004	0.005	-5.298

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	0.0198	N/A	-3.922	NO
MW221	Sidegradient	Yes	0.0251	N/A	-3.685	NO
MW222	Sidegradient	Yes	0.0264	N/A	-3.634	NO
MW223	Sidegradient	Yes	0.129	N/A	-2.048	NO
MW224	Sidegradient	Yes	0.00308	N/A	-5.783	NO
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	0.00601	N/A	-5.114	NO
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	0.00148	N/A	-6.516	NO
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	0.00116	N/A	-6.759	NO
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	0.00135	N/A	-6.608	NO
MW391	Downgradient	Yes	0.000879	N/A	-7.037	NO
MW394	Upgradient	Yes	0.00252	N/A	-5.983	NO

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Oxidation-Reduction Potential UNITS: mV URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 179.872	**S=** 86.318	**CV(1)=** 0.480	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 397.652	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 4.861	**S=** 1.252	**CV(2)=** 0.258	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 8.021	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	205	5.323
1/15/2003	1.95	0.668
4/10/2003	203	5.313
7/14/2003	30	3.401
10/13/2003	107	4.673
1/13/2004	295	5.687
4/13/2004	190	5.247
7/21/2004	319	5.765

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	90	4.500
9/16/2002	240	5.481
10/16/2002	185	5.220
1/13/2003	220	5.394
4/10/2003	196	5.278
7/16/2003	172	5.147
10/14/2003	175	5.165
1/13/2004	249	5.517

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	417	YES	6.033	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	Yes	321	NO	5.771	N/A
MW222	Sidegradient	Yes	392	NO	5.971	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	Yes	423	YES	6.047	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	Yes	442	YES	6.091	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	381	NO	5.943	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	263	NO	5.572	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	330	NO	5.799	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	348	NO	5.852	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	Yes	311	NO	5.740	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	Yes	397	NO	5.984	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW220
MW223
MW224

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

pH UNITS: Std Unit URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 6.138	**S=** 0.282	**CV(1)=** 0.046	**K factor**=** 2.904	**TL(1)=** 6.957	**LL(1)=**5.3179
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 1.813	**S=** 0.047	**CV(2)=** 0.026	**K factor**=** 2.904	**TL(2)=** 1.950	**LL(2)=**1.6765

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	6.04	1.798
1/15/2003	6.31	1.842
4/10/2003	6.5	1.872
7/14/2003	6.3	1.841
10/13/2003	6.34	1.847
1/13/2004	6.33	1.845
4/13/2004	6.3	1.841
7/21/2004	5.9	1.775

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	5.8	1.758
9/30/2002	5.93	1.780
10/16/2002	5.42	1.690
1/13/2003	6	1.792
4/10/2003	6.04	1.798
7/16/2003	6.2	1.825
10/14/2003	6.4	1.856
1/13/2004	6.39	1.855

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)? Result <LL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)? LN(Result) <LL(2)?
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	6.05	NO	1.800	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	Yes	6.28	NO	1.837	N/A
MW222	Sidegradient	Yes	6.4	NO	1.856	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	Yes	6.15	NO	1.816	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	Yes	5.91	NO	1.777	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	6.23	NO	1.829	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	6.02	NO	1.795	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	6.22	NO	1.828	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	6.18	NO	1.821	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	Yes	6.13	NO	1.813	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	Yes	5.97	NO	1.787	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Potassium UNITS: mg/L URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 6.654	**S=** 9.310	**CV(1)=** 1.399	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 30.144	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 1.130	**S=** 1.208	**CV(2)=** 1.069	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 4.178	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	6.7	1.902
1/15/2003	29.7	3.391
4/10/2003	24.9	3.215
7/14/2003	1.13	0.122
10/13/2003	3.43	1.233
1/13/2004	6.71	1.904
4/13/2004	19.3	2.960
7/21/2004	3.97	1.379

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	2	0.693
9/16/2002	2	0.693
10/16/2002	1.03	0.030
1/13/2003	1.1	0.095
4/10/2003	1.24	0.215
7/16/2003	1.14	0.131
10/14/2003	1.05	0.049
1/13/2004	1.07	0.068

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	2.71	N/A	0.997	NO
MW221	Sidegradient	Yes	1.4	N/A	0.336	NO
MW222	Sidegradient	Yes	0.745	N/A	-0.294	NO
MW223	Sidegradient	Yes	4.89	N/A	1.587	NO
MW224	Sidegradient	Yes	0.72	N/A	-0.329	NO
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	0.571	N/A	-0.560	NO
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	2.14	N/A	0.761	NO
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	1.2	N/A	0.182	NO
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	1.84	N/A	0.610	NO
MW391	Downgradient	Yes	1.82	N/A	0.599	NO
MW394	Upgradient	Yes	1.09	N/A	0.086	NO

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Radium-226 UNITS: pCi/L URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 0.036	**S=** 0.382	**CV(1)=** 10.588	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 1.001	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** -1.873	**S=** 1.110	**CV(2)=** -0.592	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** -0.538	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW220	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	-0.804	#Func!
1/15/2003	0	#Func!
10/13/2003	0.389	-0.944
1/13/2004	-0.12	#Func!
4/13/2004	0.159	-1.839
7/21/2004	0.382	-0.962
10/11/2004	0.211	-1.556
1/20/2005	0.229	-1.474

Well Number:	MW394	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/16/2002	0.584	-0.538
1/13/2003	-0.839	#Func!
10/14/2003	0.0325	-3.427
1/13/2004	-0.00402	#Func!
4/12/2004	-0.000337	#Func!
7/20/2004	0.29	-1.238
10/12/2004	0.0366	-3.308
1/18/2005	0.0319	-3.445

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

#Because the natural log was not possbile for all background values, the TL was considered equal to the maximum background value.

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	No	0.308	N/A	-1.178	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	No	0.469	N/A	-0.757	N/A
MW222	Sidegradient	No	0.212	N/A	-1.551	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	No	0.327	N/A	-1.118	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	No	0.476	N/A	-0.742	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	0.868	N/A	-0.142	YES
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	0.54	N/A	-0.616	NO
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	0.678	N/A	-0.389	YES
MW387	Downgradient	No	0.342	N/A	-1.073	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	No	0.252	N/A	-1.378	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	No	0.518	N/A	-0.658	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW369
MW384

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Sodium UNITS: mg/L URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 36.363	**S=** 8.666	**CV(1)=** 0.238	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 58.227	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 3.570	**S=** 0.222	**CV(2)=** 0.062	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 4.129	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	35.4	3.567
1/15/2003	40.6	3.704
4/10/2003	51	3.932
7/14/2003	58.2	4.064
10/13/2003	38.1	3.640
1/13/2004	37	3.611
4/13/2004	43.2	3.766
7/21/2004	33.8	3.520

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	32.9	3.493
9/16/2002	29.9	3.398
10/16/2002	29	3.367
1/13/2003	27.1	3.300
4/10/2003	24.8	3.211
7/16/2003	35.6	3.572
10/14/2003	33.9	3.523
1/13/2004	31.3	3.444

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	41	NO	3.714	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	Yes	48.6	NO	3.884	N/A
MW222	Sidegradient	Yes	47.8	NO	3.867	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	Yes	49.8	NO	3.908	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	Yes	51.7	NO	3.945	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	72	YES	4.277	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	43.4	NO	3.770	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	83.2	YES	4.421	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	65.4	YES	4.181	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	Yes	37.7	NO	3.630	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	Yes	35.3	NO	3.564	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW369
MW384
MW387

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Sulfate UNITS: mg/L URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 10.481	**S=** 2.648	**CV(1)=** 0.253	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 17.161	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 2.322	**S=** 0.239	**CV(2)=** 0.103	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 2.925	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW220	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	10.4	2.342
1/15/2003	9.8	2.282
4/10/2003	15.4	2.734
7/14/2003	14.9	2.701
10/13/2003	13.5	2.603
1/13/2004	10.3	2.332
4/13/2004	14.3	2.660
7/21/2004	10.5	2.351

Well Number:	MW394	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	11.2	2.416
9/16/2002	8.3	2.116
10/16/2002	8	2.079
1/13/2003	8.5	2.140
4/10/2003	7.9	2.067
7/16/2003	8.4	2.128
10/14/2003	8.2	2.104
1/13/2004	8.1	2.092

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	18.4	YES	2.912	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	Yes	14.3	NO	2.660	N/A
MW222	Sidegradient	Yes	10.8	NO	2.380	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	Yes	13.9	NO	2.632	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	Yes	10	NO	2.303	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	4.95	NO	1.599	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	66.2	YES	4.193	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	20.3	YES	3.011	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	30.1	YES	3.405	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	Yes	50.2	YES	3.916	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	Yes	10.8	NO	2.380	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW220
MW372
MW384
MW387
MW391

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Technetium-99 UNITS: pCi/L URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 9.354	**S=** 9.280	**CV(1)=** 0.992	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 32.768	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 2.270	**S=** 0.849	**CV(2)=** 0.374	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 3.262	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW220	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	19.7	2.981
1/15/2003	26.1	3.262
4/10/2003	3.56	1.270
7/14/2003	0	#Func!
10/13/2003	21	3.045
1/13/2004	6.32	1.844
4/13/2004	3	1.099
7/21/2004	14.6	2.681

Well Number:	MW394	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	14	2.639
9/16/2002	5.45	1.696
10/16/2002	2.49	0.912
1/13/2003	18.3	2.907
4/10/2003	-1.45	#Func!
7/16/2003	-1.71	#Func!
10/14/2003	18.3	2.907
1/13/2004	0	#Func!

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

#Because the natural log was not possbile for all background values, the TL was considered equal to the maximum background value.

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	23.2	NO	3.144	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	No	14.8	N/A	2.695	N/A
MW222	Sidegradient	No	11.3	N/A	2.425	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	No	5.58	N/A	1.719	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	No	0.195	N/A	-1.635	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	27	NO	3.296	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	24.7	NO	3.207	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	149	YES	5.004	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	249	YES	5.517	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	No	5.9	N/A	1.775	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	No	7.79	N/A	2.053	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW384
MW387

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Thorium-230 UNITS: pCi/L URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 0.103	**S=** 0.171	**CV(1)=** 1.652	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 0.535	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** -2.248	**S=** 1.154	**CV(2)=** -0.513	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** -0.573	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW220	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/11/2004	0.267	-1.321
1/20/2005	0.251	-1.382
4/25/2005	0.348	-1.056
7/14/2005	-0.0428	#Func!
10/20/2005	-0.00586	#Func!
1/18/2006	-0.00543	#Func!
4/11/2006	-0.0295	#Func!
7/17/2006	-0.0311	#Func!

Well Number:	MW394	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/12/2004	0.564	-0.573
1/18/2005	0.106	-2.244
4/18/2005	-0.022	#Func!
7/11/2005	0.127	-2.064
10/17/2005	0.0291	-3.537
1/18/2006	0.0271	-3.608
4/11/2006	0.0468	-3.062
7/17/2006	0.0263	-3.638

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

#Because the natural log was not possbile for all background values, the TL was considered equal to the maximum background value.

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	No	-0.126	N/A	#Error	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	No	0.342	N/A	-1.073	N/A
MW222	Sidegradient	No	0.395	N/A	-0.929	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	No	0.294	N/A	-1.224	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	No	-0.0688	N/A	#Error	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	No	-0.00581	N/A	#Error	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	No	0.32	N/A	-1.139	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	0.985	N/A	-0.015	YES
MW387	Downgradient	No	0.438	N/A	-0.826	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	No	-0.00562	N/A	#Error	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	No	-0.0549	N/A	#Error	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW384

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Total Organic Carbon (TOC) UNITS: mg/L URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 1.494	**S=** 0.737	**CV(1)=** 0.493	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 3.353	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 0.315	**S=** 0.402	**CV(2)=** 1.279	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 1.330	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	1	0.000
1/15/2003	1.1	0.095
4/10/2003	1	0.000
7/14/2003	3.3	1.194
10/13/2003	1.8	0.588
1/13/2004	1	0.000
4/13/2004	2	0.693
7/21/2004	3.1	1.131

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	1.3	0.262
9/16/2002	1	0.000
10/16/2002	1	0.000
1/13/2003	1.6	0.470
4/10/2003	1	0.000
7/16/2003	1.4	0.336
10/14/2003	1.3	0.262
1/13/2004	1	0.000

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	1.14	NO	0.131	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	Yes	1.02	NO	0.020	N/A
MW222	Sidegradient	Yes	0.889	NO	-0.118	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	Yes	1.06	NO	0.058	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	Yes	1.48	NO	0.392	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	1.88	NO	0.631	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	2.22	NO	0.798	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	1.14	NO	0.131	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	1.14	NO	0.131	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	Yes	1	NO	0.000	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	Yes	1.02	NO	0.020	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Total Organic Halides (TOX) UNITS: ug/L URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 63.475	**S=** 163.135	**CV(1)=** 2.570	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 475.063	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 3.103	**S=** 1.145	**CV(2)=** 0.369	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 5.992	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	50	3.912
1/15/2003	10	2.303
4/10/2003	10	2.303
7/14/2003	10	2.303
10/13/2003	10	2.303
1/13/2004	10	2.303
4/13/2004	10	2.303
7/21/2004	10	2.303

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	50	3.912
9/16/2002	672	6.510
10/16/2002	50	3.912
1/13/2003	36.1	3.586
4/10/2003	10	2.303
7/16/2003	42.7	3.754
10/14/2003	22	3.091
1/13/2004	12.8	2.549

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	6.22	N/A	1.828	NO
MW221	Sidegradient	Yes	13.6	N/A	2.610	NO
MW222	Sidegradient	Yes	6.94	N/A	1.937	NO
MW223	Sidegradient	Yes	6.8	N/A	1.917	NO
MW224	Sidegradient	No	10	N/A	2.303	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	41.1	N/A	3.716	NO
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	10	N/A	2.303	NO
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	14	N/A	2.639	NO
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	10.6	N/A	2.361	NO
MW391	Downgradient	Yes	14	N/A	2.639	NO
MW394	Upgradient	Yes	10	N/A	2.303	NO

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Trichloroethene UNITS: ug/L URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 8.813	**S=** 8.376	**CV(1)=** 0.951	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 29.946	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 1.395	**S=** 1.449	**CV(2)=** 1.039	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 5.052	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	1	0.000
1/15/2003	1	0.000
4/10/2003	1	0.000
7/14/2003	1	0.000
10/13/2003	1	0.000
1/13/2004	1	0.000
4/13/2004	1	0.000
7/21/2004	1	0.000

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	16	2.773
9/30/2002	20	2.996
10/16/2002	17	2.833
1/13/2003	15	2.708
4/10/2003	10	2.303
7/16/2003	19	2.944
10/14/2003	20	2.996
1/13/2004	16	2.773

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	No	1	N/A	0.000	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	No	1	N/A	0.000	N/A
MW222	Sidegradient	No	1	N/A	0.000	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	No	1	N/A	0.000	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	No	1	N/A	0.000	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	4.97	N/A	1.603	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	7.12	NO	1.963	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	0.38	N/A	-0.968	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	0.83	N/A	-0.186	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	Yes	11	NO	2.398	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	Yes	7.86	NO	2.062	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Vanadium UNITS: mg/L URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 0.021	**S=** 0.002	**CV(1)=** 0.083	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 0.025	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** -3.884	**S=** 0.076	**CV(2)=** -0.020	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** -3.692	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW220	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	0.02	-3.912
1/15/2003	0.02	-3.912
4/10/2003	0.02	-3.912
7/14/2003	0.02	-3.912
10/13/2003	0.02	-3.912
1/13/2004	0.02	-3.912
4/13/2004	0.02	-3.912
7/21/2004	0.02	-3.912

Well Number:	MW394	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.025	-3.689
9/16/2002	0.025	-3.689
10/16/2002	0.02	-3.912
1/13/2003	0.02	-3.912
4/10/2003	0.02	-3.912
7/16/2003	0.02	-3.912
10/14/2003	0.02	-3.912
1/13/2004	0.02	-3.912

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	0.00736	NO	-4.912	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	No	0.01	N/A	-4.605	N/A
MW222	Sidegradient	Yes	0.00475	NO	-5.350	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	No	0.01	N/A	-4.605	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	Yes	0.00684	NO	-4.985	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	No	0.01	N/A	-4.605	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	No	0.01	N/A	-4.605	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	No	0.01	N/A	-4.605	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	No	0.01	N/A	-4.605	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	No	0.01	N/A	-4.605	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	No	0.01	N/A	-4.605	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Zinc UNITS: mg/L URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 0.036	**S=** 0.026	**CV(1)=** 0.722	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 0.101	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** -3.485	**S=** 0.525	**CV(2)=** -0.151	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** -2.162	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/14/2002	0.025	-3.689
1/15/2003	0.035	-3.352
4/10/2003	0.035	-3.352
7/14/2003	0.0389	-3.247
10/13/2003	0.026	-3.650
1/13/2004	0.02	-3.912
4/13/2004	0.02	-3.912
7/21/2004	0.02	-3.912

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.1	-2.303
9/16/2002	0.1	-2.303
10/16/2002	0.025	-3.689
1/13/2003	0.035	-3.352
4/10/2003	0.035	-3.352
7/16/2003	0.02	-3.912
10/14/2003	0.02	-3.912
1/13/2004	0.02	-3.912

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	0.00498	NO	-5.302	N/A
MW221	Sidegradient	Yes	0.00367	NO	-5.608	N/A
MW222	Sidegradient	No	0.01	N/A	-4.605	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	No	0.01	N/A	-4.605	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	No	0.01	N/A	-4.605	N/A
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	0.00389	NO	-5.549	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	0.00485	NO	-5.329	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	No	0.01	N/A	-4.605	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	No	0.01	N/A	-4.605	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	No	0.01	N/A	-4.605	N/A
MW394	Upgradient	No	0.01	N/A	-4.605	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Aluminum UNITS: mg/L LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 0.258	**S=** 0.221	**CV(1)=** 0.856	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 0.815	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** -2.266	**S=** 2.485	**CV(2)=** -1.097	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 4.003	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW395	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.2	-1.609
9/16/2002	0.2	-1.609
10/16/2002	0.0002	-8.517
1/13/2003	0.737	-0.305
4/10/2003	0.2	-1.609
7/16/2003	0.2	-1.609
10/14/2003	0.2	-1.609
1/13/2004	0.2	-1.609

Well Number:	MW397	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.824	-0.194
9/16/2002	0.2	-1.609
10/17/2002	0.0002	-8.517
1/13/2003	0.363	-1.013
4/8/2003	0.2	-1.609
7/16/2003	0.2	-1.609
10/14/2003	0.2	-1.609
1/13/2004	0.2	-1.609

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	No	0.05	N/A	-2.996	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	No	0.05	N/A	-2.996	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	No	0.05	N/A	-2.996	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	No	0.05	N/A	-2.996	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	Yes	0.0242	NO	-3.721	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	No	0.05	N/A	-2.996	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	Yes	0.0642	NO	-2.746	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Beta activity UNITS: pCi/L LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 7.183	**S=** 2.612	**CV(1)=** 0.364	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 13.773	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 1.870	**S=** 0.552	**CV(2)=** 0.295	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 3.261	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	1.09	0.086
9/16/2002	5.79	1.756
10/16/2002	6.82	1.920
1/13/2003	5.01	1.611
4/10/2003	6.1	1.808
7/16/2003	8.51	2.141
10/14/2003	4.99	1.607
1/13/2004	6.58	1.884

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	9.57	2.259
9/16/2002	11	2.398
10/17/2002	9.3	2.230
1/13/2003	8.63	2.155
4/8/2003	10	2.303
7/16/2003	6.89	1.930
10/14/2003	10.1	2.313
1/13/2004	4.55	1.515

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	44.8	N/A	3.802	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	15.6	N/A	2.747	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	54	YES	3.989	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	86.4	YES	4.459	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	No	1.8	N/A	0.588	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	Yes	5.31	N/A	1.670	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	Yes	4.42	N/A	1.486	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW385
MW388

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Boron UNITS: mg/L LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 0.650	**S=** 0.805	**CV(1)=** 1.238	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 2.681	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** -1.034	**S=** 1.030	**CV(2)=** -0.996	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 1.564	**LL(2)=**N/A

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	2	0.693
9/16/2002	2	0.693
10/16/2002	0.2	-1.609
1/13/2003	0.2	-1.609
4/10/2003	0.2	-1.609
7/16/2003	0.2	-1.609
10/14/2003	0.2	-1.609
1/13/2004	0.2	-1.609

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	2	0.693
9/16/2002	2	0.693
10/17/2002	0.2	-1.609
1/13/2003	0.2	-1.609
4/8/2003	0.2	-1.609
7/16/2003	0.2	-1.609
10/14/2003	0.2	-1.609
1/13/2004	0.2	-1.609

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	0.0313	N/A	-3.464	NO
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	1.59	N/A	0.464	NO
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	0.013	N/A	-4.343	NO
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	0.0243	N/A	-3.717	NO
MW392	Downgradient	Yes	0.0276	N/A	-3.590	NO
MW395	Upgradient	Yes	0.0223	N/A	-3.803	NO
MW397	Upgradient	Yes	0.0112	N/A	-4.492	NO

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Bromide UNITS: mg/L LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 1.000	**S=** 0.000	**CV(1)=** 0.000	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 1.000	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 0.000	**S=** 0.000	**CV(2)=** #Num!	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 0.000	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	1	0.000
9/16/2002	1	0.000
10/16/2002	1	0.000
1/13/2003	1	0.000
4/10/2003	1	0.000
7/16/2003	1	0.000
10/14/2003	1	0.000
1/13/2004	1	0.000

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	1	0.000
9/16/2002	1	0.000
10/17/2002	1	0.000
1/13/2003	1	0.000
4/8/2003	1	0.000
7/16/2003	1	0.000
10/14/2003	1	0.000
1/13/2004	1	0.000

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	0.463	NO	-0.770	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	0.601	NO	-0.509	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	0.248	NO	-1.394	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	0.355	NO	-1.036	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	Yes	0.632	NO	-0.459	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	Yes	0.541	NO	-0.614	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	Yes	0.494	NO	-0.705	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Calcium UNITS: mg/L LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 23.103	**S=** 11.538	**CV(1)=** 0.499	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 52.213	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 2.357	**S=** 2.411	**CV(2)=** 1.023	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 8.439	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	32.2	3.472
9/16/2002	33	3.497
10/16/2002	0.0295	-3.523
1/13/2003	32.1	3.469
4/10/2003	40.2	3.694
7/16/2003	32.4	3.478
10/14/2003	33.9	3.523
1/13/2004	31.2	3.440

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	19.4	2.965
9/16/2002	19	2.944
10/17/2002	0.0179	-4.023
1/13/2003	17.8	2.879
4/8/2003	20.3	3.011
7/16/2003	19.4	2.965
10/14/2003	19.9	2.991
1/13/2004	18.8	2.934

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	32	NO	3.466	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	69.6	YES	4.243	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	44.2	NO	3.789	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	28.9	NO	3.364	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	Yes	31	NO	3.434	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	Yes	25.9	NO	3.254	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	Yes	19.5	NO	2.970	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW373

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) UNITS: mg/L LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 35.313	**S=** 1.250	**CV(1)=** 0.035	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 38.466	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 3.564	**S=** 0.033	**CV(2)=** 0.009	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 3.648	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	35	3.555
9/16/2002	35	3.555
10/16/2002	35	3.555
1/13/2003	35	3.555
4/10/2003	35	3.555
7/16/2003	35	3.555
10/14/2003	35	3.555
1/13/2004	35	3.555

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	40	3.689
9/16/2002	35	3.555
10/17/2002	35	3.555
1/13/2003	35	3.555
4/8/2003	35	3.555
7/16/2003	35	3.555
10/14/2003	35	3.555
1/13/2004	35	3.555

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	No	20	N/A	2.996	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	14.6	NO	2.681	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	No	20	N/A	2.996	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	22	NO	3.091	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	Yes	10.1	NO	2.313	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	No	20	N/A	2.996	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	Yes	18.9	NO	2.939	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Chloride UNITS: mg/L LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 51.844	**S=** 11.652	**CV(1)=** 0.225	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 81.242	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 3.924	**S=** 0.229	**CV(2)=** 0.058	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 4.501	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW395	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	62.2	4.130
9/16/2002	64.7	4.170
10/16/2002	62.2	4.130
1/13/2003	63.5	4.151
4/10/2003	64.1	4.160
7/16/2003	64	4.159
10/14/2003	63.2	4.146
1/13/2004	60.6	4.104

Well Number:	MW397	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	38.9	3.661
9/16/2002	39.8	3.684
10/17/2002	39.3	3.671
1/13/2003	40.5	3.701
4/8/2003	42.1	3.740
7/16/2003	42	3.738
10/14/2003	40.8	3.709
1/13/2004	41.6	3.728

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	37.4	NO	3.622	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	47.8	NO	3.867	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	24.8	NO	3.211	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	33.5	NO	3.512	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	Yes	48.5	NO	3.882	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	Yes	46.7	NO	3.844	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	Yes	39.6	NO	3.679	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

cis-1,2-Dichloroethene UNITS: ug/L LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 5.000	**S=** 0.000	**CV(1)=** 0.000	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 5.000	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 1.609	**S=** 0.000	**CV(2)=** 0.000	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 1.609	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW395	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	5	1.609
9/30/2002	5	1.609
10/16/2002	5	1.609
1/13/2003	5	1.609
4/10/2003	5	1.609
7/16/2003	5	1.609
10/14/2003	5	1.609
1/13/2004	5	1.609

Well Number:	MW397	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	5	1.609
9/30/2002	5	1.609
10/17/2002	5	1.609
1/13/2003	5	1.609
4/8/2003	5	1.609
7/16/2003	5	1.609
10/14/2003	5	1.609
1/13/2004	5	1.609

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	No	1	N/A	0.000	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	No	1	N/A	0.000	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	No	1	N/A	0.000	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	No	1	N/A	0.000	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	Yes	0.83	NO	-0.186	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	No	1	N/A	0.000	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	No	1	N/A	0.000	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Cobalt UNITS: mg/L LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 0.007	**S=** 0.011	**CV(1)=** 1.515	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 0.034	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** -6.053	**S=** 1.416	**CV(2)=** -0.234	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** -2.480	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.025	-3.689
9/16/2002	0.025	-3.689
10/16/2002	0.001	-6.908
1/13/2003	0.00148	-6.516
4/10/2003	0.00151	-6.496
7/16/2003	0.001	-6.908
10/14/2003	0.001	-6.908
1/13/2004	0.001	-6.908

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.025	-3.689
9/16/2002	0.025	-3.689
10/17/2002	0.001	-6.908
1/13/2003	0.001	-6.908
4/8/2003	0.001	-6.908
7/16/2003	0.001	-6.908
10/14/2003	0.001	-6.908
1/13/2004	0.001	-6.908

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	0.000584	N/A	-7.446	NO
MW373	Downgradient	No	0.00021	N/A	-8.468	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	0.000172	N/A	-8.668	NO
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	0.000151	N/A	-8.798	NO
MW392	Downgradient	Yes	0.000206	N/A	-8.488	NO
MW395	Upgradient	No	0.001	N/A	-6.908	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	No	0.001	N/A	-6.908	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Conductivity UNITS: umho/cm LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 377.875	**S=** 52.101	**CV(1)=** 0.138	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 509.326	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 5.926	**S=** 0.136	**CV(2)=** 0.023	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 6.270	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	405	6.004
9/16/2002	401	5.994
10/16/2002	392	5.971
1/13/2003	404	6.001
4/10/2003	488	6.190
7/16/2003	450	6.109
10/14/2003	410	6.016
1/13/2004	413	6.023

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	322	5.775
9/16/2002	315	5.753
10/17/2002	317	5.759
1/13/2003	320	5.768
4/8/2003	390	5.966
7/16/2003	354	5.869
10/14/2003	331	5.802
1/13/2004	334	5.811

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	444	NO	6.096	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	781	YES	6.661	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	474	NO	6.161	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	436	NO	6.078	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	Yes	409	NO	6.014	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	Yes	386	NO	5.956	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	Yes	337	NO	5.820	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW373

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Copper UNITS: mg/L LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 0.028	**S=** 0.013	**CV(1)=** 0.474	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 0.061	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** -3.662	**S=** 0.406	**CV(2)=** -0.111	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** -2.638	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.05	-2.996
9/16/2002	0.05	-2.996
10/16/2002	0.0281	-3.572
1/13/2003	0.02	-3.912
4/10/2003	0.02	-3.912
7/16/2003	0.02	-3.912
10/14/2003	0.02	-3.912
1/13/2004	0.02	-3.912

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.05	-2.996
9/16/2002	0.05	-2.996
10/17/2002	0.02	-3.912
1/13/2003	0.02	-3.912
4/8/2003	0.02	-3.912
7/16/2003	0.02	-3.912
10/14/2003	0.02	-3.912
1/13/2004	0.02	-3.912

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	0.000654	NO	-7.332	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	0.000461	NO	-7.682	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	0.00117	NO	-6.751	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	0.000951	NO	-6.958	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	Yes	0.000878	NO	-7.038	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	Yes	0.000619	NO	-7.387	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	Yes	0.000615	NO	-7.394	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Cyanide UNITS: mg/L LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 0.020	**S=** 0.000	**CV(1)=** 0.000	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 0.020	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** -3.912	**S=** 0.000	**CV(2)=** 0.000	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** -3.912	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.02	-3.912
9/16/2002	0.02	-3.912
10/16/2002	0.02	-3.912
1/13/2003	0.02	-3.912
4/10/2003	0.02	-3.912
7/16/2003	0.02	-3.912
10/14/2003	0.02	-3.912
1/13/2004	0.02	-3.912

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.02	-3.912
9/16/2002	0.02	-3.912
10/17/2002	0.02	-3.912
1/13/2003	0.02	-3.912
4/8/2003	0.02	-3.912
7/16/2003	0.02	-3.912
10/14/2003	0.02	-3.912
1/13/2004	0.02	-3.912

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	0.00176	NO	-6.342	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	No	0.2	N/A	-1.609	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	No	0.2	N/A	-1.609	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	No	0.2	N/A	-1.609	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	No	0.2	N/A	-1.609	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	No	0.2	N/A	-1.609	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	No	0.2	N/A	-1.609	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Dissolved Oxygen UNITS: mg/L LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 4.678	**S=** 2.431	**CV(1)=** 0.520	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 10.812	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 1.414	**S=** 0.550	**CV(2)=** 0.389	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 2.802	**LL(2)=**N/A

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	7.29	1.987
9/30/2002	4.03	1.394
10/16/2002	3.85	1.348
1/13/2003	2.36	0.859
4/10/2003	1.14	0.131
7/16/2003	1.76	0.565
10/14/2003	4.05	1.399
1/13/2004	4.26	1.449

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	11.56	2.448
9/16/2002	5.86	1.768
10/17/2002	5.94	1.782
1/13/2003	4.66	1.539
4/8/2003	3.77	1.327
7/16/2003	3.47	1.244
10/14/2003	5.34	1.675
1/13/2004	5.51	1.707

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	3.41	NO	1.227	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	2.27	NO	0.820	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	2.11	NO	0.747	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	4.91	NO	1.591	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	Yes	1.99	NO	0.688	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	Yes	4.88	NO	1.585	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	Yes	5.79	NO	1.756	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Dissolved Solids UNITS: mg/L LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 219.250	**S=**	34.107	**CV(1)=** 0.156	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 305.301	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 5.379	**S=**	0.152	**CV(2)=** 0.028	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 5.762	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	249	5.517
9/16/2002	272	5.606
10/16/2002	255	5.541
1/13/2003	211	5.352
4/10/2003	289	5.666
7/16/2003	236	5.464
10/14/2003	224	5.412
1/13/2004	235	5.460

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	187	5.231
9/16/2002	197	5.283
10/17/2002	183	5.209
1/13/2003	182	5.204
4/8/2003	217	5.380
7/16/2003	196	5.278
10/14/2003	198	5.288
1/13/2004	177	5.176

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	240	NO	5.481	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	413	YES	6.023	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	21.4	NO	3.063	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	241	NO	5.485	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	Yes	260	NO	5.561	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	Yes	223	NO	5.407	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	Yes	187	NO	5.231	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW373

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Iron UNITS: mg/L LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 0.400	**S=** 0.514	**CV(1)=** 1.286	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 1.698	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** -2.197	**S=** 2.634	**CV(2)=** -1.199	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 4.449	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.294	-1.224
9/16/2002	0.2	-1.609
10/16/2002	0.0002	-8.517
1/13/2003	1.33	0.285
4/10/2003	1.31	0.270
7/16/2003	0.2	-1.609
10/14/2003	0.1	-2.303
1/13/2004	0.1	-2.303

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	1.58	0.457
9/16/2002	0.232	-1.461
10/17/2002	0.0002	-8.517
1/13/2003	0.453	-0.792
4/8/2003	0.2	-1.609
7/16/2003	0.2	-1.609
10/14/2003	0.1	-2.303
1/13/2004	0.1	-2.303

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	0.0548	N/A	-2.904	NO
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	0.084	N/A	-2.477	NO
MW385	Sidegradient	No	0.1	N/A	-2.303	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	0.0492	N/A	-3.012	NO
MW392	Downgradient	Yes	0.109	N/A	-2.216	NO
MW395	Upgradient	No	0.1	N/A	-2.303	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	Yes	0.0865	N/A	-2.448	NO

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Magnesium UNITS: mg/L LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 9.102	**S=** 4.685	**CV(1)=** 0.515	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 20.922	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 1.423	**S=** 2.408	**CV(2)=** 1.692	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 7.500	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	12.5	2.526
9/16/2002	13	2.565
10/16/2002	0.0127	-4.366
1/13/2003	11.2	2.416
4/10/2003	17.5	2.862
7/16/2003	12.9	2.557
10/14/2003	13.4	2.595
1/13/2004	12.4	2.518

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	7.83	2.058
9/16/2002	7.64	2.033
10/17/2002	0.00658	-5.024
1/13/2003	6.69	1.901
4/8/2003	7.28	1.985
7/16/2003	7.82	2.057
10/14/2003	7.94	2.072
1/13/2004	7.51	2.016

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	14.4	NO	2.667	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	23.9	YES	3.174	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	16.4	NO	2.797	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	11.6	NO	2.451	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	Yes	10.8	NO	2.380	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	Yes	11.4	NO	2.434	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	Yes	8.54	NO	2.145	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW373

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Manganese UNITS: mg/L LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 0.131	**S=** 0.195	**CV(1)=** 1.487	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 0.624	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** -3.104	**S=** 1.529	**CV(2)=** -0.493	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 0.755	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.361	-1.019
9/16/2002	0.028	-3.576
10/16/2002	0.026	-3.650
1/13/2003	0.0713	-2.641
4/10/2003	0.629	-0.464
7/16/2003	0.297	-1.214
10/14/2003	0.0198	-3.922
1/13/2004	0.0126	-4.374

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.466	-0.764
9/16/2002	0.077	-2.564
10/17/2002	0.028	-3.576
1/13/2003	0.0164	-4.110
4/8/2003	0.0407	-3.202
7/16/2003	0.0167	-4.092
10/14/2003	0.00555	-5.194
1/13/2004	0.005	-5.298

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	0.00862	N/A	-4.754	NO
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	0.00379	N/A	-5.575	NO
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	0.00667	N/A	-5.010	NO
MW388	Downgradient	No	0.005	N/A	-5.298	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	Yes	0.0296	N/A	-3.520	NO
MW395	Upgradient	No	0.005	N/A	-5.298	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	Yes	0.00343	N/A	-5.675	NO

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Molybdenum UNITS: mg/L LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 0.007	**S=** 0.011	**CV(1)=** 1.451	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 0.034	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** -5.990	**S=** 1.443	**CV(2)=** -0.241	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** -2.349	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.025	-3.689
9/16/2002	0.025	-3.689
10/16/2002	0.001	-6.908
1/13/2003	0.00609	-5.101
4/10/2003	0.001	-6.908
7/16/2003	0.001	-6.908
10/14/2003	0.001	-6.908
1/13/2004	0.001	-6.908

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.025	-3.689
9/16/2002	0.025	-3.689
10/17/2002	0.001	-6.908
1/13/2003	0.001	-6.908
4/8/2003	0.001	-6.908
7/16/2003	0.001	-6.908
10/14/2003	0.001	-6.908
1/13/2004	0.001	-6.908

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	No	0.0005	N/A	-7.601	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	No	0.0005	N/A	-7.601	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	0.00058	N/A	-7.452	NO
MW388	Downgradient	No	0.0005	N/A	-7.601	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	No	0.0005	N/A	-7.601	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	No	0.0005	N/A	-7.601	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	No	0.0005	N/A	-7.601	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Nickel UNITS: mg/L LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 0.018	**S=** 0.020	**CV(1)=** 1.089	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 0.068	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** -4.540	**S=** 1.020	**CV(2)=** -0.225	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** -1.965	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW395	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.05	-2.996
9/16/2002	0.05	-2.996
10/16/2002	0.00702	-4.959
1/13/2003	0.029	-3.540
4/10/2003	0.0091	-4.699
7/16/2003	0.00627	-5.072
10/14/2003	0.005	-5.298
1/13/2004	0.005	-5.298

Well Number:	MW397	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.05	-2.996
9/16/2002	0.05	-2.996
10/17/2002	0.005	-5.298
1/13/2003	0.00502	-5.294
4/8/2003	0.005	-5.298
7/16/2003	0.005	-5.298
10/14/2003	0.005	-5.298
1/13/2004	0.005	-5.298

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	0.000998	N/A	-6.910	NO
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	0.00155	N/A	-6.470	NO
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	0.00142	N/A	-6.557	NO
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	0.00173	N/A	-6.360	NO
MW392	Downgradient	Yes	0.00125	N/A	-6.685	NO
MW395	Upgradient	Yes	0.000686	N/A	-7.285	NO
MW397	Upgradient	Yes	0.00059	N/A	-7.435	NO

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Oxidation-Reduction Potential UNITS: mV LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 157.250	**S=**	52.376	**CV(1)=** 0.333	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 289.395	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 5.003	**S=**	0.348	**CV(2)=** 0.069	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 5.880	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW395	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	80	4.382
9/16/2002	145	4.977
10/16/2002	125	4.828
1/13/2003	85	4.443
4/10/2003	159	5.069
7/16/2003	98	4.585
10/14/2003	138	4.927
1/13/2004	233	5.451

Well Number:	MW397	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	115	4.745
9/30/2002	140	4.942
10/17/2002	185	5.220
1/13/2003	230	5.438
4/8/2003	155	5.043
7/16/2003	188	5.236
10/14/2003	187	5.231
1/13/2004	253	5.533

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	412	YES	6.021	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	279	NO	5.631	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	240	NO	5.481	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	327	YES	5.790	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	Yes	289	NO	5.666	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	Yes	299	YES	5.700	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	Yes	416	YES	6.031	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW370
MW388
MW395
MW397

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

pH UNITS: Std Unit LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 6.048	**S=** 0.248	**CV(1)=** 0.041	**K factor**=** 2.904	**TL(1)=** 6.767	**LL(1)=**5.3289
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 1.799	**S=** 0.042	**CV(2)=** 0.023	**K factor**=** 2.904	**TL(2)=** 1.920	**LL(2)=**1.6782

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	5.8	1.758
9/16/2002	6	1.792
10/16/2002	5.47	1.699
1/13/2003	6	1.792
4/10/2003	6.18	1.821
7/16/2003	6	1.792
10/14/2003	6.31	1.842
1/13/2004	6.24	1.831

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	5.84	1.765
9/30/2002	6	1.792
10/17/2002	5.75	1.749
1/13/2003	6	1.792
4/8/2003	6.3	1.841
7/16/2003	6.2	1.825
10/14/2003	6.36	1.850
1/13/2004	6.32	1.844

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)? Result <LL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)? LN(Result) <LL(2)?
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	6.16	NO	1.818	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	6.25	NO	1.833	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	6.6	NO	1.887	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	6.1	NO	1.808	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	Yes	6.35	NO	1.848	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	Yes	6.39	NO	1.855	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	Yes	6.08	NO	1.805	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Potassium UNITS: mg/L LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 1.590	**S=** 0.642	**CV(1)=** 0.404	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 3.208	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** -0.306	**S=** 2.457	**CV(2)=** -8.028	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 5.892	**LL(2)=**N/A

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	2	0.693
9/16/2002	2	0.693
10/16/2002	0.00129	-6.653
1/13/2003	1.51	0.412
4/10/2003	1.67	0.513
7/16/2003	1.73	0.548
10/14/2003	1.7	0.531
1/13/2004	1.58	0.457

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	2.03	0.708
9/16/2002	2	0.693
10/17/2002	0.00145	-6.536
1/13/2003	1.69	0.525
4/8/2003	1.73	0.548
7/16/2003	2	0.693
10/14/2003	1.92	0.652
1/13/2004	1.87	0.626

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	2.94	NO	1.078	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	2.57	NO	0.944	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	1.99	NO	0.688	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	2.23	NO	0.802	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	Yes	1.86	NO	0.621	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	Yes	1.56	NO	0.445	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	Yes	1.82	NO	0.599	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Radium-226 UNITS: pCi/L LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 0.039	**S=** 0.419	**CV(1)=** 10.740	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 1.096	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** -1.695	**S=** 1.043	**CV(2)=** -0.615	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** -0.414	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/16/2002	0.661	-0.414
1/13/2003	-0.839	#Func!
10/14/2003	0.0266	-3.627
1/13/2004	-0.0777	#Func!
4/12/2004	-0.115	#Func!
7/20/2004	0.105	-2.254
10/12/2004	0.408	-0.896
1/18/2005	0.0564	-2.875

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/17/2002	0.576	-0.552
1/13/2003	-0.841	#Func!
10/14/2003	-0.179	#Func!
1/13/2004	-0.0564	#Func!
4/12/2004	0.174	-1.749
7/21/2004	0.227	-1.483
10/12/2004	0.379	-0.970
1/20/2005	0.119	-2.129

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

#Because the natural log was not possbile for all background values, the TL was considered equal to the maximum background value.

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	0.72	N/A	-0.329	YES
MW373	Downgradient	No	0.332	N/A	-1.103	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	No	1.32	N/A	0.278	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	0.536	N/A	-0.624	NO
MW392	Downgradient	No	0.631	N/A	-0.460	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	No	0.347	N/A	-1.058	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	No	0.374	N/A	-0.983	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW370

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Sodium UNITS: mg/L LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 29.560	**S=** 13.894	**CV(1)=** 0.470	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 64.616	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 2.615	**S=** 2.411	**CV(2)=** 0.922	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 8.699	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	27	3.296
9/16/2002	27.2	3.303
10/16/2002	0.0253	-3.677
1/13/2003	22.6	3.118
4/10/2003	53.9	3.987
7/16/2003	30	3.401
10/14/2003	29.1	3.371
1/13/2004	26.4	3.273

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	35.2	3.561
9/16/2002	34.3	3.535
10/17/2002	0.0336	-3.393
1/13/2003	31.3	3.444
4/8/2003	46.1	3.831
7/16/2003	38.4	3.648
10/14/2003	37.1	3.614
1/13/2004	34.3	3.535

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	79.9	YES	4.381	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	58.3	NO	4.066	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	34.3	NO	3.535	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	57.1	NO	4.045	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	Yes	37.8	NO	3.632	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	Yes	29.1	NO	3.371	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	Yes	33.4	NO	3.509	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW370

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Sulfate UNITS: mg/L LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 10.756	**S=** 2.147	**CV(1)=** 0.200	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 16.173	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 2.356	**S=** 0.203	**CV(2)=** 0.086	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 2.869	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	10.3	2.332
9/16/2002	9.1	2.208
10/16/2002	8.8	2.175
1/13/2003	9	2.197
4/10/2003	8.3	2.116
7/16/2003	8.2	2.104
10/14/2003	8.3	2.116
1/13/2004	8.2	2.104

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	14	2.639
9/16/2002	12.8	2.549
10/17/2002	12.3	2.510
1/13/2003	12.7	2.542
4/8/2003	12.8	2.549
7/16/2003	13.1	2.573
10/14/2003	12.1	2.493
1/13/2004	12.1	2.493

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	19.6	YES	2.976	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	110	YES	4.700	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	19.9	YES	2.991	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	24.9	YES	3.215	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	Yes	7.21	NO	1.975	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	Yes	10.1	NO	2.313	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	Yes	11.6	NO	2.451	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW370
MW373
MW385
MW388

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Technetium-99 UNITS: pCi/L LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 11.359	**S=** 9.138	**CV(1)=** 0.805	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 34.414	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 2.398	**S=** 0.859	**CV(2)=** 0.358	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 3.246	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	20.8	3.035
9/16/2002	16.2	2.785
10/16/2002	8.28	2.114
1/13/2003	13	2.565
4/10/2003	-9.37	#Func!
7/16/2003	0.826	-0.191
10/14/2003	14.1	2.646
1/13/2004	0	#Func!

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	6.06	1.802
9/16/2002	17.3	2.851
10/17/2002	25.7	3.246
1/13/2003	20.9	3.040
4/8/2003	20.1	3.001
7/16/2003	9.2	2.219
10/14/2003	10.1	2.313
1/13/2004	8.54	2.145

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

#Because the natural log was not possbile for all background values, the TL was considered equal to the maximum background value.

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	82.8	YES	4.416	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	33.1	NO	3.500	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	92.5	YES	4.527	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	142	YES	4.956	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	No	7.69	N/A	2.040	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	No	11.4	N/A	2.434	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	No	8.85	N/A	2.180	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW370
MW385
MW388

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Thorium-230 UNITS: pCi/L LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 0.139	**S=** 0.188	**CV(1)=** 1.349	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 0.613	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** -2.481	**S=** 1.249	**CV(2)=** -0.504	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** -0.494	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/12/2004	0.61	-0.494
1/18/2005	-0.0305	#Func!
4/19/2005	0.347	-1.058
7/11/2005	0.276	-1.287
10/17/2005	0.0146	-4.227
1/19/2006	0.0389	-3.247
4/11/2006	0.0401	-3.216
7/17/2006	0.0124	-4.390

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
10/12/2004	0.459	-0.779
1/20/2005	0.171	-1.766
4/21/2005	0.109	-2.216
7/11/2005	0.081	-2.513
10/19/2005	0.0319	-3.445
1/18/2006	0.0423	-3.163
4/10/2006	-0.0295	#Func!
7/18/2006	0.0531	-2.936

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

#Because the natural log was not possbile for all background values, the TL was considered equal to the maximum background value.

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	No	0.216	N/A	-1.532	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	No	0.342	N/A	-1.073	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	1.4	N/A	0.336	YES
MW388	Downgradient	No	0.111	N/A	-2.198	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	No	0.381	N/A	-0.965	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	No	0.526	N/A	-0.642	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	No	-0.0832	N/A	#Error	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW385

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Total Organic Carbon (TOC) UNITS: mg/L LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 1.544	**S=** 0.856	**CV(1)=** 0.554	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 3.702	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 0.325	**S=** 0.452	**CV(2)=** 1.393	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 1.465	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW395	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	1.6	0.470
9/16/2002	1.1	0.095
10/16/2002	1	0.000
1/13/2003	2	0.693
4/10/2003	3.4	1.224
7/16/2003	2	0.693
10/14/2003	1	0.000
1/13/2004	1	0.000

Well Number:	MW397	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	1	0.000
9/16/2002	1	0.000
10/17/2002	1	0.000
1/13/2003	3.6	1.281
4/8/2003	1.9	0.642
7/16/2003	1.1	0.095
10/14/2003	1	0.000
1/13/2004	1	0.000

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	1.26	NO	0.231	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	1.5	NO	0.405	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	0.918	NO	-0.086	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	0.933	NO	-0.069	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	Yes	1.1	NO	0.095	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	Yes	0.879	NO	-0.129	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	Yes	0.792	NO	-0.233	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Total Organic Halides (TOX) UNITS: ug/L LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 31.513	**S=** 18.609	**CV(1)=** 0.591	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 78.462	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 3.240	**S=** 0.707	**CV(2)=** 0.218	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 5.024	**LL(2)=**N/A

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	50	3.912
9/16/2002	50	3.912
10/16/2002	50	3.912
1/13/2003	18.3	2.907
4/10/2003	51.2	3.936
7/16/2003	42.6	3.752
10/14/2003	12.3	2.510
1/13/2004	10	2.303

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	50	3.912
9/16/2002	50	3.912
10/17/2002	50	3.912
1/13/2003	12	2.485
4/8/2003	19.9	2.991
7/16/2003	17.9	2.885
10/14/2003	10	2.303
1/13/2004	10	2.303

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	9.54	NO	2.255	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	15.5	NO	2.741	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	10.1	NO	2.313	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	16.4	NO	2.797	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	Yes	23.9	NO	3.174	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	No	10	N/A	2.303	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	No	10	N/A	2.303	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Trichloroethene UNITS: ug/L LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 7.313	**S=** 5.701	**CV(1)=** 0.780	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 21.695	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 1.467	**S=** 1.213	**CV(2)=** 0.827	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 4.528	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	11	2.398
9/30/2002	14	2.639
10/16/2002	12	2.485
1/13/2003	14	2.639
4/10/2003	14	2.639
7/16/2003	13	2.565
10/14/2003	12	2.485
1/13/2004	11	2.398

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	5	1.609
9/30/2002	5	1.609
10/17/2002	1	0.000
1/13/2003	1	0.000
4/8/2003	1	0.000
7/16/2003	1	0.000
10/14/2003	1	0.000
1/13/2004	1	0.000

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	1.78	N/A	0.577	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	8.74	NO	2.168	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	No	1	N/A	0.000	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	0.67	N/A	-0.400	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	Yes	22	YES	3.091	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	Yes	3.65	N/A	1.295	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	No	1	N/A	0.000	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to historical background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW392

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Uranium UNITS: mg/L LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 0.001	**S=** 0.000	**CV(1)=** 0.000	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 0.001	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** -6.908	**S=** 0.000	**CV(2)=** 0.000	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** -6.908	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW395	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.001	-6.908
9/16/2002	0.001	-6.908
10/16/2002	0.001	-6.908
1/13/2003	0.001	-6.908
4/10/2003	0.001	-6.908
7/16/2003	0.001	-6.908
10/14/2003	0.001	-6.908
1/13/2004	0.001	-6.908

Well Number:	MW397	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.001	-6.908
9/16/2002	0.001	-6.908
10/17/2002	0.001	-6.908
1/13/2003	0.001	-6.908
4/8/2003	0.001	-6.908
7/16/2003	0.001	-6.908
10/14/2003	0.001	-6.908
1/13/2004	0.001	-6.908

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	No	0.0002	N/A	-8.517	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	No	0.0002	N/A	-8.517	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	0.000246	NO	-8.310	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	No	0.0002	N/A	-8.517	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	No	0.0002	N/A	-8.517	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	No	0.0002	N/A	-8.517	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	No	0.0002	N/A	-8.517	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Vanadium UNITS: mg/L LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 0.021	**S=** 0.002	**CV(1)=** 0.105	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 0.027	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** -3.856	**S=** 0.100	**CV(2)=** -0.026	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** -3.604	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.025	-3.689
9/16/2002	0.025	-3.689
10/16/2002	0.02	-3.912
1/13/2003	0.02	-3.912
7/16/2003	0.02	-3.912
10/14/2003	0.02	-3.912
1/13/2004	0.02	-3.912
4/12/2004	0.02	-3.912

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.025	-3.689
9/16/2002	0.025	-3.689
10/17/2002	0.02	-3.912
1/13/2003	0.02	-3.912
4/8/2003	0.02	-3.912
7/16/2003	0.02	-3.912
10/14/2003	0.02	-3.912
1/13/2004	0.02	-3.912

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	No	0.01	N/A	-4.605	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	No	0.01	N/A	-4.605	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	No	0.01	N/A	-4.605	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	No	0.01	N/A	-4.605	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	No	0.01	N/A	-4.605	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	No	0.01	N/A	-4.605	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	Yes	0.00778	NO	-4.856	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Historical Background Comparison

Zinc UNITS: mg/L LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is evidence of an exceedance of the statistically-derived historical background concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 0.044	**S=** 0.034	**CV(1)=** 0.760	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 0.129	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** -3.342	**S=** 0.659	**CV(2)=** -0.197	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** -1.679	**LL(2)=**N/A

Historical Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.1	-2.303
9/16/2002	0.1	-2.303
10/16/2002	0.025	-3.689
1/13/2003	0.035	-3.352
4/10/2003	0.035	-3.352
7/16/2003	0.02	-3.912
10/14/2003	0.02	-3.912
1/13/2004	0.02	-3.912

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
8/13/2002	0.1	-2.303
9/16/2002	0.1	-2.303
10/17/2002	0.025	-3.689
1/13/2003	0.035	-3.352
4/8/2003	0.035	-3.352
7/16/2003	0.02	-3.912
10/14/2003	0.02	-3.912
1/13/2004	0.02	-3.912

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	No	0.01	N/A	-4.605	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	No	0.01	N/A	-4.605	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	No	0.01	N/A	-4.605	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	No	0.01	N/A	-4.605	N/A
MW392	Downgradient	No	0.01	N/A	-4.605	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	No	0.01	N/A	-4.605	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	Yes	0.00357	NO	-5.635	N/A

N/A - Results identified as Non-Detects during laboratory analysis or data validation and were not included in the statistical evaluation. Additionally for parameters that have MCLs, where the result for a well did not exceed the MCL value, that well was not included in the statistical evaluation.

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Historical Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from historical background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

ATTACHMENT D2

COMPARISON OF CURRENT DATA TO ONE-SIDED UPPER TOLERANCE INTERVAL TEST CALCULATED USING CURRENT BACKGROUND DATA

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis — Current Background Comparison

Oxidation-Reduction Potential — UNITS: mV — UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data **X=** 289.750 **S=** 107.370 **CV(1)=** 0.371 **K factor**=** 3.188 **TL(1)=** 632.044 **LL(1)=**N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data **X=** 5.608 **S=** 0.376 **CV(2)=** 0.067 **K factor**=** 3.188 **TL(2)=** 6.807 **LL(2)=**N/A

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW396	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/8/2015	193	5.263
4/22/2015	469	6.151
7/16/2015	330	5.799
10/22/2015	159	5.069
1/5/2016	223	5.407
4/18/2016	384	5.951
7/19/2016	339	5.826
10/12/2016	221	5.398

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW386	Sidegradient	Yes	171	NO	5.142	N/A
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	380	NO	5.940	N/A
MW393	Downgradient	Yes	247	NO	5.509	N/A
MW396	Upgradient	Yes	209	NO	5.342	N/A

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Current Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from current background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Current Background Comparison

Radium-226 UNITS: pCi/L UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 0.658	**S=** 0.258	**CV(1)=** 0.392	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(1)=** 1.482	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** -0.506	**S=** 0.483	**CV(2)=** -0.954	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(2)=** 1.033	**LL(2)=**N/A

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW396	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/8/2015	0.585	-0.536
4/22/2015	0.786	-0.241
7/16/2015	0.785	-0.242
10/22/2015	0.988	-0.012
1/5/2016	0.907	-0.098
4/18/2016	0.239	-1.431
7/19/2016	0.376	-0.978
10/12/2016	0.601	-0.509

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	1.19	NO	0.174	N/A

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Current Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from current background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Current Background Comparison

Technetium-99 UNITS: pCi/L UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** -0.170	**S=** 7.707	**CV(1)=** -45.366	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(1)=** 24.398	**LL(1)=** N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 0.977	**S=** 1.618	**CV(2)=** 1.657	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(2)=** 2.416	**LL(2)=** N/A

Current Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW396	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/8/2015	11.2	2.416
4/22/2015	2.84	1.044
7/16/2015	0.171	-1.766
10/22/2015	-7.28	#Func!
1/5/2016	6.24	1.831
4/18/2016	-7.52	#Func!
7/19/2016	3.89	1.358
10/12/2016	-10.9	#Func!

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

#Because the natural log was not possbile for all background values, the TL was considered equal to the maximum background value.

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	55.3	YES	4.013	N/A

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Current Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW390

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Current Background Comparison

Thorium-230 UNITS: pCi/L UCRS

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 0.452	**S=** 0.677	**CV(1)=** 1.500	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(1)=** 2.612	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** -1.310	**S=** 1.533	**CV(2)=** -1.170	**K factor**=** 3.188	**TL(2)=** 0.708	**LL(2)=**N/A

Current Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW396	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/8/2015	2.03	0.708
4/22/2015	0.468	-0.759
7/16/2015	0.415	-0.879
10/22/2015	0.0132	-4.328
1/5/2016	0.188	-1.671
4/18/2016	0.233	-1.457
7/19/2016	0.456	-0.785
10/12/2016	-0.189	#Func!

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

#Because the natural log was not possbile for all background values, the TL was considered equal to the maximum background value.

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW390	Downgradient	Yes	0.661	N/A	-0.414	NO

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Current Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from current background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Current Background Comparison

Aluminum UNITS: mg/L URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 0.060	**S=** 0.053	**CV(1)=** 0.880	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 0.193	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** -3.110	**S=** 0.778	**CV(2)=** -0.250	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** -1.146	**LL(2)=**N/A

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW220	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/5/2015	0.207	-1.575
4/14/2015	0.0162	-4.123
7/15/2015	0.05	-2.996
10/15/2015	0.0151	-4.193
1/5/2016	0.05	-2.996
4/12/2016	0.05	-2.996
7/19/2016	0.05	-2.996
10/10/2016	0.036	-3.324

Well Number:	MW394	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/8/2015	0.133	-2.017
4/22/2015	0.132	-2.025
7/17/2015	0.05	-2.996
10/22/2015	0.0162	-4.123
1/5/2016	0.0363	-3.316
4/18/2016	0.0168	-4.086
7/19/2016	0.05	-2.996
10/12/2016	0.05	-2.996

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW222	Sidegradient	Yes	0.418	YES	-0.872	N/A

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Current Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW222

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Current Background Comparison

Beta activity UNITS: pCi/L URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 10.757	**S=** 6.163	**CV(1)=** 0.573	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 26.305	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 2.207	**S=** 0.623	**CV(2)=** 0.282	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 3.779	**LL(2)=**N/A

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/5/2015	21.8	3.082
4/14/2015	11.4	2.434
7/15/2015	9.31	2.231
10/15/2015	17	2.833
1/5/2016	18.1	2.896
4/12/2016	14.2	2.653
7/19/2016	6.61	1.889
10/10/2016	21.7	3.077

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/8/2015	5.07	1.623
4/22/2015	9.13	2.212
7/17/2015	5.97	1.787
10/22/2015	11.6	2.451
1/5/2016	6.13	1.813
4/18/2016	7.54	2.020
7/19/2016	4.04	1.396
10/12/2016	2.51	0.920

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	103	YES	4.635	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	154	YES	5.037	N/A

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Current Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW384
MW387

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Current Background Comparison

Calcium UNITS: mg/L URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 24.394	**S=** 3.898	**CV(1)=** 0.160	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 34.230	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 3.182	**S=** 0.165	**CV(2)=** 0.052	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 3.599	**LL(2)=**N/A

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW220	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/5/2015	20	2.996
4/14/2015	23	3.135
7/15/2015	21.8	3.082
10/15/2015	18.5	2.918
1/5/2016	19.3	2.960
4/12/2016	25.7	3.246
7/19/2016	19.5	2.970
10/10/2016	20.5	3.020

Well Number:	MW394	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/8/2015	27.2	3.303
4/22/2015	26.5	3.277
7/17/2015	26.8	3.288
10/22/2015	26.9	3.292
1/5/2016	27.7	3.321
4/18/2016	29.5	3.384
7/19/2016	28.8	3.360
10/12/2016	28.6	3.353

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	47.5	YES	3.861	N/A

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Current Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW372

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis — Current Background Comparison

Dissolved Solids — UNITS: mg/L — URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 206.188	**S=** 30.621	**CV(1)=** 0.149	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 283.444	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 5.318	**S=** 0.154	**CV(2)=** 0.029	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 5.707	**LL(2)=**N/A

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/5/2015	140	4.942
4/14/2015	197	5.283
7/15/2015	224	5.412
10/15/2015	236	5.464
1/5/2016	209	5.342
4/12/2016	273	5.609
7/19/2016	200	5.298
10/10/2016	187	5.231

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/8/2015	166	5.112
4/22/2015	181	5.198
7/17/2015	201	5.303
10/22/2015	210	5.347
1/5/2016	226	5.421
4/18/2016	199	5.293
7/19/2016	231	5.442
10/12/2016	219	5.389

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	326	YES	5.787	N/A

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Current Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW372

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Current Background Comparison

Magnesium UNITS: mg/L URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 10.306	**S=** 1.663	**CV(1)=** 0.161	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 14.502	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 2.320	**S=** 0.168	**CV(2)=** 0.072	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 2.744	**LL(2)=**N/A

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW220	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/5/2015	8.05	2.086
4/14/2015	10.2	2.322
7/15/2015	9.16	2.215
10/15/2015	7.86	2.062
1/5/2016	8.44	2.133
4/12/2016	10.5	2.351
7/19/2016	7.99	2.078
10/10/2016	8.7	2.163

Well Number:	MW394	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/8/2015	11.3	2.425
4/22/2015	11.1	2.407
7/17/2015	11.9	2.477
10/22/2015	12.1	2.493
1/5/2016	11.9	2.477
4/18/2016	11.9	2.477
7/19/2016	11.7	2.460
10/12/2016	12.1	2.493

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	18.6	YES	2.923	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	Yes	16.2	YES	2.785	N/A

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Current Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW372
MW391

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Current Background Comparison

Oxidation-Reduction Potential UNITS: mV URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data **X=** 489.500 **S=** 126.423 **CV(1)=** 0.258 **K factor**=** 2.523 **TL(1)=** 808.464 **LL(1)=**N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data **X=** 6.165 **S=** 0.241 **CV(2)=** 0.039 **K factor**=** 2.523 **TL(2)=** 6.773 **LL(2)=**N/A

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW220	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/5/2015	733	6.597
4/14/2015	488	6.190
9/3/2015	672	6.510
10/15/2015	728	6.590
1/5/2016	449	6.107
4/12/2016	438	6.082
7/19/2016	425	6.052
10/10/2016	414	6.026

Well Number:	MW394	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/8/2015	453	6.116
4/22/2015	461	6.133
7/17/2015	608	6.410
10/22/2015	411	6.019
1/5/2016	351	5.861
4/18/2016	484	6.182
7/19/2016	348	5.852
10/12/2016	369	5.911

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	417	NO	6.033	N/A
MW223	Sidegradient	Yes	423	NO	6.047	N/A
MW224	Sidegradient	Yes	442	NO	6.091	N/A

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Current Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from current background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Current Background Comparison

Radium-226 UNITS: pCi/L URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data **X=** 0.636 **S=** 0.267 **CV(1)=** 0.420 **K factor**=** 2.523 **TL(1)=** 1.311 **LL(1)=**N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data **X=** -0.529 **S=** 0.398 **CV(2)=** -0.754 **K factor**=** 2.523 **TL(2)=** 0.477 **LL(2)=**N/A

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW220	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/5/2015	0.484	-0.726
4/14/2015	0.409	-0.894
7/15/2015	0.709	-0.344
10/15/2015	0.636	-0.453
1/5/2016	0.745	-0.294
4/12/2016	0.657	-0.420
7/19/2016	0.375	-0.981
10/10/2016	1.15	0.140

Well Number:	MW394	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/8/2015	0.332	-1.103
4/22/2015	0.557	-0.585
7/17/2015	0.928	-0.075
10/22/2015	0.43	-0.844
1/5/2016	1.19	0.174
4/18/2016	0.757	-0.278
7/19/2016	0.405	-0.904
10/12/2016	0.419	-0.870

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	0.868	NO	-0.142	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	0.678	NO	-0.389	N/A

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Current Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from current background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Current Background Comparison

Sodium UNITS: mg/L URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 36.394	**S=** 5.377	**CV(1)=** 0.148	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 49.959	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 3.585	**S=** 0.141	**CV(2)=** 0.039	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 3.940	**LL(2)=**N/A

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW220	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/5/2015	38.1	3.640
4/14/2015	44.9	3.804
7/15/2015	38.3	3.645
10/15/2015	33.5	3.512
1/5/2016	40.1	3.691
4/12/2016	49.2	3.896
7/19/2016	39.8	3.684
10/10/2016	39.6	3.679

Well Number:	MW394	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/8/2015	33.8	3.520
4/22/2015	30.3	3.411
7/17/2015	31.2	3.440
10/22/2015	33.1	3.500
1/5/2016	32.3	3.475
4/18/2016	31.8	3.459
7/19/2016	31.4	3.447
10/12/2016	34.9	3.552

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW369	Downgradient	Yes	72	YES	4.277	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	83.2	YES	4.421	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	65.4	YES	4.181	N/A

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Current Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW369
MW384
MW387

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Current Background Comparison

Sulfate UNITS: mg/L URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 13.928	**S=** 4.072	**CV(1)=** 0.292	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 24.200	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 2.595	**S=** 0.285	**CV(2)=** 0.110	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 3.314	**LL(2)=**N/A

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/5/2015	14	2.639
4/14/2015	17.9	2.885
7/15/2015	18.6	2.923
10/15/2015	14.7	2.688
1/5/2016	16.5	2.803
4/12/2016	21.8	3.082
7/19/2016	17.9	2.885
10/10/2016	18.7	2.929

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/8/2015	10.5	2.351
4/22/2015	10.3	2.332
7/17/2015	10.4	2.342
10/22/2015	10.7	2.370
1/5/2016	10.1	2.313
4/18/2016	9.84	2.286
7/19/2016	10.5	2.351
10/12/2016	10.4	2.342

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW220	Upgradient	Yes	18.4	NO	2.912	N/A
MW372	Downgradient	Yes	66.2	YES	4.193	N/A
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	20.3	NO	3.011	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	30.1	YES	3.405	N/A
MW391	Downgradient	Yes	50.2	YES	3.916	N/A

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Current Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW372
MW387
MW391

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Current Background Comparison

Technetium-99 UNITS: pCi/L URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 12.849	**S=** 8.736	**CV(1)=** 0.680	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 34.891	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 2.248	**S=** 0.950	**CV(2)=** 0.423	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 4.645	**LL(2)=**N/A

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW220

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/5/2015	32.5	3.481
4/14/2015	12.2	2.501
7/15/2015	14.8	2.695
10/15/2015	11.6	2.451
1/5/2016	18.4	2.912
4/12/2016	13	2.565
7/19/2016	28.9	3.364
10/10/2016	12.3	2.510

Well Number: MW394

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/8/2015	17.2	2.845
4/22/2015	11.5	2.442
7/17/2015	3.11	1.135
10/22/2015	0.742	-0.298
1/5/2016	4.07	1.404
4/18/2016	15	2.708
7/19/2016	5.87	1.770
10/12/2016	4.39	1.479

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	149	YES	5.004	N/A
MW387	Downgradient	Yes	249	YES	5.517	N/A

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Current Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW384
MW387

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Current Background Comparison

Thorium-230 UNITS: pCi/L URGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 0.418	**S=** 1.560	**CV(1)=** 3.727	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 4.354	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** -1.190	**S=** 1.175	**CV(2)=** -0.988	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 1.782	**LL(2)=**N/A

Current Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW220	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/5/2015	5.94	1.782
4/14/2015	0.145	-1.931
7/15/2015	0.729	-0.316
10/15/2015	-0.159	#Func!
1/5/2016	-0.00535	#Func!
4/12/2016	0.0887	-2.422
7/19/2016	0.174	-1.749
10/10/2016	-0.173	#Func!

Well Number:	MW394	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/8/2015	-1.65	#Func!
4/22/2015	0.586	-0.534
7/17/2015	0.407	-0.899
10/22/2015	-0.0832	#Func!
1/5/2016	0.182	-1.704
4/18/2016	0.147	-1.917
7/19/2016	0.172	-1.760
10/12/2016	0.195	-1.635

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

#Because the natural log was not possbile for all background values, the TL was considered equal to the maximum background value.

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW384	Sidegradient	Yes	0.985	N/A	-0.015	NO

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Current Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from current background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Current Background Comparison

Beta activity UNITS: pCi/L LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 7.343	**S=** 5.916	**CV(1)=** 0.806	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 22.270	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 1.940	**S=** 0.752	**CV(2)=** 0.388	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 2.845	**LL(2)=**N/A

Current Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW395	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/6/2015	5.98	1.788
4/22/2015	1.03	0.030
7/17/2015	3.79	1.332
10/22/2015	10.7	2.370
1/5/2016	17.2	2.845
4/18/2016	6.43	1.861
7/19/2016	-1.87	#Func!
10/12/2016	3.62	1.286

Well Number:	MW397	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/7/2015	16.3	2.791
4/22/2015	5.37	1.681
7/15/2015	17	2.833
10/22/2015	-1.02	#Func!
1/5/2016	9.49	2.250
4/14/2016	10.2	2.322
7/19/2016	7.53	2.019
10/11/2016	5.73	1.746

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

#Because the natural log was not possbile for all background values, the TL was considered equal to the maximum background value.

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	54	YES	3.989	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	86.4	YES	4.459	N/A

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Current Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW385
MW388

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Current Background Comparison

Calcium UNITS: mg/L LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 23.756	**S=** 5.076	**CV(1)=** 0.214	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 36.563	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 3.147	**S=** 0.212	**CV(2)=** 0.067	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 3.682	**LL(2)=**N/A

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW395	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/6/2015	25.8	3.250
4/22/2015	26.4	3.273
7/17/2015	26.5	3.277
10/22/2015	27	3.296
1/5/2016	27.4	3.311
4/18/2016	27.6	3.318
7/19/2016	26.3	3.270
10/12/2016	27.2	3.303

Well Number:	MW397	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/7/2015	18.6	2.923
4/22/2015	18.7	2.929
7/15/2015	17.7	2.874
10/22/2015	19.2	2.955
1/5/2016	19.2	2.955
4/14/2016	18.1	2.896
7/19/2016	35.1	3.558
10/11/2016	19.3	2.960

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	69.6	YES	4.243	N/A

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Current Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW373

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Current Background Comparison

Conductivity UNITS: umho/cm LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data **X=** 358.313 **S=** 29.594 **CV(1)=** 0.083 **K factor**=** 2.523 **TL(1)=** 432.979 **LL(1)=**N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data **X=** 5.878 **S=** 0.082 **CV(2)=** 0.014 **K factor**=** 2.523 **TL(2)=** 6.085 **LL(2)=**N/A

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/6/2015	376	5.930
4/22/2015	338	5.823
7/17/2015	390	5.966
10/22/2015	372	5.919
1/5/2016	408	6.011
4/18/2016	399	5.989
7/19/2016	394	5.976
10/12/2016	377	5.932

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/7/2015	354	5.869
4/22/2015	325	5.784
7/15/2015	334	5.811
10/22/2015	323	5.778
1/5/2016	353	5.866
4/14/2016	323	5.778
7/19/2016	333	5.808
10/11/2016	334	5.811

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	781	YES	6.661	N/A

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Current Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW373

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis — Current Background Comparison

Dissolved Solids — UNITS: mg/L — LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data **X=** 185.500 **S=** 27.862 **CV(1)=** 0.150 **K factor**=** 2.523 **TL(1)=** 255.795 **LL(1)=**N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data **X=** 5.212 **S=** 0.151 **CV(2)=** 0.029 **K factor**=** 2.523 **TL(2)=** 5.594 **LL(2)=**N/A

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/6/2015	147	4.990
4/22/2015	179	5.187
7/17/2015	203	5.313
10/22/2015	194	5.268
1/5/2016	229	5.434
4/18/2016	224	5.412
7/19/2016	219	5.389
10/12/2016	214	5.366

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/7/2015	159	5.069
4/22/2015	144	4.970
7/15/2015	190	5.247
10/22/2015	160	5.075
1/5/2016	204	5.318
4/14/2016	167	5.118
7/19/2016	169	5.130
10/11/2016	166	5.112

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	413	YES	6.023	N/A

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Current Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW373

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Current Background Comparison

Magnesium UNITS: mg/L LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 10.190	**S=** 2.251	**CV(1)=** 0.221	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 15.869	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 2.299	**S=** 0.218	**CV(2)=** 0.095	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 2.849	**LL(2)=**N/A

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/6/2015	9.96	2.299
4/22/2015	11.3	2.425
7/17/2015	11.8	2.468
10/22/2015	12.3	2.510
1/5/2016	11.8	2.468
4/18/2016	11.5	2.442
7/19/2016	11.1	2.407
10/12/2016	12	2.485

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/7/2015	7.64	2.033
4/22/2015	8.09	2.091
7/15/2015	7.55	2.022
10/22/2015	8.64	2.156
1/5/2016	8.18	2.102
4/14/2016	7.72	2.044
7/19/2016	15.2	2.721
10/11/2016	8.26	2.111

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	23.9	YES	3.174	N/A

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Current Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW373

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Current Background Comparison

Oxidation-Reduction Potential UNITS: mV LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data **X=** 465.375 **S=** 99.611 **CV(1)=** 0.214 **K factor**=** 2.523 **TL(1)=** 716.694 **LL(1)=**N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data **X=** 6.122 **S=** 0.208 **CV(2)=** 0.034 **K factor**=** 2.523 **TL(2)=** 6.647 **LL(2)=**N/A

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW395	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/6/2015	586	6.373
4/22/2015	474	6.161
7/17/2015	468	6.148
10/22/2015	378	5.935
1/5/2016	380	5.940
4/18/2016	325	5.784
7/19/2016	428	6.059
10/12/2016	357	5.878

Well Number:	MW397	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/7/2015	675	6.515
4/22/2015	471	6.155
7/15/2015	599	6.395
10/22/2015	448	6.105
1/5/2016	473	6.159
4/14/2016	586	6.373
7/19/2016	420	6.040
10/11/2016	378	5.935

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	412	NO	6.021	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	327	NO	5.790	N/A
MW395	Upgradient	Yes	299	NO	5.700	N/A
MW397	Upgradient	Yes	416	NO	6.031	N/A

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Current Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from current background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Current Background Comparison

Radium-226 UNITS: pCi/L LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 0.599	**S=** 0.306	**CV(1)=** 0.511	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 1.372	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** -0.545	**S=** 0.521	**CV(2)=** -0.955	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 0.182	**LL(2)=**N/A

Current Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW395	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/6/2015	0.566	-0.569
4/22/2015	0.892	-0.114
7/17/2015	1.2	0.182
10/22/2015	1.01	0.010
1/5/2016	0.707	-0.347
4/18/2016	0.13	-2.040
7/19/2016	0.654	-0.425
10/12/2016	0.669	-0.402

Well Number:	MW397	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/7/2015	0.45	-0.799
4/22/2015	0.69	-0.371
7/15/2015	0.516	-0.662
10/22/2015	0.356	-1.033
1/5/2016	0.748	-0.290
4/14/2016	-0.0439	#Func!
7/19/2016	0.464	-0.768
10/11/2016	0.575	-0.553

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

#Because the natural log was not possbile for all background values, the TL was considered equal to the maximum background value.

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	0.72	NO	-0.329	N/A

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Current Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from current background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Current Background Comparison

Sodium UNITS: mg/L LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data **X=** 36.450 **S=** 18.510 **CV(1)=** 0.508 **K factor**=** 2.523 **TL(1)=** 83.150 **LL(1)=**N/A

Statistics-Transformed Background Data **X=** 3.533 **S=** 0.313 **CV(2)=** 0.089 **K factor**=** 2.523 **TL(2)=** 4.321 **LL(2)=**N/A

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/6/2015	25.8	3.250
4/22/2015	29.9	3.398
7/17/2015	31.7	3.456
10/22/2015	32.5	3.481
1/5/2016	31.2	3.440
4/18/2016	30.7	3.424
7/19/2016	29.3	3.378
10/12/2016	30.5	3.418

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/7/2015	30.3	3.411
4/22/2015	35.4	3.567
7/15/2015	30.3	3.411
10/22/2015	36	3.584
1/5/2016	33.5	3.512
4/14/2016	37.9	3.635
7/19/2016	105	4.654
10/11/2016	33.2	3.503

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	79.9	NO	4.381	N/A

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Current Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from current background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Current Background Comparison

Sulfate UNITS: mg/L LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 10.528	**S=** 0.741	**CV(1)=** 0.070	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 12.397	**LL(1)=** N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 2.352	**S=** 0.070	**CV(2)=** 0.030	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 2.528	**LL(2)=** N/A

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/6/2015	10.1	2.313
4/22/2015	10.1	2.313
7/17/2015	10.2	2.322
10/22/2015	10	2.303
1/5/2016	9.84	2.286
4/18/2016	9.73	2.275
7/19/2016	9.9	2.293
10/12/2016	9.86	2.288

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/7/2015	11.7	2.460
4/22/2015	10.9	2.389
7/15/2015	11.4	2.434
10/22/2015	11.6	2.451
1/5/2016	11.2	2.416
4/14/2016	9.61	2.263
7/19/2016	11	2.398
10/11/2016	11.3	2.425

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	19.6	YES	2.976	N/A
MW373	Downgradient	Yes	110	YES	4.700	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	19.9	YES	2.991	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	24.9	YES	3.215	N/A

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Current Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW370
MW373
MW385
MW388

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Current Background Comparison

Technetium-99 UNITS: pCi/L LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 10.219	**S=** 4.454	**CV(1)=** 0.436	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 21.458	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 2.209	**S=** 0.544	**CV(2)=** 0.246	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 3.582	**LL(2)=**N/A

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/6/2015	17	2.833
4/22/2015	7.25	1.981
7/17/2015	14.7	2.688
10/22/2015	9.39	2.240
1/5/2016	5.69	1.739
4/18/2016	8.36	2.123
7/19/2016	13.2	2.580
10/12/2016	2.15	0.765

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/7/2015	4.58	1.522
4/22/2015	9.32	2.232
7/15/2015	13.2	2.580
10/22/2015	9.83	2.285
1/5/2016	17.4	2.856
4/14/2016	7.44	2.007
7/19/2016	14.9	2.701
10/11/2016	9.1	2.208

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW370	Downgradient	Yes	82.8	YES	4.416	N/A
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	92.5	YES	4.527	N/A
MW388	Downgradient	Yes	142	YES	4.956	N/A

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Current Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW370
MW385
MW388

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis Current Background Comparison

Thorium-230 UNITS: pCi/L LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 0.576	**S=** 1.244	**CV(1)=** 2.161	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 3.714	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** -1.129	**S=** 1.585	**CV(2)=** -1.404	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 1.324	**LL(2)=**N/A

Current Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number:	MW395	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/6/2015	3.76	1.324
4/22/2015	-0.305	#Func!
7/17/2015	0.645	-0.439
10/22/2015	0.169	-1.778
1/5/2016	0.0208	-3.873
4/18/2016	-0.0374	#Func!
7/19/2016	0.0725	-2.624
10/12/2016	-0.154	#Func!

Well Number:	MW397	
Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/7/2015	3.59	1.278
4/22/2015	-0.154	#Func!
7/15/2015	0.504	-0.685
10/22/2015	-0.179	#Func!
1/5/2016	0.109	-2.216
4/14/2016	0.676	-0.392
7/19/2016	0.354	-1.038
10/11/2016	0.139	-1.973

Because CV(1) is greater than 1, the natural logarithm of background and test well results were calculated utilizing TL(2) for comparison.

#Because the natural log was not possbile for all background values, the TL was considered equal to the maximum background value.

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW385	Sidegradient	Yes	1.4	N/A	0.336	NO

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Current Data

None of the test wells exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence that concentrations in these wells are not different from current background concentrations to a statistically-significant level.

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

C-746-S/T First Quarter 2017 Statistical Analysis — Current Background Comparison

Trichloroethene — UNITS: ug/L — LRGA

The CV is calculated to determine if background data are normally distributed. If so, the current test well results are compared to the TL. If not, a transformation is performed on the background and test well results, then each transformed test well result is compared to the transformed TL. If the test well result exceeds the TL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated concentration in that well. For pH only, the current test well results are compared to the TL and LL. If the test well result for pH exceeds the TL or is less than the LL, that is statistically significant evidence of elevated or lowered concentration in that well.

Statistics-Background Data	**X=** 2.441	**S=** 1.692	**CV(1)=** 0.693	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(1)=** 6.710	**LL(1)=**N/A
Statistics-Transformed Background Data	**X=** 0.558	**S=** 0.936	**CV(2)=** 1.675	**K factor**=** 2.523	**TL(2)=** 2.919	**LL(2)=**N/A

Because CV(1) is less than or equal to 1, assume normal distribution and continue with statistical analysis utilizing TL(1).

Current Background Data from Upgradient Wells with Transformed Result

Well Number: MW395

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/6/2015	3.99	1.384
4/22/2015	3.46	1.241
7/17/2015	3.8	1.335
10/22/2015	3.92	1.366
1/5/2016	4.61	1.528
4/18/2016	4.23	1.442
7/19/2016	4.39	1.479
10/12/2016	3.99	1.384

Well Number: MW397

Date Collected	Result	LN(Result)
1/7/2015	1	0.000
4/22/2015	0.36	-1.022
7/15/2015	1	0.000
10/22/2015	1	0.000
1/5/2016	0.3	-1.204
4/14/2016	1	0.000
7/19/2016	1	0.000
10/11/2016	1	0.000

Current Quarter Data

Well No.	Gradient	Detected?	Result	Result >TL(1)?	LN(Result)	LN(Result) >TL(2)
MW392	Downgradient	Yes	22	YES	3.091	N/A

Conclusion of Statistical Analysis on Current Data

The test well(s) listed exceeded the Upper Tolerance Limit, which is evidence of elevated concentration with respect to current background data.

Wells with Exceedances

MW392

NOTE: For UCRS wells, background ("upgradient") wells are those located in the same direction as RGA wells located upgradient from the landfill.

CV Coefficient-of-Variation, CV = S/X If CV is less than or equal to 1 assume normal distribution.

S Standard Deviation, S = [Sum ([(background result-X)^2]/[count of background results -1])]^0.5

TL Upper Tolerance Limit, TL = X + (K * S), LL Lower Tolerance Limit, LL = X - (K * S)

X Mean, X = (sum of background results)/(count of background results)

** *Read from Table 5, Appendix B of Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,Interim Guidance, EPA, 1989, based on total number of background results - The K-factor for pH to account for a two-sided tolerance interval instead of a one-sided tolerance limit. The K-factor for pH was computed using a formula from NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/,2009.*

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

ATTACHMENT D3

STATISTICIAN QUALIFICATION STATEMENT

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

FLUOR®

April 25, 2017

Ms. Kelly Layne
Fluor Federal Services, Inc.
5511 Hobbs Road
Kevil, KY 42053

Dear Ms. Layne:

This statement is submitted in response to your request that it be included with the completed statistical analysis that I have performed on the groundwater data for the C-746-S&T and C-746-U Landfills at the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant.

As a Chemist, with a Bachelor of Science degree in chemistry and a minor in biology, I have over 20 years of experience in reviewing and assessing laboratory analytical results associated with environmental sampling and investigation activities. For the generation of these statistical analyses, my work was observed and reviewed by a senior chemist and geologist with Fluor Federal Services, Inc.

For this project, the statistical analyses conducted on the first quarter 2017 monitoring well data collected from the C-746-S&T and C-746-U Landfills were performed in accordance with guidance provided in the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency guidance document, *EPA Statistical Analysis of Groundwater Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Final Guidance* (1989).

Sincerely,

Jennifer R. Blewett

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

APPENDIX E

GROUNDWATER FLOW RATE AND DIRECTION

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

GROUNDWATER FLOW RATE AND DIRECTION

Whenever monitoring wells (MWs) are sampled, 401 *KAR* 48:300, Section 11, requires determination of groundwater flow rate and direction of flow in the uppermost aquifer. The uppermost aquifer below the C-746-S&T Landfills is the Regional Gravel Aquifer (RGA). Water level measurements currently are recorded in several wells at the landfill on a quarterly basis. These measurements were used to plot the potentiometric surface of the RGA for the first quarter 2017 and to determine the groundwater flow rate and direction.

Water levels during this reporting period were measured on January 24, 2017. As shown on Figure E.1, MW389, screened in the Upper Continental Recharge System (UCRS), is usually dry, while other UCRS wells have recordable water levels. During this reporting period, MW389 had insufficient water for both measurement of the water level and for sampling.

The UCRS has a strong vertical hydraulic gradient; therefore, the limited number of available UCRS wells, screened over different elevations, is not sufficient for mapping the potentiometric surface. Figure E.1 shows the location of UCRS MWs. The Upper Regional Gravel Aquifer (URGA) and Lower Regional Gravel Aquifer (LRGA) data were corrected for barometric pressure, if necessary, and converted to elevations to plot the potentiometric surface of the RGA, as a whole, as shown on Table E.1. Figure E.2 is a composite or average map of the URGA and LRGA elevations where well clusters exist. The contour lines are placed based on the average water level elevations of the clusters.[1] Based on the site potentiometric map (Figure E.2), the hydraulic gradient beneath the landfill is 1.96×10^{-4} ft/ft. Additional water level measurements in January (Figure E.3) document the vicinity groundwater hydraulic gradient for the RGA to be 3.03×10^{-4} ft/ft. The hydraulic gradients are shown in Table E.2.

The average linear groundwater flow velocity (v) is determined by multiplying the hydraulic gradient (i) by the hydraulic conductivity (K) [resulting in the specific discharge (q)] and dividing by the effective porosity (n_e). The RGA hydraulic conductivity values used are reported in the Administrative Application for the New Solid Waste Landfill Permit No. 073-00045NWC1 and range from 425 to 725 ft/day (0.150 to 0.256 cm/s). RGA effective porosity is assumed to be 25%. Vicinity and site flow velocities were calculated using the low and high values for hydraulic conductivity, as shown in Table E.3.

Regional groundwater flow near the C-746-S&T Landfills typically trends northeastward toward the Ohio River. As demonstrated on the potentiometric map for January 2017, the groundwater flow direction in the immediate area of the landfill was oriented northeastward.

[1] Additional water level measurements, in wells at the C-746-U Landfill and in wells of the surrounding region (MW98, MW100, MW125, MW139, MW165A, MW173, MW193, MW197, and MW200), were used to contour the RGA potentiometric surface.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
DOE PORTSMOUTH-PADUCAH PROJECT OFFICE
PADUCAH GASEOUS DIFFUSION PLANT

Figure E.1. Potentiometric Surface of the Upper Continental Recharge System at the C-746 S&T Landfills
Permit Numbers 073-00014 & 073-00015
January 24, 2017

FLUOR®

Table E.1. C-746-S&T Landfills First Quarter 2017 (January) Water Levels

C-746-S&T Landfills (January 2017) Water Levels										
							Raw Data		*Corrected Data	
Date	Time	Well	Formation	Datum Elev (ft amsl)	BP (in Hg)	Delta BP (ft H_2O)	DTW (ft)	Elev (ft amsl)	DTW (ft)	Elev (ft amsl)
1/24/2017	10:01	MW220	URGA	381.44	29.92	0.00	57.63	323.81	57.63	323.81
1/24/2017	10:07	MW221	URGA	390.83	29.92	0.00	67.19	323.64	67.19	323.64
1/24/2017	10:13	MW222	URGA	394.87	29.92	0.00	71.24	323.63	71.24	323.63
1/24/2017	10:09	MW223	URGA	394.03	29.92	0.00	70.35	323.68	70.35	323.68
1/24/2017	10:15	MW224	URGA	395.41	29.92	0.00	71.74	323.67	71.74	323.67
1/24/2017	10:03	MW225	URGA	385.55	29.92	0.00	61.83	323.72	61.83	323.72
1/24/2017	10:30	MW353	LRGA	374.86	29.92	0.00	50.89	323.97	50.89	323.97
1/24/2017	9:58	MW384	URGA	365.06	29.93	-0.01	41.41	323.65	41.40	323.66
1/24/2017	9:59	MW385	LRGA	365.54	29.93	-0.01	41.90	323.64	41.89	323.65
1/24/2017	9:57	MW386	UCRS	365.21	29.93	-0.01	15.46	349.75	15.45	349.76
1/24/2017	9:54	MW387	URGA	363.27	29.93	-0.01	39.60	323.67	39.59	323.68
1/24/2017	9:55	MW388	LRGA	363.25	29.93	-0.01	39.59	323.66	39.58	323.67
1/24/2017	9:53	MW389	UCRS	363.82	29.93	--	DRY	--	DRY	--
1/24/2017	9:51	MW390	UCRS	360.36	29.93	-0.01	36.74	323.62	36.73	323.63
1/24/2017	9:25	MW391	URGA	366.54	29.93	-0.01	42.96	323.58	42.95	323.59
1/24/2017	9:28	MW392	LRGA	365.67	29.93	-0.01	42.10	323.57	42.09	323.58
1/24/2017	9:27	MW393	UCRS	366.59	29.93	-0.01	26.90	339.69	26.89	339.70
1/24/2017	9:43	MW394	URGA	378.32	29.93	-0.01	54.59	323.73	54.58	323.74
1/24/2017	9:41	MW395	LRGA	379.01	29.93	-0.01	55.23	323.78	55.22	323.79
1/24/2017	9:42	MW396	UCRS	378.64	29.93	-0.01	7.90	370.74	7.89	370.75
1/24/2017	9:46	MW397	LRGA	386.90	29.93	-0.01	63.18	323.72	63.17	323.73
1/24/2017	9:36	MW418	URGA	366.78	29.93	-0.01	43.17	323.61	43.16	323.62
1/24/2017	9:38	MW419	LRGA	366.68	29.93	-0.01	43.08	323.60	43.07	323.61

Initial Barometric Pressure 29.92
Elev = elevation
amsl = above mean sea level
BP = barometric pressure
DTW = depth to water in feet below datum
URGA = Upper Regional Gravel Aquifer
LRGA = Lower Regional Gravel Aquifer
UCRS = Upper Continental Recharge System
*Assumes a barometric efficiency of 1.0



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
DOE PORTSMOUTH-PADUCAH PROJECT OFFICE
PADUCAH GASEOUS DIFFUSION PLANT

Figure E.2. Composite Potentiometric Surface of the Regional Gravel Aquifer at the C-746-S&T Landfills Permit Numbers 073-00014 & 073-00015 January 24, 2017

FLUOR



Figure E.3. Vicinity Potentiometric Surface of the Regional Gravel Aquifer, January 24, 2017

Table E.2. C-746-S&T Landfills Hydraulic Gradients

	ft/ft
Beneath Landfill Mound	1.96×10^{-4}
Vicinity	3.03×10^{-4}

Table E.3. C-746-S&T Landfills Groundwater Flow Rate

Hydraulic Conductivity (K)		Specific Discharge (q)		Average Linear Velocity (v)	
ft/day	cm/s	ft/day	cm/s	ft/day	cm/s
Beneath Landfill Mound					
725	0.256	0.142	5.01×10^{-5}	0.568	2.01×10^{-4}
425	0.150	0.0832	2.94×10^{-5}	0.333	1.17×10^{-4}
Vicinity					
725	0.256	0.219	7.75×10^{-5}	0.878	3.10×10^{-4}
425	0.150	0.1.29	4.54×10^{-5}	0.515	1.82×10^{-4}

APPENDIX F

NOTIFICATIONS

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

NOTIFICATIONS

In accordance with 401 *KAR* 48:300 § 7, the notification for parameters that exceed the maximum contaminant level (MCL) has been submitted to the Kentucky Division of Waste Management. The parameters are listed on the page F-4. The notification for parameters that do not have MCLs but had statistically significant increased concentrations relative to historical background concentrations is provided below.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF PARAMETERS NOTIFICATION

The statistical analyses conducted on the first quarter 2017 groundwater data collected from the C-746-S&T Landfills monitoring wells were performed in accordance with *Groundwater Monitoring Plan for the Solid Waste Permitted Landfills (C-746-S Residential Landfill, C-746-T Inert Landfill, and C-746-U Contained Landfill) at the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah, Kentucky* (LATA Kentucky 2014).

The following are the permit required parameters in 40 *CFR* § 302.4, Appendix A, which had statistically significant increased concentrations relative to historical background concentrations.

	Parameter	**Monitoring Well**
Upper Continental Recharge System	Technetium-99	MW390
Upper Regional Gravel Aquifer	Sodium	MW369, MW384, MW387
	Technetium-99	MW384, MW387
Lower Regional Gravel Aquifer	Sodium	MW370
	Technetium-99	MW370, MW385, MW388

NOTE: Although technetium-99 is not cited in 40 *CFR* § 302.4, Appendix A, this radionuclide is being reported along with the parameters of this regulation.

2/28/2017

Fluor Federal Services
PROJECT ENVIRONMENTAL MEASUREMENTS SYSTEM
C-746-S and -T LANDFILLS
PERMIT NUMBERS 073-00014 and 073-00015
MAXIMUM CONTAMINANT LEVEL (MCL) EXCEEDANCE REPORT
Quarterly Groundwater Sampling

AKGWA	Station	Analysis	Method	Results	Units	MCL
8004-4808	MW372	Trichloroethene	8260B	7.12	ug/L	5
8004-4792	MW373	Trichloroethene	8260B	8.74	ug/L	5
		Trichloroethene	8260B	8.53	ug/L	5
8004-4809	MW384	Beta activity	9310	103	pCi/L	50
		Beta activity	9310	95.5	pCi/L	50
8004-4810	MW385	Beta activity	9310	54	pCi/L	50
8004-4815	MW387	Beta activity	9310	154	pCi/L	50
8004-4816	MW388	Beta activity	9310	86.4	pCi/L	50
8004-4805	MW391	Trichloroethene	8260B	11	ug/L	5
8004-4806	MW392	Trichloroethene	8260B	22	ug/L	5
8004-4802	MW394	Trichloroethene	8260B	7.86	ug/L	5

NOTE 1: These levels are defined in 401 KAR 47:030.

NOTE 2: MW369, MW370, MW372, and MW373 are down-gradient wells for the C-746-S and C-746-T Landfills and upgradient for the the C-746-U Landfill. These wells are sampled with the C-746-U Landfill monitoring well network. These wells are reported on the exceedance reports for C-746-S, C-746-T, and C-746-U.

APPENDIX G

CHART OF MCL AND UTL EXCEEDANCES

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Chart of MCL and Historical UTL Exceedances for the C-746-S and T Landfills

Groundwater Flow System	UCRS					URGA											LRGA						
Gradient	S	D	D	D	U	S	S	S	S	S	D	D	D	D	U	U	S	D	D	D	D	U	U
Monitoring Well	386	389	390	393	396	221	222	223	224	384	369	372	387	391	220	394	385	370	373	388	392	395	397
ACETONE																							
Quarter 3, 2003							*					*											
Quarter 4, 2003											*								*				
Quarter 1, 2005									*														
ALPHA ACTIVITY																							
Quarter 4, 2002				■	■								■										
Quarter 4, 2008										■													
Quarter 4, 2010										■													
ALUMINUM																							
Quarter 1, 2003			*				*					*	*	*									
Quarter 2, 2003			*				*						*	*									
Quarter 3, 2003			*				*	*					*	*									
Quarter 4, 2003							*	*			*			*									
Quarter 1, 2004			*				*	*			*												
Quarter 2, 2004							*							*									
Quarter 3, 2004							*							*									
Quarter 4, 2004			*																				
Quarter 1, 2005			*																				
Quarter 2, 2005			*				*																
Quarter 3, 2005			*				*			*											*		
Quarter 4, 2005			*				*				*												
Quarter 1, 2006							*						*										
Quarter 2, 2006			*				*																
Quarter 3, 2006							*																
Quarter 4, 2006			*				*																
Quarter 1, 2007							*										*						
Quarter 2, 2007							*										*						
Quarter 3, 2007							*																
Quarter 4, 2007							*																
Quarter 1, 2008							*							*									
Quarter 2, 2008											*												
Quarter 4, 2008							*																
Quarter 1, 2009			*				*				*												
Quarter 1, 2010			*				*				*												
Quarter 2, 2010			*								*												
Quarter 3, 2010			*								*			*			*			*			
Quarter 1, 2011							*				*												
Quarter 2, 2011			*								*												
Quarter 2, 2012			*																				
Quarter 3, 2012							*																
Quarter 1, 2013							*				*												
Quarter 3, 2013			*																				
Quarter 1, 2014							*																
Quarter 2, 2014											*												
Quarter 4, 2014			*																				
Quarter 1, 2016							*																
Quarter 2, 2016														*									
Quarter 1, 2017							*																
BARIUM																							
Quarter 3, 2003							■	■															
Quarter 4, 2003							■	■															
BETA ACTIVITY																							
Quarter 4, 2002													■										
Quarter 1, 2003													■				■						
Quarter 2, 2003			■	■													■			■			
Quarter 3, 2003			■										■				■						

Chart of MCL and Historical UTL Exceedances for the C-746-S and T Landfills (Continued)

Groundwater Flow System	UCRS					URGA											LRGA						
Gradient	S	D	D	D	U	S	S	S	S	S	D	D	D	D	U	U	S	D	D	D	D	U	U
Monitoring Well	386	389	390	393	396	221	222	223	224	384	369	372	387	391	220	394	385	370	373	388	392	395	397
BETA ACTIVITY																							
Quarter 4, 2003			■							■			■										
Quarter 1, 2004			■										■				■						
Quarter 2, 2004			■									■	■				■		■	■			
Quarter 3, 2004			■									■	■				■						
Quarter 4, 2004			■									■	■				■						
Quarter 1, 2005			■							■			■				■						
Quarter 2, 2005			■										■				■			■			
Quarter 3, 2005										■			■										
Quarter 4, 2005										■		■	■				■						
Quarter 1, 2006										■		■	■				■		■	■			
Quarter 2, 2006			■							■			■				■		■	■			
Quarter 3, 2006										■		■	■				■		■	■			
Quarter 4, 2006	■		■							■		■	■						■	■			
Quarter 1, 2007			■							■		■	■				■		■	■			
Quarter 2, 2007			■							■		■	■				■		■	■			
Quarter 3, 2007										■		■	■				■			■			
Quarter 4, 2007			■							■		■	■				■		■	■			
Quarter 1, 2008			■							■		■	■				■			■			
Quarter 2, 2008			■							■	■		■				■			■			
Quarter 3, 2008										■		■	■				■			■			
Quarter 4, 2008										■		■	■				■						
Quarter 1, 2009			■							■		■	■				■						
Quarter 2, 2009										■		■	■				■						
Quarter 3, 2009										■		■	■				■			■			
Quarter 4, 2009										■		■	■				■						
Quarter 1, 2010												■	■										
Quarter 2, 2010			■							■			■				■						
Quarter 3, 2010										■			■				■						
Quarter 4, 2010										■		■	■				■						
Quarter 1, 2011										■			■				■						
Quarter 2, 2011			■							■			■				■						
Quarter 3, 2011										■			■				■			■			
Quarter 4, 2011										■		■	■				■						
Quarter 1, 2012			■							■			■				■			■			
Quarter 2, 2012			■							■			■				■			■			
Quarter 3, 2012										■		■	■				■						
Quarter 4, 2012										■		■	■				■		■	■			
Quarter 1, 2013										■		■	■						■	■			
Quarter 2, 2013										■			■				■			■			
Quarter 3, 2013										■		■	■				■		■	■			
Quarter 4, 2013										■		■	■				■			■			
Quarter 1, 2014			■							■		■	■				■						
Quarter 2, 2014										■			■				■			■			
Quarter 3, 2014										■			■				■			■			
Quarter 4, 2014										■		■	■				■			■			
Quarter 1, 2015										■		■	■				■			■			
Quarter 2, 2015										■			■				■			■			
Quarter 3, 2015										■			■				■			■			
Quarter 4, 2015										■		■	■				■			■			
Quarter 1, 2016			■							■			■				■			■			
Quarter 2, 2016										■			■				■			■			
Quarter 3, 2016										■			■				■	■		■			
Quarter 4, 2016										■	■		■				■			■			
Quarter 1, 2017										■			■				■			■			
BROMIDE																							
Quarter 1, 2003			*																				
Quarter 4, 2003			*																				
Quarter 1, 2004			*																				

Chart of MCL and Historical UTL Exceedances for the C-746-S and T Landfills (Continued)

Groundwater Flow System	UCRS					URGA											LRGA						
Gradient	S	D	D	D	U	S	S	S	S	S	D	D	D	D	U	U	S	D	D	D	D	U	U
Monitoring Well	386	389	390	393	396	221	222	223	224	384	369	372	387	391	220	394	385	370	373	388	392	395	397
BROMIDE																							
Quarter 2, 2004			*																				
Quarter 3, 2004			*																				
Quarter 4, 2004			*																				
Quarter 1, 2005			*																				
Quarter 3, 2006			*																				
CALCIUM																							
Quarter 1, 2003			*																				
Quarter 2, 2003			*									*											
Quarter 3, 2003			*																				
Quarter 4, 2003			*									*							*				
Quarter 1, 2004			*									*		*					*				
Quarter 2, 2004			*									*							*				
Quarter 3, 2004			*									*							*				
Quarter 4, 2004			*									*							*				
Quarter 1, 2005												*							*				
Quarter 2, 2005												*							*				
Quarter 3, 2005												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2005												*							*				
Quarter 1, 2006												*							*				
Quarter 2, 2006												*							*				
Quarter 3, 2006												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2006												*							*				
Quarter 1, 2007												*							*				
Quarter 2, 2007												*							*				
Quarter 3, 2007												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2007												*							*				
Quarter 1, 2008												*							*				
Quarter 2, 2008												*							*				
Quarter 3, 2008												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2008												*							*				
Quarter 1, 2009												*							*				
Quarter 2, 2009												*							*				
Quarter 3, 2009												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2009												*							*				
Quarter 1, 2010												*							*				
Quarter 2, 2010												*							*				
Quarter 3, 2010												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2010												*							*				
Quarter 1, 2011												*							*				
Quarter 2, 2011												*	*						*				
Quarter 3, 2011												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2011												*							*				
Quarter 1, 2012												*							*				
Quarter 2, 2012												*							*				
Quarter 3, 2012												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2012												*							*				
Quarter 1, 2013												*							*				
Quarter 2, 2013												*							*				
Quarter 3, 2013												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2013												*							*				
Quarter 1, 2014																		*	*				
Quarter 2, 2014												*							*				
Quarter 3, 2014												*						*	*				
Quarter 4, 2014												*							*				
Quarter 1, 2015												*	*						*				

Chart of MCL and Historical UTL Exceedances for the C-746-S and T Landfills (Continued)

Groundwater Flow System	UCRS					URGA											LRGA						
Gradient	S	D	D	D	U	S	S	S	S	S	D	D	D	D	U	U	S	D	D	D	D	U	U
Monitoring Well	386	389	390	393	396	221	222	223	224	384	369	372	387	391	220	394	385	370	373	388	392	395	397
CALCIUM																							
Quarter 2, 2015												*							*				
Quarter 3, 2015												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2015												*							*				
Quarter 1, 2016												*							*				
Quarter 2, 2016												*		*					*				
Quarter 3, 2016												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2016												*							*				
Quarter 1, 2017												*							*				
CARBON DISULFIDE																							
Quarter 4, 2010											*												
Quarter 1, 2011												*									*		
CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND																							
Quarter 1, 2003				*																			
Quarter 2, 2003				*																			
Quarter 3, 2003				*			*			*													
Quarter 4, 2003				*																			
Quarter 1, 2004	*			*																			
Quarter 4, 2004	*																						
Quarter 1, 2005	*																						
Quarter 2, 2005	*																						
Quarter 3, 2005	*									*		*									*		
Quarter 4, 2005	*									*													
Quarter 1, 2006	*																						
Quarter 2, 2006	*																						
Quarter 3, 2006	*																						
Quarter 4, 2006																	*						
Quarter 1, 2007	*									*													
Quarter 2, 2007	*																						
Quarter 3, 2007	*																						
Quarter 4, 2007	*																						
Quarter 1, 2008	*																						
Quarter 2, 2008	*																						
Quarter 3, 2008	*																						
Quarter 4, 2008	*																						
Quarter 1, 2009	*																						
Quarter 2, 2009	*																			*			
Quarter 3, 2009	*																						
Quarter 4, 2009	*																						
Quarter 1, 2010	*																						
Quarter 2, 2010	*																						
Quarter 3, 2010	*																						
Quarter 4, 2010	*																						
Quarter 3, 2011	*																						
Quarter 4, 2011	*																						
Quarter 1, 2012	*																						
Quarter 1, 2013	*																						
Quarter 3, 2013	*																						
Quarter 3, 2014	*								*				*					*					
Quarter 4, 2014							*																
Quarter 2, 2015																*							
Quarter 3, 2015															*								
Quarter 3, 2016			*								*												
Quarter 4, 2016																	*						
CHLORIDE																							
Quarter 1, 2003			*																				
Quarter 2, 2003			*																				
Quarter 3, 2003			*																				
Quarter 4, 2003			*																				
Quarter 1, 2004			*																				

Chart of MCL and Historical UTL Exceedances for the C-746-S and T Landfills (Continued)

Groundwater Flow System	UCRS					URGA											LRGA						
Gradient	S	D	D	D	U	S	S	S	S	S	D	D	D	D	U	U	S	D	D	D	D	U	U
Monitoring Well	386	389	390	393	396	221	222	223	224	384	369	372	387	391	220	394	385	370	373	388	392	395	397
CHLORIDE																							
Quarter 2, 2004			✱																				
Quarter 3, 2004			✱																				
Quarter 4, 2004			✱																				
Quarter 1, 2005			✱																				
Quarter 2, 2005			✱																				
Quarter 3, 2005			✱																				
Quarter 4, 2005			✱																				
Quarter 1, 2006																		✱					
Quarter 2, 2006			✱																				
Quarter 3, 2006			✱																				
Quarter 4, 2006			✱																				
Quarter 1, 2007			✱																				
Quarter 2, 2007			✱																				
Quarter 3, 2007			✱																				
Quarter 4, 2007			✱																				
Quarter 1, 2008			✱																				
Quarter 2, 2008			✱																				
Quarter 3, 2008			✱																				
Quarter 4, 2008			✱																				
Quarter 1, 2009			✱																				
Quarter 2, 2009			✱																				
Quarter 3, 2009			✱																				
Quarter 4, 2009			✱																				
Quarter 1, 2010			✱																				
Quarter 2, 2010			✱																				
Quarter 3, 2010			✱																				
Quarter 4, 2010			✱																				
Quarter 2, 2011			✱																				
Quarter 3, 2011			✱																				
Quarter 4, 2011			✱																				
Quarter 3, 2012			✱																				
Quarter 3, 2013			✱																				
Quarter 4, 2013			✱																				
Quarter 4, 2014			✱																				
CHROMIUM																							
Quarter 4, 2002								■															
Quarter 1, 2003								■														■	
Quarter 2, 2003							■	■															
Quarter 3, 2009						■																	
COBALT																							
Quarter 3, 2003							✱																
CONDUCTIVITY																							
Quarter 4, 2002										✱									✱				
Quarter 1, 2003			✱							✱									✱				
Quarter 2, 2003			✱							✱									✱				
Quarter 3, 2003			✱					✱		✱									✱				
Quarter 4, 2003			✱							✱									✱				
Quarter 1, 2004																			✱				
Quarter 2, 2004										✱									✱				
Quarter 3, 2004										✱									✱				
Quarter 4, 2004			✱							✱									✱				
Quarter 1, 2005										✱		✱							✱				
Quarter 2, 2005												✱							✱				
Quarter 3, 2005																			✱				
Quarter 4, 2005										✱		✱							✱				
Quarter 1, 2006												✱							✱				

Chart of MCL and Historical UTL Exceedances for the C-746-S and T Landfills (Continued)

Groundwater Flow System	UCRS					URGA											LRGA						
Gradient	S	D	D	D	U	S	S	S	S	S	D	D	D	D	U	U	S	D	D	D	D	U	U
Monitoring Well	386	389	390	393	396	221	222	223	224	384	369	372	387	391	220	394	385	370	373	388	392	395	397
CONDUCTIVITY																							
Quarter 2, 2006												*							*				
Quarter 3, 2006												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2006																	*		*				
Quarter 1, 2007												*							*				
Quarter 2, 2007																	*		*				
Quarter 3, 2007																	*		*				
Quarter 4, 2007												*					*		*				
Quarter 1, 2008												*							*				
Quarter 2, 2008												*							*				
Quarter 3, 2008												*					*		*				
Quarter 4, 2008												*							*				
Quarter 1, 2009												*							*				
Quarter 2, 2009												*							*				
Quarter 3, 2009												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2009												*					*		*				
Quarter 1, 2010												*							*				
Quarter 2, 2010												*							*				
Quarter 3, 2010												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2010												*							*				
Quarter 1, 2011										*		*							*				
Quarter 2, 2011												*							*				
Quarter 3, 2011												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2011												*							*				
Quarter 1, 2012											*	*							*				
Quarter 2, 2012												*							*				
Quarter 3, 2012												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2012												*							*				
Quarter 1, 2013												*							*				
Quarter 2, 2013												*							*				
Quarter 3, 2013												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2013												*							*				
Quarter 1, 2014												*							*				
Quarter 2, 2014												*							*				
Quarter 3, 2014												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2014												*							*				
Quarter 1, 2015												*							*				
Quarter 2, 2015												*							*				
Quarter 3, 2015												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2015												*							*				
Quarter 1, 2016												*							*				
Quarter 2, 2016																			*				
Quarter 3, 2016												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2016																			*				
Quarter 1, 2017																			*				
DISSOLVED OXYGEN																							
Quarter 3, 2006			*					*															
DISSOLVED SOLIDS																							
Quarter 4, 2002										*									*				
Quarter 1, 2003			*							*									*				
Quarter 2, 2003			*							*									*				
Quarter 3, 2003			*				*	*		*		*							*				
Quarter 4, 2003			*				*		*	*		*							*				
Quarter 1, 2004			*									*							*				
Quarter 2, 2004										*		*							*				
Quarter 3, 2004										*		*							*				
Quarter 4, 2004										*		*							*				

Chart of MCL and Historical UTL Exceedances for the C-746-S and T Landfills (Continued)

Groundwater Flow System	UCRS					URGA											LRGA						
Gradient	S	D	D	D	U	S	S	S	S	S	D	D	D	D	U	U	S	D	D	D	D	U	U
Monitoring Well	386	389	390	393	396	221	222	223	224	384	369	372	387	391	220	394	385	370	373	388	392	395	397
DISSOLVED SOLIDS																							
Quarter 1, 2005												*							*				
Quarter 2, 2005																			*				
Quarter 3, 2005																	*	*	*	*	*		
Quarter 4, 2005																	*	*	*	*	*		
Quarter 1, 2006																	*	*	*	*	*		
Quarter 2, 2006																	*	*	*	*	*		
Quarter 3, 2006																	*	*	*	*	*		
Quarter 4, 2006										*		*					*		*				
Quarter 1, 2007																			*				
Quarter 2, 2007										*		*							*				
Quarter 3, 2007										*		*							*				
Quarter 4, 2007												*							*				
Quarter 1, 2008												*							*				
Quarter 2, 2008												*							*				
Quarter 3, 2008												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2008										*		*							*				
Quarter 1, 2009												*							*				
Quarter 2, 2009												*	*						*				
Quarter 3, 2009												*	*						*				
Quarter 4, 2009												*	*						*				
Quarter 1, 2010												*	*						*				
Quarter 2, 2010										*		*	*						*				
Quarter 3, 2010										*		*							*				
Quarter 4, 2010										*		*							*				
Quarter 1, 2011										*		*							*				
Quarter 2, 2011												*	*						*				
Quarter 3, 2011												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2011												*							*				
Quarter 1, 2012											*	*	*						*				
Quarter 2, 2012												*							*				
Quarter 3, 2012										*		*	*						*				
Quarter 4, 2012												*	*						*				
Quarter 1, 2013										*		*							*				
Quarter 2, 2013												*							*				
Quarter 3, 2013												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2013												*							*				
Quarter 1, 2014												*	*						*				
Quarter 2, 2014												*							*				
Quarter 3, 2014									*			*	*						*				
Quarter 4, 2014												*	*						*				
Quarter 1, 2015												*							*				
Quarter 2, 2015												*							*				
Quarter 3, 2015												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2015									*			*						*	*				
Quarter 1, 2016												*							*				
Quarter 2, 2016												*	*	*					*				
Quarter 3, 2016												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2016												*							*				
Quarter 1, 2017												*							*				
IODIDE																							
Quarter 4, 2002																					*		
Quarter 2, 2003						*																	
Quarter 3, 2003													*										
Quarter 1, 2004				*																			
Quarter 3, 2010																					*		
Quarter 2, 2013										*													

Chart of MCL and Historical UTL Exceedances for the C-746-S and T Landfills (Continued)

Groundwater Flow System	UCRS					URGA											LRGA						
Gradient	S	D	D	D	U	S	S	S	S	S	D	D	D	D	U	U	S	D	D	D	D	U	U
Monitoring Well	386	389	390	393	396	221	222	223	224	384	369	372	387	391	220	394	385	370	373	388	392	395	397
IRON																							
Quarter 1, 2003							*			*	*			*									
Quarter 2, 2003										*	*	*	*										
Quarter 3, 2003							*	*	*	*	*	*											
Quarter 4, 2003											*												
Quarter 1, 2004											*												
Quarter 2, 2004										*	*												
Quarter 3, 2004										*													
Quarter 4, 2004										*													
Quarter 1, 2005												*											
Quarter 2, 2005											*	*											
Quarter 1, 2006							*																
Quarter 2, 2006												*											
Quarter 3, 2006											*												
Quarter 1, 2007											*	*											
Quarter 2, 2007											*												
Quarter 2, 2008												*											
Quarter 3, 2008												*											
MAGNESIUM																							
Quarter 1, 2003			*																				
Quarter 2, 2003			*									*							*				
Quarter 3, 2003			*				*					*											
Quarter 4, 2003			*									*							*				
Quarter 1, 2004			*									*		*					*				
Quarter 2, 2004			*									*							*				
Quarter 3, 2004			*									*							*				
Quarter 4, 2004			*									*							*				
Quarter 1, 2005												*							*				
Quarter 2, 2005												*							*				
Quarter 3, 2005												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2005												*							*				
Quarter 1, 2006												*							*				
Quarter 2, 2006												*							*				
Quarter 3, 2006												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2006												*							*				
Quarter 1, 2007												*							*				
Quarter 2, 2007												*							*				
Quarter 3, 2007												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2007												*							*				
Quarter 1, 2008												*							*				
Quarter 2, 2008												*							*				
Quarter 3, 2008												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2008												*							*				
Quarter 1, 2009												*							*				
Quarter 2, 2009												*							*				
Quarter 3, 2009												*	*						*				
Quarter 4, 2009												*							*				
Quarter 1, 2010												*							*				
Quarter 2, 2010												*	*						*				
Quarter 3, 2010												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2010												*							*				
Quarter 1, 2011												*							*				
Quarter 2, 2011												*	*						*				
Quarter 3, 2011												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2011												*							*				
Quarter 1, 2012												*							*				
Quarter 2, 2012												*							*				
Quarter 3, 2012												*	*						*				
Quarter 4, 2012												*	*						*				

Chart of MCL and Historical UTL Exceedances for the C-746-S and T Landfills (Continued)

Groundwater Flow System	UCRS					URGA										LRGA						
Gradient	S	D	D	D	U	S	S	S	S	S	D	D	D	D	U	U	S	D	D	D	D	U	U
Monitoring Well	386	389	390	393	396	221	222	223	224	384	369	372	387	391	220	394	385	370	373	388	392	395	397
MAGNESIUM																							
Quarter 1, 2013												*							*				
Quarter 2, 2013												*							*				
Quarter 3, 2013												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2013												*							*				
Quarter 1, 2014																		*	*				
Quarter 2, 2014												*	*						*				
Quarter 3, 2014												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2014												*	*						*				
Quarter 1, 2015												*	*						*				
Quarter 2, 2015												*							*				
Quarter 3, 2015												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2015												*							*				
Quarter 1, 2016												*							*				
Quarter 2, 2016												*		*					*				
Quarter 3, 2016												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2016												*		*					*				
Quarter 1, 2017												*		*					*				
MANGANESE																							
Quarter 4, 2002																					*		
Quarter 3, 2003							*	*															
Quarter 4, 2003							*	*															
Quarter 1, 2004							*																
Quarter 2, 2004							*																
Quarter 4, 2004							*	*															
Quarter 1, 2005							*																
Quarter 3, 2005																					*		
Quarter 3, 2009	*																						
OXIDATION-REDUCTION POTENTIAL																							
Quarter 4, 2003			*																				
Quarter 2, 2004			*																				
Quarter 3, 2004			*															*					
Quarter 4, 2004			*			*																	
Quarter 1, 2005			*															*					
Quarter 2, 2005	*		*																				
Quarter 3, 2005	*		*																				
Quarter 4, 2005			*																				
Quarter 2, 2006			*																				
Quarter 3, 2006			*															*					
Quarter 4, 2006			*																				
Quarter 1, 2007			*																				
Quarter 2, 2007			*				*																
Quarter 3, 2007			*				*																
Quarter 4, 2007			*																				
Quarter 1, 2008			*			*			*														
Quarter 2, 2008	*		*	*		*							*				*		*	*			
Quarter 3, 2008			*	*		*							*				*		*	*			
Quarter 4, 2008			*	*		*	*	*	*				*				*	*		*			
Quarter 1, 2009			*				*	*	*				*	*				*		*			
Quarter 3, 2009			*	*		*											*	*	*	*			
Quarter 4, 2009			*			*			*									*		*			
Quarter 1, 2010	*		*																	*			
Quarter 2, 2010	*		*	*					*				*				*	*		*			
Quarter 3, 2010	*		*	*		*											*	*	*	*			
Quarter 4, 2010			*					*			*			*			*	*	*	*			
Quarter 1, 2011	*			*		*	*	*	*		*		*	*			*	*		*	*		
Quarter 2, 2011	*		*	*			*	*	*	*	*		*	*			*	*	*	*	*		
Quarter 3, 2011	*		*	*			*	*		*			*		*		*	*	*	*			

Chart of MCL and Historical UTL Exceedances for the C-746-S and T Landfills (Continued)

Groundwater Flow System	UCRS					URGA											LRGA						
Gradient	S	D	D	D	U	S	S	S	S	S	D	D	D	D	U	U	S	D	D	D	D	U	U
Monitoring Well	386	389	390	393	396	221	222	223	224	384	369	372	387	391	220	394	385	370	373	388	392	395	397
OXIDATION-REDUCTION POTENTIAL																							
Quarter 4, 2011	*		*	*			*				*						*	*		*			
Quarter 1, 2012	*		*	*		*	*	*	*	*			*	*			*	*	*	*	*		
Quarter 2, 2012	*		*				*		*		*		*	*			*	*	*	*	*		
Quarter 3, 2012	*		*			*	*	*	*	*			*	*			*	*	*	*	*		
Quarter 4, 2012				*		*		*	*	*	*		*	*			*	*	*	*	*		
Quarter 1, 2013				*		*		*	*		*		*	*				*		*	*		
Quarter 2, 2013	*			*			*		*		*		*				*	*	*	*	*		
Quarter 3, 2013	*		*	*		*	*	*	*	*			*				*	*	*	*			
Quarter 4, 2013			*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*			*	*	*	*	*		
Quarter 1, 2014	*		*	*		*	*		*		*	*	*	*			*	*	*	*	*		
Quarter 2, 2014	*		*	*		*	*		*		*		*				*	*	*	*	*		
Quarter 3, 2014	*		*	*		*											*	*	*	*			
Quarter 4, 2014	*		*	*							*		*				*	*	*	*	*		
Quarter 1, 2015	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 2, 2015	*		*	*	*	*	*				*			*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 3, 2015	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 4, 2015	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*			*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 1, 2016	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		*		*		*	*		*	*	*	*
Quarter 2, 2016	*		*	*	*	*		*	*	*			*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*
Quarter 3, 2016	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*			*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 4, 2016	*		*	*	*		*	*		*			*		*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Quarter 1, 2017	*		*	*	*			*	*						*			*		*		*	*
PCB, 1016																							
Quarter 4, 2003							*	*	*		*							*					
Quarter 3, 2004											*												
Quarter 3, 2005							*				*												
Quarter 1, 2006											*												
Quarter 2, 2006											*												
Quarter 4, 2006											*												
Quarter 1, 2007											*	*											
Quarter 2, 2007												*											
Quarter 3, 2007											*												
Quarter 2, 2008											*	*											
Quarter 3, 2008											*												
Quarter 4, 2008											*												
Quarter 1, 2009											*												
Quarter 2, 2009											*												
Quarter 3, 2009											*												
Quarter 4, 2009											*												
Quarter 1, 2010											*												
Quarter 2, 2010											*												
Quarter 3, 2010											*												
Quarter 4, 2010											*												
PCB-1232																							
Quarter 1, 2011											*												
PCB-1248																							
Quarter 2, 2008												*											
PCB-1260																							
Quarter 2, 2006																		*					
pH																							
Quarter 4, 2002																	*						
Quarter 2, 2003																	*						
Quarter 3, 2003																	*						
Quarter 4, 2003							*										*						
Quarter 1, 2004							*										*						
Quarter 2, 2004																	*						
Quarter 3, 2004																	*						

Chart of MCL and Historical UTL Exceedances for the C-746-S and T Landfills (Continued)

Groundwater Flow System	UCRS					URGA											LRGA						
Gradient	S	D	D	D	U	S	S	S	S	S	D	D	D	D	U	U	S	D	D	D	D	U	U
Monitoring Well	386	389	390	393	396	221	222	223	224	384	369	372	387	391	220	394	385	370	373	388	392	395	397
pH																							
Quarter 4, 2004																	✱						
Quarter 3, 2005										✱							✱				✱		
Quarter 4, 2005										✱							✱						
Quarter 1, 2006																	✱						
Quarter 2, 2006																	✱						
Quarter 3, 2006																	✱						
Quarter 3, 2007																	✱						
Quarter 4, 2007																	✱						
Quarter 4, 2008																	✱						
Quarter 1, 2009																	✱						
Quarter 1, 2011																	✱						
Quarter 2, 2011											✱												
Quarter 3, 2011											✱												
Quarter 1, 2012														✱									
Quarter 1, 2013										✱			✱				✱						
Quarter 4, 2014																					✱		
Quarter 2, 2016																		✱	✱				
POTASSIUM																							
Quarter 4, 2002																		✱	✱				
Quarter 3, 2004																			✱				
Quarter 2, 2005																			✱				
Quarter 3, 2005																			✱				
Quarter 4, 2005																			✱				
Quarter 2, 2006																			✱				
Quarter 3, 2006																			✱				
Quarter 4, 2006																			✱				
Quarter 4, 2008																			✱				
Quarter 3, 2012																			✱				
Quarter 1, 2013																			✱				
Quarter 2, 2013																			✱				
Quarter 3, 2013																			✱				
RADIUM-226																							
Quarter 4, 2002			✱										✱	✱							✱		
Quarter 2, 2004																			✱				
Quarter 2, 2005									✱														
Quarter 1, 2009											✱												
Quarter 3, 2014									✱			✱											
Quarter 4, 2014			✱								✱							✱					
Quarter 1, 2015			✱				✱			✱		✱						✱					
Quarter 2, 2015			✱				✱			✱		✱						✱					
Quarter 3, 2015			✱																				
Quarter 4, 2015					✱	✱									✱		✱				✱	✱	
Quarter 2, 2016			✱						✱		✱	✱	✱	✱	✱	✱		✱					
Quarter 3, 2016																		✱					
Quarter 4, 2016	✱		✱			✱			✱				✱		✱					✱		✱	
Quarter 1, 2017			✱							✱	✱							✱					
RADIUM-228																							
Quarter 2, 2005							■				■												
Quarter 3, 2005			■																				
Quarter 4, 2005							■		■														
Quarter 1, 2006					■																		
SELENIUM																							
Quarter 4, 2002			■		■																		
Quarter 1, 2003					■																	■	
Quarter 2, 2003			■																				
Quarter 3, 2003			■		■																		
Quarter 4, 2003			■																				

Chart of MCL and Historical UTL Exceedances for the C-746-S and T Landfills (Continued)

Groundwater Flow System	UCRS					URGA											LRGA						
Gradient	S	D	D	D	U	S	S	S	S	S	D	D	D	D	U	U	S	D	D	D	D	U	U
Monitoring Well	386	389	390	393	396	221	222	223	224	384	369	372	387	391	220	394	385	370	373	388	392	395	397
SODIUM																							
Quarter 4, 2002																			*		*		
Quarter 1, 2003				*					*	*	*												
Quarter 2, 2003				*						*	*		*										
Quarter 3, 2003							*	*		*													
Quarter 4, 2003							*		*	*													
Quarter 1, 2004									*	*				*									
Quarter 2, 2004										*													
Quarter 3, 2004										*													
Quarter 4, 2004									*	*													
Quarter 1, 2005										*									*				
Quarter 2, 2005										*									*				
Quarter 3, 2005									*	*									*				
Quarter 4, 2005									*	*													
Quarter 1, 2006									*	*													
Quarter 2, 2006									*														
Quarter 3, 2006									*	*		*							*				
Quarter 4, 2006									*	*							*						
Quarter 1, 2007									*			*											
Quarter 2, 2007									*	*													
Quarter 3, 2007									*														
Quarter 4, 2007									*														
Quarter 1, 2008									*														
Quarter 3, 2008												*											
Quarter 4, 2008									*	*													
Quarter 1, 2009									*			*							*				
Quarter 3, 2009												*											
Quarter 4, 2009									*			*											
Quarter 1, 2010												*											
Quarter 2, 2010										*		*											
Quarter 3, 2010										*													
Quarter 4, 2010									*	*													
Quarter 1, 2011										*													
Quarter 2, 2011									*														
Quarter 4, 2011																			*				
Quarter 1, 2012											*												
Quarter 3, 2012												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2012												*											
Quarter 1, 2013										*		*							*				
Quarter 2, 2013												*											
Quarter 3, 2013												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2013												*							*				
Quarter 1, 2014												*											
Quarter 2, 2014									*		*	*							*				
Quarter 3, 2014												*							*				
Quarter 4, 2014									*	*		*	*										
Quarter 1, 2015													*										
Quarter 2, 2015												*											
Quarter 3, 2015										*		*											
Quarter 4, 2015									*	*		*											
Quarter 2, 2016											*												
Quarter 3, 2016											*												*
Quarter 1, 2017										*	*		*					*					
STRONTIUM-90																							
Quarter 2, 2003										■													
Quarter 1, 2004										■													

Chart of MCL and Historical UTL Exceedances for the C-746-S and T Landfills (Continued)

Groundwater Flow System	UCRS					URGA										LRGA						
Gradient	S	D	D	D	U	S	S	S	S	S	D	D	D	D	U	U	S	D	D	D	D	U	U
Monitoring Well	386	389	390	393	396	221	222	223	224	384	369	372	387	391	220	394	385	370	373	388	392	395	397
SULFATE																							
Quarter 4, 2002																			*				
Quarter 1, 2003												*	*				*		*				
Quarter 2, 2003										*		*	*					*	*				
Quarter 3, 2003										*		*	*						*				
Quarter 4, 2003										*		*	*						*				
Quarter 1, 2004										*		*	*					*	*				
Quarter 2, 2004										*		*	*				*	*	*	*			
Quarter 3, 2004									*	*		*	*					*	*				
Quarter 4, 2004										*		*	*					*	*				
Quarter 1, 2005										*		*	*				*	*	*				
Quarter 2, 2005										*		*	*					*	*				
Quarter 3, 2005										*		*	*				*	*	*				
Quarter 4, 2005										*		*	*					*	*	*			
Quarter 1, 2006										*		*	*				*	*	*	*			
Quarter 2, 2006									*	*		*	*				*	*	*	*			
Quarter 3, 2006									*	*		*	*				*		*	*			
Quarter 4, 2006									*	*		*	*				*		*				
Quarter 1, 2007									*	*		*	*				*		*	*			
Quarter 2, 2007									*	*		*	*				*		*	*			
Quarter 3, 2007									*	*		*	*				*		*	*			
Quarter 4, 2007										*		*	*				*	*	*	*			
Quarter 1, 2008										*		*	*				*	*	*	*			
Quarter 2, 2008								*		*	*	*	*	*			*	*	*	*			
Quarter 3, 2008										*		*	*				*	*	*	*			
Quarter 4, 2008										*		*	*				*		*				
Quarter 1, 2009										*		*	*				*	*	*				
Quarter 2, 2009									*	*		*	*				*	*	*	*			
Quarter 3, 2009									*	*		*	*				*	*	*	*			
Quarter 4, 2009	*									*		*	*				*	*	*				
Quarter 1, 2010	*								*	*		*	*				*		*				
Quarter 2, 2010									*	*		*	*				*	*	*	*			
Quarter 3, 2010										*		*	*				*	*	*	*			
Quarter 4, 2010	*									*		*	*				*	*	*				
Quarter 1, 2011	*									*		*	*				*	*	*				
Quarter 2, 2011	*									*		*	*	*			*	*	*	*			
Quarter 3, 2011	*									*		*	*	*			*	*	*	*			
Quarter 4, 2011	*									*		*	*				*	*	*	*			
Quarter 1, 2012	*									*		*	*				*	*	*	*			
Quarter 2, 2012	*									*		*	*				*	*	*	*			
Quarter 3, 2012	*									*		*	*				*	*	*	*			
Quarter 4, 2012										*		*	*				*	*	*	*			
Quarter 1, 2013										*		*	*				*	*	*	*			
Quarter 2, 2013										*		*	*	*			*	*	*	*			
Quarter 3, 2013										*		*	*	*			*	*	*	*			
Quarter 4, 2013										*		*	*				*	*	*	*			
Quarter 1, 2014								*		*		*	*				*	*	*	*			
Quarter 2, 2014										*		*	*	*			*	*	*	*			
Quarter 3, 2014										*		*	*	*			*	*	*	*			
Quarter 4, 2014										*		*	*				*	*	*	*			
Quarter 1, 2015										*		*	*				*	*	*	*			
Quarter 2, 2015										*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*			
Quarter 3, 2015								*		*		*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*			
Quarter 4, 2015										*		*	*	*			*		*	*			
Quarter 1, 2016								*		*		*	*	*			*	*	*	*			
Quarter 2, 2016								*		*		*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*			
Quarter 3, 2016								*		*		*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*			
Quarter 4, 2016										*		*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*			
Quarter 1, 2017										*		*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*			

Chart of MCL and Historical UTL Exceedances for the C-746-S and T Landfills (Continued)

Groundwater Flow System	UCRS					URGA											LRGA						
Gradient	S	D	D	D	U	S	S	S	S	S	D	D	D	D	U	U	S	D	D	D	D	U	U
Monitoring Well	386	389	390	393	396	221	222	223	224	384	369	372	387	391	220	394	385	370	373	388	392	395	397
TECHNETIUM-99																							
Quarter 4, 2002																			✱				
Quarter 1, 2003													✱				✱		✱				
Quarter 2, 2003	✱		✱							✱			✱				✱						
Quarter 3, 2003			✱										✱				✱			✱			
Quarter 4, 2003			✱							✱		✱	✱				✱		✱	✱			
Quarter 1, 2004			✱									✱	✱				✱		✱				
Quarter 2, 2004			✱									✱	✱				✱		✱	✱			
Quarter 3, 2004			✱									✱					✱		✱				
Quarter 4, 2004			✱							✱		✱	✱				✱	✱	✱				
Quarter 1, 2005			✱							✱		✱	✱				✱			✱			
Quarter 2, 2005			✱							✱			✱				✱	✱	✱	✱			
Quarter 3, 2005			✱							✱			✱				✱	✱	✱	✱			
Quarter 4, 2005			✱							✱		✱	✱				✱		✱	✱			
Quarter 1, 2006										✱		✱	✱						✱	✱			
Quarter 2, 2006			✱							✱			✱				✱	✱	✱	✱			
Quarter 3, 2006			✱							✱			✱				✱	✱	✱	✱			
Quarter 4, 2006	✱									✱		✱	✱						✱	✱			
Quarter 1, 2007			✱							✱			✱				✱		✱	✱			
Quarter 2, 2007			✱							✱		✱	✱				✱	✱		✱			
Quarter 3, 2007			✱							✱	✱	✱	✱				✱		✱	✱			
Quarter 4, 2007			✱							✱		✱	✱				✱		✱	✱			
Quarter 1, 2008			✱							✱		✱	✱				✱	✱	✱	✱			
Quarter 2, 2008			✱							✱	✱		✱				✱		✱	✱			
Quarter 3, 2008										✱		✱	✱				✱			✱			
Quarter 4, 2008			✱							✱		✱	✱				✱	✱	✱	✱			
Quarter 1, 2009			✱							✱		✱	✱				✱						
Quarter 2, 2009			✱							✱		✱	✱				✱	✱		✱			
Quarter 3, 2009			✱							✱	✱	✱	✱				✱			✱			
Quarter 4, 2009			✱							✱		✱	✱				✱						
Quarter 1, 2010			✱							✱		✱	✱				✱						
Quarter 2, 2010			✱							✱			✱				✱	✱		✱			
Quarter 3, 2010			✱							✱	✱	✱	✱				✱						
Quarter 4, 2010			✱							✱		✱	✱				✱						
Quarter 1, 2011										✱			✱				✱						
Quarter 2, 2011			✱							✱			✱				✱			✱			
Quarter 3, 2011			✱							✱			✱				✱			✱			
Quarter 4, 2011			✱							✱	✱	✱	✱				✱						
Quarter 1, 2012			✱							✱			✱				✱			✱			
Quarter 2, 2012			✱							✱			✱				✱		✱	✱			
Quarter 3, 2012			✱							✱		✱	✱				✱						
Quarter 4, 2012										✱		✱	✱				✱		✱	✱			
Quarter 1, 2013										✱			✱				✱		✱	✱			
Quarter 2, 2013										✱		✱	✱				✱		✱	✱			
Quarter 3, 2013			✱							✱		✱	✱				✱		✱	✱			
Quarter 4, 2013			✱							✱		✱	✱				✱		✱	✱			
Quarter 1, 2014			✱							✱	✱		✱				✱		✱	✱			
Quarter 2, 2014			✱							✱	✱		✱	✱			✱		✱	✱			
Quarter 3, 2014			✱							✱			✱				✱			✱			
Quarter 4, 2014			✱							✱	✱	✱	✱				✱		✱	✱			
Quarter 1, 2015			✱							✱	✱	✱	✱				✱			✱			
Quarter 2, 2015			✱							✱	✱		✱				✱			✱			
Quarter 3, 2015			✱							✱	✱	✱	✱				✱	✱	✱	✱			
Quarter 4, 2015			✱							✱	✱	✱	✱				✱	✱		✱			
Quarter 1, 2016			✱							✱	✱		✱				✱		✱	✱			
Quarter 2, 2016			✱			✱				✱			✱				✱	✱		✱			
Quarter 3, 2016			✱							✱		✱	✱				✱	✱		✱			
Quarter 4, 2016			✱							✱	✱		✱				✱			✱			
Quarter 1, 2017			✱							✱			✱				✱	✱		✱			

Chart of MCL and Historical UTL Exceedances for the C-746-S and T Landfills (Continued)

Groundwater Flow System	UCRS					URGA										LRGA						
Gradient	S	D	D	D	U	S	S	S	S	S	D	D	D	D	U	U	S	D	D	D	D	U	U
Monitoring Well	386	389	390	393	396	221	222	223	224	384	369	372	387	391	220	394	385	370	373	388	392	395	397
THORIUM-230																							
Quarter 1, 2012	*								*					*									
Quarter 4, 2014	*		*																				
Quarter 3, 2015	*								*	*			*		*								
Quarter 1, 2017			*							*							*						
THORIUM-234																							
Quarter 2, 2003						*			*					*									
Quarter 4, 2007									*														
TOLUENE																							
Quarter 2, 2014										*	*		*										
TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON																							
Quarter 4, 2002																					*		
Quarter 1, 2003				*						*	*							*	*		*		
Quarter 2, 2003										*	*		*								*		
Quarter 3, 2003							*	*	*	*	*	*											
Quarter 4, 2003							*		*	*													
Quarter 1, 2004										*													
Quarter 2, 2004										*	*												
Quarter 3, 2004										*													
Quarter 4, 2004										*													
Quarter 1, 2005										*													
Quarter 2, 2005										*											*		
Quarter 3, 2005										*		*									*		
Quarter 4, 2005										*											*		
Quarter 1, 2006										*													
Quarter 2, 2006										*		*											
Quarter 4, 2006																	*						
Quarter 1, 2007	*									*													
Quarter 3, 2007	*					*	*	*	*	*			*	*			*						
Quarter 2, 2011											*												
Quarter 3, 2012	*																						
Quarter 3, 2016																			*				
TOTAL ORGANIC HALIDES																							
Quarter 4, 2002																		*	*		*		
Quarter 1, 2003				*														*			*		
Quarter 3, 2003				*																	*		
Quarter 2, 2004																					*		
Quarter 3, 2004	*																						
Quarter 1, 2005	*																						
Quarter 2, 2005	*																						
Quarter 3, 2005	*																						
Quarter 4, 2005	*																						
Quarter 1, 2006	*																						
Quarter 2, 2006	*																						
Quarter 3, 2006	*																						
Quarter 4, 2006																	*						
Quarter 1, 2007	*																						
Quarter 2, 2007	*																						
Quarter 3, 2007	*																						
Quarter 4, 2007	*																				*		
Quarter 1, 2008	*																						
Quarter 4, 2008	*																						
Quarter 4, 2008	*																						
Quarter 1, 2009	*																						
Quarter 2, 2009	*																				*		
Quarter 3, 2009	*																						
Quarter 4, 2009	*																						
Quarter 1, 2010	*																						
Quarter 2, 2010	*																						
Quarter 3, 2010	*																						

Chart of MCL and Historical UTL Exceedances for the C-746-S and T Landfills (Continued)

Groundwater Flow System	UCRS					URGA											LRGA						
Gradient	S	D	D	D	U	S	S	S	S	S	D	D	D	D	U	U	S	D	D	D	D	U	U
Monitoring Well	386	389	390	393	396	221	222	223	224	384	369	372	387	391	220	394	385	370	373	388	392	395	397
TOTAL ORGANIC HALIDES																							
Quarter 4, 2010	✱																						
Quarter 1, 2011	✱																						
Quarter 3, 2013																					✱		
TRICHLOROETHENE																							
Quarter 4, 2002														■		■					■	■	
Quarter 1, 2003														■		■					■	■	
Quarter 2, 2003														■		■					■	■	
Quarter 3, 2003														■		■					■	■	
Quarter 4, 2003														■		■					■	■	
Quarter 1, 2004														■		■					■	■	
Quarter 2, 2004												■		■		■		■	■		■	■	
Quarter 3, 2004												■		■		■		■	■		■	■	
Quarter 4, 2004												■		■		■		■	■		■	■	
Quarter 1, 2005												■		■		■		■	■		■	■	
Quarter 2, 2005												■		■		■		■	■		■	■	
Quarter 3, 2005												■		■		■		■	■		■	■	
Quarter 4, 2005												■		■		■		■	■		■	■	
Quarter 1, 2006												■		■		■		■	■		■	■	
Quarter 2, 2006												■		■		■		■	■		■	■	
Quarter 2, 2007												■		■		■			■		■	■	
Quarter 3, 2007												■		■		■			■		■	■	
Quarter 4, 2007												■		■		■			■		■	■	
Quarter 1, 2008												■		■		■			■		■		
Quarter 2, 2008												■		■		■			■		■		
Quarter 3, 2008												■		■		■			■		■		
Quarter 4, 2008												■		■		■			■		■		
Quarter 1, 2009												■		■		■			■		■		
Quarter 2, 2009												■		■		■			■		■		
Quarter 3, 2009												■		■		■			■		■		
Quarter 4, 2009											■	■		■		■			■		■		
Quarter 1, 2010												■		■		■			■		■		
Quarter 2, 2010												■		■		■			■		■		
Quarter 3, 2010												■		■		■			■		■	■	
Quarter 4, 2010												■		■		■			■		■	■	
Quarter 1, 2011												■		■		■			■		■	■	
Quarter 2, 2011												■		■		■			■		■		
Quarter 3, 2011												■		■		■			■		■		
Quarter 4, 2011												■		■		■			■		■	■	
Quarter 1, 2012												■		■		■			■		■	■	
Quarter 2, 2012												■		■					■		■		
Quarter 3, 2012												■		■					■		■		
Quarter 4, 2012											■	■		■		■			■		■		
Quarter 1, 2013												■		■		■			■		■		
Quarter 2, 2013												■		■					■		■		
Quarter 3, 2013												■		■					■		■		
Quarter 4, 2013												■		■		■			■		■		
Quarter 1, 2014												■		■		■			■		■		
Quarter 2, 2014												■		■							■		
Quarter 3, 2014												■		■					■		■		
Quarter 4, 2014												■		■		■			■		■		
Quarter 1, 2015												■		■		■			■		■		
Quarter 2, 2015												■		■					■		■		
Quarter 3, 2015												■		■	■				■		■		
Quarter 4, 2015												■		■		■			■		■		
Quarter 1, 2016												■		■		■			■		■		
Quarter 2, 2016												■		■					■		■		
Quarter 3, 2016												■		■		■			■		■		
Quarter 4, 2016												■		■		■			■		■		
Quarter 1, 2017												■		■		■			■		■		

Chart of MCL and Historical UTL Exceedances for the C-746-S and T Landfills (Continued)

Groundwater Flow System	UCRS					URGA											LRGA						
Gradient	S	D	D	D	U	S	S	S	S	S	D	D	D	D	U	U	S	D	D	D	D	U	U
Monitoring Well	386	389	390	393	396	221	222	223	224	384	369	372	387	391	220	394	385	370	373	388	392	395	397
TURBIDITY																							
Quarter 4, 2002																					✱		
Quarter 1, 2003							✱					✱		✱									
URANIUM																							
Quarter 4, 2002																		✱	✱				
Quarter 1, 2003																			✱				
Quarter 4, 2003							✱																
Quarter 1, 2004							✱	✱	✱					✱			✱						
Quarter 4, 2004																	✱						
Quarter 4, 2006																			✱		✱		
ZINC																							
Quarter 3, 2003												✱											
Quarter 4, 2003							✱		✱			✱											
Quarter 4, 2004							✱																
Quarter 4, 2007							✱	✱	✱														

✱ Statistical test results indicate an elevated concentration (i.e., a statistically significant increase)

■ MCL Exceedance

UCRS Upper Continental Recharge System

URGA Upper Regional Gravel Aquifer

LRGA Lower Regional Gravel Aquifer

S Sidegradient; D Downgradient; U Upgradient

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

APPENDIX H

METHANE MONITORING DATA

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

C-746-S & T LANDFILL METHANE MONITORING REPORT

Date:	03/16/2017	Time:	08:45am	Monitor:	Tammy Smith

Weather Conditions:
Partly sunny at 37* with winds out of the SE

Monitoring Equipment:
RAE Systems, Multi Rae 4494-5

Monitoring Location		Reading (% LEL)
Ogden Landing Road Entrance	Checked at ground level	0
North Landfill Gate	Checked at ground level	0
West Side of Landfill: North 37° 07.652' West 88° 48.029'	Checked at ground level	0
East Side of Landfill: North 37° 07.628' West 88° 47.798'	Checked at ground level	0
Cell 1 Gas Vent (17)	10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 110 120 130 140 150 160 170	0
Cell 2 Gas Vent (3)	10 20 30	0
Cell 3 Gas Vent (7)	10 20 30 40 50 60 70	0
Landfill Office	Checked at floor level	0
Suspect or Problem Areas	No areas noted	

Remarks:

ALL VENTS CHECKED 1" FROM MOUTH OF VENT OPENING

Performed by: Tammy A. Smith **03/16/2017**

Signature **Date**



Figure H.1. C-746-S&T Methane Monitoring Locations

APPENDIX I

SURFACE WATER ANALYSES AND WRITTEN COMMENTS

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Division of Waste Management
Solid Waste Branch
14 Reilly Road
Frankfort, KY 40601 (502)564-6716

RESIDENTIAL/INERT-QUARTERLY
Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Permit Number: 073-00014 & 073-00015

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1
LAB ID: None
For Official Use Only

SURFACE WATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS (S)

Monitoring Point (KPDES Discharge Number, or "UPSTREAM", or "DOWNSTREAM")						L135 UPSTREAM		L154 DOWNSTREAM		L136 AT SITE			
Sample Sequence #						1		1		1			
If sample is a Blank, specify Type: (F)ield, (T)rip, (M)ethod, or (E)quipment						NA		NA		NA			
Sample Date and Time (Month/Day/Year hour: minutes)						2/7/2017 12:33		2/7/2017 12:21		3/7/2017 09:05			
Duplicate ("Y" or "N")[1]						N		N		N			
Split ('Y' or "N")[2]						N		N		N			
Facility Sample ID Number (if applicable)						L135SS2-17		L154US2-17		L136SS2-17			
Laboratory Sample ID Number (if applicable)						415912001		415936002		418085001			
Date of Analysis (Month/Day/Year)						2/28/2017		2/27/2017		3/27/2017			
CAS RN[3]		CONSTITUENT	TD[4]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[5]	FLAGS[7]	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[5]	FLAGS[7]	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[5]	FLAGS[7]	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[5]	FLAGS[7]
A200-00-0	0	Flow	T	MGD	Field	0.1		0.24		0.69			
16887-00-6	2	Chloride(s)	T	MG/L	300.0	9.94		5.61		0.348			
14808-79-8	0	Sulfate	T	MG/L	300.0	22.7		24.5		6.08			
7439-89-6	0	Iron	T	MG/L	200.8	1.4		2.06		0.837			
7440-23-5	0	Sodium	T	MG/L	200.8	7.22		4.87		1.14			
S0268- -	0	Organic Carbon[6]	T	MG/L	9060	17		18.8		10.1			
S0097- -	0	BOD[6]	T	MG/L	not applicable		*		*		*		
S0130- -	0	Chemical Oxygen Demand	T	MG/L	410.4	72.3		72.3		67.4			

[1]Respond "Y" if the sample was a duplicate of another sample in this report
[2]Respond "Y" if the sample was split and analyzed by separate laboratories.
[3]Chemical Abstracts Service Registry Number or unique identifier number assigned by agency.
[4]"T" = Total; "D" = Dissolved
[5]"<" indicates a non-detect; do not use "ND" or "BDL". Value then shown is Practical Quantification Limit
[6]Facility has either/or option on Organic Carbon and (BOD) Biochemical Oxygen Demand - both are not required
[7]Flags are as designated, do not use any other type. Use "*," then describe on "Written Comments" page.

STANDARD FLAGS:
* = See Comments
J = Estimated Value
B = Analyte found in blank
A = Average value
N = Presumptive ID
D = Concentration from analysis of a secondary dilution factor

SURFACE WATER - QUARTERLY

Facility: **US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant**

Permit Number: **073-00014 & 073-00015**

FINDS/UNIT: KY8-890-008-982 / 1

LAB ID: None

For Official Use Only

SURFACE WATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS - (Cont.)

Monitoring Point (KPDES Discharge Number, or "UPSTREAM" or "DOWNSTREAM")						L135 UPSTREAM		L154 DOWNSTREAM		L136 AT SITE			
CAS RN[3]		CONSTITUENT	TD[4]	Unit OF MEASURE	METHOD	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[5]	FLAGS[7]	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[5]	FLAGS[7]	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[5]	FLAGS[7]	DETECTED VALUE OR PQL[5]	FLAGS[7]
S0145- -	1	Specific Conductance	T	µHMS/CM	Field	268		224		157			
S0270- -	0	Total Suspended Solids	T	MG/L	160.2	38.4	*	44.6		11.4	*		
S0266- -	0	Total Dissolved Solids	T	MG/L	160.1	206		189		114			
S0269- -	0	Total Solids	T	MG/L	SM-2540 B 17	247		229		134			
S0296- -	0	pH	T	Units	Field	7.36		7.34		6.51			
7440-61-1		Uranium	T	MG/L	200.8	0.0178		0.00639		0.00196			
12587-46-1		Gross Alpha (α)	T	pCi/L	9310	13.9	*	7.2	*	2.61	*		
12587-47-2		Gross Beta (β)	T	pCi/L	9310	18.5	*	12.4	*	3.67	*		

RESIDENTIAL/INERT – QUARTERLY **Finds/Unit: KY8-890-008-982 / 1**

Facility: US DOE - Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant **LAB ID: None**

Permit Numbers: 073-00014 & 073-00015 **For Official Use Only**

SURFACE WATER
WRITTEN COMMENTS

Monitoring Point	Facility Sample ID	Constituent	Flag	Description
L135	L135SS2-17	Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD)		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Suspended Solids	*	Duplicate analysis not within control limits.
		Alpha activity		TPU is 8.17. Rad error is 7.83.
		Beta activity		TPU is 8.95. Rad error is 8.37.
L154	L154US2-17	Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD)		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Alpha activity	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 6.95. Rad error is 6.84.
		Beta activity		TPU is 7.92. Rad error is 7.65.
L136	L136SS2-17	Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD)		Analysis of constituent not required and not performed.
		Suspended Solids	*	Duplicate analysis not within control limits.
		Alpha activity	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 4.54. Rad error is 4.51.
		Beta activity	U	Indicates analyte/nuclide was analyzed for, but not detected. TPU is 7.13. Rad error is 7.1.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK