

Department of Energy

Portsmouth/Paducah Project Office 1017 Majestic Drive, Suite 200 Lexington, Kentucky 40513 (859) 219-4000

May 19, 2022

Ms. Lauren Linehan Division of Waste Management Kentucky Department for Environmental Protection 625 Hospital Drive Madisonville, Kentucky 42431

Ms. April Webb Hazardous Waste Branch Manager Division of Waste Management Kentucky Department for Environmental Protection 300 Sower Boulevard, 2nd Floor Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

Dear Ms. Linehan and Ms. Webb:

C-404 HAZARDOUS WASTE LANDFILL MAY 2022 SEMIANNUAL GROUNDWATER REPORT (OCTOBER 2021–MARCH 2022), PADUCAH GASEOUS DIFFUSION PLANT, PADUCAH, KENTUCKY, FRNP-RPT-0244/V1, HAZARDOUS WASTE MANAGEMENT FACILITY PERMIT NO. KY8-890-008-982, AGENCY INTEREST ID NO. 3059

Enclosed is the subject report for the first reporting period, fiscal year 2022. This report is required in accordance with Part II, Specific Condition II.K.6.d, of Hazardous Waste Management Facility Permit No. KY8-890-008-982 (Permit).

Results of the statistical analyses indicate that compliance well concentrations of permit-required parameters are not statistically different from those in background wells for the current monitoring period.

As reported in the May 2021 semiannual report (October 2020–March 2021), technetium-99 (Tc-99) detections in downgradient compliance well MW84A indicated a statistically significant exceedance over background well concentrations. An alternate source demonstration investigation for Tc-99 in MW84A was performed in June 2021 and indicated that the observed trend of increasing Tc-99 detection in MW84A was not a result of contamination being introduced into the well boring during drilling and well installation, but it is indicative of dissolved Tc-99 contamination found in the Regional Gravel Aquifer. Quarterly compliance monitoring groundwater sampling for radiological constituents was initiated in the third quarter of 2021 (July 2021) and the second quarterly monitoring event occurred in the fourth quarter of 2021 (November 2021). The results of the quarterly compliance monitoring that was conducted in November 2021 for Tc-99 and other radionuclides are included in this report.

RECEIVED By Terri.Drake at 3:40 pm, May 19, 2022

PPPO-02-10021054-22B

If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact David Dollins at (270) 441-6819.

Sincerely, **Tracey L. Duncan** Tracey Duncan Digitally signed by Tracey L. Duncan Date: 2022.05.19 12:51:04 -05'00' Tracey Duncan

Acting Paducah Site Lead Portsmouth/Paducah Project Office

Enclosures:

- 1. Certification Page
- C-404 Hazardous Waste Landfill May 2022 Semiannual Groundwater Report (October 2021–March 2022), Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah, Kentucky, FRNP-RPT-0244/V1

cc w/enclosures: abigal.parish@pppo.gov, PPPO april.ladd@pppo.gov, PPPO april.webb@ky.gov, KDEP brian.begley@ky.gov, KDEP bruce.ford@pad.pppo.gov, FRNP bryan.smith@pad.pppo.gov, FRNP christopher.travis@ky.gov, KDEP dave.dollins@pppo.gov, PPPO dennis.greene@pad.pppo.gov, FRNP frnpcorrespondence@pad.pppo.gov jennifer.woodard@pppo.gov, PPPO joel.bradburne@pppo.gov, PPPO ken.davis@pad.pppo.gov, FRNP lauren.linehan@ky.gov, KDEP leo.williamson@ky.gov, KDEP lisa.crabtree@pad.pppo.gov, FRNP myrna.redfield@pad.pppo.gov, FRNP pad.rmc@pad.pppo.gov stephaniec.brock@ky.gov, KYRHB tracey.duncan@pppo.gov, PPPO victor.weeks@epa.gov EPA

CERTIFICATION

Document Identification:

C-404 Hazardous Waste Landfill May 2022 Semiannual Groundwater Report (October 2021–March 2022), Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah, Kentucky, FRNP-RPT-0244/V1, Permit No. KY8-890-008-982, Agency Interest ID No. 3059, dated May 2022

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision according to a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Four Rivers Nuclear Partnership, LLC

Myrna E. Redfield

Myrna E. Redfield, Program Manager Four Rivers Nuclear Partnership, LLC Date: 2022.05.19 11:53:22 -05'00'

Digitally signed by Myrna E. Redfield

Date Signed

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision according to a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

U.S. Department of Energy

Tracey L. Duncan

Digitally signed by Tracey L. Duncan Date: 2022.05.19 12:53:29 -05'00'

Date Signed

Tracey Duncan, Acting Paducah Site Lead Portsmouth/Paducah Project Office U.S. Department of Energy

FRNP-RPT-0244/V1

C-404 Hazardous Waste Landfill May 2022 Semiannual Groundwater Report (October 2021–March 2022), Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah, Kentucky



This document is approved for public release per review by:

FRNP Classification Support

5-9-22 Date

FRNP-RPT-0244/V1

C-404 Hazardous Waste Landfill May 2022 Semiannual Groundwater Report (October 2021–March 2022), Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah, Kentucky

Date Issued—May 2022

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY Office of Environmental Management

Prepared by FOUR RIVERS NUCLEAR PARTNERSHIP, LLC, managing the Deactivation and Remediation Project at the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant under Contract DE-EM0004895

TA	TABLES		v
FIC	FIGURE		v
AC	ACRONYMS		vii
EX	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	Υ	ix
1.	I. INTRODUCTION 1.1 BACKGROUNI 1.2 MONITORING 1.2.1 Groundw 1.2.2 Landfill	D PERIOD ACTIVITIES water Monitoring Leachate	1 1 2 2 4
2.	2. STATISTICAL SYNC	OPSIS	
3.	3. DATA VALIDATION SUMMARY	N AND QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY	CONTROL
4.	4. PROFESSIONAL GE	OLOGIST AUTHORIZATION	9
5.	5. REFERENCES		
AF	APPENDIX A: C-404 ANAI	HAZARDOUS WASTE LANDFILL GROU LYTICAL RESULTS	JNDWATER
AF	APPENDIX B: C-404	HAZARDOUS WASTE LANDFILL STAT	ISTICAL ANALYSES B-1
AF	APPENDIX C: C-404 RESU	HAZARDOUS WASTE LANDFILL LEAC	HATE ANALYTICAL

CONTENTS

TABLES

1.	Monitoring Well Locations	. 2
2.	Assembled Kentucky Groundwater Numbers	.4

FIGURE

1.]	Ionitoring Wells
------	------------------

ACRONYMS

- Assembled Kentucky Groundwater AKGWA
- monitoring well MW
- Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Regional Gravel Aquifer RCRA
- RGA
- Upper Continental Recharge System Upper Regional Gravel Aquifer UCRS
- URGA

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report, *C-404 Hazardous Waste Landfill May 2022 Semiannual Groundwater Report (October 2021–March 2022), Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah, Kentucky,* FRNP-RPT-0244/V1, is being submitted by the U.S. Department of Energy in accordance with requirements in Kentucky Division of Waste Management Hazardous Waste Management Facility Permit, KY8-890-008-982 (Permit). The period covered by this report is October 2021 through March 2022; and the report includes analytical data from the November 2021 quarterly compliance monitoring for radionuclides, as well as the January 2022 semiannual sampling, for all parameters, of monitoring wells located in the vicinity of the closed C-404 Hazardous Waste Landfill).

The groundwater monitoring analytical data were subjected to statistical analyses. The analyses were conducted in accordance with the Hazardous Waste Management Facility Permit. There were no statistically significant differences between concentrations in the compliance versus background wells for the current reporting period.

The leachate in the C-404 Landfill leachate collection system is monitored at least monthly and, at a minimum, is removed and sampled when the level exceeds 3 ft in depth. During this reporting period of October 2021 through March 2022, the maximum depth of the leachate exceeded 3 ft on both January 11, 2022, and March 7, 2022. Subsequent to both measurements, leachate was removed and sampled. The depth of the leachate has not exceeded 3 ft during any of the other monthly monitoring events within the reporting period.

1. INTRODUCTION

This report contains the statistical evaluation of data from groundwater sampling and analysis for the closed C-404 Hazardous Waste Landfill (C-404 Landfill) at the U.S. Department of Energy Paducah Site (Paducah Site), Paducah, Kentucky. This semiannual report is required by the Kentucky Division of Waste Management Hazardous Waste Management Facility Permit, KY8-890-008-982 (Permit) (KDWM 2020), Specific Condition II.K.6.d—Recordkeeping, Reporting, and Response. The period covered by this report is October 2021 through March 2022.

Groundwater analytical results are provided in Appendix A. The statistical analyses and qualification statement are provided in Appendix B. Landfill leachate analytical results are provided in Appendix C.

1.1 BACKGROUND

The closed C-404 Landfill is located in the west-central portion of the Paducah Site secured area. The 1.2-acre facility operated as a surface impoundment from approximately 1952 until early 1957. During this time, influents to the impoundment originated from the C-400 Cleaning Building. In 1957, the impoundment was converted to a solid waste disposal facility for uranium-contaminated solid waste. When the impoundment was converted into a disposal facility, a sump was installed at the former weir to collect the leachate from the facility. Leachate is pumped from the sump, as needed, into a mobile tank. The leachate then is transferred to a permitted hazardous waste storage facility on-site prior to characterization and transferred off-site for treatment.

In 1986, the disposal of waste at the C-404 Landfill was halted, and a portion of the disposed-of waste was found to be Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA)-hazardous. The landfill was covered with a RCRA multilayered cap and certified closed in 1987. It currently is regulated under RCRA as a land disposal unit and compliance is monitored under the current Hazardous Waste Management Facility Permit (KDWM 2020).

Previous groundwater monitoring documented that concentrations in compliance wells were statistically different from background wells for trichloroethene (TCE). The *C-404 Landfill Source Demonstration, Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah, Kentucky,* (PRS 2007a) documented that the source of the TCE in compliance wells is not from the C-404 Landfill, but rather, the source is located upgradient/crossgradient of the C-404 Landfill.

Regional Gravel Aquifer (RGA) compliance monitoring well (MW) 90 was abandoned and replaced by MW90A in 2001. RGA compliance well MW420 was installed in 2007 to better assess groundwater quality at the C-404 Landfill (PRS 2007b).

Previous groundwater monitoring of RGA compliance well MW87 documented that concentrations in the compliance well were statistically different from background wells for lead and uranium (FRNP 2018). The *C-404 Hazardous Waste Landfill Alternate Source Demonstration—Source of Lead and Uranium in MW87 at the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah, Kentucky*, (FRNP 2019) concluded that the statistical differences were a result of infiltration of Upper Continental Recharge System (UCRS) groundwater into the RGA well due to compromised integrity of the well. The integrity of the well had deteriorated to a point that it no longer was suitable for its intended purpose. RGA compliance wells MW84, MW87, and background well MW93 were abandoned and replaced with MW84A, MW87A, and MW93A in 2019. RGA wells MW84 and MW93 were abandoned and replaced because they were the same age (installed in 1988) as MW87. MW84A and MW87A were placed 10 ft north of MW84 and MW87, respectively, and

screened at the same depth intervals. MW93A was placed 6 ft west of MW93 and screened at the same depth interval.

In the first semiannual reporting period for 2021 (October 2020–March 2021), statistical analysis of technetium-99 (Tc-99) detections in downgradient compliance well MW84A indicated a statistically significant exceedance over background concentrations. Notification of the statistically significant difference for Tc-99 in MW84A was submitted, pursuant to Part II, Specific Condition II.K.6.a, to the Kentucky Department of Waste Management.

An alternate source demonstration investigation for Tc-99 in MW84A was performed in June 2021. The *C-404 Hazardous Waste Landfill Alternate Source Demonstration—Source of Technetium-99 in MW84A at the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah, Kentucky*, FRNP-RPT-0206, consisted of redevelopment and over pumping of MW84A, and documentation review of the lines of evidence relating redevelopment of MW84A and the associated Tc-99 levels (FRNP 2021). The lines of evidence concluded that the observed trend of increasing Tc-99 in MW84A is not a result of contamination introduced into the well boring during drilling and well installation, but it is indicative of dissolved Tc-99 contamination in the RGA. Quarterly compliance monitoring for Tc-99 and other radionuclides is currently being conducted at the C-404 Landfill. This current semiannual report contains results from additional quarterly sampling conducted in November 2021 and January 2022.

In accordance with Permit Specific Condition II.K.6.d, development and submittal of an engineering feasibility plan for a corrective action program is not required when a statistically significant exceedance has been confirmed for radionuclides (i.e., Tc-99).

1.2 MONITORING PERIOD ACTIVITIES

1.2.1 Groundwater Monitoring

There are nine MWs sampled under the Permit for the C-404 Landfill: four UCRS wells and five Upper Regional Gravel Aquifer (URGA) wells. A map of the MW locations is provided in Figure 1.

Table 1 presents the well number for URGA wells located upgradient and downgradient of the C-404 Landfill. Table 1 also presents the well numbers for the UCRS wells located in proximity to the URGA wells. This table refers to the UCRS wells as being adjacent to an upgradient or downgradient URGA well location and are identified relative to URGA groundwater flow direction. The conceptual model for the C-404 Landfill indicates that groundwater in the UCRS wells flows primarily vertically downward until it reaches the URGA; therefore, UCRS wells are not considered "upgradient" or "downgradient" of other UCRS wells in the area.

UCRS	
Located south of C-404 Landfill, adjacent to	MW04
upgradient URGA background well MW93A	M W 94
Located north of C-404 Landfill, adjacent to	
downgradient URGA compliance wells	Mw 85, Mw 88, Mw 91A*
URGA	
Upgradient background wells	MW93A*, MW420
Downgradient compliance wells	MW84A*, MW87A*, MW90A*

Table 1. Monitoring Well Locations

*MW90 was abandoned in 2001 and replaced with MW90A. MW91 was abandoned in 2017 and replaced with MW91A. MW84, MW87, and MW93 were abandoned in 2019 and replaced with MW84A, MW87A, and MW93A.



Figure 1. Monitoring Wells

Table 2 presents the Assembled Kentucky Groundwater (AKGWA) numbers for each MW.

Paducah Site Well	AKGWA
Number	Number
MW84A	8007-4849
MW85	8000-5234
MW87A	8007-4850
MW88	8000-5237
MW90A	8004-0357
MW91A	8007-2917
MW93A	8007-4851
MW94	8000-5103
MW420	8005-3263

Table 2.	Assembled	Kentucky
Grou	ndwater Ni	umbers

All nine MWs were sampled in November 2021 and January 2022 during this reporting period. Samples collected in November 2021 were analyzed for radionuclides and the samples collected in January 2022 were analyzed for parameters required by Part VIII.E of the Permit. Groundwater sampling was conducted using procedure CP4-ES-2101, *Groundwater Sampling*. Appropriate sample containers and preservatives were used. The laboratory that performed the analyses used U.S. Environmental Protection Agency-approved methods, as applicable. Appendix A of this report contains the analytical results. Appendix B of this report contains the statistical analyses.

1.2.2 Landfill Leachate

In accordance with Appendix I2, C-404 Landfill Closure Plan, Section 1.2 of the Permit, the quantity of liquid in the leachate collection system is monitored (at least monthly) and, at a minimum, will be "removed when the quantity exceeds 3 ft in depth." Once the leachate depth reaches 3 ft, the leachate is pumped into a mobile tank. The leachate then is transferred to a permitted hazardous waste storage facility on-site prior to characterization and transferred off-site for treatment. During this reporting period of October 2021 through March 2022, the maximum depth of the leachate exceeded 3 ft on both January 11, 2022, and March 7, 2022. Leachate depths on those dates were 38 and 42 inches, respectively. Subsequent to both measurements, 900 and 1,500 gallons of leachate was removed, respectively, and sampled. The depth of the leachate has not exceeded 3 ft during any of the other monthly monitoring events within the reporting period. Results of the leachate results for the samples collected in March 2022 were not available at the date this report was issued.

2. STATISTICAL SYNOPSIS

The statistical analyses conducted on the data collected from the C-404 Landfill were performed in accordance with procedures in the Permit, Part VIII.E, reissued in February 2020. Appendix B of this report contains the statistical analyses performed for this reporting period. Statistical analyses utilized data from the URGA background wells, MW93A and MW420, and URGA compliance wells, MW84A, MW87A, and MW90A. Quarterly compliance monitoring groundwater sampling for radiological constituents was initiated during third quarter 2021 (July 2021) and the second quarterly monitoring event occurred during fourth quarter 2021 (November 2021). The additional quarter of groundwater data for radiological constituents alters the available data sets for the statistical analyses. For this reporting period, the data set includes nonradionuclide data from January 2020, July 2020, January 2021, July 2021, and January 2022. The reporting period data set for radionuclides includes July 2020, January 2021, July 2021, November 2021, and January 2022.

Appendix B provides a summary of the statistical analyses performed. The statistical tests on all wells and parameters showed no statistical difference between concentrations in the compliance and background wells.

3. DATA VALIDATION AND QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL SUMMARY

The data and the data validation qualifiers for the November 2021 and January 2022 data sets are provided in Appendix A. All data for this data set were considered useable as reported. Data validation was performed on the analytical data by an independent, third-party validator.

Field quality control samples are collected during each semiannual sampling event. Equipment rinseate blanks, field blanks, field duplicates, and trip blanks are obtained to ensure quality control and are reported in the analytical results in Appendix A. Laboratory quality control samples, such as matrix spikes, matrix spike duplicates, and method blanks, are performed by the laboratory and reported in the laboratory report. Both field and laboratory quality control sample results are reviewed as part of the data validation process.

4. PROFESSIONAL GEOLOGIST AUTHORIZATION

DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

C-404 Hazardous Waste Landfill May 2022 Semiannual Groundwater Report (October 2021–March 2022), Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah, Kentucky (FRNP-RPT-0244/V1)

Stamped and signed pursuant to my authority as a duly registered geologist under the provisions of *KRS* Chapter 322A.



5. REFERENCES

- FRNP (Four Rivers Nuclear Partnership, LLC) 2018. C-404 Hazardous Waste Landfill November 2018 Semiannual Groundwater Report (April 2018—September 2018), Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah, Kentucky, FRNP-RPT-0026/V2, U.S. Department of Energy, Paducah, KY, November.
- FRNP 2019. C-404 Hazardous Waste Landfill Alternate Source Demonstration—Source of Lead and Uranium in MW87 at the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah, Kentucky, FRNP-RPT-0078, Four Rivers Nuclear Partnership, LLC, Kevil, KY.
- FRNP 2021. C-404 Hazardous Waste Landfill Alternate Source Demonstration—Source of Technetium-99 in MW84A at the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah, Kentucky, FRNP-RPT-0206, Four Rivers Nuclear Partnership, LLC, Kevil, KY, August.
- KDWM (Kentucky Division of Waste Management) 2020. Hazardous Waste Management Facility Permit for the U.S. Department of Energy, Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, KY8-890-008-982, effective February 21.
- PRS (Paducah Remediation Services, LLC) 2007a. C-404 Landfill Source Demonstration, Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah, Kentucky, PRS-ENM-0031/R2, Paducah Remediation Services, LLC, Kevil, KY.
- PRS 2007b. Well Plan for Addition of Wells for C-404 Monitoring Well Network, Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah, Kentucky, PRS/PROJ/0028, Paducah Remediation Services, LLC, Kevil, KY, July.

APPENDIX A

C-404 HAZARDOUS WASTE LANDFILL GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Facility: C-404 Landf	ill	County: <u>McCrack</u>	en		Permit #:	KY8-890	0-008-982	
Sampling Point:	MW84A REG	Downgradi	ient URG	A	Period: Ser	niannual	Report	
AKGWA Well Tag #:	8007-4849							
			Reporting	Date	Counting			
Parameter	Qualifier	Result Units	Limit	Collected	Error (+/-) TPU	Method \	/alidation
Arsenic		0.0348 mg/L	0.005	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Arsenic, Dissolved		0.0217 mg/L	0.005	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Barometric Pressure Read	ling	30.11 Inches/H	Hg	1/6/2022				Х
Cadmium	U	0.001 mg/L	0.001	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Cadmium, Dissolved	U	0.001 mg/L	0.001	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Chromium	U	0.01 mg/L	0.01	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Chromium, Dissolved	U	0.01 mg/L	0.01	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Conductivity		451 umho/c	m	1/6/2022				Х
Depth to Water		51.11 ft		1/6/2022				Х
Dissolved Oxygen		3.56 mg/L		1/6/2022				Х
Lead	U	0.002 mg/L	0.002	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Lead, Dissolved	U	0.002 mg/L	0.002	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Mercury	U	0.0002 mg/L	0.0002	1/6/2022			SW846-7470A	=
Mercury, Dissolved	U	0.0002 mg/L	0.0002	1/6/2022			SW846-7470A	=
рН		5.82 Std Unit		1/6/2022				Х
Redox		413 mV		1/6/2022				Х
Selenium	U	0.005 mg/L	0.005	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Selenium, Dissolved	U	0.005 mg/L	0.005	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Sulfate		8.86 mg/L	0.4	1/6/2022			SW846-9056A	=
Technetium-99		193 pCi/L	19.2	1/6/2022	15.7	26.6	HASL 300, Tc-02-RC N	= N
Temperature		57 deg F		1/6/2022				Х
Trichloroethene		6560 ug/L	100	1/6/2022			SW846-8260D	=
Turbidity		0 NTU		1/6/2022				Х
Uranium	U	0.0002 mg/L	0.0002	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Uranium-234	U	-0.219 pCi/L	1.08	1/6/2022	0.371	0.372	HASL 300, U-02-RC N	1 =
Uranium-235	U	-0.0363 pCi/L	0.724	1/6/2022	0.313	0.313	HASL 300, U-02-RC N	1 =
Uranium-238	U	-0.0293 pCi/L	0.586	1/6/2022	0.253	0.253	HASL 300, U-02-RC N	1 =

Facility: C-404 Land	fill	County: <u>N</u>	/lcCrack	en		Permit #: <u>k</u>	XY8-890	0-008-982	
Sampling Point:	MW84A FR	Do	wngradi	ent URG.	A	Period: Sem	iannual	Report	
AKGWA Well Tag #	8007-4849								
Parameter	Qualifier	Result	Units	Reporting Limit	Date Collected	Counting Error (+/-)	TPU	Method V	alidation
Arsenic		0.0317	mg/L	0.005	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Arsenic, Dissolved		0.0199	mg/L	0.005	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Cadmium	U	0.001	mg/L	0.001	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Cadmium, Dissolved	U	0.001	mg/L	0.001	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Chromium	U	0.01	mg/L	0.01	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Chromium, Dissolved	U	0.01	mg/L	0.01	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Lead	U	0.002	mg/L	0.002	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Lead, Dissolved	U	0.002	mg/L	0.002	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Mercury	U	0.0002	mg/L	0.0002	1/6/2022			SW846-7470A	=
Mercury, Dissolved	U	0.0002	mg/L	0.0002	1/6/2022			SW846-7470A	=
Selenium	U	0.005	mg/L	0.005	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Selenium, Dissolved	U	0.005	mg/L	0.005	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Sulfate		10	mg/L	0.4	1/6/2022			SW846-9056A	=
Technetium-99		225	pCi/L	19.5	1/6/2022	16.6	29.9	HASL 300, Tc-02-RC N	l =
Trichloroethene		6430	ug/L	100	1/6/2022			SW846-8260D	=
Uranium	U	0.0002	mg/L	0.0002	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Uranium-234	U	-0.297	pCi/L	1.24	1/6/2022	0.449	0.45	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	=
Uranium-235	U	0.145	pCi/L	0.436	1/6/2022	0.408	0.409	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	=
Uranium-238	U	0.0047	pCi/L	0.774	1/6/2022	0.348	0.349	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	=

Facility: C-404 Landf	ill	County: McCrac	ken		Permit #:	KY8-890	0-008-982	
Sampling Point:	MW85 REG	Downgrad	lient UCR	S	Period: Ser	miannual	Report	
AKGWA Well Tag #:	8000-5234							
			Reporting	Date	Counting	5		
Parameter	Qualifier	Result Units	Limit	Collected	Error (+/-	-) TPU	Method	Validation
Arsenic		0.00697 mg/L	0.005	1/10/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Arsenic, Dissolved	J	0.00474 mg/L	0.005	1/10/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Barometric Pressure Read	ing	30.59 Inches/	′Hg	1/10/2022				х
Cadmium	U	0.001 mg/L	0.001	1/10/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Cadmium, Dissolved	U	0.001 mg/L	0.001	1/10/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Chromium	U	0.01 mg/L	0.01	1/10/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Chromium, Dissolved	J	0.00392 mg/L	0.01	1/10/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Conductivity		299 umho/	ст	1/10/2022				Х
Depth to Water		10.15 ft		1/10/2022				Х
Dissolved Oxygen		2.79 mg/L		1/10/2022				Х
Lead	U	0.002 mg/L	0.002	1/10/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Lead, Dissolved	U	0.002 mg/L	0.002	1/10/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Mercury	U	0.0002 mg/L	0.0002	1/10/2022			SW846-7470A	=
Mercury, Dissolved	U	0.0002 mg/L	0.0002	1/10/2022			SW846-7470A	=
рН		6.07 Std Uni	it	1/10/2022				Х
Redox		406 mV		1/10/2022				Х
Selenium	U	0.005 mg/L	0.005	1/10/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Selenium, Dissolved	U	0.005 mg/L	0.005	1/10/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Sulfate		10.4 mg/L	0.4	1/10/2022			SW846-9056A	=
Technetium-99		54.5 pCi/L	18.5	1/10/2022	12.2	13.6	HASL 300, Tc-02-RC	M =
Temperature		54.7 deg F		1/10/2022				Х
Trichloroethene		1.68 ug/L	1	1/10/2022			SW846-8260D	=
Turbidity		1 NTU		1/10/2022				Х
Uranium		0.000738 mg/L	0.0002	1/10/2022			SW846-6020B	U
Uranium-234	U	0.0463 pCi/L	1.24	1/10/2022	0.58	0.581	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	= N
Uranium-235	U	0.155 pCi/L	0.976	1/10/2022	0.58	0.581	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	= N
Uranium-238	U	0.125 pCi/L	0.789	1/10/2022	0.469	0.47	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	- N

Facility: C-404 Landf	ill	County: <u>McCrack</u>	en		Permit #:	KY8-890	0-008-982	
Sampling Point:	MW87A REG	Downgradi	ient URG	A	Period: Ser	niannual	Report	
AKGWA Well Tag #:	8007-4850							
			Reporting	Date	Counting			
Parameter	Qualifier	Result Units	Limit	Collected	Error (+/-) TPU	Method \	/alidatior
Arsenic		0.00838 mg/L	0.005	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Arsenic, Dissolved		0.00536 mg/L	0.005	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Barometric Pressure Read	ling	30.14 Inches/H	Hg	1/6/2022				Х
Cadmium	U	0.001 mg/L	0.001	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Cadmium, Dissolved	U	0.001 mg/L	0.001	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Chromium	U	0.01 mg/L	0.01	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Chromium, Dissolved	U	0.01 mg/L	0.01	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Conductivity		336 umho/c	m	1/6/2022				Х
Depth to Water		51.28 ft		1/6/2022				Х
Dissolved Oxygen		3.95 mg/L		1/6/2022				Х
Lead	U	0.002 mg/L	0.002	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Lead, Dissolved	U	0.002 mg/L	0.002	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Mercury	U	0.0002 mg/L	0.0002	1/6/2022			SW846-7470A	=
Mercury, Dissolved	U	0.0002 mg/L	0.0002	1/6/2022			SW846-7470A	=
рН		5.82 Std Unit		1/6/2022				Х
Redox		412 mV		1/6/2022				Х
Selenium	U	0.005 mg/L	0.005	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Selenium, Dissolved	U	0.005 mg/L	0.005	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Sulfate		6.51 mg/L	0.4	1/6/2022			SW846-9056A	=
Technetium-99	U	0.113 pCi/L	20	1/6/2022	11.6	11.6	HASL 300, Tc-02-RC N	Λ =
Temperature		53.6 deg F		1/6/2022				Х
Trichloroethene		1890 ug/L	50	1/6/2022			SW846-8260D	=
Turbidity		10.01 NTU		1/6/2022				Х
Uranium	U	0.0002 mg/L	0.0002	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Uranium-234	U	-0.267 pCi/L	1.09	1/6/2022	0.305	0.305	HASL 300, U-02-RC N	1 =
Uranium-235	U	0 pCi/L	0.52	1/6/2022	0.349	0.35	HASL 300, U-02-RC N	1 =
Uranium-238	U	0 pCi/L	0.421	1/6/2022	0.283	0.283	HASL 300, U-02-RC N	1 =

Facility: C-404 Landf	ill	County: M	[cCracker	n		Permit #:	<u>KY8-890</u>	0-008-982	
Sampling Point:	MW88 REG	Dov	wngradie	nt UCR	S	Period: S	emiannual	Report	
AKGWA Well Tag #:	8000-5237								
				Reporting	Date	Countin	ig		.,
Parameter Arsonic	Qualifier	Result	Units	Limit	Collected	Error (+	/-) TPU	SW846-6020B	Validation
Arsenic		0.007	, ing/ L	0.005	1/10/2022			50000000000	-
Arsenic, Dissolved	J	0.00444	mg/L	0.005	1/10/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Barometric Pressure Read	ing	30.59	Inches/Hg	5	1/10/2022				Х
Cadmium	U	0.001	mg/L	0.001	1/10/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Cadmium, Dissolved	U	0.001	mg/L	0.001	1/10/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Chromium	J	0.00367	mg/L	0.01	1/10/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Chromium, Dissolved	U	0.01	mg/L	0.01	1/10/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Conductivity		600	umho/cm		1/10/2022				х
Depth to Water		9.36	ft		1/10/2022				Х
Dissolved Oxygen		2.6	mg/L		1/10/2022				Х
Lead	U	0.002	mg/L	0.002	1/10/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Lead, Dissolved	U	0.002	mg/L	0.002	1/10/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Mercury	J	0.000112	mg/L	0.0002	1/10/2022			SW846-7470A	=
Mercury, Dissolved	U	0.0002	mg/L	0.0002	1/10/2022			SW846-7470A	=
рН		5.88	Std Unit		1/10/2022				Х
Redox		408	mV		1/10/2022				Х
Selenium	U	0.005	mg/L	0.005	1/10/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Selenium, Dissolved	U	0.005	mg/L	0.005	1/10/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Sulfate		119	mg/L	4	1/10/2022			SW846-9056A	=
Technetium-99	U	16.1	pCi/L	22.5	1/10/2022	13.5	13.6	HASL 300, Tc-02-RC	M =
Temperature		54	deg F		1/10/2022				Х
Trichloroethene		2.31	ug/L	1	1/10/2022			SW846-8260D	=
Turbidity		17.24	NTU		1/10/2022				Х
Uranium	J	0.000141	mg/L	0.0002	1/10/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Uranium-234	U	0.268	pCi/L	1.62	1/10/2022	0.861	0.864	HASL 300, U-02-RC	M =
Uranium-235	U	0	pCi/L	0.787	1/10/2022	0.529	0.53	HASL 300, U-02-RC	M =
Uranium-238	U	-0.102	pCi/L	1.18	1/10/2022	0.45	0.451	HASL 300, U-02-RC	M =

Facility: C-404 Landf	ill (County: <u>McCrac</u>	ken		Permit #:	KY8-890	0-008-982	
Sampling Point:	MW90A REG	Downgra	dient URG	A	Period: Se	miannual	Report	
AKGWA Well Tag #:	8004-0357							
			Reporting	Date	Counting	S		
Parameter	Qualifier	Result Units	Limit	Collected	Error (+/	-) TPU	Method	Validation
Arsenic	J	0.00213 mg/L	0.005	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Arsenic, Dissolved	U	0.005 mg/L	0.005	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Barometric Pressure Read	ling	30.14 Inches	/Hg	1/6/2022				х
Cadmium	U	0.001 mg/L	0.001	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Cadmium, Dissolved	U	0.001 mg/L	0.001	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Chromium	U	0.01 mg/L	0.01	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Chromium, Dissolved	U	0.01 mg/L	0.01	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Conductivity		216 umho,	/cm	1/6/2022				Х
Depth to Water		50.4 ft		1/6/2022				Х
Dissolved Oxygen		5.48 mg/L		1/6/2022				Х
Lead	U	0.002 mg/L	0.002	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Lead, Dissolved	U	0.002 mg/L	0.002	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Mercury	U	0.0002 mg/L	0.0002	1/6/2022			SW846-7470A	=
Mercury, Dissolved	U	0.0002 mg/L	0.0002	1/6/2022			SW846-7470A	=
рН		5.88 Std Un	it	1/6/2022				Х
Redox		411 mV		1/6/2022				Х
Selenium	U	0.005 mg/L	0.005	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Selenium, Dissolved	U	0.005 mg/L	0.005	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Sulfate		3.94 mg/L	0.4	1/6/2022			SW846-9056A	=
Technetium-99	U	7.36 pCi/L	19.1	1/6/2022	11.3	11.3	HASL 300, Tc-02-RC	M =
Temperature		53.7 deg F		1/6/2022				Х
Trichloroethene		146 ug/L	4	1/6/2022			SW846-8260D	=
Turbidity		10.47 NTU		1/6/2022				Х
Uranium	U	0.0002 mg/L	0.0002	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Uranium-234	U	0.0161 pCi/L	0.91	1/6/2022	0.416	0.417	HASL 300, U-02-RC N	= N
Uranium-235	U	0.295 pCi/L	0.442	1/6/2022	0.505	0.506	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	= N
Uranium-238	U	0.181 pCi/L	0.66	1/6/2022	0.416	0.417	HASL 300, U-02-RC N	= N

Facility: C-404 Landfill		County: McCracken			Permit #: <u>KY8-890-008-982</u>			
Sampling Point:	AW91A REG Downgradient UCRS			S	Period: Ser	emiannual Report		
AKGWA Well Tag #:	8007-2917							
			Reporting	Date	Counting			
Parameter	Qualifier	Result Units	Limit	Collected	Error (+/-) TPU	Method	Validation
Arsenic		0.00886 mg/L	0.005	1/10/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Arsenic, Dissolved		0.00681 mg/L	0.005	1/10/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Barometric Pressure Read	ing	30.61 Inches/H	3	1/10/2022				х
Cadmium	U	0.001 mg/L	0.001	1/10/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Cadmium, Dissolved	U	0.001 mg/L	0.001	1/10/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Chromium	U	0.01 mg/L	0.01	1/10/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Chromium, Dissolved	U	0.01 mg/L	0.01	1/10/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Conductivity		780 umho/cm	1	1/10/2022				Х
Depth to Water		13.22 ft		1/10/2022				Х
Dissolved Oxygen		1.8 mg/L		1/10/2022				Х
Lead	U	0.002 mg/L	0.002	1/10/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Lead, Dissolved	U	0.002 mg/L	0.002	1/10/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Mercury	U	0.0002 mg/L	0.0002	1/10/2022			SW846-7470A	=
Mercury, Dissolved	U	0.0002 mg/L	0.0002	1/10/2022			SW846-7470A	=
рН		6.1 Std Unit		1/10/2022				Х
Redox		237 mV		1/10/2022				Х
Selenium	U	0.005 mg/L	0.005	1/10/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Selenium, Dissolved	U	0.005 mg/L	0.005	1/10/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Sulfate		78.7 mg/L	2	1/10/2022			SW846-9056A	=
Technetium-99		53.6 pCi/L	20.1	1/10/2022	13	14.3	HASL 300, Tc-02-RC	M =
Temperature		59.9 deg F		1/10/2022				Х
Trichloroethene		31.7 ug/L	1	1/10/2022			SW846-8260D	=
Turbidity		3.79 NTU		1/10/2022				Х
Uranium	U	0.0002 mg/L	0.0002	1/10/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Uranium-234	U	-0.302 pCi/L	2.05	1/10/2022	0.801	0.802	HASL 300, U-02-RC I	= N
Uranium-235	U	-0.126 pCi/L	1.46	1/10/2022	0.558	0.559	HASL 300, U-02-RC I	= N
Uranium-238	U	0.323 pCi/L	1.18	1/10/2022	0.743	0.744	HASL 300, U-02-RC I	= N
Facility: C-404 Landfill		County: McCracken			Permit #: <u>k</u>	0-008-982		
--------------------------	-----------	-------------------	-----------	-----------	--------------------	-----------	----------------------	-----------
Sampling Point:	MW93A REG	Upgradient	URG	A	Period: Sem	iannual	Report	
AKGWA Well Tag #:	8007-4851							
			Reporting	Date	Counting			
Parameter	Qualifier	Result Units	Limit	Collected	Error (+/-)	TPU	Method V	alidation
Arsenic		0.0134 mg/L	0.005	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Arsenic, Dissolved		0.00875 mg/L	0.005	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Barometric Pressure Read	ing	30.15 Inches/Hg		1/6/2022				Х
Cadmium	U	0.001 mg/L	0.001	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Cadmium, Dissolved	U	0.001 mg/L	0.001	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Chromium	J	0.00777 mg/L	0.01	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Chromium, Dissolved	J	0.00325 mg/L	0.01	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Conductivity		380 umho/cm		1/6/2022				Х
Depth to Water		54.19 ft		1/6/2022				Х
Dissolved Oxygen		2.89 mg/L		1/6/2022				Х
Lead	U	0.002 mg/L	0.002	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Lead, Dissolved	U	0.002 mg/L	0.002	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Mercury	U	0.0002 mg/L	0.0002	1/6/2022			SW846-7470A	=
Mercury, Dissolved	U	0.0002 mg/L	0.0002	1/6/2022			SW846-7470A	=
рН		5.88 Std Unit		1/6/2022				Х
Redox		406 mV		1/6/2022				Х
Selenium	U	0.005 mg/L	0.005	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Selenium, Dissolved	U	0.005 mg/L	0.005	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Sulfate		7.21 mg/L	0.4	1/6/2022			SW846-9056A	=
Technetium-99	U	1.33 pCi/L	20.9	1/6/2022	12.2	12.2	HASL 300, Tc-02-RC N	1 =
Temperature		57.1 deg F		1/6/2022				Х
Trichloroethene		2550 ug/L	50	1/6/2022			SW846-8260D	=
Turbidity		7.33 NTU		1/6/2022				Х
Uranium	J	0.000126 mg/L	0.0002	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Uranium-234	U	-0.107 pCi/L	2.71	1/6/2022	1.13	1.13	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	=
Uranium-235	U	0.428 pCi/L	2.06	1/6/2022	1.36	1.37	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	=
Uranium-238	U	-0.144 pCi/L	2.02	1/6/2022	0.796	0.8	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	=

Facility: C-404 Landfill		County: McCracken			Permit #: H	0-008-982		
Sampling Point:	MW94 REG	Upgradient	UCR	S	Period: Sen	niannual	Report	
AKGWA Well Tag #:	8000-5103							
Paramotor	Qualifier	Result Units	Reporting	Date	Counting	тріі	Method \	/alidation
Arsenic	U	0.005 mg/L	0.005	1/10/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Arsenic, Dissolved	U	0.005 mg/L	0.005	1/10/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Barometric Pressure Read	ing	30.62 Inches/Hg	g	1/10/2022				Х
Cadmium	U	0.001 mg/L	0.001	1/10/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Cadmium, Dissolved	U	0.001 mg/L	0.001	1/10/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Chromium	U	0.01 mg/L	0.01	1/10/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Chromium, Dissolved	J	0.00325 mg/L	0.01	1/10/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Conductivity		760 umho/cm	1	1/10/2022				Х
Depth to Water		14.08 ft		1/10/2022				Х
Dissolved Oxygen		1.47 mg/L		1/10/2022				Х
Lead	J	0.000526 mg/L	0.002	1/10/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Lead, Dissolved	U	0.002 mg/L	0.002	1/10/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Mercury	U	0.0002 mg/L	0.0002	1/10/2022			SW846-7470A	=
Mercury, Dissolved	U	0.0002 mg/L	0.0002	1/10/2022			SW846-7470A	=
рН		6.32 Std Unit		1/10/2022				Х
Redox		350 mV		1/10/2022				Х
Selenium	U	0.005 mg/L	0.005	1/10/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Selenium, Dissolved	U	0.005 mg/L	0.005	1/10/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Sulfate		91.8 mg/L	2	1/10/2022			SW846-9056A	=
Technetium-99		1010 pCi/L	19.5	1/10/2022	27.5	116	HASL 300, Tc-02-RC I	= N
Temperature		61.4 deg F		1/10/2022				Х
Trichloroethene		3.58 ug/L	1	1/10/2022			SW846-8260D	=
Turbidity		64.33 NTU		1/10/2022				Х
Uranium		0.00131 mg/L	0.0002	1/10/2022			SW846-6020B	U
Uranium-234	U	0.909 pCi/L	1.49	1/10/2022	1.05	1.06	HASL 300, U-02-RC N	1 =
Uranium-235	U	0.403 pCi/L	1.1	1/10/2022	0.792	0.794	HASL 300, U-02-RC N	1 =
Uranium-238	U	0.511 pCi/L	0.888	1/10/2022	0.736	0.739	HASL 300, U-02-RC N	1 =

Facility: C-404 Landfill		County: McCracken			Permit #: KY8-890-008-982			
Sampling Point:	MW420 REG	Upgradient	URG	A	Period: Sen	niannual	Report	
AKGWA Well Tag #:	8005-3263							
Parameter	Qualifier	Result Units	Reporting	Date Collected	Counting Error (+/-)	ТРИ	Method \	/alidation
Arsenic	Quanter	0.0106 mg/L	0.005	1/6/2022		,	SW846-6020B	=
Arsenic, Dissolved		0.00631 mg/L	0.005	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Barometric Pressure Read	ing	30.16 Inches/H	5	1/6/2022				Х
Cadmium	U	0.001 mg/L	0.001	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Cadmium, Dissolved	U	0.001 mg/L	0.001	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Chromium	U	0.01 mg/L	0.01	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Chromium, Dissolved	U	0.01 mg/L	0.01	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Conductivity		366 umho/cm	1	1/6/2022				Х
Depth to Water		53.13 ft		1/6/2022				Х
Dissolved Oxygen		1.59 mg/L		1/6/2022				Х
Lead	U	0.002 mg/L	0.002	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Lead, Dissolved	U	0.002 mg/L	0.002	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Mercury	U	0.0002 mg/L	0.0002	1/6/2022			SW846-7470A	=
Mercury, Dissolved	U	0.0002 mg/L	0.0002	1/6/2022			SW846-7470A	=
рН		5.81 Std Unit		1/6/2022				Х
Redox		418 mV		1/6/2022				Х
Selenium	U	0.005 mg/L	0.005	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Selenium, Dissolved	U	0.005 mg/L	0.005	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Sulfate		6.15 mg/L	0.4	1/6/2022			SW846-9056A	=
Technetium-99	U	-5.91 pCi/L	19.3	1/6/2022	11	11	HASL 300, Tc-02-RC I	= N
Temperature		53.4 deg F		1/6/2022				Х
Trichloroethene		2100 ug/L	40	1/6/2022			SW846-8260D	=
Turbidity		10.42 NTU		1/6/2022				Х
Uranium	U	0.0002 mg/L	0.0002	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Uranium-234	U	-0.0151 pCi/L	0.936	1/6/2022	0.413	0.413	HASL 300, U-02-RC N	1 =
Uranium-235	U	0.145 pCi/L	0.435	1/6/2022	0.407	0.408	HASL 300, U-02-RC N	1 =
Uranium-238	U	-0.0844 pCi/L	0.716	1/6/2022	0.255	0.255	HASL 300, U-02-RC N	1 =

Facility: C-404 Landfill		County	County: McCracken			Permit #: <u>KY8-890-008-982</u>				
Type of Sample:	FB]	Period: Sem	niannual Re	port QC Sampl	es	
AKGWA Well Tag #:	0000-0000	1								
Parameter	Qualifier	Result	Units	Reporting Limit	Date Collected	Counting Error (+/-)	TPU	Method	Validation	
Arsenic	U	0.005	mg/L	0.005	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=	
Cadmium	U	0.001	mg/L	0.001	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=	
Chromium	U	0.01	mg/L	0.01	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=	
Lead	U	0.002	mg/L	0.002	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=	
Mercury	U	0.0002	mg/L	0.0002	1/6/2022			SW846-7470A	=	
Selenium	U	0.005	mg/L	0.005	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=	
Technetium-99	U	-5.07	pCi/L	20	1/6/2022	11.4	11.4	HASL 300, Tc-0	2- =	
Trichloroethene	U	1	ug/L	1	1/6/2022			SW846-8260D	=	
Uranium	U	0.0002	mg/L	0.0002	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=	
Uranium-234	U	-0.101	pCi/L	3	1/6/2022	1.26	1.26	HASL 300, U-02 RC M	- =	
Uranium-235	U	0	pCi/L	1.64	1/6/2022	1.08	1.08	HASL 300, U-02 RC M	- =	
Uranium-238	U	-0.108	pCi/L	2.08	1/6/2022	0.885	0.889	HASL 300, U-02 RC M	- =	

Facility: C-404 Landfill		County: McCracken			_	Permit #:	08-982		
Type of Sample:	RI					Period: Se	miannual Re	port QC Sampl	es
AKGWA Well Tag #:	0000-0000)							
Parameter	Qualifier	Result	Units	Reporting Limit	Date Collected	Countin Error (+/	g -) TPU	Method	Validation
Arsenic	U	0.005	mg/L	0.005	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Cadmium	U	0.001	mg/L	0.001	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Chromium	U	0.01	mg/L	0.01	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Lead	U	0.002	mg/L	0.002	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Mercury	U	0.0002	mg/L	0.0002	1/6/2022			SW846-7470A	=
Selenium	U	0.005	mg/L	0.005	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Technetium-99	U	-9.34	pCi/L	19.7	1/6/2022	11	.2 11.2	HASL 300, Tc-0 RC M	2- =
Trichloroethene	U	1	ug/L	1	1/6/2022			SW846-8260D	=
Uranium	U	0.0002	mg/L	0.0002	1/6/2022			SW846-6020B	=
Uranium-234	U	-0.419	pCi/L	4.15	1/6/2022	1.5	59 1.59	HASL 300, U-02 RC M	2- =
Uranium-235	U	-0.0824	pCi/L	2.85	1/6/2022	1.3	34 1.35	HASL 300, U-02 RC M	2- =
Uranium-238	U	-0.333	pCi/L	3.13	1/6/2022	1.1	1.12	HASL 300, U-02 RC M	<u>?</u> - =

Facility: C-404 Landfi	ll County: McCracken			Permit #: <u>KY8-890-008-982</u>					
Type of Sample:	TB				ŀ	Period: Semia	nnual Re	eport QC Sampl	es
AKGWA Well Tag #:	0000-0000								
Parameter	Qualifier	Result	Units	Reporting Limit	Date Collected	Counting Error (+/-)	TPU	Method	Validation
Trichloroethene	U	1	ug/L	1	12/21/2021			SW846-8260D	х
	U	1	ug/L	1	1/6/2022			SW846-8260D	=
	U	1	ug/L	1	1/10/2022			SW846-8260D	=

QUALIFIER Codes

- U Analyte analyzed for, but not detected at or below the lowest concentration reported.
- J Estimated quantitation.

SAMPLING POINT Codes

- UCRS Upper Continental Recharge System
- URGA Upper Regional Gravel Aquifer

SAMPLE TYPE Codes

- FB Field Blank
- FR Field Duplicate as defined in sampling procedure.
- REG Regular
- RI QC Equipment Rinseate/Decon
- TB Trip Blank

VALIDATION Codes

- = Validated result, no qualifier is necessary.
- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.
- X Not validated.

Facility: <u>C-404 Land</u>	fill	County: McCracken	I	Permit #: <u>k</u>)-008-982			
Sampling Point:	MW84A REG	Downgradier	nt URG	A]	Period: <u>Sem</u>	iannual	Report	
AKGWA Well Tag #:	8007-4849							
Parameter	Qualifier	Result Units	Reporting Limit	Date Collected	Counting Error (+/-)	TPU	Method V	alidation
Barometric Pressure Read	ding	30.22 Inches/Hg		11/29/2021				х
Conductivity		454 umho/cm		11/29/2021				Х
Depth to Water		51.01 ft		11/29/2021				Х
Dissolved Oxygen		3.78 mg/L		11/29/2021				Х
рН		5.91 Std Unit		11/29/2021				Х
Redox		497 mV		11/29/2021				Х
Technetium-99		258 pCi/L	20	11/29/2021	17.8	33.7	HASL 300, Tc-02-RC N	=
Temperature		58.6 deg F		11/29/2021				Х
Turbidity		0.6 NTU		11/29/2021				Х
Uranium-234	U	0.511 pCi/L	1.96	11/29/2021	1.11	1.12	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	=
Uranium-235	U	0 pCi/L	0.823	11/29/2021	0.553	0.555	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	=
Uranium-238	U	0.00888 pCi/L	1.46	11/29/2021	0.658	0.659	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	=

Facility: C-404 Land	fill	County: <u>N</u>	/lcCrack	en		Permit #: <u>k</u>	XY8-890	0-008-982	
Sampling Point:	MW84A FR	Do	wngradi	ent URG.	A	Period: Sem	iannual	Report	
AKGWA Well Tag #:	8007-4849								
				Reporting	Date	Counting			
Parameter	Qualifier	Result	Units	Limit	Collected	Error (+/-)	TPU	Method	Validation
Technetium-99		249	pCi/L	19.4	11/29/2021	l 17.2	32.7	HASL 300, Tc-02-RC	M =
Uranium-234	U	-0.327	pCi/L	1.52	11/29/2021	L 0.521	0.521	HASL 300, U-02-RC I	= N
Uranium-235	U	0.166	pCi/L	1.45	11/29/2021	L 0.742	0.743	HASL 300, U-02-RC I	= N
Uranium-238	U	0.382	pCi/L	1.02	11/29/2021	L 0.675	0.677	HASL 300, U-02-RC I	= N

Facility: <u>C-404 Land</u>	fill	County: McCracken	l]	Permit #: 📃	KY8-890)-008-982	
Sampling Point:	MW85 REG	Downgradien	t UCR	S	Period: <u>Sen</u>	niannual	Report	
AKGWA Well Tag #:	8000-5234							
Parameter	Qualifier	Result Units	Reporting Limit	Date Collected	Counting Error (+/-)) TPU	Method V	alidation/
Barometric Pressure Read	ding	30.22 Inches/Hg		11/29/2021				х
Conductivity		395 umho/cm		11/29/2021				Х
Depth to Water		10.6 ft		11/29/2021				Х
Dissolved Oxygen		2.69 mg/L		11/29/2021				Х
рН		6.29 Std Unit		11/29/2021				Х
Redox		472 mV		11/29/2021				Х
Technetium-99		55.9 pCi/L	18.2	11/29/2021	12.2	13.7	HASL 300, Tc-02-RC N	1 =
Temperature		57.4 deg F		11/29/2021				Х
Turbidity		17.9 NTU		11/29/2021				Х
Uranium-234	U	-0.246 pCi/L	1.42	11/29/2021	0.505	0.506	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	=
Uranium-235	U	0.156 pCi/L	0.986	11/29/2021	0.586	0.587	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	=
Uranium-238	U	-0.106 pCi/L	1.49	11/29/2021	0.626	0.627	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	=

Facility: <u>C-404 Land</u>	fill	County: McCracken]	Permit #: <u>H</u>)-008-982			
Sampling Point:	MW87A REG	Downgradien	t URG.	A	Period: <u>Sem</u>	niannual	Report	
AKGWA Well Tag #:	8007-4850							
Parameter	Qualifier	Result Units	Reporting Limit	Date Collected	Counting Error (+/-)	TPU	Method V	alidation
Barometric Pressure Read	ding	30.23 Inches/Hg		11/29/2021				х
Conductivity		342 umho/cm		11/29/2021				Х
Depth to Water		51.07 ft		11/29/2021				Х
Dissolved Oxygen		2.51 mg/L		11/29/2021				Х
рН		5.83 Std Unit		11/29/2021				Х
Redox		450 mV		11/29/2021				Х
Technetium-99	U	11.6 pCi/L	18.2	11/29/2021	10.9	11	HASL 300, Tc-02-RC N	1 =
Temperature		59.8 deg F		11/29/2021				Х
Turbidity		47.01 NTU		11/29/2021				Х
Uranium-234	U	-0.119 pCi/L	1.43	11/29/2021	0.625	0.625	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	=
Uranium-235	U	-0.155 pCi/L	1.07	11/29/2021	0.36	0.361	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	=
Uranium-238	U	-0.147 pCi/L	1.24	11/29/2021	0.501	0.501	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	=

Facility: <u>C-404 Land</u>	fill	County: McCracken]	Permit #: 📃	KY8-890)-008-982	
Sampling Point:	MW88 REG	Downgradien	t UCRS	8	Period: <u>Sen</u>	niannual	Report	
AKGWA Well Tag #:	8000-5237							
Parameter	Qualifier	Result Units	Reporting Limit	Date Collected	Counting Error (+/-)) TPU	Method V	alidation
Barometric Pressure Read	ling	30.23 Inches/Hg		11/29/2021				х
Conductivity		637 umho/cm		11/29/2021				Х
Depth to Water		9.84 ft		11/29/2021				Х
Dissolved Oxygen		0.93 mg/L		11/29/2021				Х
рН		5.8 Std Unit		11/29/2021				Х
Redox		415 mV		11/29/2021				Х
Technetium-99	U	16.3 pCi/L	20	11/29/2021	12.1	12.2	HASL 300, Tc-02-RC N	1 =
Temperature		57.3 deg F		11/29/2021				Х
Turbidity		28.2 NTU		11/29/2021				Х
Uranium-234	U	0.0895 pCi/L	1.43	11/29/2021	0.691	0.692	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	=
Uranium-235	U	0.108 pCi/L	1.15	11/29/2021	0.6	0.601	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	=
Uranium-238	U	-0.316 pCi/L	1.55	11/29/2021	0.546	0.546	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	=

Facility: C-404 Land	fill	County: McCracken			Permit #:	<u>KY8-89</u>)-008-982	
Sampling Point:	MW90A REG	Downgradien	t URG	A	Period: <u>Se</u>	miannual	Report	
AKGWA Well Tag #:	8004-0357			. .	.			
Parameter	Qualifier	Result Units	Reporting Limit	Date Collected	Error (+/	3 -) TPU	Method V	/alidation
Barometric Pressure Read	ling	30.23 Inches/Hg		11/29/2021	1			Х
Conductivity		220 umho/cm		11/29/2021	1			Х
Depth to Water		49.81 ft		11/29/2021	1			Х
Dissolved Oxygen		4 mg/L		11/29/2021	1			Х
рН		5.87 Std Unit		11/29/2021	L			Х
Redox		429 mV		11/29/2021	1			Х
Technetium-99	U	15.7 pCi/L	18.1	11/29/2021	1 11	11.1	HASL 300, Tc-02-RC N	1 =
Temperature		58.2 deg F		11/29/2021	1			Х
Turbidity		2.63 NTU		11/29/2021	1			Х
Uranium-234	U	-0.449 pCi/L	1.19	11/29/2021	1 0.429	0.429	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	=
Uranium-235	U	-0.00225 pCi/L	0.981	11/29/2021	1 0.455	0.455	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	=
Uranium-238	U	-0.322 pCi/L	1.05	11/29/2021	L 0.38	0.38	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	=

Facility: <u>C-404 Land</u>	fill	County: McCracken	l]	Permit #: <u>KY8-890-008-982</u>			
Sampling Point:	MW91A REG	Downgradien	t UCR	S	Period: Sem	niannual	Report	
AKGWA Well Tag #:	8007-2917							
Parameter	Qualifier	Result Units	Reporting Limit	Date Collected	Counting Error (+/-)	TPU	Method \	/alidation
Barometric Pressure Read	ling	30.22 Inches/Hg		11/29/2021	L			х
Conductivity		848 umho/cm		11/29/2021	L			Х
Depth to Water		12.27 ft		11/29/2021	L			Х
Dissolved Oxygen		0.9 mg/L		11/29/2021	L			Х
рН		6.12 Std Unit		11/29/2021	L			Х
Redox		215 mV		11/29/2021	L			Х
Technetium-99		38.3 pCi/L	19.7	11/29/2021	L 12.5	13.2	HASL 300, Tc-02-RC N	/ =
Temperature		60.2 deg F		11/29/2021	L			Х
Turbidity		1.7 NTU		11/29/2021	L			Х
Uranium-234	U	-0.573 pCi/L	1.95	11/29/2021	L 0.685	0.685	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	=
Uranium-235	U	0.273 pCi/L	1.3	11/29/2021	L 0.752	0.753	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	=
Uranium-238	U	-0.373 pCi/L	1.45	11/29/2021	L 0.425	0.426	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	=

Facility: <u>C-404 Land</u>	fill	County: McCracken			Permit #:	KY8-890)-008-982	
Sampling Point:	MW93A REG	Upgradient	URG	A	Period: Ser	niannual	Report	
AKGWA Well Tag #:	8007-4851							
Parameter	Qualifier	Result Units	Reporting Limit	Date Collected	Counting Error (+/-) TPU	Method \	alidation/
Barometric Pressure Read	ling	30.2 Inches/Hg		11/29/2021	L			Х
Conductivity		384 umho/cm		11/29/2021	1			Х
Depth to Water		53.54 ft		11/29/2021	1			Х
Dissolved Oxygen		2.76 mg/L		11/29/2021	1			Х
рН		5.88 Std Unit		11/29/2021	1			Х
Redox		411 mV		11/29/2021	1			Х
Technetium-99	U	10.6 pCi/L	18.5	11/29/2021	l 11.1	11.1	HASL 300, Tc-02-RC N	1 =
Temperature		57.6 deg F		11/29/2021	1			Х
Turbidity		5.04 NTU		11/29/2021	1			Х
Uranium-234	U	-0.514 pCi/L	2.01	11/29/2021	1 0.671	0.671	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	=
Uranium-235	U	0.0103 pCi/L	1.7	11/29/2021	0.764	0.765	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	=
Uranium-238	U	-0.192 pCi/L	1.68	11/29/2021	1 0.649	0.649	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	=

Facility: <u>C-404 Land</u>	fill	County: McCracken]	Permit #: <u>k</u>	XY8-89	0-008-982	
Sampling Point:	MW94 REG	Upgradient	UCRS	5	Period: Sem	iannual	Report	
AKGWA Well Tag #	8000-5103		Reporting	Date	Counting			
Parameter	Qualifier	Result Units	Limit	Collected	Error (+/-)	TPU	Method V	alidation
Barometric Pressure Read	ding	30.2 Inches/Hg		11/29/2021	_			х
Conductivity		694 umho/cm		11/29/2021	L			Х
Depth to Water		14.18 ft		11/29/2021	L			Х
Dissolved Oxygen		1.24 mg/L		11/29/2021	_			Х
рН		6.32 Std Unit		11/29/2021				Х
Redox		363 mV		11/29/2021	L			Х
Technetium-99		1400 pCi/L	21.3	11/29/2021	. 34.4	159	HASL 300, Tc-02-RC N	1 =
Temperature		59.8 deg F		11/29/2021	L			Х
Turbidity		10.33 NTU		11/29/2021				Х
Uranium-234	U	0.272 pCi/L	0.643	11/29/2021	0.384	0.386	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	=
Uranium-235	U	0.235 pCi/L	0.3	11/29/2021	0.243	0.244	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	=
Uranium-238		0.54 pCi/L	0.304	11/29/2021	0.298	0.302	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	=

Facility: <u>C-404 Land</u>	fill	County: McCracken			Permit #:	<u>KY8-890</u>)-008-982	
Sampling Point:	MW420 REG	Upgradient	URG.	A	Period: <u>Se</u>	miannual	Report	
AKGWA Well Tag #:	8005-3263		Demention	Data	Countin	_		
Parameter	Qualifier	Result Units	Limit	Collected	Error (+/	B '-) TPU	Method V	/alidation
Barometric Pressure Read	ling	30.22 Inches/Hg		11/29/2021	1			Х
Conductivity		500 umho/cm		11/29/2021	1			Х
Depth to Water		53.26 ft		11/29/2021	1			Х
Dissolved Oxygen		4.5 mg/L		11/29/2021	1			Х
рН		6.18 Std Unit		11/29/2021	1			Х
Redox		305 mV		11/29/2021	1			Х
Technetium-99	U	12.4 pCi/L	18.8	11/29/2021	1 11.2	11.3	HASL 300, Tc-02-RC N	1 =
Temperature		58.4 deg F		11/29/2021	1			Х
Turbidity		0 NTU		11/29/2021	1			Х
Uranium-234	U	0.0199 pCi/L	1.49	11/29/2021	1 0.707	0.708	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	=
Uranium-235	U	-0.122 pCi/L	1.04	11/29/2021	1 0.369	0.369	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	=
Uranium-238	U	-0.0329 pCi/L	0.658	11/29/2021	1 0.284	0.284	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	=

Facility: <u>C-404 Landfill</u>		County	McC	racken	P	ermit #: <u>KY</u>	78-890-0	08-982	
Type of Sample:	FB				Р	eriod: Semia	nnual Rej	port QC Sample	es
AKGWA Well Tag #:	0000-0000)							
Parameter	Qualifier	Result	Units	Reporting Limit	Date Collected	Counting Error (+/-)	TPU	Method	Validation
Technetium-99	U	-1.56	pCi/L	18.9	11/29/2021	10.9	10.9	HASL 300, Tc-02 RC M	2- =
Uranium-234	U	-1.19	pCi/L	2.24	11/29/2021	0.817	0.817	HASL 300, U-02- RC M	- =
Uranium-235	U	-0.169	pCi/L	1.45	11/29/2021	0.589	0.59	HASL 300, U-02- RC M	- =
Uranium-238	U	-0.274	pCi/L	1.49	11/29/2021	0.606	0.606	HASL 300, U-02- RC M	- =

Facility: <u>C-404 Landfill</u>		County	McC	racken	P	ermit #: <u>K</u>	78-890-0	08-982	
Type of Sample:	RI				Р	eriod: Semia	nnual Re	port QC Sample	es
AKGWA Well Tag #:	0000-0000)							
Parameter	Qualifier	Result	Units	Reporting Limit	Date Collected	Counting Error (+/-)	TPU	Method	Validation
Technetium-99	U	0.859	pCi/L	19.1	11/29/2021	11.1	11.1	HASL 300, Tc-02 RC M	2- =
Uranium-234	U	-1.35	pCi/L	2.19	11/29/2021	0.785	0.785	HASL 300, U-02 RC M	- =
Uranium-235	U	-0.0015	pCi/L	1.07	11/29/2021	0.495	0.495	HASL 300, U-02 RC M	- =
Uranium-238	U	-0.313	pCi/L	1.43	11/29/2021	0.567	0.568	HASL 300, U-02 [.] RC M	- =

QUALIFIER Codes

U Analyte analyzed for, but not detected at or below the lowest concentration reported.

SAMPLING POINT Codes

- UCRS Upper Continental Recharge System
- URGA Upper Regional Gravel Aquifer

SAMPLE TYPE Codes

- FB Field Blank
- FR Field Duplicate as defined in sampling procedure.
- REG Regular
- RI QC Equipment Rinseate/Decon

VALIDATION Codes

- = Validated result, no qualifier is necessary.
- X Not validated.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

APPENDIX B

C-404 HAZARDOUS WASTE LANDFILL STATISTICAL ANALYSES

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

C-404 HAZARDOUS WASTE LANDFILL MAY 2022 SEMIANNUAL Facility: US DOE—Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant

Finds/Unit: <u>KY8-980-008-982/1</u> LAB ID: _____

For Official Use Only

GROUNDWATER STATISTICAL SUMMARY

INTRODUCTION

The statistical analyses conducted on the data collected from the C-404 Hazardous Waste Landfill (C-404 Landfill) were performed in accordance with procedures provided in Appendix E of the Hazardous Waste Management Facility Permit, reissued by the Kentucky Division of Waste Management in February 2020. The percent of censored (nondetected) data points for individual parameters was calculated for the combined analytical data from the most recent five sampling events. The percent of censored data was used to select the types of statistical analyses to determine whether compliance well concentrations differed from background well concentrations. Data points were used in the statistical analysis for analyte results close to the sample quantitation limit that were judged to be below that limit by the data validator.

Quarterly compliance monitoring groundwater sampling for radiological constituents was initiated in third quarter 2021 (July 2021) and the second quarterly compliance monitoring event occurred in fourth quarter 2021 (November 2021). The additional quarters of groundwater data for radiological constituents alters the available data sets for the statistical analyses. For the first reporting period 2022 semiannual report, the reporting period data set includes nonradiological data from January 2020, July 2020, January 2021, July 2021, and January 2022. The reporting period data set for radiological constituents includes July 2020, January 2021, July 2021, July 2021, November 2021, and January 2022.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS PROCESS

Utilizing the current data set and four previous data sets, the type of statistical test conducted for each chemical data set is a function of the number of samples and proportion of censored data (nondetects) to uncensored data (detects) in each group. The percent of censored (nondetected) data points for individual parameters was calculated for the combined analytical data. The statistical procedures applied to the data are summarized below.

- Determine the percentage of the censored data using the reporting period data set.
- Group by percentage of censored data where the following apply:
 - If censored data are greater than or equal to 90%, determine the limit of detection (LOD) and half of the LOD (1/2 LOD). This is Statistical Test 1.
 - If censored data are between 50% and 90%, perform a Test of Proportions. If the analysis indicates a significant proportional difference in compliance wells, further analyze through nonparametric Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) Test. This is Statistical Test 2.
 - If censored data are between 15% and 50%, perform nonparametric ANOVA Test. If results exceed the critical value, compute the critical difference used to identify individual well concentrations, which are significantly elevated compared with background. This is Statistical Test 3.

— If censored data are less than 15%, actual data values are analyzed using parametric ANOVA procedures. If the wells exhibit equal variances, then the data are used as presented. If the wells do not exhibit equal variances, then the log of the data is taken and then used in the calculations. Where statistical testing indicates elevated compliance well concentrations, Bonferroni's Test of Contrasts is performed. This is Statistical Test 4. Statistical Test 4 is found in Section 5.2.1 of EPA guidance document, *Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Final Guidance* (April 1989).

If the statistical method above indicates no statistical difference between concentrations in downgradient wells and concentrations in background wells, then there are no indications of statistically significant impacts on the groundwater from the C-404 Landfill. If the tiered statistical method above identifies a statistically significant difference between concentrations in downgradient wells and concentrations in background wells, then the data will be evaluated further to determine if the concentrations in downgradient wells are within the statistically developed upper tolerance limit (UTL) for background concentrations or are consistent with the findings of the 2007 Alternate Source Demonstration (ASD), as follows:

- (1) Compare the most recent downgradient sample results to a 95% UTL using the five most recent sets of data for each upgradient well as described below. If downgradient concentrations are lower than the UTL for the paired upgradient concentrations, then there is no confirmed exceedance.
- (2) Evaluate results using paired ANOVA of wells in the same direction relative to the landfill (e.g., compare upgradient westernmost well results to downgradient westernmost well results). If ANOVA does not identify a statistically significant difference between upgradient and downgradient wells, then the results are consistent with the historical ASD.
- (3) If results show downgradient wells have statistically significant higher concentrations than upgradient wells, even when evaluated with respect to the ASD, additional intra-well evaluation of trend will be performed using the Mann-Kendall test for trend. If concentrations do not show an increasing trend, then there is no confirmed exceedance attributable to C-404.
- (4) Review other Regional Gravel Aquifer (RGA) well results in vicinity to determine if they are consistent with ASD.

If the statistical analysis identifies downgradient well concentrations that are increasing, are higher than UTL, are higher than the upgradient well concentrations even when the ASD results are taken into account, this evaluation will identify a confirmed, statistically significant exceedance (in a compliance well) over background.

DATA ANALYSIS

Data from the upgradient background wells in the Upper Regional Gravel Aquifer (URGA) are included for comparison with three downgradient URGA wells. Figure 1 of this C-404 Landfill Groundwater Report provides a map of the well locations associated with the C-404 Landfill. Upper Continental Recharge System (UCRS) wells in Figure 1 are provided for reference only. Data from wells that are in the UCRS are not included in the statistical analyses.

Table B.1 presents the C-404 Landfill upgradient or background wells and downgradient or compliance wells from the URGA. Data from the URGA compliance wells were compared with data from the URGA background wells.

Table B.1. Monitoring Well Locations

URGA	
Upgradient background wells	MW93A*, MW420
Downgradient compliance wells	MW84A*, MW87A*, MW90A*
*MW90 was abandoned in 2001 and replaced with MW90A. MW	/84, MW87, and MW93 were abandoned in

2019 and replaced with MW84A, MW87A, and MW93A, respectively.

For this reporting period, the data set includes nonradionuclide data from January 2020, July 2020, January 2021, July 2021, and January 2022 and consists of five sets of data. The reporting period data set for radiological data is from July 2020 through January 2022 and also consists of five sets of data.

Table B.2 lists the number of analyses (observations), nondetects (censored observations), detects (uncensored observations), and missing observations by parameter. When field duplicate data are available from a well, the higher of the two readings was retained for further evaluation.

Parameters	Observations	Missing Observations	Censored Observations (Nondetects)	Uncensored Observations (Detects)
URGA				
Arsenic	25	0	2	23
Cadmium	25	0	22	3
Chromium	25	0	23	2
Lead	25	0	24	1
Mercury	25	0	25	0
Selenium	25	0	25	0
Technetium-99	25	0	18	7
Trichloroethene	25	0	0	25
Uranium (Metals)	25	0	18	7
Uranium-234	25	0	25	0
Uranium-235	25	0	25	0
Uranium-238	25	0	25	0

Table B.2. Summary of Missing, Censored, and Uncensored Data Collected

Censoring Percentage and Statistical Analysis

The type of statistical test set applied to the data is a function of the number of nondetects (censored) versus detects (uncensored) in each of the parameter groups and among the wells. Table B.3 presents the percentage of censored data and type of statistical test chosen for each of the parameters required by Part VIII.E of the Permit.

Parameter	Total Samples (Nonmissing)	Uncensored (Detects)	Censored (Nondetects)	Percent Censored	Statistical Test Set*
URGA					
Arsenic	25	23	2	8	4
Cadmium	25	3	22	88	2
Chromium	25	2	23	92	1
Lead	25	1	24	96	1
Mercury	25	0	25	100	1
Selenium	25	0	25	100	1
Technetium-99	25	7	18	72	2
Trichloroethene	25	25	0	0	4
Uranium (Metals)	25	7	18	72	2
Uranium-234	25	0	25	100	1
Uranium-235	25	0	25	100	1
Uranium-238	25	0	25	100	1

Table B.3. Percent Censored Report and Statistical Test Set Selected

*A list of the constituents with greater than or equal to 90% censored data is included in Table B.4, which summarizes the results of Statistical Test 1.

SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS

The results for Statistical Test 1, LOD, are summarized in Table B.4. Table B.5 provides the summary of conclusions for the C-404 Landfill statistical analyses for the first reporting period 2022, including the statistical tests performed, the attachment number, well type, parameter, and results of each statistical test. Results of Statistical Test 2, Statistical Test 3, and Statistical Test 4 are presented in Attachments B1 through B5. The statistician qualification statement is presented in Attachment B6.

Table B.4. Statistical Test 1: Limit of Detection

Parameter	LOD	½ LOD
	Values	Values
URGA		
Chromium	0.01	0.005
Lead	0.002	0.001
Mercury (mg/L)	0.0002	0.0001
Selenium (mg/L)	0.005	0.0025
Uranium-234 (pCi/L)	2.71	1.355
Uranium-235 (pCi/L)	2.06	1.03
Uranium-238 (pCi/L)	2.02	1.01

Table B.5. Summary of Conclusions from the C-404 Hazardous Waste Landfill Statistical Analyses for the First Reporting Period 2022

Attachment	RGA Well Type	Parameter	Applied Statistical Test	Results
B1	URGA	Arsenic	Statistical Test 4, Parametric ANOVA, Statistical Test 3, Nonparametric ANOVA with 95% UTL, paired ANOVA (MW84A vs. MW93A), and Mann-Kendall	Because equality of variance could not be confirmed, Statistical Test 4 was abandoned and Statistical Test 3, Nonparametric ANOVA, was performed. Nonparametric ANOVA indicated a statistically significant difference between concentrations in downgradient well MW84A and concentrations in background wells; a comparison to the 95% UTL, paired ANOVA, and Mann-Kendall trend analysis were performed, as required by the Hazardous Waste Management Facility Permit. The 95% UTL indicated a statistically significant difference between concentrations in compliance well MW84A and concentrations in background wells. Results of the paired ANOVA identified a significant difference between upgradient (MW93A) and downgradient (MW84A) wells. The Mann-Kendall trend analysis identified no trend in MW84A.
B2	URGA	Cadmium	Statistical Test 2, Test of Proportions	No statistically significant difference between concentrations in downgradient wells and concentrations in background wells.
B3	URGA	Technetium-99	Statistical Test 2, Test of Proportions	No statistically significant difference between concentrations in downgradient wells and concentrations in background wells.
B4	URGA	Trichloroethene	Statistical Test 4, Parametric ANOVA, with 95% UTL, paired ANOVA (MW84A vs. MW93A)	Because Parametric ANOVA indicated a statistically significant difference between concentrations in background wells and compliance well MW84A, a comparison to the 95% UTL was performed. The 95% UTL indicated a statistically significant difference between concentrations in compliance well MW84A and concentrations in background wells; therefore, a paired ANOVA (MW84A vs. MW93A) was performed that indicated no statistically significant difference between the wells.
B5	URGA	Uranium	Statistical Test 2, Test of Proportions	No statistically significant difference between concentrations in downgradient wells and concentrations in background wells.

In summary, Statistical Test 2, Test of Proportions, for cadmium, technetium-99, and uranium in the URGA indicated no statistically significant difference between concentrations in downgradient wells and concentrations in background wells.

Statistical Test 4, parametric ANOVA, for arsenic in the URGA could not be performed because equality of variance could not be confirmed. Statistical Test 4 was abandoned and Statistical Test 3, nonparametric ANOVA, was performed. Statistical Test 3, nonparametric ANOVA, identified a statistically significant difference between concentrations in downgradient well MW84A and concentrations in background wells; therefore, the data were evaluated further by comparing results to the UTL. The 95% UTL indicated a statistically significant difference between concentrations in downgradient well MW84A and concentrations in background wells. Based on these results, the data were evaluated using paired (parametric) ANOVA of wells in the same direction relative to the landfill [e.g., performed a comparison of downgradient well (MW84A) results to upgradient westernmost well (MW93A) results]. Results of the paired (parametric) ANOVA identified a statistically significant difference between upgradient and downgradient wells. A Mann-Kendall test was performed to evaluate the data further, and no trend was identified for arsenic in MW84A.

Statistical Test 4, parametric ANOVA, for trichloroethene in the URGA indicated statistically significant difference between concentrations in downgradient well MW84A and concentrations in background wells; therefore, the data were evaluated further by comparing results to the UTL. The 95% UTL indicated a statistically significant difference between concentrations in downgradient well MW84A and concentrations in background wells; therefore, paired (parametric) ANOVA was performed on upgradient well MW93A and downgradient well MW84A. Paired (parametric) ANOVA did not identify a statistically significant difference between the upgradient and downgradient wells.

ATTACHMENT B1

ARSENIC STATISTICAL TEST 4 THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Arsenic (As, mg/L)									
Date	Background	Background	Compliance	Compliance	Compliance				
	MW93A	MW420	MW84A	MW87A	MW90A				
Jan-20	0.00817	0.00518	0.0202	0.00928	0.00211				
Jul-20	0.0109	0.00534	0.0222	0.00895	0.0025				
Jan-21	0.0154	0.00832	0.0212	0.00939	0.00211				
Jul-21	0.00806	0.00859	0.0284	0.00793	0.0025				
Jan-22	0.0134	0.0106	0.0348	0.00838	0.00213				
n _i	10		5	5	5				
Sum	0.0940		0.1268	0.0439	0.0114				
(x _i)avg	0.00	09	0.025	0.009	0.002				

mg/L = milligrams per liter

Bolded values indicate a detected result.

Overall mean x =	0.01	
N =	25	N = the total number of samples
p =	4	$p =$ the number of n_i groups
x =	0.28	x = the sum of the total number of samples

Determine Normality of Dataset

Coefficient of Variability Test

Table of Desidue	1

racie or recordance					
Date	Background	Background	Compliance	Compliance	Compliance
	MW93A	MW420	MW84A	MW87A	MW90A
Jan-20	-0.001	-0.004	-0.005	0.000	0.000
Jul-20	0.002	-0.004	-0.003	0.000	0.000
Jan-21	0.006	-0.001	-0.004	0.001	0.000
Jul-21	-0.001	-0.001	0.003	-0.001	0.000
Jan-22	0.004	0.001	0.009	0.000	0.000

X: Mean Value = 1.09E-18 S: Standard Deviation = 0.0

 $\begin{array}{ll} K* \mbox{ Factor } = & 2.292 & (\mbox{ for } n=25) \\ CV = S/X = & 2.95E{+}15 & >1, \mbox{ data are not normally distributed} \end{array}$

Data are not normally distributed (i.e.,>1)

*K factor [from Table 5, Appendix B of *Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance* (EPA 1989)].

If the coefficient of variation is < 1, the data are normally distributed. If the coefficient of variation is > or = 1, data are not normally distributed.

Determine Equality of Variance of Dataset

p = number of well groups	x= 0.28
n _i = number of data points per well	$(x_{avg})_{} = 0.01$
N = total sample size	
S^2 = the square of the standard deviation	p = 4
$\ln(S_i^2)$ = natural logarithm of each variance	N = 25
f = total sample size minus the number of wells (groups)	

 $f_i = n_i - 1$

 $x_{..}$ = the sum of the total number of samples

 $(x_{avg})_{...}$ the mean of the total number of samples

S _i	S_i^2	$\ln(S_i^2)$	n _i	$f_i S_i^2$	$f_i ln(S_i^2)$
0.003	0.00	-11.458	10	0.0	-103.1
0.006	0.00	-10.176	5	0.000	-40.7
0.001	0.00	-14.774	5	0.000	-59.1
0.000	0.00	-16.936	5	0.000	-67.7

 $\sum(S_i^2) = 0.00 \qquad \sum f_i \ln(S_i^2) = -270.7$

Equality of Variance: Bartlett's Test

f =	21			
$Sp^2 =$	0.000			
$\ln Sp^2 =$	-11.342			
$c^2 =$	32.478	(If $c^2 \le c^2_{crit}$, then variances are equal at the given		
		significance level).		
$c_{crit}^2 * =$	7.815	at a 5% significance level with	3	degrees of freedom

NOTE: The variances are NOT equal.

(i.e., $c^2 > c_{crit}^2$)

Variances are not equal, transform the original data to lognormal (i.e., since $c^2 > c^2_{crit}$).

*Table 1, Appendix B, Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance (EPA 1989).

Lognormal Data for As

ln[As (mg/L)]						
Date	Background Background		Compliance	Compliance	Compliance	
	MW93A	MW420	MW84A	MW87A	MW90A	
Jan-20	-4.81	-5.26	-3.90	-4.68	-6.16	
Jul-20	-4.52	-5.23	-3.81	-4.72	-5.99	
Jan-21	-4.17	-4.79	-3.85	-4.67	-6.16	
Jul-21	-4.82	-4.76	-3.56	-4.84	-5.99	
Jan-22	-4.31	-4.55	-3.36	-4.78	-6.15	
Mean x _i	-4.53	-4.92	-3.70	-4.74	-6.09	
Background Mean	-4.7	72	NA	NA	NA	
Grand Mean			-4.79			
x_i^2	23.11	27.70	15.23	21.90	37.96	
	20.42	27.38	14.50	22.24	35.90	
These values needed	17.42	22.94	14.85	21.79	37.96	
for ANOVA	23.24	22.63	12.68	23.40	35.90	
101 / 110 / A	18.60	20.67	11.28	22.87	37.84	
Sum x _i ²			590			

mg/L = milligrams per liter

Determine Normality of Dataset

Coefficient of Variability Test

Table of ln[As (mg/L)] Data

Date	Background	Background	Compliance	Compliance	Compliance
	MW93A	MW420	MW84A	MW87A	MW90A
Jan-20	-4.81	-5.26	-3.90	-4.68	-6.16
Jul-20	-4.52	-5.23	-3.81	-4.72	-5.99
Jan-21	-4.17	-4.79	-3.85	-4.67	-6.16
Jul-21	-4.82	-4.76	-3.56	-4.84	-5.99
Jan-22	-4.31	-4.55	-3.36	-4.78	-6.15

Data are normally distributed (i.e.,<1)

*K factor [from Table 5, Appendix B of *Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance* (EPA 1989)].

Determine Equality of Variance of Dataset for Lognormal Data

p = number of wells (background wells considered as one group)	x ₌ -119.84
$n_i =$ number of data points per well	$(x_{avg})_{} = -4.79$
N = total sample size	$n_i = 5$
S^2 = the square of the standard deviation	p = 4
$\ln(S_i^2) =$ natural logarithm of each variance	N = 25
f = total sample size minus the number of wells (groups)	

 $f_i = n_i - 1$

 $x_{..}$ = the sum of the total lognormal dataset

 $(x_{avg})_{...}$ the mean of the lognormal dataset

Calculations	for Eq	uality	of Variance	: Bartlett's	Test

S _i	S_i^2	$\ln(S_i^2)$	n _i	$f_i S_i^2$	$f_i ln(S_i^2)$
0.352	0.124	-2.087	10	1.117	-18.8
0.230	0.053	-2.937	5	0.212	-11.7
0.072	0.005	-5.275	5	0.020	-21.1
0.091	0.008	-4.788	5	0.033	-19.2

 $\sum (S_i^2) =$ 0.19

 $\sum f_i \ln(S_i^2) =$

Equality of Variance: Bartlett's Test

f =	21			
$Sp^2 =$	0.066			
$\ln Sp^2 =$	-2.721			
$c^2 =$	13.648	(If $c^2 \le c^2_{crit}$, then variances are equal at the given significance level).		
$c^2_{crit} * =$	7.815	at a 5% significance level with	3	degrees of freedom

NOTE: The variances are NOT equal.

(i.e., $c^2 \leq c_{crit}^2$)

-70.8

Because variances are not equal, Statistical Test 3, Nonparametric ANOVA is performed.**

*Table 1, Appendix B, Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance (EPA 1989)].

**Section 5.2, Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance (EPA 1989)].

Arsenic (mg/L)						
Date	Background	Background	Compliance	Compliance	Compliance	
	MW93A	MW420	MW84A	MW87A	MW90A	
Jan-20	0.00817	0.00518	0.0202	0.00928	0.00211	
Jul-20	0.0109	0.00534	0.0222	0.00895	0.0025	
Jan-21	0.0154	0.00832	0.0212	0.00939	0.00211	
Jul-21	0.00806	0.00859	0.0284	0.00793	0.0025	
Jan-22	0.0134	0.0106	0.0348	0.00838	0.00213	
Sum	0.0940		0.12680	0.04393	0.0114	
n _i	10		5	5	5	
(x _i) _{avg}	0.00940		0.02536	0.00879	0.0023	

mg/L = milligrams per liter

BG = background

DL = detection limit

All data sets represent 1/2 DL values for nondetects.

Bolded values indicate a detected result.

Overall mean $x_{..} = 0.01104$

N =	25	N = the total number of samples
p =	4	$p =$ the number of n_i groups
x =	0.28	$x_{}$ = the sum of the total number of samples
Nonparametric ANOVA

Ranking of Observations

		Adjusted	
Sequence	Arsenic (mg/L)	Rank	Tie Number
1	0	1.5	Tio 1
2	0	1.5	110 1
3	0.00211	3.5	Tio 2
4	0.00211	3.5	Tie 2
5	0.00213	5	
6	0.00518	6	
7	0.00534	7	
8	0.00793	8	
9	0.00806	9	
10	0.00817	10	
11	0.00832	11	
12	0.00838	12	
13	0.00859	13	
14	0.00895	14	
15	0.00928	15	
16	0.00939	16	
17	0.0106	17	
18	0.0109	18	
19	0.0134	19	
20	0.0154	20	
21	0.0202	21	
22	0.0212	22	
23	0.0222	23	
24	0.0284	24	
25	0.0348	25	

mg/L = milligrams per liter

BG = background

DL = detection limit

Bolded values indicate a detected result.

NOTE: For this method, observations below the detection limit that are considered nondetects (i.e., U qualified data) are reported as a concentration of 0.

n_{tie}

40		
2	Tie 1 =	6
2	Tie 2 =	6
	$\sum T_i =$	12

Sums of Ranks and Averages

		Ars	enic (mg/L)				
Date	Background	Background	Compliance	Compliance	Compliance		
	MW93A	MW420	MW84A	MW87A	MW90A		
Jan-20	0.00817	0.00518	0.0202	0.00928	0.00211		
Jul-20	0.0109	0.00534	0.0222	0.00895	0		
Jan-21	0.0154	0.00832	0.0212	0.00939	0.00211		
Jul-21	0.00806	0.00859	0.0284	0.00793	0		
Jan-22	0.0134	0.0106	0.0348	0.00838	0.00213		
-				-			
		Observation	n Ranks for Arse	nic			
Date	Background	Background	Compliance	Compliance	Compliance		
	MW93A	MW420	MW84A	MW87A	MW90A		
Jan-20	10	6	21	15	3.5		
Jul-20	18	7	23	14	1.5		
Jan-21	20	11	22	16	3.5		
Jul-21	9	13	24	8	1.5		
Jan-22	19	17	25	12	5		
R _i	13	0	115	65	15		
$(R_i)_{avg}$	13	.0	23.0	13.0	3.0		
R_i^2/n_i	169	0.0	2645.0	845.0	45.0		
$\Sigma R_i^2/n_i =$	5225.0		mg/L = milligra	ms per liter	K = the number of	n _i groups	
			BG = backgrour	nd	N = the total numb	per of samples	
			DL = detection	limit			
			Bolded values i	ndicate a detecte	d result.		
K =	4		NOTE: For this method, observations below the detection limit				
N =	25		that are consider	red nondetects (i.e	., U qualified data) a	re reported	
			as a concentration	on of 0.			
Kruskal-Wa	llis Statistic						

Calculation of I

H =	18.462	Kruskal-Wallis Statisti	c $H = [12/N(N+1)*\Sigma R_i^2/n_i] - 3(N+1)$
H' =	18.476	Corrected Kruskal-Wal	lis $H' = H/[1-(\sum T_i/N^3-N)]$
$\chi^2_{crit} * =$	7.815	3 degrees	of freedom at the 5% significance level

NOTE: $H' > \chi^2_{crit}$

If H' $\leq \chi^2_{\text{crit}}$, the data from each well come from the same continuous distribution and hence have the same median concentrations of a specific constituent.

If H' > χ^2_{crit} , reject the null hypothesis and calculate the critical difference for well comparisons to the background.

K-1 =	3	$\alpha/(K-1) =$	0.01667	$Z(\alpha/(K-1))^{**} =$	2.1280
$\alpha =$	0.05	$1 - (\alpha/K - 1) =$	0.983		

NOTE: *Table 1, Appendix B, Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance (EPA 1989).

**Table 4, Appendix B, Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance (EPA 1989).

Calculate Critical Values

	Well No.	Ci	$(R_i)_{avg}$ - $(R_b)_{avg}$	Conclusion
BG Well	MW93A			
BG Well	MW420			
	MW84A	8.578	10.0	evidence of contamination
	MW87A	8.578	0.0	not contaminated
	MW90A	8.578	-10.0	not contaminated

Average Background Ranking = 13.0

mg/L = milligrams per liter

BG = background

DL = detection limit

CONCLUSION:

If $(R_i)_{avg}$ - $(R_b)_{avg}$ > C_i , then there is evidence that the compliance well is contaminated.

If $(R_i)_{avg}$ - $(R_b)_{avg}$ < C_i for wells, there is no evidence of a statistically significant difference between concentrations in downgradient compliance test wells and background wells.

Since $(R_i)_{avg} - (R_b)_{avg} > C_i$ for MW84A, there is a statistically significant difference between downgradient compliance test wells and background wells in MW84A from the C-404 Landfill.

Because nonparametric ANOVA indicated a statistically significant difference between compliance test wells and background wells at the C-404 Landfill in compliance well MW84A, the 95% UTL was performed.

Since $(R_i)_{avg} - (R_b)_{avg} < C_i$ for MW87A and MW90A, there is no statistically significant difference between background wells and these downgradient compliance test wells; however, the negative value indicates that background wells have elevated concentrations.

Section 5.2.2, Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance (EPA 1989).

95% Upper Tolerance Limit (UTL)

Compare the most recent downgradient sample results to a calculated 95% UTL using the five most recent sets of data for each upgradient well, as described below. If downgradient concentration is less than the UTL for the paired upgradient concentrations, then there is no confirmed exceedance.

January 2022 Data, First Reporting Period Arsenic Observations (mg/L)

Well No.						
MW93A	0.00817	0.01090	0.01540	0.00806	0.01340	Upgradient Well [!]
MW420	0.00518	0.00534	0.00832	0.00859	0.01060	Upgradient Well [!]
						<u>Current Data</u>
MW84A						0.0348
	X: M	lean Value =	0.0094			
	S: Standard	Deviation =	0.0033			
	-	K* factor =	2.911	(for $n = 10$)		
		CV = S/X	0.3460	<1, assume 1	normal distribution	
	Upper Toler	ance Interval: T	TL = X + (KxS) =	0.0189	(mg/L)	

! = Data from previous 5 sampling events.

CV = coefficient of variation

* = Table 5, Appendix B, Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance (EPA 1989).

Result: MW84A exceeded the UTL, which is statistically significant evidence that this compliance well has elevated concentration with respect to background data.

> Because the 95% UTL indicated a statistically significant difference between compliance test wells and background wells at the C-404 Landfill in compliance well MW84A, the paired ANOVA was performed.

Paired (Parametric) ANOVA - MW93A and MW84A

Evaluate results using paired ANOVA of wells in the same direction relative to the landfill [e.g., compare upgradient westernmost well results to downgradient westernmost well results]. If ANOVA does not identify a statistically significant difference between upgradient and downgradient wells, then the results are consistent with the historical ASD.

	Arsenic (mg/	L)		
Date	Background	Compliance		
	MW93A	MW84A	1	n _i ²
Jan-20	0.00817	0.0202	0.00007	0.00041
Jul-20	0.0109	0.0222	0.00012	0.00049
Jan-21	0.0154	0.0212	0.00024	0.00045
Jul-21	0.00806	0.0284	0.00006	0.00081
Jan-22	0.0134	0.0348	0.00018	0.00121
Sum (x _i)	0.0559	0.1268	0.18273	Total Sum (x)
n _i	5	5		_
$(x_i)_{avg}$	0.0112	0.0254		
$(\mathbf{x}_i)^2$	0.0031	0.0161		

mg/L = milligrams per liter Bolded values indicate a detected result.

Overall mean x =	0.0183	
N =	10	N = the total number of samples
$\mathbf{p} =$	2	$p =$ the number of n_i groups
X ₌	0.1827	$x_{}$ = the sum of the total number of samples

Determine Normality of Dataset

Coefficient of Variability Test

Date	Background	Compliance
	MW93A	MW84A
Jan-20	-0.0030	-0.0052
Jul-20	-0.0003	-0.0032
Jan-21	0.0042	-0.0042
Jul-21	-0.0031	0.0030
Jan-22	0.0022	0.0094

X: Mean Value =	0.00E+00	
S: Standard Deviation =	0.005	
K* Factor =	2.911	(for n = 10)
CV = S/X =	#ΔIς/0!	#DIV/0!

†The Coefficient of Variability Test was not performed due to mean = 0 (i.e., division by 0 not possible).

*Table 5, Appendix B, Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance (EPA 1989).

If the coefficient of variation is < 1, the data are normally distributed. If the coefficient of variation is > or = 1, data are not normally distributed.

Determine Equality of Variance of Dataset

p = number of wells	$x_{} = 0.1827$
$n_i =$ number of data points per well	$(x_{avg})_{} = 0.0183$
N = total sample size	$n_{i=}$ 5
S^2 = the square of the standard deviation	p = 2
$\ln(S_i^2)$ = natural logarithm of each variance	N = 10
f = total sample size minus the number of wells (groups)	$f_i = 4$
$f_i = n_i - 1$	

Calculations for Equality of Variance: Bartlett's Test

S _i	S_i^2	$\ln(S_i^2)$ †	n _i	$f_i S_i^2$	$f_i ln({S_i}^2) \dagger$
0.0032	0.0000	-11.473	5	0	-45.9
0.0062	0.0000	-10.176	5	0	-40.7

$$\sum (S_i^2) = 0$$
 $\sum f_i \ln(S_i^2) = -87$

$$\begin{split} & \frac{\text{Equality of Variance: Bartlett's Test}}{f = & 8} \\ & \text{Sp}^2 = & 0.0000 \\ & \ln \text{Sp}^2 = & -10.628 \\ & \chi^2 = & 1.577 \quad (\text{If calculated } \chi^2 \leq \text{tabulated } \chi^2_{\text{crit}}, \text{ then variances are equal at the given significance level}).} \\ & \chi^2_{\text{crit}} * = & 3.841 \quad \text{at a 5\% significance level with} \quad 1 \quad \text{degrees of freedom (p-1)} \end{split}$$

NOTE: The variances are equal.

(i.e., calculated $\chi^2 \leq \chi^2_{crit}$)

Since calculated $\chi^2 \leq \chi^2_{crit}$, then the analysis can proceed as normal.

*Table 1, Appendix B, Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance (EPA 1989).

Between Well Sum of Squares

Source of			Degrees of	Mean		
Variation	Sums o	of Squares	Freedom	Squares	Calculated F	F Statistic**
Between Wells	$SS_{wells} =$	0.0005	1	0.00050	20.72	5.32
Error	$SS_{Error} =$	0.0002	8	0.00002		
Total	$SS_{Total} =$	0.0007	9			

If calculated F > F statistic, then reject the hypothesis of equal well means. If calculated F is less than or equal to F statistic, it can be concluded that there is no significant difference between concentrations; therefore, there is no evidence of well contamination.

CONCLUSION:

Calculated F > F statistic; therefore, ANOVA has identified a significant difference between upgradient and downgradient wells.

Because the paired ANOVA for the two wells indicated a statistically significant difference between compliance test wells and background wells at the C-404 Landfill in compliance well MW84A, a Mann-Kendall statistical analysis was performed.

**Table 2, Appendix B, *Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance* (EPA 1989). F statistic taken at the 5% significance level.

Mann-Kendall Trend Test Analysis MW84A Arsenic January 2022

User Selected Options Date/Time of Computation ProUCL 5.13/24/2022 3:57:04 PM From File WorkSheet.xls Full Precision OFF Confidence Coefficient 0.95 Level of Significance 0.05

MW84A Arsenic January 2022

Input Data				
Date	Result			
Collected	(µg/L)			
Aug-18	28.9			
Jan-19	27.5			
Jul-19	16.8			
Jan-20	20.2			
Jul-20	22.2			
Jan-21	21.2			
Jul-21	28.4			
Jan-22	34.8			

Bolded values indicate a detected result.

	-
Number or Reported Events Not Used	0
Number of Generated Events	8
Number Values Reported (n)	8
Minimum	16.8
Maximum	34.8
Mean	25
Geometric Mean	24.4
Median	24.85
Standard Deviation	5.875
Coefficient of Variation	0.235

Mann-Kendall Test

General Statistics

M-K Test Value (S)	6
Tabulated p-value	0.274
Standard Deviation of S	8.083
Standardized Value of S	0.619
Approximate p-value	0.268

Insufficient evidence to identify a significant trend at the specified level of significance.

Mann-Kendall Trend Test Analysis MW84A Arsenic January 2022



ATTACHMENT B2

CADMIUM STATISTICAL TEST 2

Attachment B2: Cadmium URGA, Statistical Test 2, Test of Proportions, First Reporting Period 2022

Cadmium (mg/L)						
Date	Background	Background Background Compliance Compliance Com				
	MW93A	MW420	MW84A	MW87A	MW90A	
Jan-20	0.0005	0.0005	0.000385	0.000503	0.0005	
Jul-20	0.0005	0.0005	0.0005	0.0005	0.0005	
Jan-21	0.0005	0.0005	0.0005	0.0005	0.0005	
Jul-21	0.0005	0.0005	0.000317	0.0005	0.0005	
Jan-22	0.0005	0.0005	0.0005	0.0005	0.0005	

mg/L = milligrams per liter BG = background DL = detection limit All data sets represent 1/2 DL values for nondetects. Bolded values indicate a detected result.

¹Test of Proportions

Calculate the number of detections in background wells vs. compliance wells.

X =	0	X = number of samples above DL in background wells
Y =	3	Y = number of samples above DL in compliance wells
$n_b =$	10	$n_b =$ count of background well results/samples analyzed
$n_c =$	15	$n_c = count of compliance well results/samples analyzed$
n =	25	n = total number of samples
P =	0.120	$\mathbf{P} = (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y})/\mathbf{n}$
nP =	3	$n = n_b + n_c$
n(1-P) =	22	

NOTE: If nP and n(1-P) are both ≥ 5 , then the normal approximation may be used; however, because nP < 5 and/or n(1-P) < 5, the test was continued to determine if the conclusion, along with a simple evaluation of the data would be similar.

$P_b =$	0.000	P_b = proportion of detects in background wells
$P_c =$	0.200	P_c = proportion of detects in compliance wells
$S_D =$	0.133	S_D = standard error of difference in proportions
Z =	-1.508	$Z = (P_{b}-P_{c})/S_{D}$
absolute value of Z =	1.508	

If the absolute value of Z exceeds the 97.5th percentile value of 1.96 from the standard normal distribution, this provides statistically significant evidence at the 5% significance level that the proportion of detects in one group of data exceeds the proportion of detects in the other group.

CONCLUSION: Because the absolute value of Z is less than 1.96, there is no statistical evidence that the proportion of samples with detected results differs between the background well and compliance well samples.

¹ Section 8.1.2, *Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Final Guidance* (EPA 1989).

ATTACHMENT B3

TECHNETIUM-99 STATISTICAL TEST 2

Attachment B3: Technetium-99 URGA, Statistical Test 2, Test of Proportions, First Reporting Period 2022

Technetium-99 (pCi/L)						
Date	Background Background Compliance Compliance Co					
	MW93A	MW420	MW84A	MW87A	MW90A	
Jul-20	10.35	10.7	332	9.85	10.25	
Jan-21	9.15	8.8	353	9.55	8.8	
Jul-21	20.5	5.3	229	5.75	24.3	
Nov-21	9.25	9.4	258	9.1	9.05	
Jan-22	10.45	9.65	225	10	9.55	

pCi/L = picocuries per liter BG = background DL = detection limitNondetect values are 1/2 DL. **Bolded values indicate a detected result.**

¹Test of Proportions

Calculate the number of detections in background wells vs. compliance wells.

X =	1	X = number of samples above DL in background wells
Y =	6	Y = number of samples above DL in compliance wells
$n_b =$	10	$n_b = count of background well results/samples analyzed$
$n_c =$	15	$n_c = count of compliance well results/samples analyzed$
n =	25	n = total number of samples
P =	0.280	P=(x+y)/n
nP =	7	$n=n_b+n_c$
n(1-P) =	18	

NOTE: If nP and n(1-P) are both >= 5, then the normal approximation may be used.

$P_b =$	0.100	P_b = proportion of detects in background wells
$P_c =$	0.400	P_c = proportion of detects in compliance wells
$S_D =$	0.183	S_D = standard error of difference in proportions
Z =	-1.637	$Z = (P_b - P_c)/S_D$
absolute value of Z =	1.637	

If the absolute value of Z exceeds the 97.5th percentile value of 1.96 from the standard normal distribution, this provides statistically significant evidence at the 5% significance level that the proportion of detects in one group of data exceeds the proportion of detects in the other group.

CONCLUSION: Because the absolute value of Z is less than 1.96, there is no statistical evidence that the proportion of samples with detected results differs between the background well and compliance well samples.

¹Section 8.1.2, *Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Final Guidance* (EPA 1989).

ATTACHMENT B4

TRICHLOROETHENE STATISTICAL TEST 4

Trichloroethene (TCE, µg/L)						
Date	Background	Background	Compliance	Compliance	Compliance	
	MW93A	MW420	MW84A	MW87A	MW90A	
Jan-20	1360	1090	2930	2580	92.9	
Jul-20	2220	1340	3230	3090	52	
Jan-21	3120	1690	2630	2570	99.6	
Jul-21	3170	1790	5290	2410	148	
Jan-22	2550	2100	6560	1890	146	
n _i	10		5	5	5	
Sum	20430		20640	12540	538.50	
(x _i)avg	2043	.00	4128.00	2508.00	107.70	

 $\mu g/L = micrograms per liter$

Bolded values indicate a detected result.

Overall mean x =	2165.94	
N =	25	N = the total number of samples
p =	4	$p =$ the number of n_i groups
х =	54148.50	x = the sum of the total number of samples

Determine Normality of Dataset

Coefficient of Variability Test

Table of Posiduala	

raole of reestadate					
Date	Background	Background	Compliance	Compliance	Compliance
	MW93A	MW420	MW84A	MW87A	MW90A
Jan-20	-683.00	-953.00	-1198.00	72.00	-14.80
Jul-20	177.00	-703.00	-898.00	582.00	-55.70
Jan-21	1077.00	-353.00	-1498.00	62.00	-8.10
Jul-21	1127.00	-253.00	1162.00	-98.00	40.30
Jan-22	507.00	57.00	2432.00	-618.00	38.30

Data are normally distributed (i.e.,<1)

*K factor [from Table 5, Appendix B of *Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance* (EPA 1989)].

If the coefficient of variation is < 1, the data are normally distributed. If the coefficient of variation is > or = 1, data are not normally distributed.

Determine Equality of Variance of Dataset

p = number of well groups	x ₌ 54148.50
$n_i =$ number of data points per well	$(x_{avg})_{} = 2165.94$
N = total sample size	
S^2 = the square of the standard deviation	p = 4
$ln(S_i^2) = natural logarithm of each variance$	N = 25
f = total sample size minus the number of wells (groups)	

 $f_i = n_i - 1$

 $x_{..}$ = the sum of the total number of samples

 $(x_{avg})_{...}$ the mean of the total number of samples

Calculations for Equality of Variance. Durnetter 5 105
--

S _i	S_i^2	$\ln(S_i^2)$	n _i	$f_i S_i^2$	$f_i ln(S_i^2)$
728.713	531023.33	13.183	10	4779210.0	118.6
1713.949	2937620.00	14.893	5	11750480.000	59.6
429.907	184820.00	12.127	5	739280.000	48.5
40.243	1619.53	7.390	5	6478.120	29.6

 $\sum(S_i^2) = 3655082.86$ $\sum f_i \ln(S_i^2) = 256.3$

Equality of Variance: Bartlett's Test

f =	21			
$Sp^2 =$	822640.387			
$\ln Sp^2 =$	13.620			
$c^2 =$	29.742	(If $c^2 \le c^2_{crit}$, then variances are equal at the given		
		significance level).		
$c_{crit}^2 * =$	7.815	at a 5% significance level with	3	degrees of freedom

NOTE: The variances are NOT equal.

(i.e., $c^2 > c_{crit}^2$)

Variances are not equal, transform the original data to lognormal (i.e., since $c^2 > c_{crit}^2$).

*Table 1, Appendix B, Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance (EPA 1989).

Lognormal Data for TCE

ln[TCE (µg/L)]						
Date	Background	Background	Compliance	Compliance	Compliance	
	MW93A	MW420	MW84A	MW87A	MW90A	
Jan-20	7.22	6.99	7.98	7.86	4.53	
Jul-20	7.71	7.20	8.08	8.04	3.95	
Jan-21	8.05	7.43	7.87	7.85	4.60	
Jul-21	8.06	7.49	8.57	7.79	5.00	
Jan-22	7.84	7.65	8.79	7.54	4.98	
Mean x _i	7.77	7.35	8.26	7.81	4.61	
Background Mean	7.5	6	NA	NA	NA	
Grand Mean	7.16					
v ²	52.06	48.92	63.72	61.71	20.53	
A _i	59.37	51.85	65.29	64.58	15.61	
T 1 1 1 1	64.73	55.24	62.01	61.65	21.17	
for ANOVA	64.99	56.10	73.51	60.64	24.97	
101 / 110 / A	61.53	58.52	77.24	56.92	24.84	
Sum x _i ²			1328			

 $\mu g/L = micrograms per liter$

Determine Normality of Dataset

Coefficient of Variability Test

Table of ln[TCE (μ g/L)] Data

Date	Background	Background	Compliance	Compliance	Compliance
	MW93A	MW420	MW84A	MW87A	MW90A
Jan-20	7.22	6.99	7.98	7.86	4.53
Jul-20	7.71	7.20	8.08	8.04	3.95
Jan-21	8.05	7.43	7.87	7.85	4.60
Jul-21	8.06	7.49	8.57	7.79	5.00
Jan-22	7.84	7.65	8.79	7.54	4.98

Data are normally distributed (i.e.,<1)

*K factor [from Table 5, Appendix B of *Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance* (EPA 1989)].

Determine Equality of Variance of Dataset for Lognormal Data

p = number of wells (background wells considered as one group)	$x_{} = 179.08$
$n_i =$ number of data points per well	$(x_{avg})_{} = 7.16$
N = total sample size	$n_i = 5$
S^2 = the square of the standard deviation	p = 4
$\ln(S_i^2) =$ natural logarithm of each variance	N = 25
f = total sample size minus the number of wells (groups)	

 $f_i = n_i - 1$

 $x_{\cdot \cdot}$ = the sum of the total lognormal dataset

 $(x_{avg})_{...}$ the mean of the lognormal dataset

Calculations for Equality of Variance: Bartlett's Te
--

S _i	S_i^2	$\ln(S_i^2)$	ni	$f_i S_i^2$	$f_i ln(S_i^2)$
0.363	0.132	-2.026	10	1.187	-18.2
0.399	0.159	-1.840	5	0.636	-7.4
0.177	0.031	-3.459	5	0.126	-13.8
0.427	0.182	-1.701	5	0.730	-6.8

 $\sum (S_i^2) =$

0.50

 $\sum f_i \ln(S_i^2) =$

Equality of Variance: Bartlett's Test

f =	21			
$Sp^2 =$	0.128			
$\ln Sp^2 =$	-2.060			
$c^2 =$	2.985	(If $c^2 \le c^2_{crit}$, then variances are equal at the given significance level).		
$c_{crit}^2 * =$	7.815	at a 5% significance level with	3	degrees of freedom

NOTE: The variances are equal.

(i.e., $c^2 \leq c_{crit}^2$)

-46.2

Because variances are equal, the Parametric ANOVA for the lognormal dataset will proceed.

*Table 1, Appendix B, Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance (EPA 1989)].

**Section 5.2, Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance (EPA 1989)].

Parametric ANOVA

Between Well Sum of Squares¹

Source of Variation	Sums of Squares	df	Mean Squares	Fcalculated
Between Wells (SS wells)	42.26	3	14.088	110.49
Error within wells (SS error)	2.68	21	0.128	
Total (SS total)	44.94	24		

If $F_{calculated} > F_{tabulated}$, then reject the hypothesis of equal well means. If $F_{calculated}$ is less than or equal to

F_{tabulated}, it can be concluded that there is no significant difference between concentrations, therefore,

there is no evidence of well contamination.

 $F_{tabulated} = 3.07 **$

CONCLUSION:

 $F_{calculated} > F_{tabulated}$; therefore, evidence of well contamination. Additional comparisons must be made.

NOTE: ** Table 2, Appendix B, Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance, USEPA, 1989. Ftabulated taken at the 5% significance level.

Comparison of Compliance Wells to Background Wells (Bonferroni Test)

n _b =	10	N-p =	21	$\alpha =$	0.05
$(x_b)_{avg} =$	7.56	m =	3	$1-\alpha/m =$	0.9833
$n_{\rm b} = tot$	al sample size o	f all background wells			

 $(x_b)_{avg}$ = average concentration from all background wells

Well No.	Well Mean	Differences of Avg.	Standard Error	Bonferroni's t ²	D _i	Conclusion
	$(x_b)_{avg}$	$(x_i)_{avg}$ - $(x_b)_{avg}$	SEi	t _{(N-p),(α/m)}		
MW93A						
MW420						
MW84A	8.26	0.70	0.20	2.27	0.44	evidence of contamination
MW87A	7.81	0.25	0.20	2.27	0.44	not contaminated
MW90A	4.61	-2.95	0.20	2.27	0.44	not contaminated

CONCLUSION:

If the "Differences of Averages" is greater than D_i, then the well is contaminated. After performing Bonferroni's t calculation, the following can be concluded: MW84A shows statistically significantly levels of contamination as compared background wells. MW87A and MW90A do not show statistically significant levels of contamination.

¹Section 5.2.1, Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Final Guidance, (EPA, 1989).

²Appendix B, Table 3 (EPA, 1989).

A 95% UTL comparison is performed.

95% Upper Tolerance Limit (UTL)

Compare the most recent downgradient sample results to a calculated 95% UTL using the five most recent sets of data for each upgradient well, as described below. If downgradient concentration is less than the UTL for the paired upgradient concentrations, then there is no confirmed exceedance.

January 2022 Data, First Reporting Period TCE Observations (µg/L)

Well No.						
MW93A	1360	2220	3120	3170	2550	Upgradient Well [!]
MW420	1090	1340	1690	1790	2100	Upgradient Well [!]
						Current Data
MW84A						6560
	X: Me	an Value =	2043			
	S: Standard I	Deviation =	729			
	K	* factor =	2.911	(for n = 10)		
	(CV = S/X	0.3567	<1, assume not	rmal distribution	
	Upper Tolera	nce Interval:	TL = X + (KxS)	= 4164 (μ	g/L)	

! = Data from previous 5 sampling events.

CV = coefficient of variation

* = Table 5, Appendix B, Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance (EPA 1989).

Result: MW84A exceeded the 95% UTL, which is statistically significant evidence that this compliance well has elevated TCE concentrations with respect to background data.

Paired (Parametric) ANOVA - MW93A and MW84A

Evaluate results using paired ANOVA of wells in the same direction relative to the landfill [e.g., compare upgradient westernmost well results to downgradient westernmost well results]. If ANOVA does not identify a statistically significant difference between upgradient and downgradient wells, then the results are consistent with the historical ASD.

	TCE (µg/l	L)		
Date	Background	Compliance		
	MW93A	MW84A	t	n_i^2
Jan-20	1360	2930	1849600	8584900
Jul-20	2220	3230	4928400	10432900
Jan-21	3120	2630	9734400	6916900
Jul-21	3170	5290	10048900	27984100
Jan-22	2550	6560	6502500	43033600
Sum (x _i)	12420	20640	33060	Total Sum (x.
n _i	5	5		-
$(x_i)_{avg}$	2484	4128		
$(\mathbf{x}_i)^2$	154256400	426009600		

 $\mu g/L =$ micrograms per liter Bolded values indicate a detected result.

Overall mean x =	3306	
N =	10	N = the total number of samples
p =	2	$p = the number of n_i groups$
X ₌	33060	x = the sum of the total number of samples

Determine Normality of Dataset

Coefficient of Variability Test

Table of Residuals (x _i -x _{iavg})					
Date	Background	Compliance			
	MW93A	MW84A			
Jul-19	-1124	-1198			
Jan-20	-264	-898			
Jul-20	636	-1498			
Jan-21	686	1162			
Jul-21	66	2432			

X: Mean Value =	0.00E+00	
S: Standard Deviation =	1246	
K* Factor =	2.911	(for $n = 10$)
CV = S/X =	$#\Delta I \varsigma / 0!$	#DIV/0!

†The Coefficient of Variability Test was not performed due to mean = 0 (i.e., division by 0 not possible).

*Table 5, Appendix B, Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance (EPA 1989).

If the coefficient of variation is < 1, the data are normally distributed. If the coefficient of variation is > or = 1, data are not normally distributed.

Determine Equality of Variance of Dataset

p = number of wells	x ₌ 33060
n_i = number of data points per well	$(x_{avg})_{} = 3306$
N = total sample size	$n_{i=}$ 5
S^2 = the square of the standard deviation	p = 2
$ln(S_i^2)$ = natural logarithm of each variance	N = 10
f = total sample size minus the number of wells (groups)	$f_i = 4$
$f_i = n_i - 1$	

Calculations for Equality of Variance: Bartlett's Test

S _i	S_i^2	$\ln(S_i^2)$ †	n _i	$f_i S_i^2$	$f_i ln(S_i^{\ 2}) \dagger$
744	553130	13.223	5	2212520	52.9
1714	2937620	14.893	5	11750480	59.6

$$\sum(S_i^2) = 3,490,750$$
 $\sum f_i \ln(S_i^2) = 112$

Equality of Variance: Bartlett's Test

f=	8			
$Sp^2 =$	1745375			
$\ln Sp^2 =$	14.372			
$\chi^2 =$	2.514	(If calculated $\chi^2 \le$ tabulated χ^2_{crit} , significance level).	then variar	nces are equal at the given
$\chi^2_{crit} \ * =$	3.841	at a 5% significance level with	1	degrees of freedom (p-1)

NOTE: The variances are equal.

(i.e., calculated $\chi^2 \leq \chi^2_{crit}$)

Since calculated $\chi^2 \leq \chi^2_{crit}$, then the analysis can proceed as normal.

*Table 1, Appendix B, Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance (EPA 1989).

Between Well Sum of Squares

Source of		Degrees of	Mean		
Variation	Sums of Squares	Freedom	Squares	Calculated F	F Statistic**
Between Wells	$SS_{wells} = 6756840.00$	1	6756840.0	3.87	5.32
Error	$SS_{Error} = 13963000.00$	8	1745375.0		
Total	$SS_{Total} = 20719840.00$	9			

If calculated F > F statistic, then reject the hypothesis of equal well means. If calculated F is less than or equal to F statistic, it can be concluded that there is no significant difference between concentrations; therefore, there is no evidence of well contamination.

CONCLUSION:

Calculated F < F statistic; therefore, ANOVA has not identified a significant difference between upgradient and downgradient wells.

**Table 2, Appendix B, *Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance* (EPA 1989). F statistic taken at the 5% significance level.

ATTACHMENT B5

URANIUM STATISTICAL TEST 2

Attachment B5: Uranium URGA, Statistical Test 2, Test of Proportions, First Reporting Period 2022

Uranium (mg/L)						
Date	Background	Background	Compliance	Compliance	Compliance	
	MW93A	MW420	MW84A	MW87A	MW90A	
Jan-20	0.0001	0.0001	0.000305	0.0001	0.0001	
Jul-20	0.000089	0.0001	0.000219	0.0001	0.0001	
Jan-21	0.0001	0.0001	0.000156	0.0001	0.0001	
Jul-21	0.000079	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	
Jan-22	0.000126	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	

mg/L = milligrams per liter BG = background DL = detection limit Nondetect values are 1/2 DL. Bolded values indicate a detected result.

¹Test of Proportions

Calculate the number of detections in background wells vs. compliance wells.

X =	4	X = number of samples above DL in background wells
Y =	3	Y = number of samples above DL in compliance wells
$n_b =$	10	$n_b = count of background well results/samples analyzed$
$n_c =$	15	n _c = count of compliance well results/samples analyzed
n =	25	n = total number of samples
P	0.000	\mathbf{D}
P =	0.280	P=(x+y)/n
nP =	7	$n=n_b+n_c$
n(1-P) =	18	

NOTE: If nP and n(1-P) are both ≥ 5 , then the normal approximation may be used.

$P_b =$	0.400	P_b = proportion of detects in background wells
$P_c =$	0.200	P_c = proportion of detects in compliance wells
$S_D =$	0.183	S_D = standard error of difference in proportions
Z =	1.091	$Z = (P_b - P_c)/S_D$
absolute value of Z =	1.091	

If the absolute value of Z exceeds the 97.5th percentile value of 1.96 from the standard normal distribution, this provides statistically significant evidence at the 5% significance level that the proportion of detects in one group of data exceeds the proportion of detects in the other group.

CONCLUSION: Because the absolute value of Z is less than 1.96, there is no statistical evidence that the proportion of samples with detected results differs between the background well and compliance well samples.

¹Section 8.1.2, *Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Final Guidance* (EPA 1989).

ATTACHMENT B6

STATISTICIAN STATEMENT



Four Rivers Nuclear Partnership, LLC 5511 Hobbs Road Kevil, KY 42053 www.fourriversnuclearpartnership.com

April 6, 2022

Mr. Dennis Greene Four Rivers Nuclear Partnership, LLC 5511 Hobbs Road Kevil, KY 42053

Dear Mr. Greene:

I am submitting this statement as a supplementary document to the completed statistical analysis I performed on the groundwater data for the C-404 Hazardous Waste Landfill at the Paducah Site.

As an Environmental Scientist, with a bachelor's degree in Earth Sciences/Geology, I have over 30 years of experience in reviewing and assessing laboratory analytical results associated with environmental sampling and investigation activities.

For this project, the statistical analyses on groundwater data from January 2020 through January 2022 were performed in accordance with the Hazardous Waste Management Facility Permit, Appendix E using Microsoft Excel 2016 and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) ProUCL 5.1. The spreadsheets include the results for the following statistical tests:

- Test of Proportions
- Parametric Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)
- Nonparametric ANOVA
- 95% Upper Tolerance Limit
- Paired (parametric) ANOVA
- Mann-Kendall

The statistical analyses procedures were based on EPA's *Statistical Analysis of Groundwater Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Final Guidance* (1989).

Sincerely,

22

Bryan Smith
THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

APPENDIX C

C-404 HAZARDOUS WASTE LANDFILL LEACHATE ANALYTICAL RESULTS

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

L1404L1-22 from: C404L on 1/19/2022 Media: WW SmpMethod: GR Water level measurement from ground surface: 4.58'. CH 1-19.22

Analysis	Results	Units	Result Qual	Foot Note	Reporting Limit	Counting Error	TPU**	Method	LabCode	V/V/A*
ANION										
Fluoride	5.92	mg/L			4			SW846-9056	GEL	I/X/
FS										
Conductivity	441	umho/cm						FS	FS	/ /
Dissolved Oxygen	9.74	mg/L						FS	FS	/ /
рН	7.94	Std Unit						FS	FS	/ /
Redox	432	mV						FS	FS	17
Temperature	53.1	deg F						FS	FS	11
METAL										
Arsenic	0.005	mg/L	U		0.005			SW846-6020B	GEL	/ X /
Barium	0.0625	mg/L			0.004			SW846-6020B	GEL	/ X /
Cadmium	0.001	mg/L	U		0.001			SW846-6020B	GEL	/ X /
Chromium	0.01	mg/L	U		0.01			SW846-6020B	GEL	/ X /
Copper	0.015	mg/L			0.002			SW846-6020B	GEL	/ X / BH-F
Iron	0.0891	mg/L	J		0.1			SW846-6020B	GEL	/ X / BH-F
Lead	0.002	mg/L	U		0.002			SW846-6020B	GEL	/ X /
Mercury	0.0002	mg/L	U		0.0002			SW846-7470A	GEL	/ X /
Nickel	0.00521	mg/L			0.002			SW846-6020B	GEL	/ X / BH-F
Selenium	0.005	mg/L	U		0.005			SW846-6020B	GEL	/ X /
Silver	0.001	mg/L	U		0.001			SW846-6020B	GEL	/ X /
Uranium	35.6	mg/L			0.02			SW846-6020B	GEL	I/X/
Zinc	0.02	mg/L	U		0.02			SW846-6020B	GEL	/x/
РРСВ										
PCB-1016	0.1	ug/L	UY1		0.1			SW846-8082A	GEL	/ X /
PCB-1221	0.1	ug/L	U		0.1			SW846-8082A	GEL	/ X /
PCB-1232	0.1	ug/L	U		0.1			SW846-8082A	GEL	/ X /
PCB-1242	0.1	ug/L	U		0.1			SW846-8082A	GEL	/ X /
PCB-1248	0.978	ug/L			0.1			SW846-8082A	GEL	/ X /
PCB-1254	0.1	ug/L	U		0.1			SW846-8082A	GEL	/ X /
PCB-1260	0.0569	ug/L	J		0.1			SW846-8082A	GEL	/ X /
Polychlorinated biphenyl	1.03	ug/L			0.1			SW846-8082A	GEL	I/X/
RADS										
Cesium-137	-2.31	pCi/L	U		9.66	5.33	5.44	EPA-901.1	GEL	/ X /
Neptunium-237	2.56	pCi/L	U		3.25	2.35	2.38	ASTM-1475-00M	GEL	/ X /
Plutonium-239/240	0.0166	pCi/L	U		2.74	1.23	1.23	HASL 300, Pu-11-RC M	GEL	/ X /
Technetium-99	170	pCi/L			20.3	16.4	25	HASL 300, Tc-02-RC M	GEL	/ X /
Thorium-230	1.69	pCi/L	U		2.63	1.86	1.88	HASL 300, Th-01-RC M	GEL	/ X /
Uranium-234	1190	pCi/L			27.1	94.6	149	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	GEL	/ X /
Uranium-235	206	pCi/L			26.2	45.3	49.4	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	GEL	/ X /
Uranium-238	13700	pCi/L			29.4	318	1360	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	GEL	/x/
VOA										
Trichloroethene	0.88	ug/L	J		1			SW846-8260D	GEL	/x/
WETCHEM	0.0000	mg/l	D		0.05				CE1	/ •
Ammonia as Nitrogen	0.0893	IIIg/L	В		0.05			EPA-350.1	GEL	/ X /

L1404LD1-22 from: C404L on 1/19/2022 Media: WW SmpMethod: GR Water level measurement from ground surface: 4.58'. CH 1-19.22

Analysis	Results	Units	Result Qual	Foot Note	Reporting Limit	Counting Error	TPU**	Method	LabCode	V/V/A*
ANION										
Fluoride	5.95	mg/L			4			SW846-9056	GEL	I/X/
METAL										
Arsenic	0.005	mg/L	U		0.005			SW846-6020B	GEL	/ X /
Barium	0.0616	mg/L			0.004			SW846-6020B	GEL	/ X /
Cadmium	0.001	mg/L	U		0.001			SW846-6020B	GEL	/ X /
Chromium	0.01	mg/L	U		0.01			SW846-6020B	GEL	/ X /
Copper	0.0149	mg/L			0.002			SW846-6020B	GEL	/ X / BH-FI
Iron	0.0902	mg/L	J		0.1			SW846-6020B	GEL	/ X / BH-FI
Lead	0.002	mg/L	U		0.002			SW846-6020B	GEL	/ X /
Mercury	0.0002	mg/L	U		0.0002			SW846-7470A	GEL	/ X /
Nickel	0.00505	mg/L			0.002			SW846-6020B	GEL	/ X / BH-FI
Selenium	0.005	mg/L	U		0.005			SW846-6020B	GEL	/ X /
Silver	0.001	mg/L	U		0.001			SW846-6020B	GEL	/ X /
Uranium	35.2	mg/L			0.02			SW846-6020B	GEL	I/X/
Zinc	0.02	mg/L	U		0.02			SW846-6020B	GEL	/x/
РРСВ										
PCB-1016	0.101	ug/L	UY1		0.101			SW846-8082A	GEL	/ X /
PCB-1221	0.101	ug/L	U		0.101			SW846-8082A	GEL	/ X /
PCB-1232	0.101	ug/L	U		0.101			SW846-8082A	GEL	/ X /
PCB-1242	0.101	ug/L	U		0.101			SW846-8082A	GEL	/ X /
PCB-1248	1.07	ug/L			0.101			SW846-8082A	GEL	/ X /
PCB-1254	0.101	ug/L	U		0.101			SW846-8082A	GEL	/ X /
PCB-1260	0.0729	ug/L	J		0.101			SW846-8082A	GEL	/ X /
Polychlorinated biphenyl	1.14	ug/L			0.101			SW846-8082A	GEL	I/X/
RADS										
Cesium-137	1.56	pCi/L	U		10.4	5.32	5.36	EPA-901.1	GEL	/ X /
Neptunium-237	2.93	pCi/L	U		3.13	2.49	2.52	ASTM-1475-00M	GEL	/ X /
Plutonium-239/240	-0.348	pCi/L	U		3.64	1.5	1.5	HASL 300, Pu-11-RC M	GEL	/ X /
Technetium-99	173	pCi/L			20.7	16.7	25.4	HASL 300, Tc-02-RC M	GEL	/ X /
Thorium-230	2.5	pCi/L	U		2.98	2.31	2.35	HASL 300, Th-01-RC M	GEL	/ X /
Uranium-234	1250	pCi/L			30.3	99.5	158	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	GEL	/ X /
Uranium-235	190	pCi/L			23.9	44.3	48.1	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	GEL	/x/
Uranium-238	14000	pCi/L			24.9	330	1410	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	GEL	/x/
VOA										
Trichloroethene	1	ug/L	U		1			SW846-8260D	GEL	/x/
WETCHEM										
Ammonia as Nitrogen	0.0962	mg/L	В		0.05			EPA-350.1	GEL	/ X /

FB404L1-22		from: QC			on	1/19/2022	Media: WQ	SmpMethod:		
Comments:										
Analysis	Results	Units	Result	Foot	Reporting	Counting	TPU**	Method	LabCode	V/V/A*
	Nesuits	Onits	Qual	Note	Limit	Error		Method	Labcode	V/V/A
fluoride	4	mg/L	U		4			SW846-9056	GEL	/
METAL										
Arsenic	0.005	mg/L	U		0.005			SW846-6020B	GEL	/
Barium	0.004	mg/L	U		0.004			SW846-6020B	GEL	/
Cadmium	0.001	mg/L	U		0.001			SW846-6020B	GEL	/
Chromium	0.013	mg/L			0.01			SW846-6020B	GEL	/
Copper	0.00674	mg/L			0.002			SW846-6020B	GEL	/
ron	0.123	mg/L			0.1			SW846-6020B	GEL	/
.ead	0.00136	mg/L	J		0.002			SW846-6020B	GEL	/
Mercury	0.0002	mg/L	U		0.0002			SW846-7470A	GEL	/
Nickel	0.000671	mg/L	J		0.002			SW846-6020B	GEL	/
ielenium	0.005	mg/L	U		0.005			SW846-6020B	GEL	/
ilver	0.001	mg/L	U		0.001			SW846-6020B	GEL	/
Jranium	0.000097	mg/L	J		0.0002			SW846-6020B	GEL	/
linc	0.02	mg/L	U		0.02			SW846-6020B	GEL	/
РРСВ										
PCB-1016	0.0982	ug/L	UY1		0.0982			SW846-8082A	GEL	/
PCB-1221	0.0982	ug/L	U		0.0982			SW846-8082A	GEL	/
PCB-1232	0.0982	ug/L	U		0.0982			SW846-8082A	GEL	/
PCB-1242	0.0982	ug/L	U		0.0982			SW846-8082A	GEL	/
PCB-1248	0.0982	ug/L	U		0.0982			SW846-8082A	GEL	/
PCB-1254	0.0982	ug/L	U		0.0982			SW846-8082A	GEL	/
PCB-1260	0.0982	ug/L	U		0.0982			SW846-8082A	GEL	/
Polychlorinated biphenyl	0.0982	ug/L	U		0.0982			SW846-8082A	GEL	/
RADS										
Cesium-137	1.76	pCi/L	U		9.3	4.7	4.76	EPA-901.1	GEL	/
Veptunium-237	-0.0344	pCi/L	U		2.72	1.23	1.23	ASTM-1475-00M	GEL	/
Plutonium-239/240	-1.46	pCi/L	U		5.4	1.95	1.95	HASL 300, Pu-11-RC M	GEL	/
echnetium-99	5.32	pCi/L	U		19.8	11.6	11.7	HASL 300, Tc-02-RC M	GEL	/
horium-230	1.75	pCi/L	U		3.17	2.09	2.11	HASL 300, Th-01-RC M	GEL	/
Jranium-234	-0.464	pCi/L	U		1.1	0.44	0.44	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	GEL	/
Jranium-235	0.0877	pCi/L	U		0.671	0.384	0.384	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	GEL	/
Jranium-238	0.0709	pCi/L	U		0.873	0.461	0.461	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	GEL	/
VOA										
richloroethene	1	ug/L	U		1			SW846-8260D	GEL	/
WETCHEM										
Ammonia as Nitrogen	0.0444	mg/L	BJ		0.05			EPA-350.1	GEL	/

RI404L1-22		from: QC			on	1/19/2022	Media: WQ	SmpMethod:		
Comments:										
Analysis	Results	Units	Result Qual	Foot Note	Reporting Limit	Counting Error	TPU**	Method	LabCode	V/V/A*
ANION										
Fluoride	4	mg/L	U		4			SW846-9056	GEL	/:
METAL										
Arsenic	0.005	mg/L	U		0.005			SW846-6020B	GEL	/
Barium	0.004	mg/L	U		0.004			SW846-6020B	GEL	/
Cadmium	0.001	mg/L	U		0.001			SW846-6020B	GEL	/
Chromium	0.01	mg/L	U		0.01			SW846-6020B	GEL	/
Copper	0.000327	mg/L	J		0.002			SW846-6020B	GEL	1
Iron	0.1	mg/L	U		0.1			SW846-6020B	GEL	1
Lead	0.002	mg/L	U		0.002			SW846-6020B	GEL	/
Mercury	0.0002	mg/L	U		0.0002			SW846-7470A	GEL	/
Nickel	0.002	mg/L	U		0.002			SW846-6020B	GEL	/
Selenium	0.005	mg/L	U		0.005			SW846-6020B	GEL	/
Silver	0.001	mg/L	U		0.001			SW846-6020B	GEL	/
Uranium	0.0002	mg/L	U		0.0002			SW846-6020B	GEL	/
Zinc	0.02	mg/L	U		0.02			SW846-6020B	GEL	1
PPCB	0.0053		1.17/4		0.0050			SN/94C 99924	651	,
PCB-1016	0.0953	ug/L	011		0.0953			SW846-8082A	GEL	1.
PCB-1221	0.0953	ug/L	0		0.0953			SW846-8082A	GEL	1.
PCB-1232	0.0953	ug/L	0		0.0953			SW846-8082A	GEL	/.
PCB-1242	0.0953	ug/L	U		0.0953			SW846-8082A	GEL	/.
PCB-1248	0.0953	ug/L	U		0.0953			SW846-8082A	GEL	/.
PCB-1254	0.0953	ug/L	U		0.0953			SW846-8082A	GEL	/.
PCB-1260	0.0953	ug/L	U		0.0953			SW846-8082A	GEL	/:
Polychlorinated biphenyl	0.0953	ug/L	U		0.0953			SW846-8082A	GEL	1.
RADS										
Cesium-137	5.96	pCi/L	U		10.1	4.94	5.64	EPA-901.1	GEL	/:
Neptunium-237	0.951	pCi/L	U		3.06	1.77	1.77	ASTM-1475-00M	GEL	/:
Plutonium-239/240	-0.471	pCi/L	U		4.14	1.59	1.6	HASL 300, Pu-11-RC M	GEL	1
Technetium-99	-0.648	pCi/L	U		20.6	11.9	11.9	HASL 300, Tc-02-RC M	GEL	1
Thorium-230	1.64	pCi/L	U		2.58	1.81	1.84	HASL 300, Th-01-RC M	GEL	1
Uranium-234	-0.225	pCi/L	U		1.49	0.694	0.694	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	GEL	1
Uranium-235	-0.132	pCi/L	U		1.26	0.578	0.578	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	GEL	1
Uranium-238	0.107	pCi/L	U		1.18	0.628	0.628	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	GEL	1
VOA										
Trichloroethene	1	ug/L	U		1			SW846-8260D	GEL	1
WETCHEM										
Ammonia as Nitrogen	0.05	mg/L	U		0.05			EPA-350.1	GEL	13
TB404L1-22		from: QC			on 1/19/2022 Media		Media: WQ	SmpMethod:		
Comments:										
Analysis	Results	Units	Result Qual	Foot Note	Reporting Limit	Counting Error	TPU**	Method	LabCode	V/V/A*
VOA										
Trichloroethene	1	ug/L	U		1			SW846-8260D	GEL	/