#### FRNP-RPT-0086/V1

C-404 Hazardous Waste Landfill May 2019 Semiannual Groundwater Report (October 2018–March 2019), Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah, Kentucky



This document is approved for public release per review by: 5-14-19 FRNP Classification Support Date

#### FRNP-RPT-0086/V1

C-404 Hazardous Waste Landfill May 2019 Semiannual Groundwater Report (October 2018–March 2019), Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah, Kentucky

Date Issued—May 2019

#### U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY Office of Environmental Management

Prepared by FOUR RIVERS NUCLEAR PARTNERSHIP, LLC, managing the Deactivation and Remediation Project at the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant under Contract DE-EM0004895

FIC	GURES	
TA	ABLES	v
AC	CRONYMS	vii
EX	XECUTIVE SU	JMMARYix
1.	INTRODUC 1.1 BACK 1.2 MONI 1.2.1 1.2.2	TION
2.	STATISTIC	AL SYNOPSIS
3.	DATA VAL	DATION AND QA/QC SUMMARY9
4.	PROFESSIO	NAL GEOLOGIST AUTHORIZATION11
5.	REFERENC	ES
AF	PPENDIX A:	C-404 HAZARDOUS WASTE LANDFILL GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS
AF	PENDIX B:	C-404 HAZARDOUS WASTE LANDFILL STATISTICAL ANALYSES B-1
AF	PPENDIX C:	C-404 HAZARDOUS WASTE LANDFILL LEACHATE ANALYTICAL RESULTS

# **FIGURES**

1.	C-404 Landfill Monitoring Well Map	. 2
2.	Arsenic and TCE Trend in MW84	. 5
3.	Arsenic Trend in MW84 and MW93	. 6
4.	Arsenic and TCE Trend in MW87	. 6
5.	TCE Trend in MW333	.7

# TABLES

1.	Monitoring Well Locations	. 3
2.	Assembled Kentucky Groundwater Numbers	. 3

# ACRONYMS

- AKGWA Assembled Kentucky Groundwater
- MW
- monitoring well Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Regional Gravel Aquifer RCRA
- RGA
- Upper Continental Recharge System Upper Regional Gravel Aquifer UCRS
- URGA

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

This report, C-404 Hazardous Waste Landfill May 2019 Semiannual Groundwater Report (October 2018–March 2019), Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant. Paducah. Kentucky, FRNP-RPT-0086/V1, is being submitted by the U.S. Department of Energy in accordance with requirements in Kentucky Division of Waste Management Hazardous Waste Management Facility Permit, KY8-890-008-982. The first reporting period 2019 covers October 2018 through March 2019 and includes analytical data from the January 2019 sampling of monitoring wells (MWs) located in the vicinity of the closed C-404 Hazardous Waste Landfill (C-404 Landfill). In 1986, disposal of waste at C-404 Landfill was halted and a portion of the disposed-of waste was found to be Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) hazardous. The landfill was covered with a RCRA multilayered cap and certified closed in 1987.

The groundwater monitoring analytical data were subjected to statistical analyses. The analyses were conducted in accordance with the Hazardous Waste Management Facility Permit. Arsenic and trichloroethene (TCE) concentrations in compliance well MW84 were statistically different from concentrations in the background wells. The 2007 *C-404 Landfill Source Demonstration, Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah, Kentucky*, PRS-ENM-0031/R2 (PRS 2007) demonstrated that the C-404 Landfill was not the source of the historical, statistically significant background exceedance of TCE in MW84. MW84 arsenic exceedance is consistent with the 2007 Alternate Source Demonstration. The trend of arsenic concentrations in MW84 is similar to the historical TCE trend in the same well. This determination for arsenic in MW84 fulfills Section II.K.8 of the Hazardous Waste Management Facility Permit, which allows for demonstrating that the exceedance is consistent with the findings in the 2007 Alternate Source Demonstration and also allows for the demonstration to be submitted within the semiannual report.

For the remaining parameters, concentrations in the downgradient (compliance) wells were not statistically different from the concentrations in upgradient (background) wells.

The leachate in the C-404 Landfill leachate collection system is monitored (at least monthly) and, at a minimum, is removed and sampled when the level exceeds 3 ft in depth. The volume of leachate removed from the sump during this reporting period, October 2018 to March 2019, was 3,300 gal. The leachate was sampled on November 28, 2018; February 8, 2019; and March 21, 2019. Analytical results for the November 2018 and February 2019 leachate samples are provided in this report. Analytical results for the March 2019 leachate sample were not available for inclusion in this report by the regulatory deadline. The analytical data for the March 2019 leachate sample will be included in the next semiannual report.

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

This report contains the statistical evaluation of data from groundwater sampling and analysis for the closed C-404 Hazardous Waste Landfill (C-404 Landfill) at the U.S. Department of Energy Paducah Site (Paducah Site), Paducah, Kentucky. This semiannual report is required by the Kentucky Division of Waste Management Hazardous Waste Management Facility Permit, KY8-890-008-982 (Permit) (KDWM 2017), Specific Condition II.K.6.d—Recordkeeping, Reporting, and Response. The period covered by this report is October 2018 through March 2019.

Groundwater analytical results are provided in Appendix A. The statistical analyses and qualification statement are provided in Appendix B. Landfill leachate analytical results are provided in Appendix C.

#### 1.1 BACKGROUND

The closed C-404 Landfill is located in the west-central portion of the Paducah Site secured area. The 1.2-acre facility operated as a surface impoundment from approximately 1952 until early 1957. During this time, influents to the impoundment originated from the C-400 Cleaning Building. In 1957, the impoundment was converted to a solid waste disposal facility for uranium-contaminated solid waste. When the impoundment was converted into a disposal facility, a sump was installed at the former weir to collect the leachate from the facility. Leachate is pumped from the sump, as needed, into a mobile tank. Then the leachate is transferred to a permitted hazardous waste storage facility on-site prior to characterization and transferred off-site for treatment.

In 1986, the disposal of waste at the C-404 Landfill was halted, and a portion of the disposed-of waste was found to be Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA)-hazardous. The landfill was covered with a RCRA multilayered cap and certified closed in 1987. It currently is regulated under RCRA as a land disposal unit and compliance is monitored under the current Hazardous Waste Management Facility Permit (KDWM 2017).

Previous groundwater monitoring documented that concentrations in compliance wells were statistically different from background wells for trichloroethene (TCE). The *C-404 Landfill Source Demonstration, Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah, Kentucky* (PRS 2007) documented that the source of the differences from background concentrations in compliance wells is not from the C-404 Landfill, but rather, the source is located upgradient/crossgradient of the C-404 Landfill.

Previous groundwater monitoring of monitoring well (MW) 87 documented that concentrations in the compliance well were statistically different from background wells for lead and uranium. The *C-404 Hazardous Waste Landfill Alternate Source Demonstration—Source of Lead and Uranium in MW87 at the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah, Kentucky* (FRNP 2019) concluded that the statistical differences were a result of infiltration of Upper Continental Recharge System (UCRS) groundwater into the Regional Gravel Aquifer (RGA) well due to compromised integrity of the well.

#### **1.2 MONITORING PERIOD ACTIVITIES**

#### **1.2.1** Groundwater Monitoring

There are nine MWs sampled under the Permit for the C-404 Landfill: four UCRS wells and five Upper Regional Gravel Aquifer (URGA) wells. A map of the MW locations is provided in Figure 1.



Table 1 presents the well number for URGA wells located upgradient and downgradient of the C-404 Landfill. Table 1 also presents the well numbers for the UCRS wells located in proximity to the URGA wells. This table refers to the UCRS wells as being adjacent to an upgradient or downgradient URGA well location identified relative to these URGA groundwater flow direction. The conceptual model for the C-404 Landfill indicates that groundwater in the UCRS wells flows primarily vertically until it reaches the URGA; therefore, UCRS wells are not considered "upgradient" or "downgradient" of other wells in the area. Table 2 presents the Assembled Kentucky Groundwater (AKGWA) numbers for each MW.

UCRS	
Located south of C-404 Landfill, adjacent to upgradient URGA background well MW93	MW94
Located north of C-404 Landfill, adjacent to downgradient URGA compliance wells	MW85, MW88, MW91A*
URGA	

#### **Table 1. Monitoring Well Locations**

\*MW90 was abandoned in 2001 and replaced with MW90A. MW91 was abandoned in 2017 and replaced with MW91A.

MW93, MW420

MW84, MW87, MW90A\*

Upgradient background wells

Downgradient compliance wells

Paducah Site Well Number	AKGWA Number
MW84	8000-5233
MW85	8000-5234
MW87	8000-5236
MW88	8000-5237
MW90A	8004-0357
MW91A	8007-2917
MW93	8000-5102
MW94	8000-5103
MW420	8005-3263

# Table 2. Assembled KentuckyGroundwater Numbers

All nine MWs were sampled in January 2019 during this reporting period, and the samples were analyzed for parameters required by Part VIII.E of the Permit. Groundwater sampling was conducted using procedure CP4-ES-2101, *Groundwater Sampling*. Appropriate sample containers and preservatives were used. The laboratory that performed the analyses used U.S. Environmental Protection Agency-approved methods, as applicable. Appendix A of this report contains the analytical results. Appendix B of this report contains the statistical analyses.

#### **1.2.2 Landfill Leachate**

In accordance with Section 1.2 of the Permit, the quantity of liquid in the leachate collection system is monitored (at least monthly) and, at a minimum, will be "removed when the quantity exceeds 3 ft in depth." Once the leachate depth reaches 3 ft, the leachate is pumped into a mobile tank. The leachate then is transferred to a permitted hazardous waste storage facility on-site prior to characterization and transferred off-site for treatment. The volume of leachate removed from the sump during this reporting period, October 2018 to March 2019, was 3,300 gal. The leachate was sampled on November 28, 2018; February 8, 2019; and March 21, 2019. Analytical results for the November 2018 and February 2019

leachate samples are included in Appendix C. Analytical data for the March 2019 leachate sample were not available for inclusion in this report by the regulatory deadline. The analytical data for the March 2019 leachate sample will be included in the next semiannual report.

# 2. STATISTICAL SYNOPSIS

The statistical analyses conducted on the data collected from C-404 Landfill were performed in accordance with procedures in the Permit, Part VIII.E, reissued in July 2015. Appendix B of this report contains the statistical analyses performed for this reporting period. Data utilized for statistical analyses included data from the URGA background wells, MW93 and MW420, and URGA compliance wells, MW84, MW87, and MW90A. For these statistical analyses, the reporting period data set includes data from January 2017, July 2017, January 2018, August 2018, and January 2019.

Arsenic and TCE concentrations in compliance well MW84 were statistically different from concentrations in the background wells. Appendix B provides a summary of the statistical analyses performed. The statistical tests on all other parameters showed no statistical difference between concentrations in the compliance (downgradient) and background (upgradient) wells.

#### STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT EXCEEDANCE OF BACKGROUND IN MW84

An alternate source demonstration previously was conducted for TCE in MW84. The 2007 *C-404 Landfill Source Demonstration, Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah, Kentucky*, PRS-ENM-0031/R2, (PRS 2007) demonstrated that the C-404 Landfill was not the source of the historical, statistically significant background exceedance of TCE in MW84. The 2007 demonstration found that the statistically significant increase of TCE in downgradient well MW84 appeared to be due to an upgradient source whose TCE is migrating through the C-404 Landfill area.

The exceedance in arsenic concentration over background concentrations is consistent with the findings in the 2007 Alternate Source Demonstration (PRS 2007). The trend of arsenic concentrations in MW84 is similar to the historical TCE trend in the same well, as demonstrated in Figure 2. This determination fulfills Section II.K.8 of the Hazardous Waste Management Facility Permit, which allows for demonstrating that the exceedance is consistent with the findings in the 2007 Alternate Source Demonstration to be submitted within the semiannual report.



Figure 2. Arsenic and TCE Trend in MW84

Trending of groundwater data gathered in the vicinity and upgradient of the C-404 Landfill supports and supplements the finding in the 2007 Alternate Source Demonstration, as follows.

First, until the January 2015 sampling event, the westernmost upgradient well (MW93) typically had higher arsenic concentrations than the downgradient well MW84 (see Figure 3). Since then, the MW84 arsenic concentrations have increased steadily, potentially reflecting increasing proximity to the core of the upgradient source. This condition is similar to the pattern of TCE concentrations historically seen in these same wells and is attributed to a source upgradient/crossgradient of the C-404 Landfill in the 2007 Alternate Source Demonstration. Similar, but slightly delayed, arsenic and TCE trends also are evident in neighboring (east) downgradient well MW87 (see Figure 4). The TCE detections<sup>1</sup> over time in MW333, located approximately 106 ft southwest of the C-404 Landfill, are depicted in Figure 5.



Figure 3. Arsenic Trend in MW84 and MW93



Figure 4. Arsenic and TCE Trend in MW87

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> There is only one arsenic data point for MW333. The sample collected July 20, 2004, had a detectable concentration of  $2.90 \ \mu g/L$ .



Figure 5. TCE Trend in MW333

Second, groundwater flow directions are to the northeast—similarly indicating an upgradient, non-C-404 Landfill source of MW84 impacts. This condition is consistent with flow patterns found in 2007 during the Alternate Source Demonstration.

Finally, most of the leachate samples collected over the years have had no detectable arsenic (dissolved arsenic is not required by the Permit for leachate) and the measureable concentrations are well below concentrations recently detected in RGA groundwater at MW84. Of the 29% (20 of 70) of leachate samples with measureable arsenic concentrations, the maximum concentration of 6.32  $\mu$ g/L in leachate is below the January 2019 arsenic concentration of 27.5  $\mu$ g/L found in MW84; thus, the C-404 Landfill cannot be the source of the arsenic found in that well.

# 3. DATA VALIDATION AND QA/QC SUMMARY

The data and the data validation qualifiers for the January 2019 data set are provided in Appendix A. All data for this data set were considered useable as reported. Data validation was performed on the analytical data by an independent, third-party validator.

Field quality control samples are collected during each semiannual sampling event. Equipment rinseate blanks, field blanks, field duplicates, and trip blanks are obtained to ensure quality control and are reported in the Analytical Results in Appendix A. Laboratory quality control samples, such as matrix spikes, matrix spike duplicates, and method blanks, are performed by the laboratory and reported in the laboratory report. Both field and laboratory quality control sample results are reviewed as part of the data validation process.

#### FIELD DUPLICATE SAMPLE

During a May 23, 2018, teleconference, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) discussed with KDWM the method used to collect duplicate samples from MWs at the C-404 Landfill. The collection method being used is defined in SW-846 as a collocated duplicate and differs from a definition in the Permit Part VIII.E, Appendix E3. In the teleconference, KDWM agreed that the collocated duplicate collection method was appropriate for precision monitoring at the C-404 Landfill. Additionally, there was a discussion about how this collection method is an acceptable practice under Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA), and it is the type of duplicate sampling utilized in CERCLA sampling events at the Paducah Site.

Permit Part VIII.E, Appendix E3, Monitoring Well Requirements, Section 1.4, Field Quality Control, states that field duplicates consist of two aliquots of a sample (i.e., the primary sample and its duplicate) that are aliquoted into two containers from a single sample collection container or sample mixing container. Additionally, the Permit defines a duplicate sample as two or more separate samples taken from the same source during the same sampling event.

Procedure CP4-ES-2704, *Trip, Equipment, and Field Blank Preparation*, describes a field duplicate sample (collocated duplicate) as being collected at the same time, using the same procedures, the same type of equipment, and in the same types of containers as the original sample. The samples are placed in separate, but identical sample containers as the original sample. This is consistent with the Permit's definition of a duplicate sample (i.e., separate samples taken from the same source during the same sampling event).

The Permit's description for collection of a field duplicate sample is similar to the field replicate sample identified in CP4-ES-2704. Field replicate samples also are referred to as split samples in the procedure. The field replicate sample is described as being collected by initially collecting twice as much volume as is normally collected, homogenizing the material by mixing, and distributing into two sets of sample containers. Additionally, the procedure states that samples for volatile organic compounds are not mixed prior to subsampling. A field replicate sample is not commonly used due to volatile organic compounds being a contaminant of concern at the Paducah Site.

In order to comply with the current Permit requirement until a Permit modification can be established, two field duplicates were collected during this reporting period. Both samples were collected from the same MW. A groundwater sample was collected from a MW along with a field duplicate sample (MW84 REP) prescribed by the Permit. A separate groundwater sample was collected from the same MW along with a field duplicate sample (MW84 FR) prescribed by CP4-ES-2704. See Appendix A for data.

### 4. PROFESSIONAL GEOLOGIST AUTHORIZATION

**DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:** 

C-404 Hazardous Waste Landfill May 2019 Semiannual Groundwater Report (October 2018–March 2019), Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah, Kentucky (FRNP-RPT-0086/V1)

Stamped and signed pursuant to my authority as a duly registered geologist under the provisions of *KRS* Chapter 322A.



PG 113927 K. Darois 5-14-19

May 14, 2019 Date /

Kenneth R. Davis

Kenneth R. Davis

PG113927

### **5. REFERENCES**

- FRNP (Four Rivers Nuclear Partnership, LLC) 2019. C-404 Hazardous Waste Landfill Alternate Source Demonstration—Source of Lead and Uranium in MW87 at the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah, Kentucky, FRNP-RPT-0078, Four Rivers Nuclear Partnership, LLC, Kevil, KY.
- KDWM (Kentucky Division of Waste Management) 2017. Hazardous Waste Management Facility Permit for the U.S. Department of Energy, Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, KY8-890-008-982, effective October 13.
- PRS (Paducah Remediation Services, LLC) 2007. C-404 Landfill Source Demonstration, Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah, Kentucky, PRS-ENM-0031/R2, Paducah Remediation Services, LLC, Kevil, KY.

# **APPENDIX** A

# C-404 HAZARDOUS WASTE LANDFILL GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Facility: <u>C-404 Landfil</u>	1	County: M	cCracken			Permit #	: <u>K</u>	Y8-890	-008-982	
Sampling Point: <u>N</u>	1W84 REG*	Dow	vngradient	t URG.	A	Period:	Semi	annual	Report	
AKGWA Well Tag #:	8000-5233									
Parameter	Qualifier	Result U	U <b>nits</b>	Reporting Limit	Date Collected	Count I Error	ting : (+/-	TPU	Method	Validation
Arsenic		0.0243 r	ng/L	0.005	1/16/2019				SW846-6020	=
Arsenic, Dissolved	J	0.00234 r	ng/L	0.005	1/16/2019				SW846-6020	=
Barometric Pressure Readin	g	30.26 I	nches/Hg		1/16/2019					Х
Cadmium	J	0.000415 r	ng/L	0.001	1/16/2019	l			SW846-6020	J
Cadmium, Dissolved	U	0.001 r	ng/L	0.001	1/16/2019				SW846-6020	=
Chromium		0.0251 r	ng/L	0.01	1/16/2019				SW846-6020	=
Chromium, Dissolved	U	0.01 r	ng/L	0.01	1/16/2019				SW846-6020	=
Conductivity		416 ι	umho/cm		1/16/2019					х
Depth to Water		50.34 f	ť		1/16/2019					х
Dissolved Oxygen		3.76 r	ng/L		1/16/2019					Х
Lead		0.00204 r	ng/L	0.002	1/16/2019				SW846-6020	=
Lead, Dissolved	U	0.002 r	ng/L	0.002	1/16/2019				SW846-6020	=
Mercury	U	0.0002 r	ng/L	0.0002	1/16/2019				SW846-7470A	=
Mercury, Dissolved	U	0.0002 r	ng/L	0.0002	1/16/2019				SW846-7470A	=
рН		5.91 \$	Std Unit		1/16/2019					х
Redox		436 r	nV		1/16/2019	1				х
Selenium	U	0.005 r	ng/L	0.005	1/16/2019				SW846-6020	=
Selenium, Dissolved	U	0.005 r	ng/L	0.005	1/16/2019				SW846-6020	=
Sulfate		6.33 r	ng/L	0.4	1/16/2019				SW846-9056	=
Technetium-99		25.6 p	oCi/L	15.2	1/16/2019	9.57		9.98	DOE TC-02-RC	=
Temperature		49.7 c	leg F		1/16/2019					х
Trichloroethene		4670 ı	ug/L	100	1/16/2019				SW846-8260B	=
Turbidity		68.4 N	NTU		1/16/2019					х
Uranium	J	0.000186 r	ng/L	0.0002	1/16/2019				SW846-6020	=
Uranium-234	U	0.305 p	oCi/L	1.53	1/16/2019	0.834		0.836	HASL 300, U-02-RC N	1 =
Uranium-235	U	0.172 p	oCi/L	1.08	1/16/2019	0.645	1	0.646	HASL 300, U-02-RC N	1 =
Uranium-238	U	0.6 p	oCi/L	1.12	1/16/2019	0.82		0.824	HASL 300, U-02-RC N	1 =

\* The field duplicate sample associated with this sample is Sampling Point MW84 REP.

Facility: <u>C-404 Landfi</u>	<u>11 (</u>	County: <u>N</u>	/lcCrack	en		Permit #:	<u>KY8-89(</u>	0-008-982	
Sampling Point:	MW84 REP*	Do	wngradi	ient URG	4	Period: <u>Se</u>	miannual	Report	
AKGWA Well Tag #:	8000-5233			Reporting	Date	Counting	U		
Parameter	Qualifier	Result	Units	Limit	Collected	Error (+	- TPU	Method	Validation
Arsenic		0.0246	mg/L	0.005	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Arsenic, Dissolved	J	0.00239	mg/L	0.005	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Cadmium	J	0.000325	mg/L	0.001	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	J
Cadmium, Dissolved	U	0.001	mg/L	0.001	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Chromium		0.0225	mg/L	0.01	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Chromium, Dissolved	U	0.01	mg/L	0.01	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Lead	J	0.00187	mg/L	0.002	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Lead, Dissolved	U	0.002	mg/L	0.002	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Mercury	U	0.0002	mg/L	0.0002	1/16/2019			SW846-7470A	=
Mercury, Dissolved	U	0.0002	mg/L	0.0002	1/16/2019			SW846-7470A	=
Selenium	U	0.005	mg/L	0.005	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Selenium, Dissolved	U	0.005	mg/L	0.005	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Sulfate		6.31	mg/L	0.4	1/16/2019			SW846-9056	=
Technetium-99		28.8	pCi/L	14.8	1/16/2019	9.41	9.94	DOE TC-02-RC	=
Trichloroethene		5060	ug/L	100	1/16/2019			SW846-8260B	=
Uranium	J	0.000172	mg/L	0.0002	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Uranium-234	U	0.944	pCi/L	1.43	1/16/2019	1.02	1.03	HASL 300, U-02-RC N	1 =
Uranium-235	U	-0.201	pCi/L	1.38	1/16/2019	0.465	0.466	HASL 300, U-02-RC N	1 =
Uranium-238	U	0.724	pCi/L	1.03	1/16/2019	0.827	0.833	HASL 300, U-02-RC N	1 =

\* Field duplicate sample collected as prescribed in the Permit.

Facility: <u>C-404 Landfill</u>	. <u></u>	County: McCracke	n		Permit #:	<u>KY8-890</u>	0-008-982	
Sampling Point: <u>M</u>	W84 REG*	Downgradie	ent URG.	A	Period: <u>S</u>	emiannual	Report	
AKGWA Well Tag #: _	8000-5233							
Parameter	Qualifier	Result Units	Reporting Limit	Date Collected	Countii I Error (	ng +/- TPU	Method	Validation
Arsenic		0.0275 mg/L	0.005	1/16/2019	1		SW846-6020	=
Arsenic, Dissolved	J	0.0024 mg/L	0.005	1/16/2019	)		SW846-6020	=
Barometric Pressure Reading	5	30.26 Inches/Hg	5	1/16/2019	)			Х
Cadmium	U	0.001 mg/L	0.001	1/16/2019	)		SW846-6020	=
Cadmium, Dissolved	U	0.001 mg/L	0.001	1/16/2019	1		SW846-6020	=
Chromium		0.0216 mg/L	0.01	1/16/2019	)		SW846-6020	=
Chromium, Dissolved	U	0.01 mg/L	0.01	1/16/2019	)		SW846-6020	=
Conductivity		427 umho/cm	1	1/16/2019				Х
Depth to Water		50.34 ft		1/16/2019	)			Х
Dissolved Oxygen		3.53 mg/L		1/16/2019				Х
Lead	J	0.00169 mg/L	0.002	1/16/2019	1		SW846-6020	=
Lead, Dissolved	U	0.002 mg/L	0.002	1/16/2019	1		SW846-6020	=
Mercury	U	0.0002 mg/L	0.0002	1/16/2019	1		SW846-7470A	=
Mercury, Dissolved	U	0.0002 mg/L	0.0002	1/16/2019	1		SW846-7470A	=
рН		5.86 Std Unit		1/16/2019	1			Х
Redox		431 mV		1/16/2019	)			х
Selenium	U	0.005 mg/L	0.005	1/16/2019	)		SW846-6020	=
Selenium, Dissolved	U	0.005 mg/L	0.005	1/16/2019	)		SW846-6020	=
Sulfate		6.3 mg/L	0.4	1/16/2019	)		SW846-9056	=
Technetium-99		27.8 pCi/L	15.5	1/16/2019	9.79	10.3	DOE TC-02-RC	=
Temperature		50.6 deg F		1/16/2019	1			х
Trichloroethene		5580 ug/L	100	1/16/2019	)		SW846-8260B	=
Turbidity		64.7 NTU		1/16/2019	)			х
Uranium	J	0.000193 mg/L	0.0002	1/16/2019	)		SW846-6020	=
Uranium-234	U	-0.147 pCi/L	1.88	1/16/2019	0.78	0.781	HASL 300, U-02-RC N	1 =
Uranium-235	U	1.25 pCi/L	1.26	1/16/2019	1.27	1.28	HASL 300, U-02-RC N	1 =
Uranium-238	U	1.24 pCi/L	1.78	1/16/2019	1.29	1.3	HASL 300, U-02-RC N	1 =

\* The field duplicate sample associated with this sample is Sampling Point MW84 FR.

Facility: <u>C-404 Landfil</u>	<u>ll</u> (	County: <u>N</u>	AcCrack	en		Permit #:	KY8-89(	)-008-982	
Sampling Point: <u>N</u>	AW84 FR*	Do	wngradi	ient URG	4	Period: Ser	niannual	Report	
AKGWA Well Tag #:	8000-5233			Reporting	Date	Counting			
Parameter	Qualifier	Result	Units	Limit	Collected	Error (+/	- TPU	Method	Validation
Arsenic		0.0247	mg/L	0.005	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Arsenic, Dissolved	J	0.00233	mg/L	0.005	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Cadmium	U	0.001	mg/L	0.001	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Cadmium, Dissolved	U	0.001	mg/L	0.001	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Chromium		0.0209	mg/L	0.01	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Chromium, Dissolved	U	0.01	mg/L	0.01	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Lead	J	0.00163	mg/L	0.002	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Lead, Dissolved	U	0.002	mg/L	0.002	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Mercury	U	0.0002	mg/L	0.0002	1/16/2019			SW846-7470A	=
Mercury, Dissolved	U	0.0002	mg/L	0.0002	1/16/2019			SW846-7470A	=
Selenium	U	0.005	mg/L	0.005	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Selenium, Dissolved	U	0.005	mg/L	0.005	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Sulfate		6.33	mg/L	0.4	1/16/2019			SW846-9056	=
Technetium-99		23.1	pCi/L	15.1	1/16/2019	9.41	9.75	DOE TC-02-RC	=
Trichloroethene		5570	ug/L	100	1/16/2019			SW846-8260B	=
Uranium	J	0.00016	mg/L	0.0002	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Uranium-234	U	0.609	pCi/L	1.53	1/16/2019	0.927	0.932	HASL 300, U-02-RC N	1 =
Uranium-235	U	0.517	pCi/L	0.897	1/16/2019	0.744	0.747	HASL 300, U-02-RC N	1 =
Uranium-238	U	0.0908	pCi/L	1.31	1/16/2019	0.639	0.639	HASL 300, U-02-RC N	1 =

\* Field duplicate sample collected as prescribed by internal procedure.

Facility: <u>C-404 Landfil</u>	1	County: <u>N</u>	/IcCracken			Permit #:	<u>KY8-890</u>	0-008-982	
Sampling Point: <u>N</u>	AW85 REG	Do	wngradien	t UCRS	5	Period: Second	Semiannual	Report	
AKGWA Well Tag #:	8000-5234								
Parameter	Qualifier	Result	Units	Reporting Limit	Date Collected	Counti I Error	ng (+/- TPU	Method	Validation
Arsenic		0.0114	mg/L	0.005	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Arsenic, Dissolved	J	0.00206	mg/L	0.005	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Barometric Pressure Readin	ıg	30.27	Inches/Hg		1/16/2019				Х
Cadmium	U	0.001	mg/L	0.001	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Cadmium, Dissolved	U	0.001	mg/L	0.001	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Chromium	J	0.00405	mg/L	0.01	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Chromium, Dissolved	J	0.00359	mg/L	0.01	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Conductivity		404	umho/cm		1/16/2019				Х
Depth to Water		9.9	ft		1/16/2019				Х
Dissolved Oxygen		2.71	mg/L		1/16/2019				Х
Lead	U	0.002	mg/L	0.002	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Lead, Dissolved	U	0.002	mg/L	0.002	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Mercury	U	0.0002	mg/L	0.0002	1/16/2019			SW846-7470A	=
Mercury, Dissolved	U	0.0002	mg/L	0.0002	1/16/2019			SW846-7470A	=
рН		6.33	Std Unit		1/16/2019				х
Redox		427	mV		1/16/2019	I			х
Selenium	U	0.005	mg/L	0.005	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Selenium, Dissolved	U	0.005	mg/L	0.005	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Sulfate		10.8	mg/L	0.4	1/16/2019	I		SW846-9056	=
Technetium-99		64	pCi/L	17.6	1/16/2019	12	13.9	DOE TC-02-RC	=
Temperature		54.1	deg F		1/16/2019				х
Trichloroethene		7.11	ug/L	1	1/16/2019			SW846-8260B	=
Turbidity		7.5	NTU		1/16/2019	I			х
Uranium		0.000338	mg/L	0.0002	1/16/2019	I		SW846-6020	=
Uranium-234	U	0.129	pCi/L	2.21	1/16/2019	1.08	1.08	HASL 300, U-02-RC N	A =
Uranium-235	U	0.135	pCi/L	1.44	1/16/2019	0.75	0.751	HASL 300, U-02-RC N	A =
Uranium-238	U	-0.546	pCi/L	2.09	1/16/2019	0.703	0.704	HASL 300, U-02-RC N	4 =

#### Facility: <u>C-404 Landfill</u> County: McCracken **Permit #:** KY8-890-008-982 **Sampling Point:** MW87 REG Downgradient URGA Period: Semiannual Report **AKGWA Well Tag #:** 8000-5236 Reporting Counting Date Parameter **Oualifier Result Units** Limit Collected Error (+/- TPU Method Validation SW846-6020 Arsenic 0.0116 mg/L 0.005 1/15/2019 = SW846-6020 Arsenic, Dissolved U 0.005 mg/L 0.005 1/15/2019 = 30.27 Inches/Hg **Barometric Pressure Reading** 1/15/2019 Х SW846-6020 Cadmium J 0.000481 mg/L 0.001 1/15/2019 J SW846-6020 Cadmium, Dissolved U 0.001 mg/L 0.001 1/15/2019 = SW846-6020 Chromium 0.0424 mg/L 0.01 1/15/2019 = Chromium, Dissolved 0.00432 mg/L 0.01 SW846-6020 J 1/15/2019 = Conductivity 337 umho/cm 1/15/2019 Х Х Depth to Water 50.03 ft 1/15/2019 **Dissolved Oxygen** 2.49 mg/L 1/15/2019 Х 0.00502 mg/L 0.002 SW846-6020 Lead 1/15/2019 = Lead, Dissolved U 0.002 mg/L 0.002 1/15/2019 SW846-6020 = U 0.0002 mg/L 0.0002 1/15/2019 SW846-7470A Mercury = Mercury, Dissolved U 0.0002 mg/L 0.0002 1/15/2019 SW846-7470A = 5.87 Std Unit рΗ 1/15/2019 Х Redox 432 mV 1/15/2019 Х U 0.005 mg/L 0.005 SW846-6020 Selenium 1/15/2019 = SW846-6020 Selenium, Dissolved U 0.005 mg/L 0.005 1/15/2019 = SW846-9056 Sulfate 6.28 mg/L 0.4 1/15/2019 = Technetium-99 U 1.88 pCi/L DOE TC-02-RC 19 1/15/2019 11.1 11.1 = 51.6 deg F Temperature 1/15/2019 Х Trichloroethene 2380 ug/L 50 1/15/2019 SW846-8260B = Turbidity 131 NTU 1/15/2019 Х Uranium 0.00042 mg/L 0.0002 SW846-6020 1/15/2019 = Uranium-234 U 1.5 pCi/L 2.38 1/15/2019 1.66 1.68 HASL 300, U-02-RC M = Uranium-235 U 1.61 pCi/L 1/15/2019 1.64 HASL 300, U-02-RC M 1.63 1.66 = HASL 300, U-02-RC M = Uranium-238 U 0.779 pCi/L 2.3 1/15/2019 1.38 1.38

#### Facility: <u>C-404 Landfill</u> County: McCracken **Permit #:** KY8-890-008-982 **Sampling Point:** MW88 REG Downgradient UCRS Period: Semiannual Report **AKGWA Well Tag #:** 8000-5237 Reporting Counting Date Parameter **Oualifier Result Units** Limit Collected Error (+/- TPU Method Validation SW846-6020 Arsenic 0.00669 mg/L 0.005 1/16/2019 = SW846-6020 Arsenic, Dissolved J 0.00221 mg/L 0.005 1/16/2019 = 30.24 Inches/Hg **Barometric Pressure Reading** 1/16/2019 Х SW846-6020 Cadmium U 0.001 mg/L 0.001 1/16/2019 = SW846-6020 Cadmium, Dissolved U 0.001 mg/L 0.001 1/16/2019 = SW846-6020 Chromium 0.0175 mg/L 0.01 1/16/2019 = Chromium, Dissolved U 0.01 mg/L 0.01 SW846-6020 1/16/2019 = Conductivity 660 umho/cm 1/16/2019 Х Х Depth to Water 9.46 ft 1/16/2019 **Dissolved Oxygen** 1.64 mg/L 1/16/2019 Х 0.00149 mg/L 0.002 SW846-6020 Lead J 1/16/2019 = 0.002 mg/L Lead, Dissolved U 0.002 1/16/2019 SW846-6020 = 0.000082 mg/L 0.0002 1/16/2019 SW846-7470A Mercury J = Mercury, Dissolved U 0.0002 mg/L 0.0002 1/16/2019 SW846-7470A = рΗ 5.84 Std Unit 1/16/2019 Х Redox 396 mV 1/16/2019 Х U 0.005 mg/L 0.005 SW846-6020 Selenium 1/16/2019 = U SW846-6020 Selenium, Dissolved 0.005 mg/L 0.005 1/16/2019 = SW846-9056 Sulfate 127 mg/L 4 1/16/2019 = Technetium-99 19.1 pCi/L DOE TC-02-RC 18.2 1/16/2019 11.1 11.3 = 55.7 deg F Temperature 1/16/2019 Х Trichloroethene 3.81 ug/L 1 1/16/2019 SW846-8260B = Turbidity 68.4 NTU 1/16/2019 Х Uranium J 0.000184 mg/L 0.0002 1/16/2019 SW846-6020 = Uranium-234 U 0.625 pCi/L 1.05 1/16/2019 0.751 0.758 HASL 300, U-02-RC M = Uranium-235 U 0.665 pCi/L 0.848 1/16/2019 0.784 0.789 HASL 300, U-02-RC M = HASL 300, U-02-RC M = Uranium-238 U 1.05 pCi/L 1/16/2019 0.907 0.917 1.11

#### Facility: <u>C-404 Landfill</u> County: McCracken **Permit #:** KY8-890-008-982 **Sampling Point:** MW90A REG Downgradient URGA Period: Semiannual Report **AKGWA Well Tag #:** 8004-0357 Reporting Counting Date Parameter Qualifier **Result Units** Limit Collected Error (+/- TPU Method Validation SW846-6020 Arsenic U 0.005 mg/L 0.005 1/16/2019 = SW846-6020 Arsenic, Dissolved J 0.00217 mg/L 0.005 1/16/2019 = 30.29 Inches/Hg **Barometric Pressure Reading** 1/16/2019 Х SW846-6020 Cadmium U 0.001 mg/L 0.001 1/16/2019 = SW846-6020 Cadmium, Dissolved U 0.001 mg/L 0.001 1/16/2019 = SW846-6020 U 0.01 mg/L 0.01 Chromium 1/16/2019 = U 0.01 mg/L 0.01 SW846-6020 Chromium, Dissolved 1/16/2019 = 221 umho/cm Conductivity 1/16/2019 Х Х Depth to Water 48.49 ft 1/16/2019 **Dissolved Oxygen** 4.69 mg/L 1/16/2019 Х 0.002 mg/L 0.002 SW846-6020 Lead U 1/16/2019 = Lead, Dissolved U 0.002 mg/L 0.002 1/16/2019 SW846-6020 = U 0.0002 mg/L 0.0002 1/16/2019 SW846-7470A Mercury = Mercury, Dissolved U 0.0002 mg/L 0.0002 1/16/2019 SW846-7470A = рΗ 5.94 Std Unit 1/16/2019 Х Redox 424 mV 1/16/2019 Х U 0.005 mg/L 0.005 SW846-6020 Selenium 1/16/2019 = SW846-6020 Selenium, Dissolved U 0.005 mg/L 0.005 1/16/2019 = SW846-9056 Sulfate 4.33 mg/L 0.4 1/16/2019 = Technetium-99 U 11.9 pCi/L DOE TC-02-RC 15.8 1/16/2019 9.49 9.58 = 54.8 deg F Temperature 1/16/2019 Х Trichloroethene 69.9 ug/L 1 1/16/2019 SW846-8260B = Turbidity 0 NTU 1/16/2019 Х Uranium U 0.0002 mg/L 0.0002 SW846-6020 1/16/2019 = Uranium-234 1.89 pCi/L 1.47 1/16/2019 1.42 1.45 HASL 300, U-02-RC M = Uranium-235 U 1 pCi/L 1/16/2019 1.18 1.19 HASL 300, U-02-RC M 1.28 = HASL 300, U-02-RC M = Uranium-238 U 0.389 pCi/L 1/16/2019 0.883 0.885 1.52
Facility: <u>C-404 Landf</u>	ill	County: <u>McCracker</u>	n		Permit #:	<u>KY8-890</u>	0-008-982	
Sampling Point:	MW91A REG	Downgradie	nt UCRS	S	Period: <u>Se</u>	emiannual	Report	
AKGWA Well Tag #:	8007-2917							
Parameter	Qualifier	Result Units	Reporting Limit	Date Collected	Countin   Error (+	g +/- TPU	Method	Validation _
Arsenic Dissolved		0.0159 mg/l	0.005	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	
Barometric Pressure Read	ing	30.22 Inches/Ha		1/16/2019				
Cadmium		0.001 mg/l	0.001	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Cadmium Dissolved		0.001 mg/L	0.001	1/16/2019	·		SW846-6020	
Charmium, Dissolved		0.001 mg/L	0.001	1/10/2019			SW846 6020	_
	0	0.01 mg/L	0.01	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Chromium, Dissolved	U	0.01 mg/L	0.01	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Conductivity		1317 umho/cm		1/16/2019				Х
Depth to Water		12.54 ft		1/16/2019	I			х
Dissolved Oxygen		0.58 mg/L		1/16/2019				х
Lead	U	0.002 mg/L	0.002	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Lead, Dissolved	U	0.002 mg/L	0.002	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Mercury	U	0.0002 mg/L	0.0002	1/16/2019			SW846-7470A	=
Mercury, Dissolved	U	0.0002 mg/L	0.0002	1/16/2019			SW846-7470A	=
рН		6.23 Std Unit		1/16/2019				Х
Redox		124 mV		1/16/2019	I			Х
Selenium	U	0.005 mg/L	0.005	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Selenium, Dissolved	U	0.005 mg/L	0.005	1/16/2019	1		SW846-6020	=
Sulfate		209 mg/L	8	1/16/2019			SW846-9056	=
Technetium-99	U	11.6 pCi/L	16.7	1/16/2019	10	10.1	DOE TC-02-RC	=
Temperature		57.5 deg F		1/16/2019				Х
Trichloroethene		31.1 ug/L	1	1/16/2019			SW846-8260B	=
Turbidity		0 NTU		1/16/2019	1			х
Uranium	J	0.000092 mg/L	0.0002	1/16/2019	1		SW846-6020	=
Uranium-234		1.58 pCi/L	1.36	1/16/2019	1.2	1.22	HASL 300, U-02-RC N	1 =
Uranium-235	U	0.542 pCi/L	1.19	1/16/2019	0.861	0.864	HASL 300, U-02-RC N	1 =
Uranium-238		1.23 pCi/L	1.15	1/16/2019	1.04	1.05	HASL 300, U-02-RC N	1 =

#### Facility: <u>C-404 Landfill</u> County: McCracken **Permit #:** KY8-890-008-982 **Sampling Point:** MW93 REG Upgradient URGA Period: Semiannual Report **AKGWA Well Tag #:** 8000-5102 Reporting Counting Date Parameter **Oualifier Result Units** Limit Collected Error (+/- TPU Method Validation SW846-6020 Arsenic 0.00462 mg/L 0.005 1/16/2019 J = SW846-6020 Arsenic, Dissolved J 0.00225 mg/L 0.005 1/16/2019 = 30.28 Inches/Hg **Barometric Pressure Reading** 1/16/2019 Х SW846-6020 Cadmium U 0.001 mg/L 0.001 1/16/2019 = SW846-6020 Cadmium, Dissolved U 0.001 mg/L 0.001 1/16/2019 = SW846-6020 Chromium 0.0561 mg/L 0.01 1/16/2019 = 0.00401 mg/L 0.01 SW846-6020 Chromium, Dissolved J 1/16/2019 = 367 umho/cm Conductivity 1/16/2019 Х Х Depth to Water 51.59 ft 1/16/2019 **Dissolved Oxygen** 2.39 mg/L 1/16/2019 Х 0.002 mg/L 0.002 SW846-6020 Lead U 1/16/2019 = Lead, Dissolved U 0.002 mg/L 0.002 1/16/2019 SW846-6020 = U 0.0002 mg/L 0.0002 1/16/2019 SW846-7470A Mercury = Mercury, Dissolved U 0.0002 mg/L 0.0002 1/16/2019 SW846-7470A = рΗ 5.9 Std Unit 1/16/2019 Х Redox 382 mV 1/16/2019 Х U 0.005 mg/L 0.005 SW846-6020 Selenium 1/16/2019 = SW846-6020 Selenium, Dissolved U 0.005 mg/L 0.005 1/16/2019 = SW846-9056 Sulfate 7.36 mg/L 0.4 1/16/2019 = Technetium-99 U 8.75 pCi/L DOE TC-02-RC 22.1 1/16/2019 13.1 13.1 = 55.5 deg F Temperature 1/16/2019 Х Trichloroethene 1000 ug/L 25 1/16/2019 SW846-8260B = Turbidity 8.2 NTU 1/16/2019 Х Uranium U 0.0002 mg/L 0.0002 SW846-6020 1/16/2019 = Uranium-234 U 1.05 pCi/L 1.37 1/16/2019 1.02 1.03 HASL 300, U-02-RC M = Uranium-235 U 0.199 pCi/L 0.597 1/16/2019 0.56 0.56 HASL 300, U-02-RC M = HASL 300, U-02-RC M = Uranium-238 U 0.812 pCi/L 1/16/2019 0.852 0.859 1.06

#### Facility: <u>C-404 Landfill</u> County: McCracken **Permit #:** KY8-890-008-982 **Sampling Point:** MW94 REG Upgradient UCRS Period: Semiannual Report **AKGWA Well Tag #:** 8000-5103 Reporting Counting Date Qualifier **Result Units** Limit Collected Error (+/- TPU Method Validation Parameter SW846-6020 Arsenic 0.005 mg/L 0.005 1/16/2019 U = SW846-6020 Arsenic, Dissolved J 0.00233 mg/L 0.005 1/16/2019 = 30.22 Inches/Hg **Barometric Pressure Reading** 1/16/2019 Х SW846-6020 Cadmium U 0.001 mg/L 0.001 1/16/2019 = SW846-6020 Cadmium, Dissolved U 0.001 mg/L 0.001 1/16/2019 = SW846-6020 J 0.00814 mg/L 0.01 Chromium 1/16/2019 = U 0.01 mg/L 0.01 SW846-6020 Chromium, Dissolved 1/16/2019 = 864 umho/cm Conductivity 1/16/2019 Х Х Depth to Water 13.28 ft 1/16/2019 **Dissolved Oxygen** 1.32 mg/L 1/16/2019 Х 0.00189 mg/L 0.002 SW846-6020 Lead J 1/16/2019 = Lead, Dissolved U 0.002 mg/L 0.002 1/16/2019 SW846-6020 = U 0.0002 mg/L 0.0002 1/16/2019 SW846-7470A Mercury = Mercury, Dissolved U 0.0002 mg/L 0.0002 1/16/2019 SW846-7470A = рΗ 6.39 Std Unit 1/16/2019 Х Redox 201 mV 1/16/2019 Х U 0.005 mg/L 0.005 SW846-6020 Selenium 1/16/2019 = SW846-6020 Selenium, Dissolved U 0.005 mg/L 0.005 1/16/2019 = SW846-9056 Sulfate 81.4 mg/L 4 1/16/2019 = Technetium-99 992 pCi/L 27.1 DOE TC-02-RC 18.2 1/16/2019 113 = 58.2 deg F Temperature 1/16/2019 Х Trichloroethene 2.19 ug/L 1 1/16/2019 SW846-8260B = Turbidity 76.9 NTU 1/16/2019 Х Uranium 0.00183 mg/L 0.0002 SW846-6020 1/16/2019 = Uranium-234 U 1.13 pCi/L 1.37 1/16/2019 1.03 1.04 HASL 300, U-02-RC M = Uranium-235 U 0.675 pCi/L 1.06 1/16/2019 0.855 0.86 HASL 300, U-02-RC M = HASL 300, U-02-RC M = Uranium-238 U 0.478 pCi/L 1/16/2019 0.777 0.779 1.25

#### Facility: <u>C-404 Landfill</u> County: McCracken **Permit #:** KY8-890-008-982 **Sampling Point:** MW420 REG Upgradient URGA Period: Semiannual Report **AKGWA Well Tag #:** 8005-3263 Reporting Counting Date Parameter **Oualifier Result Units** Limit Collected Error (+/- TPU Method Validation SW846-6020 Arsenic 0.00414 mg/L 0.005 1/15/2019 J = SW846-6020 Arsenic, Dissolved J 0.00222 mg/L 0.005 U 1/15/2019 30.27 Inches/Hg **Barometric Pressure Reading** 1/15/2019 Х SW846-6020 Cadmium U 0.001 mg/L 0.001 1/15/2019 = SW846-6020 Cadmium, Dissolved U 0.001 mg/L 0.001 1/15/2019 = SW846-6020 Chromium U 0.01 mg/L 0.01 1/15/2019 = U 0.01 mg/L 0.01 SW846-6020 Chromium, Dissolved 1/15/2019 = 335 umho/cm Conductivity 1/15/2019 Х Х Depth to Water 51.69 ft 1/15/2019 **Dissolved Oxygen** 1.99 mg/L 1/15/2019 Х 0.002 mg/L 0.002 SW846-6020 Lead U 1/15/2019 = Lead, Dissolved U 0.002 mg/L 0.002 1/15/2019 SW846-6020 = U 0.0002 mg/L 0.0002 1/15/2019 SW846-7470A Mercury = Mercury, Dissolved U 0.0002 mg/L 0.0002 1/15/2019 SW846-7470A = рΗ 5.83 Std Unit 1/15/2019 Х Redox 441 mV 1/15/2019 Х U 0.005 mg/L 0.005 SW846-6020 Selenium 1/15/2019 = SW846-6020 Selenium, Dissolved U 0.005 mg/L 0.005 1/15/2019 = SW846-9056 Sulfate 6.14 mg/L 0.4 1/15/2019 = Technetium-99 U 1.09 pCi/L DOE TC-02-RC 19 1/15/2019 11 11 = 55.9 deg F Temperature 1/15/2019 Х Trichloroethene 601 ug/L 10 1/15/2019 SW846-8260B = Turbidity 0 NTU 1/15/2019 Х Uranium U 0.0002 mg/L 0.0002 SW846-6020 1/15/2019 = Uranium-234 U 1.64 pCi/L 2.23 1/15/2019 1.66 1.68 HASL 300, U-02-RC M = Uranium-235 U 1.28 pCi/L 1/15/2019 1.51 HASL 300, U-02-RC M 1.63 1.52 = HASL 300, U-02-RC M = Uranium-238 U 0.903 pCi/L 1/15/2019 1.23 1.24 1.68

Facility: <u>C-404 Landfi</u>	11	County	McC	racken	_ 1	Permit #:	KY8-890-0	08-982	
Type of Sample:	FB				I	Period: Sen	niannual Re	port QC Sample	es
AKGWA Well Tag #:	0000-0000								
Parameter	Qualifier	Result	Units	Reporting Limit	Date Collected	Counting Error (+/-	) TPU	Method	Validation
Arsenic	U	0.005	mg/L	0.005	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Cadmium	U	0.001	mg/L	0.001	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Chromium	U	0.01	mg/L	0.01	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Lead	U	0.002	mg/L	0.002	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Mercury	U	0.0002	mg/L	0.0002	1/16/2019			SW846-7470A	A =
Selenium	U	0.005	mg/L	0.005	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Technetium-99	U	2.28	pCi/L	16.7	1/16/2019	9.73	9.73	DOE TC-02-R	C =
Trichloroethene	U	1	ug/L	1	1/16/2019			SW846-8260E	3 =
Uranium	U	0.0002	mg/L	0.0002	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Uranium-234	U	1.39	pCi/L	1.63	1/16/2019	1.22	2 1.24	HASL 300, U- 02-RC M	=
Uranium-235	U	0.495	pCi/L	1.33	1/16/2019	0.876	0.879	HASL 300, U- 02-RC M	=
Uranium-238	U	0.577	pCi/L	1.07	1/16/2019	0.788	0.792	HASL 300, U- 02-RC M	=

Facility: C-404 Landfi	11	County	McC	racken	_ I	Permit #: <u>KY</u>	78-890-0	08-982	
Type of Sample:	RI				I	Period: Semia	nnual Re	port QC Sample	es
AKGWA Well Tag #:	0000-0000								
Parameter	Qualifier	Result	Units	Reporting Limit	Date Collected	Counting Error (+/-)	TPU	Method	Validation
Arsenic	U	0.005	mg/L	0.005	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Cadmium	U	0.001	mg/L	0.001	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Chromium	U	0.01	mg/L	0.01	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Lead	U	0.002	mg/L	0.002	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Mercury	U	0.0002	mg/L	0.0002	1/16/2019			SW846-7470A	. =
Selenium	U	0.005	mg/L	0.005	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Technetium-99	U	2.99	pCi/L	15.5	1/16/2019	9.08	9.09	DOE TC-02-R	C =
Trichloroethene	U	1	ug/L	1	1/16/2019			SW846-8260B	3 =
Uranium	U	0.0002	mg/L	0.0002	1/16/2019			SW846-6020	=
Uranium-234	U	0.792	pCi/L	1.63	1/16/2019	1.04	1.05	HASL 300, U- 02-RC M	=
Uranium-235	U	1.17	pCi/L	1.17	1/16/2019	1.11	1.12	HASL 300, U- 02-RC M	=
Uranium-238	U	1.17	pCi/L	1.43	1/16/2019	1.09	1.1	HASL 300, U- 02-RC M	=

Facility: <u>C-404 Landfil</u>	1	County	: McC	racken	_ ]	Permit #: <u>KY</u>	78-890-0	08-982	
Type of Sample:	TB				]	Period: Semia	nnual Re	port QC Sampl	es
AKGWA Well Tag #:	0000-0000			-		~ .			
Parameter	Qualifier	Result	Units	Reporting Limit	Date Collected	Counting Error (+/-)	TPU	Method	Validation
Trichloroethene	U	1	ug/L	1	1/15/2019			SW846-8260	В =
	U	1	ug/L	1	1/16/2019			SW846-8260	В =

### **MEDIA Codes**

WG Groundwater

### **QUALIFIER Codes**

- U Analyte analyzed for, but not detected at or below the lowest concentration reported.
- J Estimated quantitation.

## SAMPLE METHOD Codes

GR Grab

### **SAMPLING POINT Codes**

- UCRS Upper Continental Recharge System
- URGA Upper Regional Gravel Aquifer

#### **SAMPLE TYPE Codes**

- FB Field Blank
- FR Field Duplicate as defined in sampling procedure.
- REP Field Duplicate as defined in permit.
- REG Regular
- RI QC Equipment Rinseate/Decon
- TB Trip Blank

#### VALIDATION Codes

- = Validated result, no qualifier is necessary.
- J The analyte was positively identified; the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.
- X Not validated.

**APPENDIX B** 

C-404 HAZARDOUS WASTE LANDFILL STATISTICAL ANALYSES

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

#### C-404 HAZARDOUS WASTE LANDFILL MAY 2019 SEMIANNUAL Facility: US DOE—Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant

Finds/Unit: <u>KY8-980-008-982/1</u> LAB ID: \_\_\_\_\_

For Official Use Only

# **GROUNDWATER STATISTICAL SUMMARY**

#### Introduction

The statistical analyses conducted on the data collected from C-404 Hazardous Waste Landfill (C-404 Landfill) were performed in accordance with procedures provided in Appendix E of the Hazardous Waste Management Facility Permit, reissued by the Kentucky Division of Waste Management (KDWM) in July 2015. The percent of censored (nondetected) data points for individual parameters was calculated for the combined analytical data from the most recent five sampling events. The percent of censored data was used to select the types of statistical analyses to determine whether compliance well concentrations differed from background well concentrations. Data points were used in the statistical analysis for analyte results close to the sample quantitation limit that were judged to be below that limit by the data validator. For the first reporting period 2019 semiannual report, the reporting period data set includes data from January 2017, July 2017, January 2018, August 2018, and January 2019.

### **Statistical Analysis Process**

Utilizing the current data set and four previous data sets, the type of statistical test conducted for each chemical data set is a function of the number of samples and proportion of censored data (nondetects) to uncensored data (detects) in each group. The percent of censored (nondetected) data points for individual parameters was calculated for the combined analytical data. The statistical procedures applied to the data are summarized below.

- Determine the percentage of the censored data using the reporting period data set.
- Group by percentage of censored data where the following apply:
  - If censored data are greater than or equal to 90%, determine the limit of detection (LOD) and half of the LOD (1/2 LOD). This is Statistical Test 1.
  - If censored data are between 50% and 90%, perform a Test of Proportions. If the analysis indicates a significant proportional difference in compliance wells, further analyze through nonparametric Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) Test. This is Statistical Test 2.
  - If censored data are between 15% and 50%, perform nonparametric ANOVA Test. If results exceed the critical value, compute the critical difference used to identify individual well concentrations, which are significantly elevated compared with background. This is Statistical Test 3.
  - If censored data are less than 15%, actual data values are analyzed using parametric ANOVA procedures. If the wells exhibit equal variances, then the data are used as presented. If the wells do not exhibit equal variances, then the log of the data is taken and then used in the calculations. Where statistical testing indicates elevated compliance well concentrations, Bonferroni's Test of

Contrasts is performed. This is Statistical Test 4. If variances are found to be unequal even for log-transformed concentrations, Statistical Test 4 is abandoned and Statistical Test 3 is used to compare compliance wells with background wells. Statistical Test 4 is found in Section 5.2.1 of EPA guidance document, *Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Final Guidance* (April 1989).

If the statistical method above indicates no statistical difference between concentrations in downgradient wells and concentrations in background wells, then there are no indications of statistically significant impacts on the groundwater from the C-404 Landfill. If the tiered statistical method above identifies a statistically significant difference between concentrations in downgradient wells and concentrations in background wells, then the data will be evaluated further to determine if the concentrations in downgradient wells are within statistically developed upper tolerance limit (UTL) for background concentrations or are consistent with the findings of the 2007 Alternate Source Demonstration (ASD), as follows:

- (1) Compare the most recent downgradient sample results to a 95% UTL using the five most recent sets of data for each upgradient well as described below. If downgradient concentrations are lower than the UTL for the paired upgradient concentrations, then there is no confirmed exceedance.
- (2) Evaluate results using paired ANOVA of wells in the same direction relative to the landfill (e.g., compare upgradient westernmost well results to downgradient westernmost well results). If ANOVA does not identify a statistically significant difference between upgradient and downgradient wells, then the results are consistent with the historical ASD.
- (3) If results show downgradient wells have statistically significant higher concentrations than upgradient wells, even when evaluated with respect to the ASD, additional intra-well evaluation of trend will be performed using the Mann-Kendall test for trend. If concentrations do not show an increasing trend, then there is no confirmed exceedance attributable to C-404.
- (4) Review other Regional Gravel Aquifer (RGA) well results in vicinity to determine if they are consistent with ASD.

If the statistical analysis identifies downgradient well concentrations that are increasing, are higher than UTL, are higher than the upgradient well concentrations even when the ASD results are taken into account, this evaluation will identify a confirmed, statistically significant exceedance (in a compliance well) over background.

## Data Analysis

Data from the upgradient background wells in the Upper Regional Gravel Aquifer (URGA) are included for comparison with three downgradient URGA wells. Figure 1 of this C-404 Landfill Groundwater Report provides a map of the well locations associated with the C-404 Landfill. Upper Continental Recharge System (UCRS) wells in Figure 1 are provided for reference only. Data from wells that are in the UCRS are not included in the statistical analyses.

Table B.1 presents the C-404 Landfill upgradient or background wells and downgradient or compliance wells from the URGA. Data from the URGA compliance wells were compared with data from the URGA background wells.

#### **Table B.1. Monitoring Well Locations**

URGA	
Upgradient background wells	MW93, MW420
Downgradient compliance wells	MW84, MW87, MW90A*
*MW00 was abandoned in 2001 and replaced with MW0	0.4

\*MW90 was abandoned in 2001 and replaced with MW90A.

For the first reporting period 2019 semiannual report, the reporting period data set from January 2017 through January 2019 consists of five sets of data.

Table B.2 lists the number of analyses (observations), nondetects (censored observations), detects (uncensored observations), and missing observations by parameter. When field duplicate data are available from a well, the higher of the two readings was retained for further evaluation.

#### **Censoring Percentage and Statistical Analysis**

The type of statistical test set applied to the data is a function of the number of nondetects (censored) versus detects (uncensored) in each of the parameter groups and among the wells. Table B.3 presents the percentage of censored data and type of statistical test chosen for each of the parameters required by Part VIII.E of the Permit.

Parameters	Observations	Missing Observations*	Censored Observations (Nondetects)	Uncensored Observations (Detects)
URGA				
Arsenic	25	0	7	18
Cadmium	25	0	17	8
Chromium	25	0	9	16
Lead	25	0	10	15
Mercury	25	0	25	0
Selenium	25	0	23	2
Technetium-99	25	0	22	3
Trichloroethene	25	0	0	25
Uranium (Metals)	25	0	12	13
Uranium-234	25	0	24	1
Uranium-235	25	0	25	0
Uranium-238	25	0	25	0

#### Table B.2. Summary of Missing, Censored, and Uncensored Data Collected

Parameter	Total Samples (Nonmissing)	Uncensored (Detects)	Censored (Nondetects)	Percent Censored	Statistical Test Set*
URGA					
Arsenic	25	18	7	28.00	3
Cadmium	25	8	17	68.00	2
Chromium	25	16	9	36.00	3
Lead	25	15	10	40.00	3
Mercury	25	0	25	100.00	1
Selenium	25	2	23	92.00	1
Technetium-99	25	3	22	88.00	2
Trichloroethene	25	25	0	0.00	4/3**
Uranium (Metals)	25	13	12	48.00	3
Uranium-234	25	1	24	96.00	1
Uranium-235	25	0	25	100.00	1
Uranium-238	25	0	25	100.00	1

Table B.3. Percent Censored Report and Statistical Test Set Selected

\*A list of the constituents with greater than or equal to 90% censored data is included in Table B.4, which summarizes the results of Statistical Test 1.

\*\*Because equality of variance could not be confirmed, Statistical Test 4, Parametric ANOVA, was abandoned, and Statistical Test 3, Nonparametric ANOVA, was performed.

# SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS

The results for Statistical Test 1, LOD, are summarized in Table B.4. Table B.5 provides the summary of conclusions for the C-404 Landfill statistical analyses for the first reporting period 2019, including the statistical tests performed, the attachment number, well type, parameter, and results of each statistical test. Results of Statistical Test 2, Statistical Test 3, and Statistical Test 4 are presented in Attachments B1 through B7. The statistician qualification statement is presented in Attachment B8.

#### Table B.4. Statistical Test 1: Limit of Detection

Parameter	LOD Values	½ LOD Values
URGA		
Mercury (mg/L)	0.0002	0.0001
Selenium (mg/L)	0.005	0.0025
Uranium-234 (pCi/L)	2.38	1.19
Uranium-235 (pCi/L)	1.63	0.815
Uranium-238 (pCi/L)	2.3	1.15

In summary, Statistical Test 2, Test of Proportions, for technetium-99 in the URGA indicated no statistically significant difference between concentrations in downgradient wells and concentrations in background wells.

Statistical Test 2, Test of Proportions, for cadmium in the URGA indicated a statistically significant evidence that the proportion of detects in one group of data exceeds the proportion of detects in the other

group. For cadmium, downgradient wells had a higher proportion of detects; therefore, the data were evaluated further using Nonparametric ANOVA. Nonparametric ANOVA identified a statistically significant difference between concentrations in downgradient wells and concentrations in background wells; therefore, the data were compared to the UTL. The 95% UTL did not indicate a statistically significant difference between concentrations in downgradient wells and concentrations in background wells.

Statistical Test 3, Nonparametric ANOVA, for arsenic in the URGA identified a statistically significant difference between concentrations in downgradient wells and concentrations in background wells; therefore, the data were evaluated further by comparing results to the UTL. The 95% UTL indicated a statistically significant difference between concentrations in downgradient wells and concentrations in background wells. Based on these results, the data were evaluated using paired (parametric) ANOVA of wells in the same direction relative to the landfill [e.g., performed a comparison of downgradient well (MW84) results to upgradient westernmost well (MW93) results]. Results of the paired (parametric) ANOVA identified there to be a significant difference between upgradient and downgradient wells. A Mann-Kendall test was performed to further evaluate the data, and it identified an increasing trend for arsenic in MW84.

Statistical Test 3, Nonparametric ANOVA, for chromium in the URGA indicated no statistically significant difference between concentrations in downgradient wells and concentrations in background wells.

Statistical Test 3, Nonparametric ANOVA, for lead in the URGA identified a statistically significant difference between concentrations in downgradient wells and concentrations in background wells; therefore, the data were evaluated further by comparing results to the UTL. The 95% UTL for MW84 did not indicate a statistically significant difference between concentrations in downgradient wells and concentrations in background wells. The 95% UTL for MW87 indicated a statistically significant difference between concentrations in background wells. Based on these results, the data were evaluated using paired (parametric) ANOVA of wells in the same direction relative to the landfill [e.g., performed a comparison of downgradient well (MW87) results to upgradient westernmost well (MW93) results]. Results of the paired (parametric) ANOVA identified there to be a significant difference between upgradient and downgradient wells. A Mann-Kendall test was performed to further evaluate the data, and no trend was identified for lead in MW87.

Statistical Test 3, Nonparametric ANOVA, for uranium in the URGA identified a statistically significant difference between concentrations in downgradient wells and concentrations in background wells; therefore, the data were evaluated further by comparing results to the UTL. The 95% UTL for MW84 did not indicate a statistically significant difference between concentrations in downgradient wells and concentrations in background wells. The 95% UTL for MW87 indicated a statistically significant difference between concentrations in background wells. Based on these results, the data were evaluated using paired (parametric) ANOVA of wells in the same direction relative to the landfill [e.g., performed a comparison of downgradient well (MW87) results to upgradient westernmost well (MW93) results]. Results of the paired (parametric) ANOVA identified there to be a significant difference between upgradient and downgradient wells. A Mann-Kendall test was performed to further evaluate the data, and no trend was identified for uranium in MW87.

Statistical Test 4, Parametric ANOVA, could not be used for trichloroethene in the URGA because there was no evidence of equality of variance. Thus, Statistical Test 4 was abandoned and Statistical Test 3, Nonparametric ANOVA, was performed. Nonparametric ANOVA identified a statistically significant difference between concentrations in downgradient wells and concentrations in background wells;

therefore, the data were evaluated further by comparing results to the UTL. The 95% UTL indicated a statistically significant difference between concentrations in downgradient wells and concentrations in background wells. Based on these results, the data were evaluated using paired (parametric) ANOVA of wells in the same direction relative to the landfill [e.g., performed a comparison of downgradient well (MW84) results to upgradient westernmost well (MW93) results]. Results of the paired (parametric) ANOVA identified there to be a significant difference between upgradient and downgradient wells. A Mann-Kendall test was performed to further evaluate the data, and it identified an increasing trend for trichloroethene in MW84.

Attachment	RGA Well Type	Parameter	Applied Statistical Test	Results
B1	URGA	Arsenic	Statistical Test 3, Nonparametric ANOVA with 95% UTL, paired ANOVA (MW84 vs. MW93), Mann- Kendall, and data review	Because Nonparametric ANOVA indicated a statistically significant difference between concentrations in downgradient wells and concentrations in background wells for compliance well MW84, a comparison to the 95% UTL, paired ANOVA, Mann-Kendall, and an attempt to review data was performed, as required by the Hazardous Waste Management Facility Permit. Results of the paired ANOVA have identified there is a significant difference between upgradient and downgradient wells. The Mann-Kendall identified an increasing trend in MW84.
B2	URGA	Cadmium	Statistical Test 2, Test of Proportions with Statistical Test 3, Nonparametric ANOVA and 95% UTL	Because Test of Proportions indicated statistically significant evidence that the proportion of detects in one group of data exceeds the proportion of detects in the other group, Statistical Test 3, Nonparametric ANOVA, and a comparison to the 95% UTL were performed. Results of the comparison to the 95% UTL have not identified a statistically significant difference between concentrations in downgradient wells and concentrations in background wells.
В3	URGA	Chromium	Statistical Test 3, Nonparametric ANOVA	No statistically significant difference between concentrations in downgradient wells and concentrations in background wells.
Β4	URGA	Lead	Statistical Test 3, Nonparametric ANOVA with 95% UTL, paired ANOVA (MW87 vs. MW93), and Mann-Kendall	Because Nonparametric ANOVA indicated a statistically significant difference between concentrations in downgradient wells and concentrations in background wells for compliance well MW84, a comparison to the 95% UTL was performed, as required by the Hazardous Waste Management Facility Permit. For MW84, results of the comparison to the 95% UTL have not identified a statistically significant difference between concentrations in downgradient wells or concentrations in background wells. Because Nonparametric ANOVA indicated a statistically significant difference between concentrations in downgradient wells and concentrations in background wells for compliance

#### Table B.5. Summary of Conclusions from the C-404 Hazardous Waste Landfill Statistical Analyses for the First Reporting Period 2019

# Table B.5. Summary of Conclusions from the C-404 Hazardous Waste Landfill Statistical Analyses for the First Reporting Period 2019 (Continued)

Attachment	RGA Well Type	Parameter	Applied Statistical Test	Results
				well MW87, a comparison to the 95% UTL, paired ANOVA, and Mann-Kendall were performed, as required by the Hazardous Waste Management Facility Permit. Results of the paired ANOVA for MW87 have identified that there is a significant difference between upgradient and downgradient wells. The Mann-Kendall identified no trend in MW87.
В5	URGA	Technetium-99	Statistical Test 2, Test of Proportions	No statistically significant difference between concentrations in downgradient wells and concentrations in background wells.
B6	URGA	Trichloroethene	Statistical Test 4, Parametric ANOVA/Statistical Test 3, Nonparametric ANOVA with 95% UTL, paired ANOVA (MW84 vs. MW93), Mann-Kendall, and data review	Because equality of variance could not be confirmed, Statistical Test 4 was abandoned and Statistical Test 3, Nonparametric ANOVA, was performed. Because Nonparametric ANOVA indicated a statistically significant difference between concentrations in downgradient wells and concentrations in background wells for compliance well MW84, a comparison to the 95% UTL, paired ANOVA, Mann-Kendall, and an attempt to review data were performed, as required by the Hazardous Waste Management Facility Permit. Results of the paired ANOVA have identified there is a significant difference between upgradient and downgradient wells. The Mann-Kendall identified an increasing trend in MW84.
B7	URGA	Uranium	Statistical Test 3, Nonparametric ANOVA, with 95% UTL, paired ANOVA (MW87 vs. MW93), and Mann-Kendall	Because Nonparametric ANOVA indicated a statistically significant difference between concentrations in downgradient wells and concentrations in background wells for compliance well MW84, a comparison to the 95% UTL was performed, as required by the Hazardous Waste Management Facility Permit. For MW84, results of the comparison to the 95% UTL have not identified a statistically significant difference between concentrations in downgradient wells and concentrations in background wells. Because Nonparametric ANOVA indicated a statistically significant difference between concentrations in background wells. Because Nonparametric ANOVA indicated a statistically significant difference between concentrations in background wells for compliance well MW87, a comparison to the 95% UTL, paired ANOVA, and Mann-Kendall were performed, as required by the Hazardous Waste Management Facility Permit. Results of the paired ANOVA have identified that there is a significant difference between upgradient and downgradient wells. The

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

# **ATTACHMENT B1**

ARSENIC STATISTICAL TEST 3 THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

		Arse	enic (mg/L)		
Date	Background	Background	Compliance	Compliance	Compliance
	MW93	MW420	MW84	MW87	MW90A
Jan-17	0.00842	0.0025	0.017	0.00692	0.0025
Jul-17	0.00755	0.00207	0.0191	0.00907	0.0025
Jan-18	0.00807	0.0025	0.0185	0.0087	0.0025
Aug-18	0.00619	0.00304	0.0289	0.0105	0.0025
Jan-19	0.00462	0.00414	0.0275	0.0116	0.0025
Sum	0.049	1	0.11100	0.04679	0.0125
n <sub>i</sub>	10		5	5	5
$(\mathbf{x}_i)_{avg}$	0.00491		0.02220	0.00936	0.0025

mg/L = milligrams per liter

BG = background

DL = detection limit

All data sets represent 1/2 DL values for nondetects. **Bolded values indicate a detected result.** 

Overall mean  $x_{..} = 0.00878$ 

N =	25	N = the total number of samples
p =	4	$p =$ the number of $n_i$ groups
x =	0.22	$x_{}$ = the sum of the total number of samples

#### Statistical Test 3, Nonparametric ANOVA

#### **Ranking of Observations**

		Adjusted	
Sequence	Arsenic (mg/L)	Rank	Tie Number
1	0	4	
2	0	4	
3	0	4	
4	0	4	Tie 1
5	0	4	
6	0	4	
7	0	4	
8	0.00207	8	
9	0.00304	9	
10	0.00414	10	
11	0.00462	11	
12	0.00619	12	
13	0.00692	13	
14	0.00755	14	
15	0.00807	15	
16	0.00842	16	
17	0.0087	17	
18	0.00907	18	
19	0.0105	19	
20	0.0116	20	
21	0.017	21	
22	0.0185	22	
23	0.0191	23	
24	0.0275	24	
25	0.0289	25	

mg/L = milligrams per liter

BG = background

DL = detection limit

#### Bolded values indicate a detected result.

NOTE: For this method, observations below the detection limit that are considered nondetects (i.e., U qualified data) are reported as a concentration of 0.

 $n_{tie}$  <u>Adjustment for Ties:  $(n_{tie}^{3}-n_{tie})$ </u>

7

Tie 1 = 336

$$\sum T_i = 336$$

#### Sums of Ranks and Averages

		Ars	enic (mg/L)			
Date	Background	Background	Compliance	Compliance	Compliance	
	MW93	MW420	MW84	MW87	MW90A	
Jan-17	0.00842	0	0.017	0.00692	0	
Jul-17	0.00755	0.00207	0.0191	0.00907	0	
Jan-18	0.00807	0	0.0185	0.0087	0	
Aug-18	0.00619	0.00304	0.0289	0.0105	0	
Jan-19	0.00462	0.00414	0.0275	0.0116	0	
		Observation	n Ranks for Arsen	nic		
Date	Background	Background	Compliance	Compliance	Compliance	
	MW93	MW420	MW84	MW87	MW90A	
Jan-17	16	4	21	13	4	
Jul-17	14	8	23	18	4	
Jan-18	15	4	22	17	4	
Aug-18	12	9	25	19	4	
Jan-19	11	10	24	20	4	
R <sub>i</sub>	10	3	115	87	20	
$(R_i)_{avg}$	10	3	23.0	17.4	4.0	
$R_i^2/n_i$	106	0.9	2645.0	1513.8	80.0	
$\Sigma R_i^2/n_i =$	5299.7		mg/L = milligram	ms per liter	K = the number of	n <sub>i</sub> groups
			BG = backgrour	nd	N = the total numb	er of samples
			DL = detection	limit		
			Bolded values i	ndicate a detecte	d result.	
K =	4		NOTE: For this	method, observati	ons below the detect	ion limit
N =	25		that are consider	ed nondetects (i.e	., U qualified data) a	re reported
			as a concentration	on of 0.	· /	•
Kruskal-Wa	llis Statistic					

#### Calculation of Kruskal-Wallis Statistic

H =	19.841	Kruskal-Wallis Statistic	$H = [12/N(N+1)*\Sigma R_i^2/n_i] - 3(N+1)$
H' =	20.277	Corrected Kruskal-Wallis	$H' = H/[1-(\sum T_i/N^3-N)]$
$\chi^2_{crit} * =$	7.815	3 degrees of fre	edom at the 5% significance level

NOTE:  $H' > \chi^2_{crit}$ 

If  $H' \leq \chi^2_{crit}$ , the data from each well come from the same continuous distribution and hence have the same median concentrations of a specific constituent.

If H' >  $\chi^2_{crit}$ , reject the null hypothesis and calculate the critical difference for well comparisons to the background.

K-1 = 3 
$$\alpha/(K-1) = 0.01667$$
  $Z(\alpha/(K-1))^{**} = 2.1280$   
 $\alpha = 0.05$   $1-(\alpha/K-1) = 0.983$ 

NOTE:

\*Table 1, Appendix B, Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance (EPA 1989).

\*\*Table 4, Appendix B, Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance (EPA 1989).

#### **Calculate Critical Values**

Average Background Ranking = 10.3

	Well No.	Ci	$(R_i)_{avg}$ - $(R_b)_{avg}$	Conclusion
BG Well	MW93			
BG Well	MW420			
	MW84	8.578	12.7	evidence of contamination
	MW87	8.578	7.1	not contaminated
	MW90A	8.578	-6.3	not contaminated

mg/L = milligrams per liter

BG = background

DL = detection limit

CONCLUSION:

If  $(R_i)_{avg}$  -  $(R_b)_{avg}$  >  $C_i$ , then there is evidence that the compliance well is contaminated.

If  $(R_i)_{avg} - (R_b)_{avg} < C_i$  for wells, there is no evidence of a statistically significant difference between concentrations in downgradient compliance test wells and background wells.

Since  $(R_i)_{avg}$  -  $(R_b)_{avg}$  >  $C_i$  for MW84, there is a statistically significant difference between downgradient compliance test wells and background wells in MW84 from the C-404 Landfill.

Because nonparametric ANOVA indicated a statistically significant difference between compliance test wells and background wells at the C-404 Landfill in compliance well MW84, the 95% UTL was performed.

Since  $(R_i)_{avg} - (R_b)_{avg} < C_i$  for MW87 and MW90A, there is no statistically significant difference between background wells and these downgradient compliance test wells; however, the negative value indicates that background wells have elevated concentrations.

Section 5.2.2, *Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance* (EPA 1989).

#### 95% Upper Tolerance Limit (UTL)

XX7 II NT

Compare the most recent downgradient sample results to a calculated 95% UTL using the five most recent sets of data for each upgradient well, as described below. If downgradient concentration is less than the UTL for the paired upgradient concentrations, then there is no confirmed exceedance.

#### January 2019 Data, First Reporting Period Observations (mg/L)

wen No.						
MW93	0.00842	0.00755	0.00807	0.00619	0.00462	Upgradient Well
MW420	0.0025	0.00207	0.0025	0.00304	0.00414	Upgradient Well
						<b>Current Data</b>
<b>MW84</b>	2	K: Mean Value =	0.0049			0.0275
MW87	S: Standard	Deviation =	0.0025			0.0116
MW90A	k	K* factor =	2.911	(for $n = 10$ )		0.0025
	0	CV = S/X	0.5024	<1, assume no	ormal distribution	
	Upper Tolera	ance Interval: TL =	X + (KxS) =	0.0121	(mg/L)	

! = Data from previous 5 sampling events.

CV = coefficient of variation

\* = Table 5, Appendix B, Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance (EPA 1989).

#### Result: MW84 exceeded the UTL, which is statistically significant evidence that this compliance well has elevated concentration with respect to background data.

Because the 95% UTL indicated a statistically significant difference between compliance test wells and background wells at the C-404 Landfill in compliance well MW84, the paired ANOVA was performed.

#### Paired (Parametric) ANOVA

Evaluate results using paired ANOVA of wells in the same direction relative to the landfill [e.g., compare upgradient westernmost well results to downgradient westernmost well results]. If ANOVA does not identify a statistically significant difference between upgradient and downgradient wells, then the results are consistent with the historical ASD.

	Arsenic (mg/L)			
Date	Background	Compliance		
	MW93	MW84		$n_i^2$
Jan-17	0.00842	0.017	0.0000709	0.0002890
Jul-17	0.00755	0.0191	0.0000570	0.0003648
Jan-18	0.00807	0.0185	0.0000651	0.0003423
Aug-18	0.00619	0.0289	0.0000383	0.0008352
Jan-19	0.00462	0.0275	0.0000213	0.0007563
Sum (x <sub>i</sub> )	0.0349	0.11100	0.1459	Total Sum (x)
n <sub>i</sub>	5	5		-
(x <sub>i</sub> ) <sub>avg</sub>	0.00697	0.02220		
$(\mathbf{x}_i)^2$	0.00121	0.01232		

#### mg/L = milligrams per liter Bolded values indicate a detected result.

Overall mean x =	0.01459	
N =	10	N = the total number of samples
p =	2	$p =$ the number of $n_i$ groups
X <sub></sub> =	0.1459	$x_{}$ = the sum of the total number of samples

#### **Determine Normality of Dataset**

#### **Coefficient of Variability Test**

Table of Residuals $(x_i - x_{iavg})$						
Date	Background	Compliance				
	MW93	MW84				
Jan-17	0.00145	-0.00520				
Jul-17	0.00058	-0.00310				
Jan-18	0.00110	-0.00370				
Aug-18	-0.00078	0.00670				
Jan-19	-0.00235	0.00530				

X: Mean Value =	0.00E+00	
S: Standard Deviation =	0.00385	
K* Factor =	2.911	(for $n = 10$ )
CV = S/X =	#ΔIς/0!	#DIV/0!

#### <sup>†</sup>The Coefficient of Variability Test was not performed due to mean = 0 (i.e., division by 0 not possible).

\*Table 5, Appendix B, Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance (EPA 1989).

If the coefficient of variation (CV) is < 1, the data are normally distributed. If the coefficient of variation (CV) is > or = 1, data are not normally distributed.

#### **Determine Equality of Variance of Dataset**

p = number of wells	x <sub>=</sub> 0.1459
$n_i$ = number of data points per well	$(x_{avg})_{} = 0.01459$
N = total sample size	n <sub>i =</sub> 5
$S^2$ = the square of the standard deviation	p = 2
$\ln(S_i^2)$ = natural logarithm of each variance	N = 10
f = total sample size minus the number of wells (groups)	$f_i = 4$
$\mathbf{f}_{i} = \mathbf{n}_{i} - 1$	

Calculations f	or Equalit	v of Variance <sup>.</sup>	Bartlett's	Test
Culculutions	or Equant	y or variance.	Durtiett 5	1000

$\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{i}}$	$S_i^2$	$\ln(S_i^2)$	n <sub>i</sub>	$f_i S_i^2$	$f_i ln(S_i^2)$
0.00156	0.00000244495	-12.921	5	0.0000098	-51.7
0.00555	0.00003083000	-10.387	5	0.0001233	-41.5

$\sum (S_i^2) =$	0.00003	$\sum f_i \ln(S_i^2) =$	-93.23403

Equality of Variance: Bartlett's Test

f =	8			
$Sp^2 =$	0.0000166			
$\ln \text{Sp}^2 =$	-11.004			
$\chi^2 =$	5.203	(If calculated $\chi^2 \le$ tabulated $\chi^2_{\text{crit}}$ , t significance level).	hen variance	s are equal at the given
$\chi^2_{crit} * =$	3.841	at a 5% significance level with	1	degrees of freedom (p-1)

NOTE: The variances are NOT equal. (i.e., calculated  $\chi^2 > \chi^2_{crit}$ )

Variances are not equal, transform the original data to lognormal (i.e., since calculated  $\chi^2 > \chi^2_{crit}$ ).

\*Table 1, Appendix B, *Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance* (EPA 1989).

#### Paired (Parametric) ANOVA-Lognormal Data

	ln[Arsenic (mg/L	)]		
Date	Background	Compliance		
	MW93	MW84		$n_i^2$
Jan-17	-4.78	-4.07	22.82	16.60
Jul-17	-4.89	-3.96	23.88	15.67
Jan-18	-4.82	-3.99	23.23	15.92
Aug-18	-5.08	-3.54	25.86	12.56
Jan-19	-5.38	-3.59	28.92	12.91
Sum (x <sub>i</sub> )	-24.95	-19.16	-44.11	Total Sum (x)
n <sub>i</sub>	5	5		
(x <sub>i</sub> ) <sub>avg</sub>	-4.99	-3.83		
$(\mathbf{x}_i)^2$	622.26	367.11		

mg/L = milligrams per liter

#### Bolded values indicate a detected result.

Overall mean x =	-4.41	
N =	10	N = the total number of samples
p =	2	$p =$ the number of $n_i$ groups
X <sub> =</sub>	-44.11	$x_{}$ = the sum of the total number of samples

#### **Determine Normality of Dataset**

#### Coefficient of Variability Test-Lognormal Data

		U
Date	Background	Compliance
	MW93	MW84
Jan-17	0.21	-0.24
Jul-17	0.10	-0.13
Jan-18	0.17	-0.16
Aug-18	-0.10	0.29
Jan-19	-0.39	0.24

Table of Residuals (x<sub>i</sub>-x<sub>i</sub>avg) for Lognormal Data

X: Mean Value =	1.33E-16	
S: Standard Deviation =	0.23	
K* Factor =	2.911	(for n = 10)
CV = S/X =	1.74E+15	$\geq$ 1, data are NOT normally distributed

#### Data are not normally distributed (i.e., $\geq 1$ )

\*Table 5, Appendix B, *Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance* (EPA 1989).

If the coefficient of variation is < 1, the residuals are normally distributed. If the coefficient of variation is > or = 1, the residuals are not normally distributed.

#### Determine Equality of Variance-Lognormal Data

p = number of wells	x <sub>=</sub> -44.11
$n_i$ = number of data points per well	$(x_{avg})_{} = -4.41$
N = total sample size	$n_{i=} 5$
$S^2$ = the square of the standard deviation	p = 2
$\ln(S_i^2)$ = natural logarithm of each variance	N = 10
f = total sample size minus the number of wells (groups)	$f_i = 4$
$\mathbf{f}_i = \mathbf{n}_i - 1$	

Calculations	for Equalit	v of Variance <sup>.</sup>	Bartlett's	Test
Calculations	IOI Lyuani	y or variance.	Darticus	1030

$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{i}}$	$S_i^2$	$\ln(S_i^2)$	n <sub>i</sub>	$f_i S_i^2$	$f_i ln(S_i^2)$
0.25	0.06	-2.80	5	0.24	-11.2
0.24	0.06	-2.82	5	0.24	-11.3

$$\sum(S_i^2) = 0.12$$
  $\sum f_i \ln(S_i^2) = -22.45$ 

 $\begin{array}{rcl} & & \\ f = & 8 \\ Sp^2 = & 0.06 \\ ln \ Sp^2 = & -2.81 \\ \chi^2 = & 0.00 & (If \ calculated \ \chi^2 \le tabulated \ \chi^2_{\ crit}, \ then \ variances \ are \ equal \ at \ the \ given \ significance \ level). \\ \chi^2_{\ crit} * = & 3.841 & at \ a \ 5\% \ significance \ level \ with \ 1 & degrees \ of \ freedom \ (p-1) \end{array}$ 

(i.e., calculated  $\chi^2 \leq \chi^2_{crit}$ )

NOTE: The variances are equal.

Since calculated  $\chi^2 \le \chi^2_{crit}$ , then the analysis can proceed as normal.

\*Table 1, Appendix B, Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance (EPA 1989).

\*\*Section 5.2, Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance (EPA 1989)].

#### **Between Well Sum of Squares**

Source of	Sums	of Squares	Degrees of	Mean Squares	Calculated F	F Statistic**
Between Wells	$SS_{wells} =$	3.34669	1	3.34669	55.35	5.32
Error	$SS_{Error} =$	0.48367	8	0.060459		
Total	$SS_{Total} =$	3.83036	9			

If calculated F > F statistic, then reject the hypothesis of equal well means. If calculated F is less than or equal to F statistic, it can be concluded that there is no significant difference between concentrations; therefore, there is no evidence of well contamination.

# **CONCLUSION:** Calculated F > F statistic; therefore, ANOVA has identified a significant difference between background and downgradient wells.

Because the paired ANOVA for the two wells indicated a statistically significant difference between compliance test wells and background wells at the C-404 Landfill in compliance well MW84, a Mann-Kendall statistical analysis was performed.

\*\*Table 2, Appendix B, *Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance* (EPA 1989). F statistic taken at the 5% significance level.

#### Mann-Kendall Statistical Analysis for Arsenic in MW84

#### Input Data

	Result
Date Collected	(mg/L)
Jul-15	0.00922
Jan-16	0.0103
Jul-16	0.0137
Jan-17	0.017
Jul-17	0.0191
Jan-18	0.0185
Aug-18	0.0289
Jan-19	0.0275

Bolded values indicate a detected result.

#### Mann-Kendall Trend Test Analysis

User Selected Options	
Date/Time of Computation	ProUCL 5.13/18/2019 12:23:28 PM
From File	WorkSheet.xls
Full Precision	OFF
Confidence Coefficient	0.95
Level of Significance	0.05

#### MW84 arsenic 1Q2019

#### **General Statistics**

Number or Reported Events Not Used	0
Number of Generated Events	8
Number Values Reported (n)	8
Minimum	0.00922
Maximum	0.0289
Mean	0.018
Geometric Mean	0.0168
Median	0.0178
Standard Deviation	0.00723
Coefficient of Variation	0.401

#### Mann-Kendall Test

M-K Test Value (S)	24
Tabulated p-value	0.001
Standard Deviation of S	8.083
Standardized Value of S	2.846
Approximate p-value	0.00222

Statistically significant evidence of an increasing trend at the specified level of significance.

#### Mann-Kendall Statistical Analysis for Arsenic in MW84



# ATTACHMENT B2

CADMIUM STATISTICAL TEST 2 THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

# Attachment B2: Cadmium URGA, Statistical Test 2, Test of Proportions, First Reporting Period 2019

Cadmium (mg/L)					
Date	Background	Background	Compliance	Compliance	Compliance
	MW93	MW420	MW84	MW87	MW90A
Jan-17	0.0005	0.0005	0.000619	0.0005	0.0005
Jul-17	0.0005	0.0005	0.000396	0.000304	0.0005
Jan-18	0.0005	0.0005	0.0005	0.000375	0.0005
Aug-18	0.0005	0.0005	0.000323	0.000372	0.0005
Jan-19	0.0005	0.0005	0.000415	0.000481	0.0005

mg/L = milligrams per liter BG = background DL = detection limit All data sets represent 1/2 DL values for nondetects. Bolded values indicate a detected result.

### <sup>!</sup>Test of Proportions

Calculate the number of detections in background wells vs. compliance wells.

X =	0	X = number of samples above DL in background wells
Y =	8	Y = number of samples above DL in compliance wells
$n_b =$	10	$n_b = count of background well results/samples analyzed$
$n_c =$	15	$n_c = count of compliance well results/samples analyzed$
n =	25	n = total number of samples
$\mathbf{P} =$	0.320	$\mathbf{P} = (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y})/\mathbf{n}$
nP =	8	$n = n_b + n_c$
n(1-P) =	17	

**NOTE:** If nP and n(1-P) are both  $\geq = 5$ , then the normal approximation may be used.

$P_b =$	0.000	$P_b$ = proportion of detects in background wells
$P_c =$	0.533	$P_c$ = proportion of detects in compliance wells
$S_D =$	0.190	$S_D$ = standard error of difference in proportions
Z =	-2.801	$Z = (P_b - P_c)/S_D$
absolute value of $Z =$	2.801	

If the absolute value of Z exceeds the 97.5th percentile value of 1.96 from the standard normal distribution, this provides statistically significant evidence at the 5% significance level that the proportion of detects in one group of data exceeds the proportion of detects in the other group.

# CONCLUSION: Because the absolute value of Z is greater than 1.96, Nonparameteric ANOVA was performed.

<sup>1</sup> Section 8.1.2, *Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Final Guidance* (EPA 1989).

Cadmium (mg/L)					
Date	Background	Background	Compliance	Compliance	Compliance
	MW93	MW420	MW84	MW87	MW90A
Jan-17	0.0005	0.0005	0.000619	0.0005	0.0005
Jul-17	0.0005	0.0005	0.000396	0.000304	0.0005
Jan-18	0.0005	0.0005	0.0005	0.000375	0.0005
Aug-18	0.0005	0.0005	0.000323	0.000372	0.0005
Jan-19	0.0005	0.0005	0.000415	0.000481	0.0005
Sum 0.0050		0.00225	0.00203	0.0025	
n <sub>i</sub>	10		5	5	5
(x <sub>i</sub> ) <sub>avg</sub>	0.00050		0.00045	0.00041	0.0005

mg/L = milligrams per liter

BG = background

DL = detection limit

All data sets represent 1/2 DL values for nondetects.

Bolded values indicate a detected result.

00047
(

N =	25	N = the total number of samples
p =	4	$p =$ the number of $n_i$ groups
x =	0.01	$x_{}$ = the sum of the total number of samples
#### Statistical Test 3, Nonparametric ANOVA

#### **Ranking of Observations**

		Adjusted	
Sequence	Cadmium (mg/L)	Rank	Tie Number
1	0	9	
2	0	9	
3	0	9	
4	0	9	
5	0	9	
6	0	9	
7	0	9	
8	0	9	
9	0	9	Tie 1
10	0	9	
11	0	9	
12	0	9	
13	0	9	
14	0	9	
15	0	9	
16	0	9	
17	0	9	
18	0.000304	18	
19	0.000323	19	
20	0.000372	20	
21	0.000375	21	
22	0.000396	22	
23	0.000415	23	
24	0.000481	24	
25	0.000619	25	

mg/L = milligrams per liter

BG = background

DL = detection limit

#### Bolded values indicate a detected result.

NOTE: For this method, observations below the detection limit that are considered nondetects (i.e., U qualified data) are reported as a concentration of 0.

 $n_{tie} \qquad \underline{Adjustment for Ties: (n_{tie}^{3}-n_{tie})} \\ 17 \qquad Tie 1 = 4896$ 

$$\sum T_i =$$
 4896

#### Sums of Ranks and Averages

Cadmium (mg/L)						
Date	Background	Background	Compliance	Compliance	Compliance	
	MW93	MW420	MW84	MW87	MW90A	
Jan-17	0	0	0.000619	0	0	
Jul-17	0	0	0.000396	0.000304	0	
Jan-18	0	0	0	0.000375	0	
Aug-18	0	0	0.000323	0.000372	0	
Jan-19	0	0	0.000415	0.000481	0	
		Observation Ra	anks for Cadmi	um		
Date	Background	Background	Compliance	Compliance	Compliance	
	MW93	MW420	MW84	MW87	MW90A	
Jan-17	9	9	25	9	9	
Jul-17	9	9	22	18	9	
Jan-18	9	9	9	21	9	
Aug-18	9	9	19	20	9	
Jan-19	9	9	23	24	9	
R <sub>i</sub>	90		98	92	45	
$(R_i)_{avg}$	9.0		19.6	18.4	9.0	
$R_i^2/n_i$	810.	0	1920.8	1692.8	405.0	
$\Sigma P^{2}/n =$	1979 6		ma/I – milliar	ama nor litar	K = the number	of n grouns
$\Delta \kappa_i / m_i -$	4020.0		$\ln g/L = \min g$		K – the humber	of n <sub>i</sub> groups
			BG = backgrou	ind	N = the total nu	mber of samples
			DL = detection	limit		
			Bolded values indicate a detected result.			
K =	4		NOTE: For this method, observations below the detection limit			
N =	25		that are considered nondetects (i.e., U qualified data) are reported as a concentration of $0$ .			

#### **Calculation of Kruskal-Wallis Statistic**

H =	11.143	Kruskal-Wallis Statistic	$H = [12/N(N+1)*\Sigma R_i^2/n_i] - 3(N+1)$
H' =	16.240	Corrected Kruskal-Wallis	$H' = H/[1-(\sum T_i/N^3-N)]$
$\chi^2_{crit} * =$	7.815	3 degrees of fre	eedom at the 5% significance level

NOTE:  $H' > \chi^2_{crit}$ 

If  $H' \leq \chi^2_{crit}$ , the data from each well come from the same continuous distribution and hence have the same median concentrations of a specific constituent.

If H' >  $\chi^2_{crit}$ , reject the null hypothesis and calculate the critical difference for well comparisons to the background.

K-1 =3
$$\alpha/(K-1) =$$
0.01667 $Z(\alpha/(K-1))^{**} =$ 2.1280 $\alpha =$ 0.05 $1-(\alpha/K-1) =$ 0.983

 NOTE: \*Table 1, Appendix B, Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance (EPA 1989).
\*\*Table 4, Appendix B, Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance (EPA 1989).

#### **Calculate Critical Values**

Average Background Ranking = 9.0

	Well No.	Ci	$(R_i)_{avg}$ - $(R_b)_{avg}$	Conclusion
BG Well	MW93			
BG Well	MW420			
	MW84	8.578	10.60	evidence of contamination
	MW87	8.578	9.40	evidence of contamination
	MW90A	8.578	0.00	not contaminated

mg/L = milligrams per liter BG = background DL = detection limit

**CONCLUSION:** 

If  $(R_i)_{avg} - (R_b)_{avg} > C_i$ , then there is evidence that the compliance well is contaminated.

If  $(R_i)_{avg} - (R_b)_{avg} \le C_i$  for wells, there is no evidence of a statistically significant difference between concentrations in downgradient compliance test wells and background wells.

Since  $(R_i)_{avg}$  -  $(R_b)_{avg}$  >  $C_i$  for MW84 and MW87, there is a statistically significant difference between downgradient compliance test wells and background wells in MW84 and MW87 from the C-404 Landfill.

Because nonparametric ANOVA indicated a statistically significant difference between compliance test wells and background wells at the C-404 Landfill in compliance wells MW84 and MW87, the 95% UTL was performed.

Since  $(R_i)_{avg}$  -  $(R_b)_{avg}$  <  $C_i$  for MW90A, there is no statistically significant difference between compliance test wells and background wells at the C-404 Landfill.

Section 5.2.2, *Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance* (EPA 1989).

#### 95% Upper Tolerance Limit (UTL)

Well No

Compare the most recent downgradient sample results to a calculated 95% UTL using the five most recent sets of data for each upgradient well, as described below. If downgradient concentration is less than the UTL for the paired upgradient concentrations, then there is no confirmed exceedance.

#### January 2019 Data, First Reporting Period Observations (mg/L)

W CH 110.						
MW93	0.0005	0.0005	0.0005	0.0005	0.0005	Upgradient Well
MW420	0.0005	0.0005	0.0005	0.0005	0.0005	Upgradient Well
						Current Data
MW84		X: Mean Value =	0.0005			0.000415
MW87	S: Standard	Deviation =	0.0000			0.000481
MW90A	1	K* factor =	2.911	(for n = 10)		0.0005
		CV = S/X	0.0000	<1, assume n	ormal distribution	
	Upper Tolera	ance Interval: TL =	= X + (KxS) =	0.0005	(mg/L)	

! = Data from previous 5 sampling events.

CV = coefficient of variation

\* = Table 5, Appendix B, *Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance* (EPA 1989).

Result: None of the Test Wells had exceeded the UTL, which is statistically significant evidence that these wells have no elevated concentration with respect to background data.

# ATTACHMENT B3

CHROMIUM STATISTICAL TEST 3 THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Chromium (mg/L)						
Date	Background	Background	Compliance	Compliance	Compliance	
	MW93	MW420	MW84	MW87	MW90A	
Jan-17	0.428	0.005	0.0309	0.262	0.005	
Jul-17	0.261	0.005	0.103	0.0557	0.005	
Jan-18	0.151	0.005	0.143	1.18	0.00381	
Aug-18	0.11	0.005	0.0752	0.275	0.005	
Jan-19	0.0561	0.005	0.0251	0.0424	0.005	
Sum	1.0311		0.37720	1.81510	0.0238	
n <sub>i</sub>	1	0	5	5	5	
(x <sub>i</sub> ) <sub>avg</sub>	0.10	0311	0.07544	0.36302	0.0048	

mg/L = milligrams per liter

BG = background

DL = detection limit

All data sets represent 1/2 DL values for nondetects.

Bolded values indicate a detected result.

Overall mean  $x_{..} = 0.12989$ 

N =	25	N = the total number of samples
p =	4	$p =$ the number of $n_i$ groups
x =	3.25	$x_{}$ = the sum of the total number of samples

#### Statistical Test 3, Nonparametric ANOVA

#### **Ranking of Observations**

	Chromium	Adjusted	
Sequence	(mg/L)	Rank	Tie Number
1	0	5	
2	0	5	
3	0	5	
4	0	5	
5	0	5	Tie 1
6	0	5	
7	0	5	
8	0	5	
9	0	5	
10	0.00381	10	
11	0.0251	11	
12	0.0309	12	
13	0.0424	13	
14	0.0557	14	
15	0.0561	15	
16	0.0752	16	
17	0.103	17	
18	0.11	18	
19	0.143	19	
20	0.151	20	
21	0.261	21	
22	0.262	22	
23	0.275	23	
24	0.428	24	
25	1.18	25	

mg/L = milligrams per liter

BG = background

DL = detection limit

#### Bolded values indicate a detected result.

NOTE: For this method, observations below the detection limit that are considered nondetects (i.e., U qualified data) are reported as a concentration of 0.

 $n_{tie}$  Adjustment for Ties:  $(n_{tie}^{3} - n_{tie})$ 

9 Tie 1 =

$$\sum T_i = 720$$

720

#### Sums of Ranks and Averages

Chromium (mg/L)					
Date	Background	Background	Compliance	Compliance	Compliance
	MW93	MW420	MW84	MW87	MW90A
Jan-17	0.428	0	0.0309	0.262	0
Jul-17	0.261	0	0.103	0.0557	0
Jan-18	0.151	0	0.143	1.18	0.00381
Aug-18	0.11	0	0.0752	0.275	0
Jan-19	0.0561	0	0.0251	0.0424	0

Observation Ranks for Chromium						
Date	Background	Background	Compliance	Compliance	Compliance	
	MW93	MW420	MW84	MW87	MW90A	
Jan-17	24	5	12	22	5	
Jul-17	21	5	17	14	5	
Jan-18	20	5	19	25	10	
Aug-18	18	5	16	23	5	
Jan-19	15	5	11	13	5	
R <sub>i</sub>	123		75	97	30	
(R <sub>i</sub> ) <sub>avg</sub>	12.3		15.0	19.4	6.0	
$R_i^2/n_i$	151	2.9	1125.0	1881.8	180.0	

2,		
$\Sigma R_i^2/n_i =$	4699.7	mg/L = milligrams per liter
		BG = background
		DL = detection limit
		Bolded values indicate a detected result.
K =	4	NOTE: For this method, observations below the detection limit
N =	25	that are considered nondetects (i.e., U qualified data) are reported as a concentration of $0$

#### Calculation of Kruskal-Wallis Statistic

H =	8.764	Kruskal-Wallis Statistic	$H = [12/N(N+1)*\Sigma R_i^2/n_i] - 3(N+1)$
H' =	9.188	Corrected Kruskal-Wallis	$H' = H/[1-(\sum T_i/N^3-N)]$
$\chi^2_{crit} * =$	7.815	3 degrees of fre	eedom at the 5% significance level

NOTE:  $H' > \chi^2_{crit}$ 

If  $H' \leq \chi^2_{crit}$ , the data from each well come from the same continuous distribution and hence have the same median concentrations of a specific constituent.

If H' >  $\chi^2_{crit}$ , reject the null hypothesis and calculate the critical difference for well comparisons to the background.

K-1 =	3	$\alpha/(K-1) =$	0.01667	$Z(\alpha/(K-1))^{**} =$	2.1280
$\alpha =$	0.05	$1 - (\alpha/K - 1) =$	0.983		

 NOTE: \*Table 1, Appendix B, Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance (EPA 1989).
\*\*Table 4, Appendix B, Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance (EPA 1989).

#### **Calculate Critical Values**

Average F	Background	Ranking =	12.3
r r voruge r	Juckground	Runking	12.5

	Well No.	Ci	$(R_i)_{avg}$ - $(R_b)_{avg}$	Conclusion
BG Well	MW93			
BG Well	MW420			
	MW84	8.578	2.70	not contaminated
	MW87	8.578	7.10	not contaminated
	MW90A	8.578	-6.30	not contaminated

mg/L = milligrams per liter BG = background DL = detection limit

**CONCLUSION:** If  $(R_i)_{avg}$  -

If  $(R_i)_{avg}$  -  $(R_b)_{avg}$  >  $C_i$ , then there is evidence that the compliance well is contaminated.

If  $(R_i)_{avg} - (R_b)_{avg} < C_i$  for wells, there is no evidence of a statistically significant difference between concentrations in downgradient compliance test wells and background wells.

Since  $(R_i)_{avg} - (R_b)_{avg} < C_i$  for MW84, MW87, and MW90A, there is no statistically significant difference between compliance test wells and background wells at the C-404 Landfill; however, the negative value indicates that background wells have elevated concentrations.

Section 5.2.2, *Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance* (EPA 1989).

# ATTACHMENT B4

LEAD STATISTICAL TEST 3 THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Lead (mg/L)								
Date	Background	Background	Compliance	Compliance	Compliance			
	MW93	MW420	MW84	MW87	MW90A			
Jan-17	0.00155	0.001	0.00475	0.00439	0.001			
Jul-17	0.00213	0.001	0.00267	0.00533	0.001			
Jan-18	0.00186	0.001	0.00191	0.00579	0.000634			
Aug-18	0.000802	0.001	0.00319	0.00682	0.001			
Jan-19	0.001	0.001	0.00204	0.00502	0.001			
Sum	0.0123		0.01456	0.02735	0.0046			
n <sub>i</sub>	10		5	5	5			
$(\mathbf{x}_i)_{avg}$	0.0012	23	0.00291	0.00547	0.0009			

mg/L = milligrams per liter

BG = background

DL = detection limit

All data sets represent 1/2 DL values for nondetects.

# Bolded values indicate a detected result.

Overall mean $x_{} =$	0.00236
-----------------------	---------

N =	25	N = the total number of samples
p =	4	$p =$ the number of $n_i$ groups
x =	0.06	$x_{}$ = the sum of the total number of samples

#### Statistical Test 3, Nonparametric ANOVA

#### **Ranking of Observations**

		Adjusted	
Sequence	Lead (mg/L)	Rank	Tie Number
1	0	5.5	
2	0	5.5	
3	0	5.5	
4	0	5.5	
5	0	5.5	Tie 1
6	0	5.5	110 1
7	0	5.5	
8	0	5.5	
9	0	5.5	
10	0	5.5	
11	0.000634	11	
12	0.000802	12	
13	0.00155	13	
14	0.00186	14	
15	0.00191	15	
16	0.00204	16	
17	0.00213	17	
18	0.00267	18	
19	0.00319	19	
20	0.00439	20	
21	0.00475	21	
22	0.00502	22	
23	0.00533	23	
24	0.00579	24	
25	0.00682	25	

mg/L = milligrams per liter

BG = background

DL = detection limit

#### Bolded values indicate a detected result.

NOTE: For this method, observations below the detection limit that are considered nondetects (i.e., U qualified data) are reported as a concentration of 0.

Adjustment for Ties:  $(n_{tie}^{3}-n_{tie})$ n<sub>tie</sub> Tie 1 = 990

$$\Sigma T_{i} = 990$$

#### Sums of Ranks and Averages

		L	ead (mg/L)			
Date	Background	Background	Compliance	Compliance	Compliance	1
	MW93	MW420	MW84	MW87	MW90A	1
Jan-17	0.00155	0	0.00475	0.00439	0	1
Jul-17	0.00213	0	0.00267	0.00533	0	1
Jan-18	0.00186	0	0.00191	0.00579	0.000634	
Aug-18	0.000802	0	0.00319	0.00682	0	]
Jan-19	0	0	0.00204	0.00502	0	]
						_
		Observati	ion Ranks for Lea	d		
Date	Background	Background	Compliance	Compliance	Compliance	
	MW93	MW420	MW84	MW87	MW90A	
Jan-17	13	5.5	21	20	5.5	
Jul-17	17	5.5	18	23	5.5	
Jan-18	14	5.5	15	24	11	
Aug-18	12	5.5	19	25	5.5	
Jan-19	5.5	5.5	16	22	5.5	
R <sub>i</sub>	89		89	114	33	
$(R_i)_{avg}$	8.9	)	17.8	22.8	6.6	
$R_i^2/n_i$	792	.1	1584.2	2599.2	217.8	]
$\Sigma R_i^2/n_i =$	5193.3		mg/L = milligram	ns per liter	K = the number of	n <sub>i</sub> groups
			BG = background	d	N = the total number of samp	
			DL = detection li	imit		-
			Bolded values ir	ndicate a detecto	ed result.	
K =	K = 4 NOTE: For this method, observations below the detection					tion limit
N =	25		that are considered nondetects (i.e., U qualified data) are reported as a concentration of 0.			
Kruskal-Wa	llis Statistic					

#### **Calculation of H**

H =	17.876	Kruskal-Wallis Statistic	$H = [12/N(N+1)*\Sigma R_i^2/n_i] - 3(N+1)$
H' =	19.088	Corrected Kruskal-Wallis	$H' = H/[1-(\sum T_i/N^3-N)]$
$\chi^2_{crit}$ * =	7.815	3 degrees of free	edom at the 5% significance level

NOTE:  $H' > \chi^2_{crit}$ 

If H'  $\leq \chi^2_{\text{crit}}$ , the data from each well come from the same continuous distribution and hence have the same median concentrations of a specific constituent.

If H' >  $\chi^2_{crit}$ , reject the null hypothesis and calculate the critical difference for well comparisons to the background.

K-1 =	3	$\alpha/(K-1) =$	0.01667	$Z(\alpha/(K-1))^{**} =$	2.1280
$\alpha =$	0.05	$1 - (\alpha/K - 1) =$	0.983		

NOTE:

\*Table 1, Appendix B, Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance (EPA 1989). \*\*Table 4, Appendix B, Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities,

Interim Guidance (EPA 1989).

#### **Calculate Critical Values**

Average Background Ranking = 8.9

	Well No.	Ci	$(R_i)_{avg}$ - $(R_b)_{avg}$	Conclusion
BG Well	MW93			
BG Well	MW420			
	MW84	8.578	8.90	evidence of contamination
	MW87	8.578	13.90	evidence of contamination
	MW90A	8.578	-2.30	not contaminated

mg/L = milligrams per liter BG = background DL = detection limit

**CONCLUSION:** 

If  $(R_i)_{avg}$  -  $(R_b)_{avg}$  >  $C_i$ , then there is evidence that the compliance well is contaminated.

If  $(R_i)_{avg} - (R_b)_{avg} < C_i$  for wells, there is no evidence of a statistically significant difference between concentrations in downgradient compliance test wells and background wells.

Since  $(R_i)_{avg} - (R_b)_{avg} > C_i$  for MW84 and MW87, there is a statistically significant difference between downgradient compliance test wells and background wells in MW84 and MW87 from the C-404 Landfill.

Because nonparametric ANOVA indicated a statistically significant difference between compliance test wells and background wells at the C-404 Landfill in compliance wells MW84 and MW87, the 95% UTL was performed.

Since  $(R_i)_{avg} - (R_b)_{avg} < C_i$  for MW90A, there is no statistically significant difference between background wells and this downgradient compliance test well; however, the negative value indicates that the background wells have elevated concentrations.

Section 5.2.2, *Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance* (EPA 1989).

#### 95% Upper Tolerance Limit (UTL)

XX7 11 NT

Compare the most recent downgradient sample results to a calculated 95% UTL using the five most recent sets of data for each upgradient well, as described below. If downgradient concentration is less than the UTL for the paired upgradient concentrations, then there is no confirmed exceedance.

#### January 2019 Data, First Reporting Period Observations (mg/L)

wen No.						
MW93	0.00155	0.00213	0.00186	0.000802	0.001	Upgradient Well <sup>!</sup>
MW420	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	Upgradient Well <sup>!</sup>
						Current Data
MW84		X: Mean Value =	0.0012			0.00204
<b>MW87</b>	S: Standard	l Deviation =	0.0004			0.00502
MW90A	-	K* factor =	2.911	(for n = 10)		0.001
		CV = S/X	0.3633	<1, assume nor	mal distribution	
	Upper Toler	ance Interval: TL =	= X +(KxS) =	0.0025	(mg/L)	

! = Data from previous 5 sampling events.

- CV = coefficient of variation
  - \* = Table 5, Appendix B, *Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance* (EPA 1989).

#### Result: MW87 exceeded the UTL, which is statistically significant evidence that the compliance well has elevated concentrations with respect to background data.

Because the 95% UTL indicated a statistically significant difference between compliance test wells and background wells at the C-404 Landfill in compliance well MW87, the paired ANOVA was performed.

#### Paired (Parametric) ANOVA - MW93 and MW87

Evaluate results using paired ANOVA of wells in the same direction relative to the landfill [e.g., compare upgradient westernmost well results to downgradient westernmost well results]. If ANOVA does not identify a statistically significant difference between upgradient and downgradient wells, then the results are consistent with the historical ASD.

	Lead (mg/L)			
Date	Background	Compliance		
	MW93	MW87	r	$n_i^2$
Jan-17	0.00155	0.00439	0.0000024	0.0000193
Jul-17	0.00213	0.00533	0.0000045	0.0000284
Jan-18	0.00186	0.00579	0.0000035	0.0000335
Aug-18	0.000802	0.00682	0.0000006	0.0000465
Jan-19	0.001	0.00502	0.0000010	0.0000252
Sum (x <sub>i</sub> )	0.007	0.02735	0.0347	Total Sum (x)
n <sub>i</sub>	5	5		_
(x <sub>i</sub> ) <sub>avg</sub>	0.001	0.00547		
$(\mathbf{x}_i)^2$	0.00005	0.00075		

mg/L = milligrams per liter Bolded values indicate a detected result.

Overall mean x =	0.00347	
N =	10	N = the total number of samples
p =	2	$p =$ the number of $n_i$ groups
X <sub></sub> =	0.0347	$x_{}$ = the sum of the total number of samples

#### **Determine Normality of Dataset**

#### **Coefficient of Variability Test**

Table of Residuals  $(x_i - x_{iavg})$ 

Date	Background	Compliance
	MW93	MW87
Jan-17	0.00008	-0.00108
Jul-17	0.00066	-0.00014
Jan-18	0.00039	0.00032
Aug-18	-0.00067	0.00135
Jan-19	-0.00047	-0.00045

X: Mean Value = -4.34E-20S: Standard Deviation = 0.00071K\* Factor = 2.911 (for n = 10) CV = S/X = -1.64E+16 < 1, data are normally distributed

#### Data are normally distributed (i.e., < 1).

\*Table 5, Appendix B, Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance (EPA 1989).

If the coefficient of variation is < 1, the data are normally distributed. If the coefficient of variation is > or = 1, data are not normally distributed.

#### **Determine Equality of Variance of Dataset**

p = number of wells	x <sub>=</sub> 0.0347
$n_i$ = number of data points per well	$(x_{avg})_{} = 0.00347$
N = total sample size	n <sub>i =</sub> 5
$S^2$ = the square of the standard deviation	p = 2
$\ln(S_i^2)$ = natural logarithm of each variance	N = 10
f = total sample size minus the number of wells (groups)	$f_i = 4$
$\mathbf{f}_i = \mathbf{n}_i - 1$	

Calculations for Equality of Variance: Bartlett's Tes
---

$S_i$	$S_i^2$	$\ln({S_i}^2)$ †	n <sub>i</sub>	$f_i S_i^2$	$f_i ln(S_i^{\ 2}) \dagger$
0.000562	0.00000031530	-14.970	5	0.0000013	-59.9
0.00091	0.0000082835	-14.004	5	0.0000033	-56.0

 $\sum(S_i^2) = 0.000001144 \qquad \qquad \sum f_i \ln(S_i^2) = -115.89425$ 

 $f = \begin{cases} \frac{\text{Equality of Variance: Bartlett's Test}}{8} \\ \text{Sp}^2 = & 0.0000006 \\ \ln \text{Sp}^2 = & -14.374 \\ \chi^2 = & 0.899 \\ \text{(If calculated } \chi^2 \leq \text{tabulated } \chi^2_{\text{crit}} \text{ then variances are equal at the given significance level).} \\ \chi^2_{\text{crit}} * = & 3.841 \\ \text{at a 5\% significance level with} \qquad 1 \\ \end{cases} \text{ degrees of freedom (p-1)}$ 

NOTE: The variances are equal.

(i.e., calculated  $\chi^2 \leq \chi^2_{crit}$ ).

Since calculated  $\chi^2 \leq \chi^2_{\text{crit}}$ , then the analysis can proceed as normal.

\*Table 1, Appendix B, Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance (EPA 1989).

#### **Between Well Sum of Squares**

Source of			Degrees of			
Variation	Sums of Squares		Freedom	Mean Squares	Calculated F	F Statistic**
Between Wells	$SS_{wells} =$	0.000040	1	0.000040	70.01	5.32
Error	$SS_{Error} =$	0.000005	8	0.000001		
Total	SS <sub>Total</sub> =	0.000045	9			

If calculated F > F statistic, then reject the hypothesis of equal well means. If calculated F is less than or equal to F statistic, it can be concluded that there is no significant difference between concentrations; therefore, there is no evidence of well contamination.

# CONCLUSION: Calculated F > F statistic; therefore, ANOVA has identified a significant difference between background and downgradient wells.

Because the paired ANOVA for the two wells indicated a statistically significant difference between compliance test wells and background wells at the C-404 Landfill in compliance well MW87, a Mann-Kendall statistical analysis was performed.

\*\*Table 2, Appendix B, *Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance* (EPA 1989). F statistic taken at the 5% significance level.

#### Mann-Kendall Statistical Analysis for Lead in MW87

Input Data		Mann-Kendall Trend Test Analysis	
•	Result	User Selected Options	
Date Collected	(mg/L)		
Jul-15	0.002	Date/Time of Computation	ProUCL 5.13/13/2019 3:02:58 PM
Jan-16	0.00107	From File	MW87 lead ProUCL.xls
Jul-16	0.0056	Full Precision	OFF
Jan-17	0.00439	Confidence Coefficient	0.95
Jul-17	0.00533	Level of Significance	0.05
Jan-18	0.00579		
Aug-18	0.00682	MW87 lead 1Q20	)19
Jan-19	0.00502		

Bolded values indicate a detected result.

# General Statistics

0
8
8
0.00107
0.00682
0.0045
0.00392
0.00518
0.00197
0.438

#### Mann-Kendall Test

M-K Test Value (S)	14
Tabulated p-value	0.054
Standard Deviation of S	8.083
Standardized Value of S	1.608
Approximate p-value	0.0539

Insufficient evidence to identify a significant trend at the specified level of significance.

#### Mann-Kendall Statistical Analysis for Lead in MW87



# ATTACHMENT B5

TECHNETIUM-99 STATISTICAL TEST 2 THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

# Attachment B5: Technetium-99 URGA, Statistical Test 2, Test of Proportions, First Reporting Period 2019

Technetium-99 (pCi/L)					
Date	Background	Background	Compliance	Compliance	Compliance
	MW93	MW420	MW84	MW87	MW90A
Jan-17	6.75	6.8	8.15	6.9	7.2
Jul-17	9.85	9.7	10.05	9.9	9.55
Jan-18	9.4	21.5	34.4	9.45	9.2
Aug-18	7.35	9.2	6.75	7.5	7.3
Jan-19	11.05	9.5	28.8	9.5	7.9

pCi/L = picocuries per liter BG = background DL = detection limit Nondetect values are 1/2 DL. **Bolded values indicate a detected result.** 

# <sup>!</sup>Test of Proportions

Calculate the number of detections in background wells vs. compliance wells.

$X = Y = n_b = n_c = n =$	1 2 10 15 25	X = number of samples above DL in background wells Y = number of samples above DL in compliance wells $n_b =$ count of background well results/samples analyzed $n_c =$ count of compliance well results/samples analyzed n = total number of samples
P =	0.120	P=(x+y)/n
nP =	3	n=n <sub>b</sub> +n <sub>c</sub>
n(1-P) =	22	

**NOTE:** If nP and n(1-P) are both  $\geq 5$ , then the normal approximation may be used; however, because nP < 5 and/or n(1-P) < 5, the test was continued to determine if the conclusion, along with a simple evaluation of the data would be similar.

$P_b =$	0.100	$P_b$ = proportion of detects in background wells
$P_c =$	0.133	$P_c$ = proportion of detects in compliance wells
$S_D =$	0.133	$S_D$ = standard error of difference in proportions
Z =	-0.251	$Z = (P_b - P_c)/S_D$
absolute value of $Z =$	0.251	

If the absolute value of Z exceeds the 97.5th percentile value of 1.96 from the standard normal distribution, this provides statistically significant evidence at the 5% significance level that the proportion of detects in one group of data exceeds the proportion of detects in the other group.

# CONCLUSION: Because the absolute value of Z is less than 1.96, there is no statistical evidence that the proportion of samples with detected results differs between the background well and compliance well samples.

<sup>1</sup>Section 8.1.2, *Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Final Guidance* (EPA 1989).

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

# **ATTACHMENT B6**

TRICHLOROETHENE STATISTICAL TESTS 4/3 THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Trichloroethene (TCE, µg/L)							
Date	Background	Background	Compliance	Compliance	Compliance		
	MW93	MW420	MW84	MW87	MW90A		
Jan-17	2450	274	2620	2240	49.5		
Jul-17	1400	264	2910	1620	46.1		
Jan-18	994	232	3160	1400	40.6		
Aug-18	1000	476	5260	1690	53.3		
Jan-19	1000	601	5580	2380	69.9		
n <sub>i</sub>	10		5	5	5		
Sum	8691		19530	9330	259.40		
(x <sub>i</sub> )avg	869.	10	3906.00	1866.00	51.88		

 $\mu g/L = micrograms per liter$ 

Bolded values indicate a detected result.

Overall mean x =	1512.42	
N =	25	N = the total number of samples
p =	4	$p =$ the number of $n_i$ groups
x =	37810.40	$x_{}$ = the sum of the total number of samples

#### **Determine Normality of Dataset**

#### **Coefficient of Variability Test**

Table of Residuals

Date	Background	Background	Compliance	Compliance	Compliance
	MW93	MW420	MW84	MW87	MW90A
Jan-17	1580.90	-595.10	-1286.00	374.00	-2.38
Jul-17	530.90	-605.10	-996.00	-246.00	-5.78
Jan-18	124.90	-637.10	-746.00	-466.00	-11.28
Aug-18	130.90	-393.10	1354.00	-176.00	1.42
Jan-19	130.90	-268.10	1674.00	514.00	18.02

X: Mean Value =	1.93E-14	
S: Standard Deviation =	728.4	
K* Factor =	2.292	(for n = 25)
CV = S/X =	3.77E+16	> or = 1, data are not normally distributed

\*K factor [from Table 5, Appendix B of *Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance* (EPA 1989)].

If the coefficient of variation is < 1, the data are normally distributed. If the coefficient of variation is > or = 1, data are not normally distributed.

#### **Determine Equality of Variance of Dataset**

p = number of wells	x <sub>=</sub> 37810.40
$n_i$ = number of data points per well	$(x_{avg})_{} = 1512.42$
N = total sample size	
$S^2$ = the square of the standard deviation	p = 4
$\ln(S_i^2)$ = natural logarithm of each variance	N = 25

f = total sample size minus the number of wells (groups)

 $f_i = n_i - 1$ 

Calculations for Equality of Variance: Bartlett's Test

$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{i}}$	$S_i^2$	$\ln(S_i^2)$	n <sub>i</sub>	$f_i S_i^2$	$f_i ln(S_i^2)$
681.792	464840.10	13.049	10	4183560.9	117.4
1399.814	1959480.00	14.488	5	7837920.000	58.0
422.114	178180.00	12.091	5	712720.000	48.4
11.102	123.26	4.814	5	493.048	19.3

$$\Sigma(S_i^2) = 2602623.36$$
  $\Sigma f_i \ln(S_i^2) = 243.0$ 

Equality of Variance: Bartlett's Test

f=	21			
$Sp^2 =$	606413.998			
$\ln \mathrm{Sp}^2 =$	13.315			
$\chi^2 =$	36.604	(If $\chi^2 \le \chi^2_{crit}$ , then variances are equal at the given		
		significance level).		
$\chi^2_{crit} * =$	7.815	at a 5% significance level with	3	degrees of freedom

NOTE: The variances are NOT equal. (i.e.,  $\chi^2 > \chi^2_{crit}$ )

Variances are not equal, transform the original data to lognormal (i.e., since  $\chi^2 > \chi^2_{crit}$ ).

\*Table 1, Appendix B, Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance (EPA 1989).

#### Lognormal Data for TCE

	$\ln[\text{TCE}(\mu g/L)]$							
Date	Background	Background	Compliance	Compliance	Compliance			
	MW93	MW420	MW84	MW87	MW90A			
Jan-17	7.80	5.61	7.87	7.71	3.90			
Jul-17	7.24	5.58	7.98	7.39	3.83			
Jan-18	6.90	5.45	8.06	7.24	3.70			
Aug-18	6.91	6.17	8.57	7.43	3.98			
Jan-19	6.91	6.40	8.63	7.77	4.25			
x <sub>i</sub>	64.97		41.10	37.56	19.66			
(x <sub>i</sub> )avg	6.5	6.50		7.51	3.93			

 $\mu g/L = micrograms per liter$ 

#### **Determine Normality of Dataset**

#### **Coefficient of Variability Test**

Table of Residuals for Lognormal Data

Date	Background	Background	Compliance	Compliance	Compliance
	MW93	MW420	MW84	MW87	MW90A
Jan-17	1.31	-0.88	-0.35	0.20	-0.03
Jul-17	0.75	-0.92	-0.24	-0.12	-0.10
Jan-18	0.41	-1.05	-0.16	-0.27	-0.23
Aug-18	0.41	-0.33	0.35	-0.08	0.04
Jan-19	0.41	-0.10	0.41	0.26	0.32

\*K factor [from Table 5, Appendix B of *Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance* (EPA 1989)].

#### Determine Equality of Variance of Dataset for Lognormal Data

p = number of wells (background wells considered as one group)	x <sub></sub> = 163.28
$n_i$ = number of data points per well	$(x_{avg})_{} = 6.53$
N = total sample size	
$S^2$ = the square of the standard deviation	p = 4
$\ln(S_i^2)$ = natural logarithm of each variance	N = 25
f = total sample size minus the number of wells (groups)	

 $\mathbf{f}_i = \mathbf{n}_i - \mathbf{1}$ 

Calculations for Equality of Variance: Bartlett's Test

S <sub>i</sub>	$S_i^2$	$\ln(S_i^2)$	ni	$f_i S_i^2$	$f_i ln(S_i^2)$
0.790	0.624	-0.471	10	5.620	-4.2
0.351	0.124	-2.091	5	0.494	-8.4
0.225	0.051	-2.982	5	0.203	-11.9
0.203	0.041	-3.192	5	0.164	-12.8

 $\sum(S_i^2) = 0.84$   $\sum f_i \ln(S_i^2) = -37.3$ 

Equality of Variance: Bartlett's Test

f =	21			
$Sp^2 =$	0.309			
$\ln \text{Sp}^2 =$	-1.176			
$\chi^2 =$	12.608	(If $\chi^2 \le \chi^2_{\text{crit}}$ , then variances are equal at the	e given	
		significance level).		
$\chi^2_{crit} * =$	7.815	at a 5% significance level with	3	degrees of freedom

NOTE: The variances are NOT equal. (i.e.,  $\chi^2 > \chi^2_{crit}$ )

#### Because variances are not equal, Statistical Test 3, Nonparametric ANOVA is performed.\*\*

\*Table 1, Appendix B, Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance (EPA 1989)].

\*\*Section 5.2, Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance (EPA 1989)].

# Statistical Test 3, Nonparametric ANOVA

TCE (µg/L)						
Date	Background	Background	Compliance	Compliance	Compliance	
	MW93	MW420	MW84	MW87	MW90A	
Jan-17	2450	274	2620	2240	49.5	
Jul-17	1400	264	2910	1620	46.1	
Jan-18	994	232	3160	1400	40.6	
Aug-18	1000	476	5260	1690	53.3	
Jan-19	1000	601	5580	2380	69.9	
n <sub>i</sub>	10		5	5	5	
x <sub>i</sub>	8691		19530	9330	259.40	
(x <sub>i</sub> )avg	869.10		3906.00	1866.00	51.88	

 $\mu g/L = micrograms per liter$ 

BG=background

DL=detection limit

All data sets represent 1/2 DL values for nondetects.

#### Bolded values indicate a detected result.

Overall mean  $x_{..} = 1512.42$ 

N =	25	N = the total number of samples
p =	4	$p =$ the number of $n_i$ groups
x =	37810.40	$x_{}$ = the sum of the total number of samples

#### Statistical Test 3, Nonparametric ANOVA

#### **Ranking of Observations**

		Adjusted	
Sequence	TCE (µg/L)	Rank	Tie Number
1	40.6	1	
2	46.1	2	
3	49.5	3	
4	53.3	4	
5	69.9	5	
6	232	6	
7	264	7	
8	274	8	
9	476	9	
10	601	10	
11	994	11	
12	1000	12.5	Tie 1
13	1000	12.5	
14	1400	14.5	Tie 2
15	1400	14.5	110-2
16	1620	16	
17	1690	17	
18	2240	18	
19	2380	19	
20	2450	20	
21	2620	21	
22	2910	22	
23	3160	23	
24	5260	24	
25	5580	25	

 $\mu g/L = micrograms per liter$ 

BG = background

DL = detection limit

#### Bolded values indicate a detected result.

NOTE: For this method, observations below the detection limit that are considered nondetects (i.e., U qualified data) are reported as a concentration of 0.

n <sub>tie</sub>	Adjustment for Ties	$(n_{tie}^{3} - n_{tie})$
2	Tie 1 =	6
2	Tie 2 =	6
	$\sum T_i =$	12

#### Sums of Ranks and Averages

	TCE (µg/L)					
Date	Background	Background	Compliance	Compliance	Compliance	
	MW93	MW420	MW84	MW87	MW90A	
Jan-17	2450	274	2620	2240	49.5	
Jul-17	1400	264	2910	1620	46.1	
Jan-18	994	232	3160	1400	40.6	
Aug-18	1000	476	5260	1690	53.3	
Jan-19	1000	601	5580	2380	69.9	

Observation Ranks for TCE						
Date	Background	Background	Compliance	Compliance	Compliance	
	MW93	MW420	MW84	MW87	MW90A	
Jan-17	20	8	21	18	3	
Jul-17	14.5	7	22	16	2	
Jan-18	11	6	23	14.5	1	
Aug-18	12.5	9	24	17	4	
Jan-19	12.5	10	25	19	5	
R <sub>i.</sub>	110.5		115	84.5	15	
(R <sub>i</sub> ) <sub>avg</sub>	11.1		23.0	16.9	3	
$R_i^2/n_i$	1221.0		2645.0	1428.1	45	

$\Sigma R_i^2$	$n_i =$	5339.1	$\mu g/L = micrograms per liter$	$K =$ the number of $n_i$ groups
			BG=background	N = the total number of samples
			DL=detection limit	
	K =	4	Bolded values indicate a dete	ected result.
	N =	25	NOTE: For this method, obser	vations below the detection limit that are
			considered nondetects (i.e., U	qualified data) are reported as a
			concentration of 0.	

#### Calculation of Kruskal-Wallis Statistic

H =	20.568	Kruskal-Wallis Statistic	$H = [12/N(N+1)*\Sigma R_i^2/n_i] - 3(N+1)$
H' =	20.583	Corrected Kruskal-Wallis	$H' = H/[1-(\sum T_i/N^3-N)]$
$\chi^2_{crit} * =$	7.815	3 degrees of free	edom at the 5% significance level

NOTE:  $H' > \chi^2_{crit}$ 

If  $H' \leq \chi^2_{crit}$ , the data from each well come from the same continuous distribution and hence have the same median concentrations of a specific constituent.

If  $H' > \chi^2_{crit}$ , reject the null hypothesis and calculate the critical difference for well comparisons to the background.

K-1 =	3	$\alpha/(K-1) =$	0.01667	$Z(\alpha/(K-1))^{**} = 2.1280$
$\alpha =$	0.05	$1 - (\alpha/K - 1) =$	0.983	

NOTE: \*Table 1, Appendix B, Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance (USEPA 1989).

\*\*Table 4, Appendix B, *Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance* (USEPA 1989).

#### **Calculate Critical Values**

	Well No.	Ci	$(R_i)_{avg}$ - $(R_b)_{avg}$	Conclusion
BG Well	MW93			
BG Well	MW420			
	MW84	8.578	11.95	evidence of contamination
	MW87	8.578	5.85	not contaminated
	MW90A	8.578	-8.05	not contaminated

Average Background Ranking = 11.1

 $\mu g/L = micrograms per liter BG = background$ 

DL = detection limit

CONCLUSION:

If  $(R_i)_{avg}$  -  $(R_b)_{avg}$  >  $C_i$ , then there is evidence that the compliance well is contaminated.

If  $(R_i)_{avg} - (R_b)_{avg} < C_i$  for wells, there is no evidence of a statistically significant difference between concentrations in downgradient compliance test wells and background wells.

Since  $(R_i)_{avg} - (R_b)_{avg} > C_i$  for MW84, there is a statistically significant difference between compliance test wells and background wells in MW84 at the C-404 Landfill.

Because nonparametric ANOVA indicated a statistically significant difference between compliance test wells and background wells at the C-404 Landfill in compliance well MW84, the 95% UTL was performed.

Since  $(R_i)_{avg} - (R_b)_{avg} < C_i$  for MW87 and MW90A, there is no statistically significant difference between compliance test wells and background wells at the C-404 Landfill; however, the negative value indicates that background wells have elevated concentrations.

Section 5.2.2, Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance (EPA 1989).
### 95% Upper Tolerance Limit (UTL)

г

Well No.

Compare the most recent downgradient sample results to a calculated 95% UTL using the five most recent sets of data for each upgradient well, as described below. If downgradient concentration is less than the UTL for the paired upgradient concentrations, then there is no confirmed exceedance.

#### January 2019 Data, First Reporting Period Observations (µg/L)

wen no.						_	
MW93	2450	1400	994	1000	1000	Upgradient W	Vell <sup>!</sup>
MW420	274	264	232	476	601	Upgradient W	Vell <sup>!</sup>
						<b>Current Data</b>	1
MW84	Х	: Mean Value =	869			5580	
MW87	S: Stand	ard Deviation =	682			2380	
MW90A		K* factor =	2.911	(for $n = 10$ )		69.9	
		CV = S/X	0.7845	<1, assume no	ormal distribution		
	Upper Toleranc	e Interval: $TL = X$	(KxS) =	2854	(µg/L)		

! = Data from previous 5 sampling events.

- CV = coefficient of variation
  - \* = Table 5, Appendix B, Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance (EPA 1989).

#### Result: MW84 exceeded the UTL, which is statistically significant evidence that this compliance well has elevated concentration with respect to background data.

Because the 95% UTL indicated a statistically significant difference between compliance test wells and background wells at the C-404 Landfill in compliance well MW84, the paired ANOVA was performed.

#### Paired (Parametric) ANOVA - MW93 and MW84

Evaluate results using paired ANOVA of wells in the same direction relative to the landfill [e.g., compare upgradient westernmost well results to downgradient westernmost well results]. If ANOVA does not identify a statistically significant difference between upgradient and downgradient wells, then the results are consistent with the historical ASD.

	TCE (µg/L)			
Date	Background	Compliance		
	MW93	MW84	1	$n_i^2$
Jan-17	2450	2620	6002500	6864400
Jul-17	1400	2910	1960000	8468100
Jan-18	994	3160	988036	9985600
Aug-18	1000	5260	1000000	27667600
Jan-19	1000	5580	1000000	31136400
Sum (x <sub>i</sub> )	6844	19530	26374	Total Sum (x)
n <sub>i</sub>	5	5		_
(x <sub>i</sub> ) <sub>avg</sub>	1369	3906		
$(\mathbf{x}_i)^2$	46840336	381420900		

 $\mu g/L$  = micrograms per liter Bolded values indicate a detected result.

Overall mean x =	2637	
N =	10	N = the total number of samples
p =	2	$p =$ the number of $n_i$ groups
X <sub> =</sub>	26374	$x_{}$ = the sum of the total number of samples

#### **Determine Normality of Dataset**

#### **Coefficient of Variability Test**

Table of Residuals	$(x_i - x_{iavg})$
--------------------	--------------------

Date	Background	Compliance
	MW93	MW84
Jan-17	1081	-1286
Jul-17	31	-996
Jan-18	-375	-746
Aug-18	-369	1354
Jan-19	-369	1674

X: Mean Value =	0.00E+00	
S: Standard Deviation =	1023	
K* Factor =	2.911	(for $n = 10$ )
CV = S/X =	#ΔIς/0!	#DIV/0!

†The Coefficient of Variability Test was not performed due to mean = 0 (i.e., division by 0 not possible).

\*Table 5, Appendix B, Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance (EPA 1989).

If the coefficient of variation is < 1, the data are normally distributed. If the coefficient of variation is > or = 1, data are not normally distributed.

#### **Determine Equality of Variance of Dataset**

p = number of wells	x= 26374
$n_i$ = number of data points per well	$(x_{avg})_{} = 2637$
N = total sample size	$n_{i} = 5$
$S^2$ = the square of the standard deviation	p = 2
$ln(S_i^2)$ = natural logarithm of each variance	N = 10
f = total sample size minus the number of wells (groups)	$f_i = 4$
$\mathbf{f}_i = \mathbf{n}_i - 1$	

Calculations for Equality of variance. Dartieus re	Calculations for E	quality	of Variance:	Bartlett's	Test
--	--------------------	---------	--------------	------------	------

S <sub>i</sub>	$S_i^2$	$\ln(S_i^2)$ †	n <sub>i</sub>	$f_i {S_i}^2$	$f_i ln({S_i}^2) \ddagger$
629	395617	12.888	5	1582469	51.6
1400	1959480	14.488	5	7837920	58.0

$$\sum (S_i^2) = 2,355,097$$
  $\sum f_i \ln (S_i^2) = 110$ 

Equality of Variance: Bartlett's Test f =8  $Sp^2 =$ 1177549  $\ln \text{Sp}^2 =$ 13.979 (If calculated  $\chi^2 \leq$  tabulated  $\chi^2_{\mbox{ crit}},$  then variances are equal at the given  $\chi^2 =$ 2.326 significance level).  $\chi^2_{crit} * =$ 3.841 at a 5% significance level with 1 degrees of freedom (p-1)

NOTE: The variances are equal.

(i.e., calculated  $\chi^2 \leq \chi^2_{crit}$ )

Since calculated  $\chi^2 \le \chi^2_{crit}$ , then the analysis can proceed as normal.

\*Table 1, Appendix B, Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance (EPA 1989).

#### **Between Well Sum of Squares**

Source of			Degrees of			
Variation	Sums of	f Squares	Freedom	Mean Squares	Calculated F	F Statistic**
Between Wells	$SS_{wells} =$	16093459.60	1	16093459.60	13.67	5.32
Error	$SS_{Error} =$	9420388.80	8	1177548.60		
Total	$SS_{Total} =$	25513848.40	9			

If calculated F > F statistic, then reject the hypothesis of equal well means. If calculated F is less than or equal to F statistic, it can be concluded that there is no significant difference between concentrations; therefore, there is no evidence of well contamination.

# CONCLUSION: Calculated F > F statistic; therefore, ANOVA has identified a significant difference between background and downgradient wells.

Because the paired ANOVA for the two wells indicated a statistically significant difference between compliance test wells and background wells at the C-404 Landfill in compliance well MW84, a Mann-Kendall statistical analysis was performed.

\*\*Table 2, Appendix B, *Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance* (EPA 1989). F statistic taken at the 5% significance level.

### Mann-Kendall Statistical Analysis for TCE in MW84

#### Input Data

Date Collected	Result (µg/L)
Jul-15	1530
Jan-16	1350
Jul-16	1820
Jan-17	2620
Jul-17	2910
Jan-18	3160
Aug-18	5260
Jan-19	5580

Bolded values indicate a detected result.

#### Mann-Kendall Trend Test Analysis

User Selected Options	
Date/Time of Computation	ProUCL 5.13/14/2019 9:05:35 AM
From File	MW84 TCE ProUCL.xls
Full Precision	OFF
Confidence Coefficient	0.95
Level of Significance	0.05

#### MW84 TCE 1Q2019

#### **General Statistics**

Number or Reported Events Not Used	0
Number of Generated Events	8
Number Values Reported (n)	8
Minimum	1350
Maximum	5580
Mean	3029
Geometric Mean	2680
Median	2765
Standard Deviation	1613
Coefficient of Variation	0.533

#### Mann-Kendall Test

M-K Test Value (S)	26
Tabulated p-value	0
Standard Deviation of S	8.083
Standardized Value of S	3.093
Approximate p-value	9.9089E-4

Statistically significant evidence of an increasing trend at the specified level of significance.

### Mann-Kendall Statistical Analysis for TCE in MW84



## ATTACHMENT B7

URANIUM STATISTICAL TEST 3 THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

		Uraniu	m (mg/L)		
Date	Background	Background	Compliance	Compliance	Compliance
	MW93	MW420	MW84	MW87	MW90A
Jan-17	0.000223	0.0001	0.000618	0.000522	0.0001
Jul-17	0.000224	0.0001	0.000355	0.000571	0.0001
Jan-18	0.0001	0.0001	0.0002	0.000681	0.0001
Aug-18	0.000113	0.0001	0.000302	0.000722	0.0001
Jan-19	0.0001	0.0001	0.000193	0.00042	0.0001
Sum	0.0013		0.00167	0.00292	0.0005
n <sub>i</sub>	10		5	5	5
$(\mathbf{x}_i)_{avg}$	0.00013		0.00033	0.00058	0.0001

mg/L = milligrams per liter

BG = background

DL = detection limit

All data sets represent 1/2 DL values for nondetects.

Bolded values indicate a detected result.

Overall mean $x_{} =$	0.00025
-----------------------	---------

N =	25	N = the total number of samples
p =	4	$p =$ the number of $n_i$ groups
x =	0.01	$x_{}$ = the sum of the total number of samples

#### Statistical Test 3, Nonparametric ANOVA

#### **Ranking of Observations**

		Adjusted	
Sequence	Uranium (mg/L)	Rank	Tie Number
1	0	6.5	
2	0	6.5	
3	0	6.5	
4	0	6.5	
5	0	6.5	
6	0	6.5	Tio 1
7	0	6.5	110 1
8	0	6.5	
9	0	6.5	
10	0	6.5	
11	0	6.5	
12	0	6.5	
13	0.000113	13	
14	0.000193	14	
15	0.0002	15	
16	0.000223	16	
17	0.000224	17	
18	0.000302	18	
19	0.000355	19	
20	0.00042	20	
21	0.000522	21	
22	0.000571	22	
23	0.000618	23	
24	0.000681	24	
25	0.000722	25	

mg/L = milligrams per liter

BG = background

DL = detection limit

#### Bolded values indicate a detected result.

NOTE: For this method, observations below the detection limit that are considered nondetects (i.e., U qualified data) are reported as a concentration of 0.

n <sub>tie</sub>	Adjustment for	Ties: $(n_{tie}^{3}-n_{tie})$
12	Tie 1 =	1716

$$\sum T_i = 1716$$

#### Sums of Ranks and Averages

		Uranium (mg/L)				]	
	Date	Background	Background	Compliance	Compliance	Compliance	
		MW93	MW420	MW84	MW87	MW90A	
	Jan-17	0.000223	0	0.000618	0.000522	0	
	Jul-17	0.000224	0	0.000355	0.000571	0	
	Jan-18	0	0	0.0002	0.000681	0	
	Aug-18	0.000113	0	0.000302	0.000722	0	
	Jan-19	0	0	0.000193	0.00042	0	
			Observation R	anks for Uraniı	ım		
	Date	Background	Background	Compliance	Compliance	Compliance	
		MW93	MW420	MW84	MW87	MW90A	
	Jan-17	16	6.5	23	21	6.5	
	Jul-17	17	6.5	19	22	6.5	
	Jan-18	6.5	6.5	15	24	6.5	
	Aug-18	13	6.5	18	25	6.5	
	Jan-19	6.5	6.5	14	20	6.5	
	R <sub>i</sub>	91.5		89	112	32.5	
	(R <sub>i</sub> ) <sub>avg</sub>	9.2		17.8	22.4	6.5	
	$R_i^2/n_i$	837.2	2	1584.2	2508.8	211.3	
	$\Sigma R_i^2/n_i =$	5141.5		mg/L = milligr BG = backgrou	ams per liter and	K = the number of $N =$ the total number	n <sub>i</sub> groups er of samples
				DL – detection	i indicata a data	atad regult	
	K =	1		NOTE: For thi	s method observ	vations below the de	tection limit
	N =	+ 25		that are consid	ered nondetects	(i.e. II qualified dat	a) are reported
		23		as a concentral	tion of 0.	(i.e., o quantica da	a) are reported
Calculation of I	Kruskal-Wallis	s Statistic					
	H =	16.920	Kruskal-Walli	s Statistic	H = [12/N(N+1)]	)* $\Sigma R_i^2/n_i$ ] - 3(N+1)	
	H' =	19.011	Corrected Kru	skal-Wallis	$H' = H/[1-(\sum T_i/2)]$	$N^3-N)$ ]	
	$\chi^2_{crit}$ * =	7.815	3	degrees of free	dom at the 5% s	significance level	

NOTE:  $H' > \chi^2_{crit}$ 

If  $H' \leq \chi^2_{crit}$ , the data from each well come from the same continuous distribution and hence have the same median concentrations of a specific constituent.

If H' >  $\chi^2_{crit}$ , reject the null hypothesis and calculate the critical difference for well comparisons to the background.

K-1 =3 $\alpha/(K-1) =$ 0.01667 $Z(\alpha/(K-1))^{**} =$ 2.1280 $\alpha =$ 0.05 $1-(\alpha/K-1) =$ 0.983

NOTE:

\*Table 1, Appendix B, Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance (EPA 1989).
\*\*Table 4, Appendix B, Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance (EPA 1989).

#### **Calculate Critical Values**

Average Background Ranking = 9.2

	Well No.	Ci	$(R_i)_{avg}$ - $(R_b)_{avg}$	Conclusion
BG Well	MW93			
BG Well	MW420			
	MW84	8.578	8.65	evidence of contamination
	MW87	8.578	13.25	evidence of contamination
	MW90A	8.578	-2.65	not contaminated

mg/L = milligrams per liter BG = background DL = detection limit

CONCLUSION:

If  $(R_i)_{avg}$  -  $(R_b)_{avg}$  >  $C_i$ , then there is evidence that the compliance well is contaminated.

If  $(R_i)_{avg} - (R_b)_{avg} < C_i$  for wells, there is no evidence of a statistically significant difference between concentrations in downgradient compliance test wells and background wells.

Since  $(R_i)_{avg} - (R_b)_{avg} > C_i$  for MW84 and MW87, there is a statistically significant difference between downgradient compliance test wells and background wells in MW84 and MW87 from the C-404 Landfill.

Because nonparametric ANOVA indicated a statistically significant difference between compliance test wells and background wells at the C-404 Landfill in compliance wells MW84 and MW87, the 95% UTL was performed.

Since  $(R_i)_{avg} - (R_b)_{avg} < C_i$  for MW90A, there is no statistically significant difference between background wells and this downgradient compliance test well; however, the negative value indicates that the background wells have elevated concentrations.

Section 5.2.2, Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance (EPA 1989).

#### 95% Upper Tolerance Limit (UTL)

Compare the most recent downgradient sample results to a calculated 95% UTL using the five most recent sets of data for each upgradient well, as described below. If downgradient concentration is less than the UTL for the paired upgradient concentrations, then there is no confirmed exceedance.

#### January 2019 Data, First Reporting Period **Observations (mg/L)**

Well No.						_
MW93	0.000223	0.000224	0.0001	0.000113	0.0001	Upgradient Well <sup>!</sup>
MW420	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	Upgradient Well <sup>!</sup>
						Current Data
MW84		X: Mean Value =	0.0001			0.000193
<b>MW87</b>	S: Standard	l Deviation =	0.0001			0.00042
MW90A		K* factor =	2.911	(for $n = 10$ )		0.0001
			0 4001	.1	1 1	
		CV = S/X	0.4091	<1, assume n	ormal distribution	
	Upper Toleran	the Interval: $TL = X$	X +(KxS) =	0.0003	(mg/L)	

! = Data from previous 5 sampling events.

- CV = coefficient of variation
  - \* = Table 5, Appendix B, Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance (EPA 1989).

**Result:** MW87 exceeded the UTL, which is statistically significant evidence that the compliance well has elevated concentrations with respect to background data.

> Because the 95% UTL indicated a statistically significant difference between compliance test wells and background wells at the C-404 Landfill in compliance well MW87, the paired ANOVA was performed.

#### Paired (Parametric) ANOVA - MW93 and MW87

Evaluate results using paired ANOVA of wells in the same direction relative to the landfill [e.g., compare upgradient westernmost well results]. If ANOVA does not identify a statistically significant difference between upgradient and downgradient wells, then the results are consistent with the historical ASD.

	Uranium (mg/L)			
Date	Background	Compliance		
	MW93	MW87		$n_i^2$
Jan-17	0.000223	0.000522	0.00000005	0.0000003
Jul-17	0.000224	0.000571	0.00000005	0.0000003
Jan-18	0.0001	0.000681	0.0000000	0.0000005
Aug-18	0.000113	0.000722	0.00000001	0.0000005
Jan-19	0.0001	0.00042	0.00000001	0.0000002
Sum (x <sub>i</sub> )	0.00076	0.00292	0.0037	Total Sum (x)
n <sub>i</sub>	5	5		-
(x <sub>i</sub> ) <sub>avg</sub>	0.000152	0.00058		
$(\mathbf{x}_i)^2$	0.000001	0.00001		

mg/L = milligrams per liter Bolded values indicate a detected result.

Overall mean x =	0.00037	
N =	10	N = the total number of samples
p =	2	$p = the number of n_i groups$
X=	0.0037	$x_{}$ = the sum of the total number of samples

#### **Determine Normality of Dataset**

#### **Coefficient of Variability Test**

Table of Residuals $(x_i - x_{iavg})$											
Date	Background	Compliance									
	MW93	MW87									
Jan-17	0.00007	-0.00006									
Jul-17	0.00007	-0.00001									
Jan-18	-0.00005	0.00010									
Aug-18	-0.00004	0.00014									
Jan-19	-0.00005	-0.00016									

X: Mean Value = -5.96E-20S: Standard Deviation = 0.00009K\* Factor = 2.911 (for n = 10) CV = S/X = -1.55E+15 <1, data are normally distributed

#### Data are normally distributed (i.e., < 1).

\*Table 5, Appendix B, *Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance* (EPA 1989).

If the coefficient of variation is < 1, the data are normally distributed. If the coefficient of variation is > or = 1, data are not normally distributed.

#### **Determine Equality of Variance of Dataset**

p = number of wells	x <sub>=</sub> 0.0037
$n_i$ = number of data points per well	$(x_{avg})_{} = 0.00037$
N = total sample size	$n_{i=}$ 5
$S^2$ = the square of the standard deviation	p = 2
$ln(S_i^2)$ = natural logarithm of each variance	N = 10
f = total sample size minus the number of wells (groups)	$f_i = 4$
$\mathbf{f}_i = \mathbf{n}_i - 1$	

Calculations for Equality of Variance: Bartlett's Test

S <sub>i</sub>	$S_i^2$	$\ln({S_i}^2)$ †	n <sub>i</sub>	$f_i S_i^2$	$f_i ln(S_i^{\ 2}) \dagger$
0.000065	0.0000000429	-19.267	5	0.00000002	-77.1
0.00012	0.0000001484	-18.026	5	0.00000006	-72.1

$$\sum (S_i^2) = 0.000000019 \qquad \qquad \sum f_i \ln(S_i^2) = -149.17315$$

 $\begin{array}{rcl} & & \\ f = & 8 \\ Sp^2 = & 0.00000001 \\ ln \ Sp^2 = & -18.465 \\ \chi^2 = & 1.451 & (If \ calculated \ \chi^2 \le tabulated \ \chi^2_{\ crit}, \ then \ variances \ are \ equal \ at \ the \ given \ significance \ level). \\ \chi^2_{\ crit} \ * = & 3.841 & at \ a \ 5\% \ significance \ level \ wit \qquad 1 & degrees \ of \ freedom \ (p-1) \end{array}$ 

NOTE: The variances are equal.

(i.e., calculated  $\chi^2 \leq \chi^2_{crit}$ ).

Since calculated  $\chi^2 \leq \chi^2_{crit}$ , then the analysis can proceed as normal.

\*Table 1, Appendix B, Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance (EPA 1989).

#### **Between Well Sum of Squares**

Source of			Degrees of			
Variation	Sums of Squares		Freedom	Mean Squares	Calculated F	F Statistic**
Between Wells	$SS_{wells} =$	0.0000005	1	0.0000005	48.60	5.32
Error	$SS_{Error} =$	0.0000008	8	0.00000001		
Total	$SS_{Total} =$	0.000001	9			

If calculated F > F statistic, then reject the hypothesis of equal well means. If calculated F is less than or equal to F statistic, it can be concluded that there is no significant difference between concentrations; therefore, there is no evidence of well contamination.

# CONCLUSION: Calculated F > F statistic; therefore, ANOVA has identified a significant difference between background and downgradient wells.

Because the paired ANOVA for the two wells indicated a statistically significant difference between compliance test wells and background wells at the C-404 Landfill in compliance well MW87, a Mann-Kendall statistical analysis was performed.

\*\*Table 2, Appendix B, *Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Guidance* (EPA 1989). F statistic taken at the 5% significance level.

#### Mann-Kendall Statistical Analysis for Uranium in MW87

#### Input Data

Date Collected	Result (mg/L)
Jul-15	0.0002
Jan-16	0.0002
Jul-16	0.000559
Jan-17	0.000522
Jul-17	0.000571
Jan-18	0.000681
Aug-18	0.000722
Jan-19	0.00042

Bolded values indicate a detected result.

## Mann-Kendall Trend Test Analysis User Selected Options

Date/Time of ComputationProUCL 5.13/13/2019 3:34:41 PMFrom FileMW87 uranium ProUCL.xlsFull PrecisionOFFConfidence Coefficient0.95Level of Significance0.05

#### MW87 uranium 1Q2019

#### **General Statistics**

Number or Reported Events Not Used 0

- Number of Generated Events 8
- Number Values Reported (n)
  - Minimum 2.0000E-4
    - Maximum 7.2200E-4
      - Mean 4.8438E-4

8

- Geometric Mean 4.3887E-4
  - Median 5.4050E-4
- Standard Deviation 1.9839E-4
- Coefficient of Variation 0.41

#### Mann-Kendall Test

M-K Test Value (S)	15
Tabulated p-value	0.054
Standard Deviation of S	8.021
Standardized Value of S	1.745
Approximate p-value	0.0405

Insufficient evidence to identify a significant

trend at the specified level of significance.

#### Mann-Kendall Statistical Analysis for Uranium in MW87



## ATTACHMENT B8

## STATISTICIAN STATEMENT

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



Four Rivers Nuclear Partnership, LLC 5511 Hobbs Road Kevil, KY 42053 www.fourriversnuclearpartnership.com

March 18, 2019

Ms. Kelly Layne Four Rivers Nuclear Partnership, LLC 5511 Hobbs Road Kevil, KY 42053

Dear Ms. Layne:

I am submitting this statement as a supplementary document to the completed statistical analysis I performed on the groundwater data for the C-404 Landfill at the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant.

As an Environmental Scientist, with a bachelor's degree in science, I have over 20 years of experience in reviewing and assessing laboratory analytical results associated with environmental sampling and investigation activities. For the generation of these statistical analyses, my work was observed and reviewed by a senior chemist and geologist with Four Rivers Nuclear Partnership, LLC.

For this project, the statistical analyses on groundwater data from January 2017 through January 2019 were performed in accordance with the Hazardous Waste Management Facility Permit, Appendix E using Microsoft Excel 2010 and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) ProUCL 5.1. The spreadsheets include the results for the following statistical tests:

- Test of Proportions
- Parametric Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)
- Nonparametric ANOVA
- 95% Upper Tolerance Limit
- Mann-Kendall

The statistical analyses procedures were based on EPA's *Statistical Analysis of Groundwater Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Interim Final Guidance* (1989).

Sincerely,

fyram

Jennifer R. Watson

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

## **APPENDIX C**

## C-404 HAZARDOUS WASTE LANDFILL LEACHATE ANALYTICAL RESULTS

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

November 28, 2018 Leachate Analytical Results THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

L1404L1-19 from: C404L on 11/28/2018 Media: WW SmpMethod: GR 5.11' is the measurement from the top of manhole to the top of leachate water. CB 11-28-18

Analysis	Results	Units	Result Qual	Foot Note	Reporting Limit	Counting Error	TPU	Method	LabCode	V/V/A*
ANION										
Fluoride	7.28	mg/L	W		0.2			SW846-9056	GEL	I/X/I
FS										
Conductivity	534	umho/cm						FS	FS	11
Dissolved Oxygen	5.51	mg/L						FS	FS	11
рН	6.77	Std Unit						FS	FS	11
Redox	498	mV						FS	FS	11
Temperature	54.9	deg F						FS	FS	11
METAL										
Arsenic	0.00239	mg/L	J		0.005			SW846-6020	GEL	/x/
Barium	0.0745	mg/L			0.002			SW846-6020	GEL	/ x /
Cadmium	0.001	mg/L	U		0.001			SW846-6020	GEL	/ x /
Chromium	0.01	mg/L	U		0.01			SW846-6020	GEL	/ x /
Copper	0.00927	mg/L			0.001			SW846-6020	GEL	/ x /
Iron	0.1	mg/L	U		0.1			SW846-6020	GEL	/ x /
Lead	0.002	mg/L	U		0.002			SW846-6020	GEL	/ X /
Mercury	0.0002	mg/L	U		0.0002			SW846-7470A	GEL	/ X /
Nickel	0.00432	mg/L			0.002			SW846-6020	GEL	/ X /
Selenium	0.005	mg/L	U		0.005			SW846-6020	GEL	/x/
Silver	0.001	mg/L	U		0.001			SW846-6020	GEL	/x/
Uranium	61.1	mg/L			0.2			SW846-6020	GEL	1/X/
Zinc	0.01	mg/L	U		0.01			SW846-6020	GEL	/x/
РРСВ										
PCB-1016	0.0962	ug/L	UY1		0.0962			SW846-8082	GEL	/ x /
PCB-1221	0.0962	ug/L	U		0.0962			SW846-8082	GEL	/ x /
PCB-1232	0.0962	ug/L	U		0.0962			SW846-8082	GEL	/ x /
PCB-1242	0.0962	ug/L	U		0.0962			SW846-8082	GEL	/x/
PCB-1248	1.24	ug/L			0.0962			SW846-8082	GEL	/x/
PCB-1254	0.0962	ug/L	U		0.0962			SW846-8082	GEL	/x/
PCB-1260	0.0962	ug/L	U		0.0962			SW846-8082	GEL	/ X /
Polychlorinated biphenyl	1.24	ug/L			0.0962			SW846-8082	GEL	1/X/
RADS										
Cesium-137	8.78	pCi/L	U		10.7	14.5	14.5	EPA-901.1	GEL	/x/
Neptunium-237	0.778	pCi/L	U		1.45	0.934	0.937	ASTM-1475-00aM	GEL	/x/
Plutonium-239/240	-0.0373	pCi/L	U		1.31	0.56	0.561	HASL 300, Pu-11-RC M	GEL	/x/
Technetium-99	240	pCi/L			18.1	17.6	31.8	DOE TC-02-RC	GEL	/x/
Thorium-230	0.0709	pCi/L	U		2.03	0.968	0.969	HASL 300, Th-01-RC M	GEL	/ X / FDUP-OUT
Uranium-234	2200	pCi/L			132	400	601	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	GEL	/x/
Uranium-235	367	pCi/L			68.7	185	200	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	GEL	/x/
Uranium-238	28900	pCi/L			88.9	1440	6040	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	GEL	/x/
<b>VOA</b> Trichloroethene	1	ug/L	U		1			SW846-8260B	GEL	/x/
WETCHEM										
Ammonia as Nitrogen	0.0839	mg/L			0.05			EPA-350.1	GEL	/ X / BH-FB,BH-RI

 L1404LD1-19
 from: C404L
 on 11/28/2018
 Media: WW
 SmpMethod:
 GR

 5.11' is the measurement from the top of manhole to the top of leachate water. CB 11-28-18
 5.11' and the measurement from the top of leachate water. CB 11-28-18
 GR

Analysis	Results	Units	Result Qual	Foot Note	Reporting Limit	Counting Error	TPU	Method	LabCode	V/V/A*
ANION										
Fluoride	7.39	mg/L	W		0.2			SW846-9056	GEL	I/X/J
FS										
Conductivity	534	umho/cm						FS	FS	11
Dissolved Oxygen	5.51	mg/L						FS	FS	11
pН	6.77	Std Unit						FS	FS	11
Redox	498	mV						FS	FS	11
Temperature	54.9	deg F						FS	FS	11
METAL										
Arsenic	0.00265	mg/L	J		0.005			SW846-6020	GEL	/x/
Barium	0.0762	mg/L			0.002			SW846-6020	GEL	/ X /
Cadmium	0.001	mg/L	U		0.001			SW846-6020	GEL	/x/
Chromium	0.01	mg/L	U		0.01			SW846-6020	GEL	/x/
Copper	0.00928	mg/L			0.001			SW846-6020	GEL	/x/
Iron	0.1	mg/L	U		0.1			SW846-6020	GEL	/ X /
Lead	0.002	mg/L	U		0.002			SW846-6020	GEL	/ x /
Mercury	0.0002	mg/L	U		0.0002			SW846-7470A	GEL	/ x /
Nickel	0.00391	mg/L			0.002			SW846-6020	GEL	/ x /
Selenium	0.005	mg/L	U		0.005			SW846-6020	GEL	/ x /
Silver	0.001	mg/L	U		0.001			SW846-6020	GEL	/ X /
Uranium	55.7	mg/L			0.2			SW846-6020	GEL	I/X/
Zinc	0.01	mg/L	U		0.01			SW846-6020	GEL	/x/
РРСВ										
PCB-1016	0.1	ug/L	UY1		0.1			SW846-8082	GEL	/ x /
PCB-1221	0.1	ug/L	U		0.1			SW846-8082	GEL	/ x /
PCB-1232	0.1	ug/L	U		0.1			SW846-8082	GEL	/x/
PCB-1242	0.1	ug/L	U		0.1			SW846-8082	GEL	/x/
PCB-1248	1.23	ug/L			0.1			SW846-8082	GEL	/x/
PCB-1254	0.1	ug/L	U		0.1			SW846-8082	GEL	/ x /
PCB-1260	0.1	ug/L	U		0.1			SW846-8082	GEL	/ x /
Polychlorinated biphenyl	1.23	ug/L			0.1			SW846-8082	GEL	I/X/
RADS										
Cesium-137	1.72	pCi/L	U		9.67	5.03	5.09	EPA-901.1	GEL	/x/
Neptunium-237	0.864	pCi/L	U		1.63	1.03	1.03	ASTM-1475-00aM	GEL	/x/
Plutonium-239/240	0.284	pCi/L	U		1.03	0.651	0.652	HASL 300, Pu-11-RC M	GEL	/x/
Technetium-99	222	pCi/L			17.5	16.7	29.6	DOE TC-02-RC	GEL	/x/
Thorium-230	1.77	pCi/L			1.51	1.37	1.41	HASL 300, Th-01-RC M	GEL	/ X / FDUP-OUT
Uranium-234	1870	pCi/L			64.7	276	407	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	GEL	/x/
Uranium-235	269	pCi/L			62.1	119	127	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	GEL	/ X /
Uranium-238	23600	pCi/L			50.2	974	3900	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	GEL	/x/
<b>VOA</b> Trichloroethene	1	ug/L	U		1			SW846-8260B	GEL	/x/
WETCHEM										
Ammonia as Nitrogen	0.0493	mg/L	J		0.05			EPA-350.1	GEL	S / X / BH-FB,BH-R

FB404L1-19			from: QC	2	on	11/28/2018	Media: WQ	SmpMethod:				
Comments:												
Analysis	Results	Units	Result Qual	Foot	Reporting	Counting Frror	TPU	Method	LabCode	V/V/A*		
ANION			Quui	Note	Linit	Lindi						
luoride	0.0607	mg/L	JW		0.1			SW846-9056	GEL	/:		
METAL												
Arsenic	0.005	mg/L	U		0.005			SW846-6020	GEL	/3		
Barium	0.002	mg/L	U		0.002			SW846-6020	GEL	/)		
Cadmium	0.001	mg/L	U		0.001			SW846-6020	GEL	/3		
Chromium	0.01	mg/L	U		0.01			SW846-6020	GEL	/ 3		
Copper	0.000317	mg/L	J		0.001			SW846-6020	GEL	/3		
ron	0.1	mg/L	U		0.1			SW846-6020	GEL	/3		
_ead	0.002	mg/L	U		0.002			SW846-6020	GEL	/:		
Vercurv	0.0002	mg/L	U		0.0002			SW846-7470A	GEL	/>		
Nickel	0.002	mg/L	U		0.002			SW846-6020	GEL	/>		
Selenium	0.005	mg/L	U		0.005			SW846-6020	GEL	/3		
Silver	0.001	mg/L	U		0.001			SW846-6020	GEL	/>		
Iranium	0.0002	mg/L	U U		0.0002			SW846-6020	GEL	/		
Zinc	0.01	mg/L	U		0.01			SW846-6020	GEL	/:		
DDCB												
PCB-1016	0.0962	ug/L	UY1		0.0962			SW846-8082	GEL	/>		
PCB-1221	0.0962	ug/L	U		0.0962			SW846-8082	GEL	/>		
CB-1232	0.0962	ug/L	U U		0.0962			SW846-8082	GEL	/		
CB-1232	0.0962	ug/L	U U		0.0962			SW846-8082	GEL	/ 2		
CB-1242	0.0962	ug/l			0.0962			SW/846-8082	GEL	/ 2		
CB-1254	0.0962	a/ -			0.0962			SW/846-8082	GEL	/:		
CB-1254	0.0902	ug/L	0		0.0962			511040-8082	GEL	/ /		
	0.0962	ug/L	0		0.0962			500840-8082	GEL	/ /		
Polychlorinated biphenyl	0.0962	ug/L	U		0.0962			5W846-8082	GEL	//		
RADS	0 109	nCi/l			6.0	2 61	2 61	EDA-001 1	GEL	/:		
Nontunium 227	0.108	nCi/l			1.09	0.220	0.220	ASTM 1475 00-14		/ .		
Neptumum-237	-0.233	pCi/L	0		1.08	0.329	0.323		GEL	/ /		
Fachactium 00	-0.0408	pCi/L	0		0.815	0.552	0.333		GEL	/ /		
Fechnetium-99	-1.59		0		17.4	9.93	9.93		GEL	/ /		
Inorium-230	-0.0253	pCi/L	0		1.37	0.603	0.604	HASE 300, TH-01-RC M	GEL	//		
Jranium-234	3.18	pCI/L	0		3.57	2.84	2.89	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	GEL	/ /		
Jranium-235 Jranium-238	-0.401	pCi/L	U		3.4	1.21	1.21	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	GEL	/:		
	0.409	pent	U		2.37	1.0	1.0	HASE 500, 0-02-RC IVI	GEL			
VOA Frichloroethene	1	ug/L	U		1			SW846-8260B	GEL	/3		
WETCHEM												
Ammonia as Nitrogen	0.0528	mg/L			0.05			EPA-350.1	GEL	/3		

\*Verification/Validation/Assessment

RI404L1-19		from: QC			on 11/28/2018 Media: WQ SmpMethod:				a: WQ SmpMethod:		
Comments:											
Analysis	Results	Units	Result Qual	Foot Note	Reporting Limit	Counting Error	TPU	Method	LabCode	V/V/A*	
ANION											
Fluoride	0.1	mg/L	UW		0.1			SW846-9056	GEL	/ >	
METAL											
Arsenic	0.005	mg/L	U		0.005			SW846-6020	GEL	/ >	
Barium	0.002	mg/L	U		0.002			SW846-6020	GEL	/ >	
Cadmium	0.000321	mg/L	J		0.001			SW846-6020	GEL	/ >	
Chromium	0.01	mg/L	U		0.01			SW846-6020	GEL	/ >	
Copper	0.001	mg/L	U		0.001			SW846-6020	GEL	/ >	
Iron	0.1	mg/L	U		0.1			SW846-6020	GEL	/ >	
Lead	0.002	mg/L	U		0.002			SW846-6020	GEL	/ >	
Mercury	0.0002	mg/L	U		0.0002			SW846-7470A	GEL	/ >	
Nickel	0.002	mg/L	U		0.002			SW846-6020	GEL	/ >	
Selenium	0.005	mg/L	U		0.005			SW846-6020	GEL	/ >	
Silver	0.001	mg/L	U		0.001			SW846-6020	GEL	/ >	
Uranium	0.0002	mg/L	U		0.0002			SW846-6020	GEL	/ >	
Zinc	0.01	mg/L	U		0.01			SW846-6020	GEL	/ >	
DDCP											
РСВ-1016	0 0935	ug/L	UY1		0 0935			SW846-8082	GEL	/>	
PCB-1221	0.0935	ug/L			0.0935			SW846-8082	GEL	, / x	
PCB-1232	0.0935	ug/L	U U		0.0935			SW846-8082	GEL	/ >	
PCB-12/2	0.0935	ug/L			0.0935			SW846-8082	GEL	/ x	
DCR_1242	0.0935	ug/L			0.0935			SW846-8082	GEL	/ x	
PCD-1248	0.0935	ug/L	0		0.0935			SW846-8082	GEL	/ >	
PCB-1254	0.0935	ug/L	0		0.0935			SW846-8082	GEL	/ >	
Polychlorinated binhenyl	0.0935	ug/L	U		0.0935			SW846-8082	GEL	/ >	
	010505	0.	0		0.0555			0110100002	011		
RADS		<i>c: 1</i> ;									
Cesium-137	-0.413	pCI/L	U		11.3	6.06	6.06	EPA-901.1	GEL	//	
Neptunium-237	-0.22	pCi/L	U		1.2	0.431	0.432	ASTM-1475-00aM	GEL	/ >	
Plutonium-239/240	0	pCi/L	U		0.517	0.348	0.348	HASL 300, Pu-11-RC M	GEL	/ >	
Technetium-99	-0.349	pCi/L	U		20.2	11.6	11.6	DOE TC-02-RC	GEL	/ X	
Thorium-230	0.184	pCi/L	U		1.49	0.762	0.764	HASL 300, Th-01-RC M	GEL	/ >	
Uranium-234	0.422	pCi/L	U		6.88	3.29	3.29	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	GEL	/ >	
Uranium-235	3.08	pCi/L	U		5.94	4.61	4.65	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	GEL	/ >	
Uranium-238	1.32	pCi/L	U		5.38	3.25	3.26	HASL 300, U-02-RC M	GEL	/ >	
VOA											
Trichloroethene	1	ug/L	U		1			SW846-8260B	GEL	/ >	
WETCHEM											
Ammonia as Nitrogen	0.0502	mg/L			0.05			EPA-350.1	GEL	/ >	
IB404L1-19			from: QO	2	on	11/28/2018	Media: WQ	SmpMethod	d:		
Comments:											
Analysis	Results	Units	Result	Foot	Reporting	Counting Frror	TPU	Method	LabCode	V/V/A*	
VOA			Quui		Liitit	21101					
Trichloroethene	1	ug/L	U		1			SW846-8260B	GEL	/ >	

February 8, 2019 Leachate Analytical Results THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

L1404L2-19	from: C404L	on 2/8/2019	Media: WW	SmpMethod:	GR
Comments:	Leachate level is @ 4.35' from top of manhole. SM 2-8-19				

ANION         I         SW886-9056         GEL           Floride         1.3         mg/L         1         SW886-9056         GEL           FS           FS         FS         FS           Dissolved Oxygen         11.09         mg/L         FS         FS           pH         7.06         Std Umb/m         FS         FS           Redox         441         mV         FS         FS           Temperature         39.3         deg F         FS         FS           METAL          0.002         SW846-6020         GEL           Barium         0.08         mg/L         0.002         SW846-6020         GEL           Conduitm         0.011         mg/L         0.001         SW846-6020         GEL           Cadmium         0.011         mg/L         0.001         SW846-6020         GEL           Chromium         0.11         SW846-6020         GEL         GEL           Chromium         0.017         mg/L         0.001         SW846-6020         GEL           Chromium         0.011         mg/L         0.001         SW846-6020         GEL           Chromium <td< th=""><th>V/A*</th></td<>	V/A*
Floride15.3m/d-I1SW846-056GELFS </th <th></th>	
FSConductivity560unho/mFSFSDissolved Oxygen11.09m/LFSFSpH7.0632 UuTFSFSRedox41.0m/LFSFSTemperator20de FFSFSAsenic0.028m/L0.005SW846-6020GEBarium0.01m/L0.01SW846-6020GECommunic0.01m/L0.01SW846-6020GECommunic0.01m/L0.01SW846-6020GECommunic0.01m/L0.01SW846-6020GECommunic0.01m/L0.01SW846-6020GECommunic0.01m/L0.002SW846-6020GEConductivity0.002SW846-6020GEGENeterior0.003m/L0.002SW846-6020GESilver0.003m/L0.002SW846-6020GESilver0.003m/L0.002SW846-6020GESilver0.003m/L0.003SW846-6020GESilver0.003m/L0.003SW846-6020GESilver0.01m/L0.01SW846-6020GESilver0.01m/L0.01SW846-6020GESilver0.01m/L0.01SW846-6020GESilver0.01m/L0.01SW846-6020GESilver0.01m/L0.01 <td< td=""><td>IS / X /</td></td<>	IS / X /
ConductivityF50winh/cmF5F5Disoled Oxygen11.09m/LF3F3pH7.06St0III-F5F3Redox41.00F5F3Temperature30deF -F5F3 <b>NETAL</b> Arsenic0.0224m/L0.005SW846-6020GELGarium0.03m/L0.001SW846-6020GELChromium0.01m/L0.01SW846-6020GELCoper0.01m/L0.01SW846-6020GELCoper0.01m/L0.01SW846-6020GELIron0.112m/L0.01SW846-6020GELIron0.012m/L0.02SW846-6020GELIron0.012m/L0.002SW846-6020GELIron0.012m/L0.002SW846-6020GELIron0.003m/L0.002SW846-6020GELSteinum0.003m/L0.002SW846-6020GELSteinum0.003m/L0.002SW846-6020GELSteinum0.01SW846-6020GELGELSteinum1.01m/L0.01SW846-6020GELSteinum1.01m/L0.01SW846-6020GELSteinum1.01m/L0.01SW846-6020GELSteinum1.01m/L0.01SW846-6020GELSteinum1.01m/L <td></td>	
Dissolved Oxygen11.09m/L/IISFSpH7.06Sd UrlFSFSFSRedox441m/LFSFSFSTemperature39.3de FFSFSFS <b>KETAL</b> Arsenic0.0024m/LJ0.005SW846-6020GEBarium0.018m/L0.01SW846-6020GEChomium0.01m/L0.01SW846-6020GEChomium0.01m/L0.01SW846-6020GEChomium0.01m/L0.01SW846-6020GEChomium0.01m/L0.01SW846-6020GEChomium0.01m/L0.01SW846-6020GELead0.02m/L0.002SW846-6020GEInfo0.13m/L0.002SW846-6020GESelenim0.03m/L0.002SW846-6020GESilver0.002SW846-6020GEGESilver0.01SW846-6020GEGESilver0.01SW846-6020GEGESilver0.01SW846-6020GEGESilver0.01SW846-6020GEGESilver0.01SW846-6020GEGESilver0.01SW846-6020GEGESilver0.01SW846-6020GEGESilver0.01SW846-6020GEGESilver0.	//
pH7.06StulutFSFSRedox441m²FSFSTemperature39.3def ···FSFS <b>METAL</b> VVStateFSArsenic0.0284m²J0.05SW466020GRBrinn0.028m²0.01SW466020GRCodmium0.01m²0.01SW466020GRChronium0.01m²0.01SW466020GRCoper0.107m²0.01SW466020GRIndex0.12m²0.01SW466020GRIndex0.02m²0.02SW466020GRIndex0.037m²0.02SW466020GRIndex0.038m²0.02SW466020GRSilver0.038m²0.01SW466020GRSilver0.038m²0.01SW466020GRSilver0.039m²0.01SW466020GRSilver0.039m²0.01SW466020GRSilver0.039m²0.01SW466020GRSilver0.01SW46020GRGRSilver0.01SW46020GRGRSilver0.01SW46020GRGRSilver0.01SW46020GRGRSilver0.02SW46020SW46020GRSilver0.01SW46020SW46020GRSilver0.	//
Redox414MVFSFSTemperature3.3.deffSfSMETALSfSfSArsenic0.00284m/4J0.05SW846.020GEBarlum0.01m/4J0.01SW846.020GECadmiun0.01m/4J0.01SW846.020GEChomiun0.01m/4J0.01SW846.020GECopper0.01m/4J0.01SW846.020GEIron0.12m/4J0.02SW846.020GEIron0.02m/4J0.02SW846.020GEIron0.038m/4J0.02SW846.020GEIron0.0387m/4J0.02SW846.020GESilver0.0387m/4J0.05SW846.020GEJina0.01m/4J0.01SW846.020GEJina0.01m/4J0.01SW846.020GEJina0.01m/4J0.01SW846.020GEJina0.01m/4J0.01SW846.020GEJina0.01m/4J0.01SW846.020GEJina0.01m/4J0.01SW846.020GEJina0.01m/4J0.01SW846.020GEJina0.01m/4J0.01SW846.020GEJina0.01	//
TemperatureS9.3deg fFSFSFSMETALArsenic0.00284m/4J0.005SW846-6020GELBarium0.08m/4J0.02SW846-6020GELCadmium0.01m/4U0.01SW846-6020GELChromium0.01m/4U0.01SW846-6020GELCopper0.017m/4J0.01SW846-6020GELIcon0.112m/4J0.02SW846-6020GELLead0.02m/4U0.002SW846-6020GELNercury0.002m/4U0.002SW846-6020GELNickel0.037m/4U0.002SW846-6020GELSelenium0.038m/4U0.01SW846-6020GELSilver0.01SW846-6020GELGELUranium74.1m/4U0.01SW846-6020GELPCBD0.01SW846-6020GELGELPCDDm/4U0.01SW846-6020GELPCDDm/4U0.01SW846-6020GELPCDDNM/4U0.01SW846-6020GELPCDDNNNNSW846-6020GELPCDDNNNNSW846-6020GELPCDDNNNNSW846-6020GEL <tr< td=""><td>//</td></tr<>	//
METALArsenic0.00284m/4J0.005SW846-6020GEIBarium0.08m/4V0.002SW846-6020GEICadmium0.01m/4J0.01SW846-6020GEIChornium0.01m/4V0.01SW846-6020GEICopper0.017m/4V0.01SW846-6020GEIIron0.112m/4V0.01SW846-6020GEILead0.002m/4V0.002SW846-6020GEIIckel0.002m/4V0.002SW846-6020GEINickel0.0337m/4V0.002SW846-6020GEISilver0.018m/4V0.001SW846-6020GEISilver0.01SW846-6020GEIGEIGEIJinam/4MQ0.01SW846-6020GEIJina0.01SW846-6020GEIGEIGEIPDED0.01SW846-6020GEIGEIJinaM/4M/4O.01SW846-6020GEIPDEDPDENNNNSW846-6020GEIPDE NOSW846-602SW846-6020GEISW846-6020GEIPDE NONNNNNSW846-602GEIPDE NONNNNNSW846-602GEIPDE NONNNNNNSW846-602GEI<	//
Arsenic0.00284mg/LJ0.005SW846-6020GELBarium0.08mg/L0.002SW846-6020GELCadmium0.01mg/LU0.01SW846-6020GELChromium0.01mg/LU0.01SW846-6020GELCopper0.010mg/LU0.01SW846-6020GELIron0.112mg/LV0.01SW846-6020GELLead0.002mg/LU0.002SW846-6020GELMercury0.002mg/LU0.002SW846-6020GELNickel0.0037mg/LU0.002SW846-6020GELSelenium0.0037mg/LU0.002SW846-6020GELSilver0.01mg/LU0.01SW846-6020GELJinon0.01mg/LU0.01SW846-6020GELSilver0.01mg/LU0.01SW846-6020GELJinon0.01mg/LU0.01SW846-6020GELJinon0.01mg/LU0.01SW846-6020GELPCB-1210.01mg/LU0.01SW846-6020GELPCB-1210.03mg/LU0.01SW846-6020GELPCB-1210.03mg/LU0.01SW846-6020GELPCB-1210.03mg/LU0.01SW846-6020GELPCB-1210.03mg/LU	
Barium0.08m/l0.002SW846-6020GELCadmium0.001wg/40.001SW846-6020GELChromium0.01mg/40.01SW846-6020GELCopper0.107mg/20.01SW846-6020GELIron0.112mg/20.02SW846-6020GELLead0.002mg/40.002SW846-6020GELMercury0.002mg/20.002SW846-6020GELNickel0.00387mg/20.002SW846-6020GELSelenium0.00387mg/20.001SW846-6020GELSilver0.001sW846-6020GELGELUranium74.1mg/20.01SW846-6020GELPCB-10160.098mg/40.098SW846-6020GELPCB-1210.098mg/40.098SW846-6020GEL	/x/
Cadmium0.001mg/LU0.001SW846-6020GELChromium0.01mg/LU0.01SW846-6020GELCopper0.017mg/LV0.01SW846-6020GELIron0.12mg/LU0.002SW846-6020GELLead0.002mg/LU0.002SW846-6020GELMecury0.002mg/LU0.002SW846-6020GELNickel0.0037mg/LU0.002SW846-6020GELSelenium0.003mg/LU0.005SW846-6020GELSilver0.001mg/LU0.001SW846-6020GELUranium74.1mg/LU0.011SW846-6020GELPPCBPCB-10160.098us/LU0.098SW846-6020GELPCB-12210.098us/LQ0.098SW846-8082GEL	/ X /
Chromium0.01mg/LU0.01SW846-6020GELCopper0.0107mg/L0.001SW846-6020GELIron0.112mg/L0.02SW846-6020GELLead0.002mg/L0.002SW846-6020GELMercury0.00387mg/L0.002SW846-6020GELNickel0.0387mg/L0.002SW846-6020GELSelenium0.005mg/L0.005SW846-6020GELSilver0.011mg/LU0.001SW846-6020GELUranium74.1mg/LU0.01SW846-6020GELJinc0.01mg/LU0.01SW846-6020GELPPCBPCB-10160.098s/S0.098SW846-8082GEL	/x/
Copper0.0107mg/L0.001SW846-6020GELIron0.112mg/L0.1SW846-6020GELLead0.002mg/L0.002SW846-6020GELMercury0.0037mg/L0.002SW846-6020GELNickel0.0037mg/L0.002SW846-6020GELSelenium0.001mg/L0.001SW846-6020GELSilver0.001mg/L0.001SW846-6020GELUranium74.1mg/L0.01SW846-6020GELZinc0.01mg/LJ0.01SW846-6020GELPCB-10160.098ug/L0.098SW846-8082GELPCB-12210.098ug/L0.098SW846-8082GEL	/x/
Iron0.112mg/L0.1SW846-6020GELLead0.002mg/L0.0020.002SW846-6020GELMercury0.0037mg/L0.002SW846-6020GELNickel0.005mg/L0.005SW846-6020GELSelenium0.001mg/L0.001SW846-6020GELSilver0.011mg/L0.01SW846-6020GELUranium74.1mg/L0.01SW846-6020GELZinc0.01mg/L0.01SW846-6020GELPPCBng/L0.01SW846-6020GELPCB-10160.098ug/L0.098SW846-8082GEL	/ X /
Lead0.002mg/LU0.0020.002SW846-6020GELMercury0.002mg/L0.0020.002SW846-6020GELNickel0.005mg/L0.005SW846-6020GELSelenium0.001mg/L0.001SW846-6020GELSilver0.001mg/L0.01SW846-6020GELUranium74.1mg/L0.01SW846-6020GELZinc0.01mg/L0.01SW846-6020GELPPCBNNNSSPCB-10160.098u/L0.098SW846-8082GEL	/ X /
Mercury0.002mg/LU0.0020.002SW846-7470AGELNickel0.0387mg/L0.002SW846-6020GELSelenium0.005SW846-6020GELSilver0.01mg/L0.01SW846-6020GELUranium74.1mg/L0.01SW846-6020GELZinc0.01mg/L0.01SW846-6020GELPPCBPCB-10160.098u/L0.098SW846-8082GELPCB-12210.098u/L0.098SW846-8082GEL	/x/
Nickel $0.00387$ $wg/L$ $0.002$ $0.002$ $SW846-6020$ $GEL$ Selenium $0.005$ $mg/L$ $0.005$ $SW846-6020$ $GEL$ Silver $0.01$ $mg/L$ $0.01$ $SW846-6020$ $GEL$ Uranium $74.1$ $mg/L$ $0.01$ $SW846-6020$ $GEL$ Zinc $0.01$ $mg/L$ $0.01$ $SW846-6020$ $GEL$ PPCB $mg/L$ $0.01$ $SW846-6020$ $GEL$ PCB-1016 $0.098$ $ug/L$ $0.098$ $SW846-8082$ $GEL$ PCB-1221 $0.098$ $ug/L$ $0.098$ $0.098$ $SW846-8082$ $GEL$	/x/
Selenium         0.005         mg/L         U         0.005         SW846-6020         GEL           Silver         0.001         mg/L         U         0.001         SW846-6020         GEL           Uranium         74.1         mg/L         0.01         SW846-6020         GEL           Zinc         0.01         mg/L         0.01         SW846-6020         GEL           PPCB         mg/L         U         0.01         SW846-6020         GEL           PCB-1016         0.098         ug/L         0.098         SW846-8082         GEL           PCB-1221         0.098         ug/L         0.098         SW846-8082         GEL	/ X /
Silver         0.001         mg/L         0.001         SW846-6020         GEL           Uranium         74.1         mg/L         0.01         SW846-6020         GEL           Zinc         0.01         mg/L         0.01         SW846-6020         GEL           PPCB         V         V         0.01         SW846-6020         GEL           PCB-1016         0.098         ug/L         0.098         SW846-8082         GEL           PCB-1221         0.098         ug/L         0.098         SW846-8082         GEL	/ X /
Uranium         74.1         mg/L         0.01         SW846-6020         GEL           Zinc         0.01         mg/L         0.01         SW846-6020         GEL           PPCB         V         V         0.01         SW846-8020         GEL           PCB-1016         0.098         vg/L         0         0.098         SW846-8082         GEL           PCB-1221         0.098         vg/L         0         0.098         SW846-8082         GEL	/x/
Zinc         0.01         mg/L         U         0.01         SW846-6020         GEL           PPCB         PCB-1016         0.098         ug/L         U         0.098         SW846-8082         GEL           PCB-1221         0.098         ug/L         U         0.098         SW846-8082         GEL	I/X/
PPCB         0.098         vg/L         0         0.098         SW846-8082         GEL           PCB-1221         0.098         vg/L         0         0.098         SW846-8082         GEL	/ X /
PCB-1016         0.098         vg/L         U         0.098         SW846-8082         GEL           PCB-1221         0.098         vg/L         U         0.098         SW846-8082         GEL	
PCB-1221 0.098 <sup>ug/L</sup> U 0.098 SW846-8082 GEL	/ X /
	/ X /
PCB-1232 0.098 <sup>ug/L</sup> U 0.098 SW846-8082 GEL	/x/
PCB-1242 0.098 <sup>ug/L</sup> U 0.098 SW846-8082 GEL	/x/
PCB-1248 2.8 <sup>ug/L</sup> 0.098 SW846-8082 GEL	/ X /
PCB-1254 1.29 <sup>ug/L</sup> P 0.098 SW846-8082 GEL	/x/
PCB-1260 0.293 ug/L 0.098 SW846-8082 GEL	/x/
Polychlorinated biphenyl         4.38         ug/L         0.098         SW846-8082         GEL	I/X/
RADS	
Cesium-137 -2.56 pCi/L U 9.91 5.87 5.99 EPA-901.1 GEL	/ X /
Neptunium-237 1.55 pCi/L U 1.56 1.41 1.42 ASTM-1475-00aM GEL	/ X /
Plutonium-239/240 -0.189 pCi/L U 1.98 0.815 0.816 HASL 300, Pu-11-RC M GEL	/ X /
Technetium-99         334         pCi/L         18.4         19.8         41.8         DOE TC-02-RC         GEL	/ X /
Thorium-230         3.73         pCi/L         1.14         1.59         1.72         HASL 300, Th-01-RC M         GEL	/x/
Uranium-234 1860 pCi/L 138 333 426 HASL 300, U-02-RC M GEL	/x/
Uranium-235 335 p <sup>Ci/L</sup> 122 164 170 HASL 300, U-02-RC M GEL	/ X /
Uranium-238 22000 pCi/L 105 1130 3300 HASL 300, U-02-RC M GEL	/ X /
VOA           Trichloroethene         1         ug/L         U         1         SW846-8260B         GEL	/x/
WETCHEM	
Ammonia as Nitrogen 0.0889 <sup>mg/L</sup> B 0.05 EPA-350.1 GEL	/x/

TB404L2-19		from: QC			on 2/8/2019		Media: WQ	SmpMethod:		
Comments:										
Analysis	Results	Units	Result Qual	Foot Note	Reporting Limit	Counting Error	TPU	Method	LabCode	V/V/A*
<b>VOA</b> Trichloroethene	1	ug/L	U		1			SW846-8260B	GEL	,

<sup>\*</sup>Verification/Validation/Assessment