



Department of Energy

Portsmouth/Paducah Project Office
1017 Majestic Drive, Suite 200
Lexington, Kentucky 40513
(859) 219-4000

DEC 05 2013

Mr. Todd Mullins
Federal Facility Agreement Manager
Division of Waste Management
Kentucky Department for Environmental Protection
200 Fair Oaks Lane, 2nd Floor
Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

PPPO-02-2164547-14

Ms. Jennifer Tufts
Federal Facility Agreement Manager
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4
61 Forsyth Street
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Dear Mr. Mullins and Ms. Tufts:

**TRANSMITTAL OF THE D1 FISCAL YEAR 2014 SITE MANAGEMENT PLAN,
PADUCAH GASEOUS DIFFUSION PLANT, PADUCAH, KENTUCKY
(DOE/LX/07-1292&D1)**

In accordance with Section XVIII of the Paducah Federal Facility Agreement (FFA), U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is submitting the *D1 Site Management Plan, Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah, Kentucky*, Annual Revision—FY 2014, DOE/LX/07-1292&D1, (SMP) for your review and comment. The document reflects an updated list of enforceable milestones, the status of operable units (OUs) with a signed Record of Decision or Action Memorandum, and changes agreed to regarding the site cleanup strategy.


This version of the SMP reflects comments received from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and Kentucky Department for Environmental Protection during scoping meetings held in July, August, September, and November 2013 and is consistent with the status of various projects. The following are specific changes to note when comparing this document to the D2/R1 FY 2013 approved SMP.

- The main body of the SMP indicates the three-year enforceable periods of FY 2014, FY 2015, and FY 2016.
- Appendix 1 includes an updated status of the removal and remedial actions.

- Appendix 2 includes the required certification that indicates that land use controls for the North-South Diversion Ditch and the C-400 actions are being implemented, as described in their respective Land Use Control Implementation Plans.
- Appendix 3 includes the OU scope descriptions and key project assumptions. The language in Appendix 3 is consistent with the status of each project. The scope discussion for the Groundwater OU has been updated to reflect the current status of Phase IIa and implementation of a treatability study prior to a change of the remedy for Phase IIb. In addition, Figure 3.2 text has been updated to reflect the current status of the Groundwater OU, and the map contained within Figure 3.2 has been updated to include the location of the extraction wells. Text for the Soils OU and Groundwater OU has been modified to reflect the coordination between the two projects for Southwest Plume Solid Waste Management Unit (SWMU) 1. An additional paragraph has been added to the Decontamination and Decommissioning OU that discusses the current status of the United States Enrichment Corporation and the return of the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant to DOE.
- Appendix 4 has been updated consistent with the status of each project. Specific SWMUs/Areas of Concerns have been moved to the appropriate OU (e.g., GDP OU, Soils and Slabs, or both), consistent with comment resolution meetings held among the FFA parties.
- Appendix 5 includes enforceable commitments for FY 2014, FY 2015, and FY 2016. Appendix 5 is consistent with recent milestone modifications and discussions held among the FFA Managers and includes the following updates:
 - Establishment of enforceable milestone dates for the C-400 Phase IIb Treatability Study.
 - Establishment of an enforceable milestone date for the C-340 Removal Action Report.
 - Extension of the milestone date for the Completion Notification Letter for C-410.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact me at (270) 441-6820.

Sincerely,



Jennifer Woodard
Federal Facility Agreement Manager
Portsmouth/Paducah Project Office

Enclosures:

1. Certification Page
2. D1 SMP FY 2014
3. D1 SMP FY 2014 Redline

e-copy w/enclosures:


brandy.mitchell@lataky.com, LATA/Kevil
brian.begley@ky.gov, KDEP/Frankfort
craig.jones@lataky.com, LATA/Kevil
darla.bowen@lataky.com, LATA/Kevil
gaye.brewer@ky.gov, KDEP/Paducah
jana.white@lataky.com, LATA/Kevil
jeffrey.gibson@ky.gov, KDEP/Frankfort
jennifer.woodard@lex.doe.gov, PPPO/PAD
jessica.lemus@lataky.com, LATA/Kevil
leo.williamson@ky.gov, KDEP/Frankfort
mark.duff@lataky.com, LATA/Kevil
mike.guffey@ky.gov, KDEP/Frankfort
myrna.redfield@lataky.com, LATA/Kevil
pad.dmc@swiftstaley.com, SST/Kevil
rachel.blumenfeld@lex.doe.gov, PPPO/PAD
reinhard.knerr@lex.doe.gov, PPPO/PAD
richards.jon@epamail.epa.gov, EPA/Atlanta
rob.seifert@lex.doe.gov, PPPO/PAD
stephaniec.brock@ky.gov, KDEP/KYRHB
todd.mullins@ky.gov, KDEP/Frankfort
tracey.duncan@lex.doe.gov, PPPO/PAD
tufts.jennifer@epamail.epa.gov, EPA/Atlanta

CERTIFICATION

Document Identification: *D1 Site Management Plan, Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah, Kentucky, Annual Revision FY 2014, DOE/LX/07-1292&D1*

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to ensure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

LATA Environmental Services of Kentucky, LLC




Craig Jones, Manager of Projects

12/03/13
Date Signed

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to ensure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

U.S. Department of Energy



Rachel H. Blumenfeld, Acting Paducah Site Lead
Portsmouth/Paducah Project Office

12/5/2013
Date Signed

**Site Management Plan
Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Paducah, Kentucky**

Annual Revision—FY 2014



CLEARED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE

**Site Management Plan
Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Paducah, Kentucky**

Annual Revision—FY 2014

Date Issued—December 2013

Prepared for
U.S. Department of Energy
PADUCAH GASEOUS DIFFUSION PLANT
Paducah, Kentucky 42002
by
LATA ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES OF KENTUCKY, LLC
under contract DE-AC30-10CC40020
with contributions by
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Kentucky Energy and Environment Cabinet
Kentucky Cabinet for Health and Family Services

CLEARED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

CONTENTS

| | |
|---|-----|
| FIGURES..... | v |
| ACRONYMS..... | vii |
| 1. INTRODUCTION..... | 1 |
| 2. LAND USE..... | 2 |
| 2.1 LAND USE CONTROLS..... | 2 |
| 3. OPERABLE UNITS..... | 2 |
| 4. SITE PRIORITIZATION..... | 3 |
| APPENDIX 1: ACTIONS TAKEN TO DATE..... | 1-1 |
| APPENDIX 2: CERTIFICATION OF LUCIPS..... | 2-1 |
| APPENDIX 3: OPERABLE UNIT SCOPE DESCRIPTIONS AND KEY PROJECT ASSUMPTIONS..... | 3-1 |
| APPENDIX 4: SOURCE AREA BY OPERABLE UNIT..... | 4-1 |
| APPENDIX 5: ENFORCEABLE TIMETABLES AND DEADLINES; PLANNING DATES WITH LONG-TERM TARGETS..... | 5-1 |
| APPENDIX 6: DATA MANAGEMENT PLAN..... | 6-1 |

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

FIGURES

| | |
|---|---|
| 1. Current Land Use at PGDP | 4 |
| 2. Reasonably Anticipated Future Land Use at PGDP | 5 |
| 3. Conceptual Framework—PGDP Operable Units | 6 |

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

ACRONYMS

| | |
|--------|---|
| AOC | area of concern |
| CERCLA | Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act |
| CSOU | Comprehensive Site Operable Unit |
| D&D | decontamination and decommissioning |
| DOE | U.S. Department of Energy |
| EM | Environmental Management |
| EPA | U.S. Environmental Protection Agency |
| ERH | electrical resistance heating |
| FFA | Federal Facility Agreement |
| FS | feasibility study |
| FY | fiscal year |
| GDP | gaseous diffusion plant |
| LOI | Letter of Intent |
| LUC | land use controls |
| LUCAP | Land Use Controls Assurance Plan |
| LUCIP | Land Use Control Implementation Plan |
| NCP | National Contingency Plan |
| NPL | National Priorities List |
| OU | operable unit |
| PGDP | Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant |
| RACR | Remedial Action Completion Report |
| RAO | Remedial Action Objective |
| RCRA | Resource Conservation and Recovery Act |
| RGA | Regional Gravel Aquifer |
| RI | remedial investigation |
| SMP | Site Management Plan |
| SWMU | solid waste management unit |

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

1. INTRODUCTION

The Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant (PGDP) was placed on the National Priorities List (NPL) on May 31, 1994. In accordance with Section 120 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA), the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) entered into a Federal Facility Agreement (FFA) with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Kentucky on February 13, 1998. The FFA established one set of consistent requirements for achieving comprehensive site remediation in accordance with the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) and CERCLA, including stakeholder involvement.

Section XVIII of the FFA requires that DOE submit an annual Site Management Plan (SMP), which outlines DOE's strategic approach for achieving cleanup under the FFA, to EPA and the Energy and Environment Cabinet (formerly known as the Kentucky Environmental and Public Protection Cabinet) by November 15th of each year. The FFA states that the purpose of the SMP is to coordinate and document the potential and selected operable units (OUs), including removal actions; to define cleanup priorities; to identify work activities that will serve as the basis for enforceable timetables and deadlines under the agreement; and to establish long-term cleanup goals.

DOE and Kentucky entered into a Letter of Intent (LOI) in August 2003. EPA was not a signatory to the LOI. The LOI documents the commitment by Kentucky and DOE to promote accelerated cleanup at PGDP, develop an integrated planning and funding request, meet commitments under the FFA, and settle all identified outstanding enforcement and compliance issues through an Agreed Order. The LOI does not affect DOE's legal obligation to comply with the National Contingency Plan (NCP) or FFA. An Agreed Order between DOE and Kentucky, which incorporated certain provisions of the LOI related to waste management, was signed in 2003.

The fiscal year (FY) 2004 SMP officially incorporated the provisions of the SMP Agreement signed by DOE, EPA, and Kentucky on April 14, 2003, and input from various strategic planning meetings between DOE and the regulators.

Specifically, the FY 2004 SMP established enforceable milestones for FY 2004, FY 2005, and FY 2006, and outlined enforceable completion dates for investigation and response actions associated with the strategic cleanup initiatives below.

This annual update of the SMP sets forth enforceable milestones for FY 2014, FY 2015, and FY 2016, and enforceable completion dates for media-specific OUs associated with the strategic cleanup initiatives.

| Strategic Cleanup Initiatives |
|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Groundwater OU Strategic Initiative• Burial Grounds OU Strategic Initiative• Surface Water OU Strategic Initiative• Soils OU Strategic Initiative• Decontamination and Decommissioning (D&D) OU Strategic Initiative |

These initiatives include a series of prioritized response actions, ongoing site characterization activities to support future response action decisions, and D&D of the currently operating gaseous diffusion plant (GDP) once it ceases operation. After completion of these activities, the Comprehensive Site OU (CSOU) evaluation will be conducted, with implementation of additional actions, as needed, to ensure long-term protectiveness of human health and the environment. CERCLA 5-Year Review evaluations are and will continue to be conducted to determine if any modifications to actions are required prior to the CSOU evaluation.

Appendix 1 of this SMP contains a summary of the status of all actions taken to date that have been documented through a Record of Decision or Action Memorandum. This appendix also serves to meet the requirements of Section X.A of the FFA to submit an annual removal action report describing a summary of removal actions performed during the previous FY. More detailed information on the status of each OU is available in the FFA Semiannual Progress Report.

2. LAND USE

The planning assumption for current land use (Figure 1) and the reasonably foreseeable future land uses at and adjacent to PGDP (Figure 2) are for industrial areas primarily located inside the security fence, recreational areas located outside the security fence, and off-site residential areas.

Several factors were considered in establishing the land-use assumptions under this strategy, including current and past land use, existing lease commitments, future industrial missions planned at the site, and stakeholder input.

2.1 LAND USE CONTROLS

The site cleanup strategy recognizes that the long-term protectiveness of some response actions might rely upon, or be supplemented by, engineering barriers, institutional controls, and/or other land use controls (LUCs). To ensure that these controls remain protective, CERCLA five-year reviews, in conjunction with monitoring of requirements contained in the Land Use Control Assurance Plan (LUCAP), are implemented.

A Land Use Control Implementation Plan (LUCIP) is developed for each remedy that includes LUCs. The LUCIPs include a detailed explanation of the implementation and long-term maintenance of the LUCs. The LUCAP requires annual certification in the SMP that the LUCIPs are being implemented. This certification also will identify any noncompliance with a LUCIP and the steps taken to correct any such noncompliance, any nonmajor changes in land use, and any changes in designated officials. Appendix 2 contains the annual certification of LUCIPs implemented at PGDP.

3. OPERABLE UNITS

Site cleanup activities will occur in a sequenced approach consisting of (1) pre-shutdown scope, (2) post-shutdown scope, and (3) CSOU scope. The pre-shutdown scope is associated with media-specific OUs initiated prior to shutdown of the operating Gaseous Diffusion Plant (i.e., Pre-GDP Shutdown Activities). These media-specific OUs

were established by developing a site conceptual risk model for each source area [solid waste management units (SWMUs)/areas of concern (AOCs)]. This process included a qualitative evaluation of contaminant types and concentration, release mechanisms, likely exposure pathways, estimated points of exposure, and potential receptors based on current and reasonably foreseeable future land groundwater uses. The source areas for the Pre-GDP shutdown scope have been grouped into these media-specific OUs:

- Groundwater OU
- Surface Water OU
- Soils OU
- Burial Grounds OU
- D&D OU

Once the GDP ceases operation and a decision has been made to proceed with D&D of the GDP, a series of post-GDP shutdown activities will be implemented. Figure 3 depicts a conceptual approach outlining the relationship and generalized framework for site cleanup activities associated with post-GDP shutdown. For conceptual planning purposes, the following OUs tentatively have been identified as placeholders for site cleanup activities likely to occur during the post-GDP phase of the cleanup:

- GDP Groundwater Sources OU
- Additional Burial Ground Sources OU
- GDP D&D OU
- Soils and Slabs OU
- GDP Lagoons & Ditches OU

Six months prior to plant shutdown, the FFA parties intend to commence planning to further define the implementation approach, and it will be included in the appropriate annual update of the SMP.

The final CSOU evaluation will occur following plant shutdown and completion of D&D of the GDP, D&D of the Depleted Uranium Hexafluoride (DUF₆) Conversion Plant, and completion of post-shutdown cleanup of each of the specific OUs (e.g., GDP Groundwater Sources OU, Soils and Slabs OU). Any required environmental monitoring of remedy performance and/or progress toward achieving the RAOs will be conducted and reported in accordance with the selected remedies. Once no further response is appropriate, and all RAOs have been achieved, the

site would be eligible for deletion from the NPL. Appendix 3 includes additional information regarding scope and planning assumptions for the OUs. Appendix 4 contains lists of SWMUs and AOCs sorted by OUs.

4. SITE PRIORITIZATION

DOE uses a combination of factors to prioritize work being implemented under the Environmental Management (EM) program at PGDP. These include considerations such as regulator expectations, risk-based decision making, compliance with other programs, technical considerations associated with GDP operations, mortgage reduction, and demonstrated progress toward completing the EM mission.

| Risk Prioritization Criteria |
|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Mitigate immediate threats, both on- and off-site.• Reduce further migration of off-site contamination.• Address sources contributing to off-site contamination.• Address remaining sources contributing to on-site contamination.• Perform D&D of the GDP and DUF₆ Plant once they cease operations.• Address post-GDP OUs.• Evaluate the final CSOU. |

The risk prioritization criteria incorporate the general program-management principles of the NCP, which emphasize the use of accelerated actions to address imminent threats and reduce migration of off-site contamination.

Consistent with those principles, the risk prioritization criteria described above are used as guidelines, in conjunction with the other previously mentioned factors, to prioritize response actions. The prioritization criteria have been applied to each of the OUs at PGDP. Enforceable milestones for FY 2014, FY 2015, FY 2016, and outyear enforceable completion dates consistent with these prioritization criteria are included in Appendix 5. With regard to pre-GDP scope, outyear enforceable completion dates for remedial actions shall be considered satisfied upon issuance of a D1 Remedial Action Completion Report (RACR) (i.e., Final Remedial Action Report as specified in FFA) for those areas where RAOs have been achieved. In cases where a period of operations and maintenance (O&M) may be required to achieve RAOs, such as groundwater, a D1 Interim RACR will be issued upon completion of remedial construction and a determination by DOE that the remedy is operating as intended. The outyear enforceable milestone for completion of the pre-GDP shutdown Groundwater OU, as specified in Section XVIII.C, “Timetables and Deadlines” of the FFA, will be satisfied upon issuance of a D1 Interim RACR. The D1 Final RACR for groundwater then will be issued once the RAOs have been achieved. The pre-GDP shutdown D&D OU consists of multiple removal actions for specific facilities and will be considered complete upon issuance of a Removal Action Completion Notification letter for the OU.






FIGURE No. landuse_r1.apr
DATE 11-07-08



- STREAMS
- ROADS
- Controlled Access Area
- DOE Boundary
- DOE owned Industrial Area
- WKWMA
- TVA BOUNDARY
- Rural Residential/Agriculture

Figure 1. Current Land Use at PGDP



2000 0 2000 4000 Feet



FIGURE No. SMP\LandUse_FutureR7.mxd
DATE 11-02-12

- Industrial
- Recreational
- Rural Residential
- TVA
- Waste Management Area (see note)

Note: These areas include landfills that are active or certified closed and under long-term custodial care (i.e., C-404, C-746 S&T, C-746-U), or that are under an Interim Corrective Measure (i.e., C-746-K). As such, these areas are not amenable to unrestricted future industrial use.

Figure 2. Reasonably Anticipated Future Land Use at PGDP




Figure 3. Conceptual Framework—PGDP Operable Units

APPENDIX 1

ACTIONS TAKEN TO DATE

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Operable Unit Summary

| WAGs/Media | Response Type | ROD/Action Memorandum | Response Description | Status ¹ |
|--------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|---|--|
| GROUNDWATER OPERABLE UNIT | | | | |
| WAG 26/Groundwater | Emergency removal action | N/A | Provided temporary water to local residences where private wells are contaminated by TCE and Tc-99. | Complete |
| WAG 26/Groundwater | Removal action | August 30, 1994 | Extended municipal water line to residences affected by off-site groundwater contamination. | Construction Complete/Operational |
| WAG 26/Groundwater (Northwest Plume) | Interim Remedial Action (IRA) | July 23, 1993 | Hydraulic containment and treatment of high concentrations of off-site TCE contamination in the Northwest Plume. | Construction Complete/Operational |
| | Explanation of Significant Differences (ESD) | January 27, 2011 | Optimization of the Northwest Plume system through placing existing southern extraction wells (EWs) on standby and installing two new EWs east of original southern extraction field. | Construction Complete/Operational |
| WAG 26/Groundwater (Northeast Plume) | IRA | June 15, 1995 | Hydraulic containment and treatment of high concentrations of off-site TCE contamination in the Northeast Plume. | Construction Complete/Operational |
| | | | Optimization of the Northeast Plume system through placing existing EWs on standby, installing two new EWs in the upgradient high concentration area of the Northeast Plume near the eastern edge of the PGDP facility, and installing new treatment units for air stripping as an alternative to the cooling towers. | Construction of an alternate treatment unit was completed on May 30, 2013. The unit became operational on September 4, 2013. The ESD and RAWP are in progress. |
| SWMU 91/Soil | IRA | August 10, 1998 | <i>In situ</i> treatment of TCE-contaminated soils using the LASAGNA™ technology. | Complete |

¹ Detailed information on the status of each project or operable unit is available in the FFA Semiannual Report.

Operable Unit Summary (Continued)

| WAGs/Media | Response Type | ROD/Action Memorandum | Response Description | Status ¹ |
|--|-----------------|-----------------------|---|---|
| GROUNDWATER OPERABLE UNIT (Continued) | | | | |
| SWMU 11 and SWMU 533/Groundwater (C-400 Source Action) | IRA | August 9, 2005 | <i>In situ</i> treatment of TCE source areas in the UCRS and RGA located in the southeast and southwest corners of the C-400 Building using electrical resistance heating technology. | Field operations for Phase I completed in FY 2011. Parties have agreed to divide Phase II into Phase IIa and Phase IIb. Phase IIa operations began on July 22, 2013. A treatability study for steam-enhanced extraction will be conducted prior to a change of remedy for the lower RGA (Phase IIb). Field start for the treatability study is scheduled for September 1, 2014. |
| SWMU 1: SWMU 211-A; and SWMU 211-B (Southwest Plume Sources) | Remedial Action | March 20, 2012 | <p>SWMU 1—<i>In situ</i> source treatment using deep soil mixing with interim LUCs.</p> <p>SWMU 211-A—<i>In situ</i> source treatment using enhanced <i>in situ</i> bioremediation with interim LUCs or long-term monitoring with interim LUCs based upon RDSI results.</p> <p>SWMU 211-B—<i>In situ</i> source treatment using enhanced <i>in situ</i> bioremediation with interim LUCs or long-term monitoring with interim LUCs based upon RDSI results.</p> | ROD signed; RDSI field activities initiated on July 18, 2012. Completed RDSI field activities on April 26, 2013. Final Characterization Report is in progress. Pending final remedy selection for 211-A and 211-B. |

Operable Unit Summary (Continued)

| SURFACE WATER OPERABLE UNIT | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| WAGs/Media | Response Type | ROD/Action Memorandum | Response Description | Status¹ |
| WAG 25/Surface water (NSDD) | IRA | March 28, 1994 | Instituted action to treat certain plant effluent and control the migration of contaminated sediment associated with the NSDD. | Construction Complete/Operational |
| WAGs 18 & 25/Surface water and sediment (Surface Water/Ditches) | IRA | N/A | Institutional controls (fencing/posting) for off-site contamination in surface water, outfalls, and lagoons. | Construction Complete/Operational |
| WAG 24/Scrap (Scrapyards) | IRA | N/A | Installation of sediment controls to mitigate surface water/sediment runoff from scrap yards. | Construction Complete/Operational |
| WAGs 1 & 7 WAG 1: SWMU 100 (Fire Training Area) and SWMU 136 (C-740 TCE Spill Site) WAG 7: SWMU 8 (C-746-K Landfill), SWMU 130 (C-611 550-gal Gasoline UST), SWMU 131 (C-611 50-gal Gasoline UST), SWMU 132 (C-611 2,000-gal. Oil UST), SWMU 133 (C-611 Grouted UST), and SWMU 134 (C-611 1,000-gal Diesel/Gasoline Tank) | IRA | August 10, 1998 | Interim remedial action installed riprap along creek bank to prevent direct contact, implemented institutional controls, and long-term monitoring for SWMU 8. All other SWMUs were determined to require “no further action” under the IRA. It should be noted that at SWMU 100, institutional controls were selected as part of the remedy. | Construction Complete/Operational |
| Drum Mountain (Scrap) | Non-time-critical removal action | March 27, 2000 | Removed and disposed of Drum Mountain. | Complete |
| WAG 24, WAG 14, and SWMU 99/Scrap | Non-time-critical removal action | September 26, 2001 | Removed and disposed of scrap metal with enhanced sediment control measures. | Complete |
| SWMU 59/Sediment | IRA | September 25, 2002 | Remedial action for Sections 1 and 2 of the NSDD. | Complete |

Operable Unit Summary (Continued)

| WAGs/Media | Response Type | ROD/Action Memorandum | Response Description | Status ¹ |
|---|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|---|--|
| SURFACE WATER OPERABLE UNIT (Continued) | | | | |
| SWMU 58 (Sections 3, 4, and 5 of the NSDD); SWMU 69 (Outfall 001); SWMU 63 (Outfall 008); SWMU 66 (Outfall 010); SWMU 67 (Outfall 011); and SWMU 68 (Outfall 015) and their associated internal ditches and areas (including SWMUs 92 and 97) | Non-time-critical removal action | April 23, 2009 | Removal action for contaminants associated with sediment in Sections 3, 4, and 5 of the NSDD and KPDES Outfalls 001, 008, 010, 011, and 015, and associated internal ditches and areas of PGDP. | Complete |
| BURIAL GROUND OPERABLE UNIT | | | | |
| WAG 22/Waste and soil (SWMU 2- Burial Ground) | IRA | September 11, 1995 | The interim ROD selected an impermeable cap to reduce leachate migration from surface infiltration, groundwater monitoring, and institutional controls. Through agreement of the parties, an impermeable cap was not constructed (<i>Waste Area Grouping (WAG) 22 Post-Record of Decision (ROD) Change</i> , October 23, 1996). This change also will be documented in the Final Remedial Decision for SWMU 2. | Additional alternatives are being evaluated under the BGOU project. Institutional controls and groundwater monitoring are ongoing. |
| SOILS OPERABLE UNIT | | | | |
| C-750-A, -B, and -C USTs | N/A | N/A | Tank removal. | Complete |
| WAG 7 SWMU 8 (C-746-K Landfill) | IRA | N/A | Enhanced existing cap to reduce leachate migration from surface infiltration. | Complete |

Operable Unit Summary (Continued)

| WAGs/Media | Response Type | ROD/Action Memorandum | Response Description | Status¹ |
|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------|---|--|
| SOILS OPERABLE UNIT (Continued) | | | | |
| AOC 124 WAG 17/Soil (Concrete Rubble Piles) | Removal action | N/A | Excavated soil associated with AOC 124. | Complete |
| WAG 23/Soil | Removal action | September 11, 1997 | Excavated PCB and dioxin-contaminated surface soils to reduce risks to plant industrial workers. | Complete |
| SWMU 193/Soil | Time-critical removal action | February 19, 2002 | Removed petroleum-contaminated soils. | Complete |
| SWMUs 76 and 519/Soil | Time-critical removal action | July 1, 2002 | Removed empty sulfuric acid tanks, size reduced for containerization and dispositioned. | Complete |
| SWMU 19 [C-410-B Hydrogen Fluoride (HF) Neutralization Lagoon], SWMU 40 (C-403) and SWMU 181 (C-218 Firing Range) | Non-time-critical removal action | May 11, 2009 | Removal of lead-contaminated soil at the C-218 Firing Range (SWMU 181). Removal of contamination within the respective SWMU boundaries of C-410-B (SWMU 19). Removal of contamination within the respective SWMU boundaries of C-403 (SWMU 40). | SWMU 19 and SWMU 181 are complete. SWMU 40 removal will be implemented in the post-GDP shutdown phase. This schedule change has been documented in the Administrative Record. |

Operable Unit Summary (Continued)

| WAGs/Media | Response Type | ROD/Action Memorandum | Response Description | Status¹ |
|--|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| D&D OPERABLE UNIT | | | | |
| SWMU 478/Infrastructure (C-410) | Non-time-critical removal action | August 3, 2002 | Remove process equipment and piping. | Fieldwork in progress. |
| SWMU 478/Infrastructure (C-410) | Non-time-critical removal action | November 23, 2009 | Addendum to document a change in scope of the removal action to 1) expand the scope of the existing NTCRA to include facility structure demolition to the slabs and disposition of demolition debris and 2) allow the non-process systems to remain in place and to remove these systems at the same time the building is demolished using heavy equipment such as excavators with shears. | Fieldwork in progress. |
| SWMU 477/Infrastructure (C-340 Metals Plant) and SWMU 137 (C-746-A East End Smelter) | Non-time-critical removal action | May 18, 2010 | Decommissioning of the C-340 Metals Plant and C-746-A East End Smelter, which entails the demolition of C-340-A, -B, and -C structures as well as the C-746-A East End Smelter. The slabs and soils underlying these structures will be addressed in future CERCLA response actions. | Fieldwork for C-746-A East End Smelter completed in FY 2010; Removal Action Report approved in November 2011. Fieldwork for C-340 completed in September 2013. Removal Action Report under development and pending issuance for regulatory review and approval. |
| SWMU 480 (C-402 Lime House); SWMU 55 (C-405 Incinerator); and SWMU 464 (C-746A West End Smelter) | Non-time-critical removal action | December 5, 2005 | Removed, characterized, and disposed of building structure and contents. | Complete |

AOC = area of concern; BGOU = Burial Grounds Operable Unit; ESD = explanation of significant differences; FY = fiscal year; IRA = interim remedial action; KPDES = Kentucky Pollutant Discharge Elimination System; LUCs = land use controls; N/A = not applicable; NSDD = North-South Diversion Ditch; NTCRA = non-time-critical removal action; PGDP = Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant; PCB = polychlorinated biphenyl; RDSI = remedial design/support investigation; RGA = Regional Gravel Aquifer; ROD = Record of Decision; SWMU = solid waste management unit; Tc-99 = technetium-99; TCE = trichloroethene; UCRS = Upper Continental Recharge System; UST = underground storage tank; WAG = waste area group

APPENDIX 2

CERTIFICATION OF LUCIPS

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

CERTIFICATION OF LUCIPS

In accordance with Section 2.9 of the *Land Use Control Assurance Plan for the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah, Kentucky*, DOE/OR/07-1799&D2, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) certifies that requirements of the *Land Use Control Implementation Plan for the North-South Diversion Ditch at the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah, Kentucky*, DOE/OR/07-1949&D2, and the *Land Use Control Implementation Plan for Interim Remedial Action for the Groundwater Operable Unit for the Volatile Organic Compound Contamination at the C-400 Cleaning Building at the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah, Kentucky*, DOE/OR/07-2151&D2/R2, are being implemented by DOE at Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant.

There have been no changes in the designated officials identified under the Land Use Control Implementation Plan/Land Use Control Assurance Plan. There have been no major or “nonmajor” changes of land use.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

APPENDIX 3

OPERABLE UNIT SCOPE DESCRIPTIONS AND KEY PROJECT ASSUMPTIONS

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

OPERABLE UNIT SCOPE DESCRIPTIONS AND KEY DOE PLANNING ASSUMPTIONS FROM LIFE CYCLE BASELINE

INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to Section XVIII of the Federal Facility Agreement (FFA), the following operable unit-specific descriptions document the FFA Managers' common understanding of the expected scope of work for each of the operable units (OUs) as well as U.S. Department of Energy's (DOE) key planning assumptions. The FFA Managers acknowledge that both the scope and associated assumptions may change as each project progresses; however, this appendix represents the best understanding given existing information. The milestone dates associated with executing the scope of work are defined in Appendix 5 (Enforceable Timetables and Deadlines; Planning Dates with Long-Term Targets). The milestone dates are based on the scope and associated assumptions described in the following sections. Schedules are based on standard Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) documentation and review/comment time frames established in the FFA.

Scope and Key DOE Planning Assumptions from Life Cycle Baseline have been established based on the current understanding of site conditions and to achieve compliance with CERCLA, the National Contingency Plan (NCP), and the FFA. The actual scope of any given remedy will be developed with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Commonwealth of Kentucky (KY) in compliance with the CERCLA process and documented in the appropriate decision document, each of which is subject to public participation in accordance with the FFA, CERCLA, and the NCP. Goals have been established for each OU to guide the development of project-specific remedial action objectives (RAOs).

Assumptions included herein are for DOE's planning purposes. While EPA and KY find the assumptions to be reasonable for bounding cost and schedule forecasts based on existing information, regulatory approval of the Site Management Plan (SMP) does not constitute approval of assumptions. In the event there is a conflict between an assumption in this SMP and an OU primary document, the OU primary document shall govern.


GROUNDWATER OPERABLE UNIT

The Groundwater Operable Unit (GWOU) is being implemented in a phased approach consisting of sequenced response actions designed to accomplish the following goals:

- (1) Prevent human exposure to contaminated groundwater;
- (2) Prevent or minimize further migration of contaminant plumes;
- (3) Prevent, reduce, or control contaminant sources contributing to groundwater contamination; and
- (4) Restore the groundwater to its beneficial uses wherever practicable.

A series of actions already have been completed toward meeting these goals, as depicted in Figure 3.1. These previous actions are summarized in Appendix 1 (Actions Taken to Date).

Current Groundwater Operable Unit Strategy



3-4

Figure 3.1. Current Groundwater Operable Unit Strategy

The scope of the GWOU consists of potential sources [e.g., dense nonaqueous-phase liquid (DNAPL) or buried wastes] that are contributing to groundwater contamination and the dissolved-phase groundwater plumes. The dissolved-phase groundwater consists of contaminated groundwater primarily in the Regional Gravel Aquifer (RGA), but also includes limited areas in the Upper Continental Recharge System (UCRS) that typically are associated with source areas. An interim remedy has been selected and is being implemented for the C-400 source areas to address volatile organic compound (VOC) contamination, and a Focused Feasibility Study (FFS) has been developed for the Southwest Plume VOC source areas. The original FFS for the Southwest Plume source areas was revised to expand the evaluation of alternatives, which serves as a basis for a subsequent revision to the Proposed Plan and Record of Decision. The dissolved-phase plumes will be addressed as a future response action through the remedial process.

C-400 Interim Remedial Action

Scope

This project addresses releases emanating near the southeast [Solid Waste Management Unit (SWMU) 11] and southwest (SWMU 533) areas of the C-400 Cleaning Building. These areas have been identified as the major sources of groundwater contamination at Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant (PGDP). The RAOs for this project are to do the following:

- Reduce exposure to contaminated groundwater by reducing the source concentrations of TCE and other volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in the RGA in the C-400 Cleaning Building area, thereby reducing the migration of these contaminants to off-site points of exposure (POE);
- Prevent exposure to contaminated groundwater by on-site industrial workers through institutional controls (e.g., excavation/penetration permit program); and
- Reduce contamination comprised of trichloroethene (TCE and other VOCs found in Upper Continental Recharge System (UCRS) soil in the C-400 Cleaning Building area to minimize the migration of these contaminants to RGA groundwater and to off-site POE.

A major component of the selected remedy is the reduction of the concentration of TCE and other VOCs in the soils in the C-400 Cleaning Building area through removal and treatment in both the UCRS and the RGA. Additional characterization to refine the mass estimate has been performed in the Phase II area and DOE proposed a modification to the remedy in response to the Phase I results. Key lessons learned and observations from Phase I indicate that, while the remedial action objectives were met in the UCRS and upper RGA using electrical resistance heating (ERH), target temperatures for ERH were not met in the lower RGA despite implementation of contingency measures intended to assist in attaining temperature goals. Since ERH was not found to be effective, DOE is evaluating technologies that are better suited for the RGA. As a result, Phase II of the remedy (southeast corner of C-400) has been divided into two phases. Phase IIa will address the UCRS and upper RGA. Phase IIb will address lower RGA.

Final remedial action decisions addressing any residual contamination will be addressed as part of the post-gaseous diffusion plant (GDP) shutdown for GDP Groundwater Sources, as discussed in Section 3.

Key DOE Planning Assumptions from Life Cycle Baseline

- (1) Installation and operation of a three-phase ERH system. The ERH system will be operated until monitoring indicates heating has stabilized in the subsurface and recovery of TCE, as measured in the

recovered vapor, diminishes to a point at which further recovery is at a constant rate (recovery is asymptotic).

- (2) Installation of a groundwater and vapor treatment system to remove VOCs from extracted vapor/groundwater.
- (3) Collection of baseline and post operational soil and groundwater samples to analyze Interim Remedial Action effectiveness. Additional groundwater monitoring will be conducted through the Environmental Monitoring Program.
- (4) Implementation of a two-phased deployment of ERH will be conducted. Phase I will involve treatment areas to the southwest and the east of the C-400 Cleaning Building, and Phase II will address a large treatment area to the southeast of the building. Phase II has been divided into two phases. Phase IIa will address the UCRS and upper RGA. Phase IIb will address the lower RGA.
- (5) Based on the evaluation of the lessons learned from the Phase I operations and performance, it has been determined that ERH cannot be implemented effectively for Phase IIb. As a result, ERH will be utilized to remove contaminants in the UCRS and upper RGA (Phase IIa), and a treatability study for steam-enhanced extraction will be conducted prior to a change of the remedy for the lower RGA (Phase IIb).

Southwest Plume Sources Remedial Action

Scope

This project will address the following three areas in the Southwest Plume: the C-747-C Oil Landfarm (SWMU 1), the areas near the southeast and northeast (SWMU 211) areas of the C-720 Building, and part of the storm sewer between the south side of the C-400 Building and Outfall 008 (SWMU 102). An FFA dispute resolution agreement specifies that a primary RAO of this action is to address these source areas, including treatment and/or removal of principal threat wastes consistent with CERCLA, the NCP (including the Preamble), and any pertinent EPA guidance. Contamination emanating from these sources will be addressed consistent with the FFA dispute resolution agreements. TCE and its breakdown products [*cis*-1,2-dichloroethene (DCE), *trans*-1,2-DCE, and vinyl chloride] and 1,1-DCE are the primary contaminants of concern (COCs) associated with these sources. Evaluation of a final remedial action for additional COCs associated with direct contact exposure risks will be addressed as part of the Soils OU (see Appendix 4).

Key DOE Planning Assumptions from Life Cycle Baseline

- (1) A remedy will be implemented in source areas [i.e., Oil Land Farm (SWMU 1) and Northeast and Southeast of the C-720 Building (SWMU 211 A & B)].
- (2) Membrane Interface Probe (MIP), correlated with soil bore samples, will be conducted prior to design and remedy implementation.
- (3) No further action will be required for SWMU 102 (Plant Storm Sewer).
- (4) Additional sampling for SWMU 1 will be performed in the southern and eastern areas to address uncertainty. The investigation will include the drilling of soil borings to a minimum depth of 50 ft and the collection of soil samples for analysis of VOCs. This additional investigation will be coordinated

with and performed as part of the Soils OU Remedial Investigation (RI) 2. If an additional source action is required as a result of this sampling, it will be conducted as part of the GWOU.

Dissolved-Phase Plumes Remedial Action²

Scope

This project includes conducting an RI (including a baseline risk assessment), FS, and remedy selection and implementation of any necessary response actions for the dissolved-phase groundwater contamination. The RI/Feasibility Study (FS) will evaluate dissolved-phase groundwater contamination, including, but not limited to, the Northwest Plume (NW) (SWMU 201), Northeast Plume (NE) (SWMU 202), and Southwest Plume (SWMU 210), and the groundwater contamination contributing to the Little Bayou Creek seeps. The RI/FS also may determine whether any follow-up actions or modifications to response actions for the GWOU are necessary. The primary RAO for this project is based on the resolution of dispute for the Southwest Plume dated March 24, 2008, as follows:

- Return contaminated groundwaters to their beneficial use(s) and attain chemical-specific applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements [e.g., maximum contaminant levels (MCLs)] and/or risk-based concentrations for all identified COCs throughout the plume (or at the edge of the waste management area depending on whether the waste source is removed), consistent with CERCLA, the NCP (including the Preamble), and any pertinent EPA guidance.

Key DOE Planning Assumptions from Life Cycle Baseline

The following elements summarize DOE's key planning assumptions and are illustrated in Figure 3.2.

- (1) TCE and Tc-99 are expected to be the primary COCs that will drive the remediation approach.
- (2) Implement the following actions for the pump-and-treat systems: (a) focus groundwater extraction for the NW Plume at the south well field to maximize removal of the higher TCE concentrations, thereby reducing mass flux contributing to the off-site NW dissolved-phase plume and (b) implement optimization of the NE plume extraction system, similar to the optimization of the NW Plume extraction system to improve reductions in contaminant mass migrating downgradient of PGDP.
- (3) Conduct a technology demonstration/treatability study at Little Bayou Creek seeps to address the TCE concentrations in surface water contamination resulting from groundwater discharge. The treatability study may include testing technologies that will have broader application to other areas of the dissolved-phase plumes.
- (4) Data collected from the NW plume extraction system optimization; the NE plume extraction system optimization; the treatability study at the Little Bayou Creek seeps; TCE degradation study; and the groundwater flow/transport model will be used to support the RI/FS process and will be documented accordingly.

² The scope and planning assumptions are consistent with the March 24, 2008, and May 20, 2010, SW Plume Dispute Resolutions.




Figure 3.2. GWOU Baseline Strategy

- (5) The remedial action for the dissolved-phase plumes will include the following: (a) focused mass removal technology to address “high” mass residual VOCs and Tc-99 in the RGA near source areas in the plant vicinity; (b) operation of groundwater extraction system(s) until they meet shut-down criteria established in the final dissolved-phase plume Record of Decision (ROD); and (c) *in situ* treatment (e.g., enhanced bioremediation or alternative technology) for distal lobes of dissolved-phase plumes.
- (6) The extent of dissolved-phase plume groundwater contamination is expected to be limited to those areas already defined, consisting of the Northeast Plume, Northwest Plume, and Southwest Plume.
- (7) A single RI/FS Work Plan will be developed, encompassing all components of the Dissolved-Phase Plume remedial action; however, the remedial investigations may be conducted separately and the results may be reported in three separate RI Reports—(1) Northwest Plume Outside Fence Including Seeps, (2) Northeast Plume Outside Fence, and (3) Southwest Plume and Remaining Inside Fence.
- (8) In addition to the development and submittal of three separate RI Reports, three separate Feasibility Studies, Proposed Plans, Record of Decisions, Remedial Design Work Plans, Remedial Design Reports, Remedial Action Work Plans, and Remedial Action Completion Reports also may be developed and submitted for each subproject—(1) Northwest Plume Outside Fence Including Seeps, (2) Northeast Plume Outside Fence, and (3) Southwest Plume and Remaining Inside Fence.
- (9) Investigation and remediation of the seep areas along Little Bayou Creek will be addressed as part of the Dissolved-Phase Plume remedial action.

BURIAL GROUNDS OPERABLE UNIT

In order to facilitate the development of subsequent documents, the FFA parties have agreed to group the Burial Grounds OU (BGOU) SWMUs into more manageable remedial action subprojects.

The BGOU will employ the CERCLA remedial process to accomplish the following goals:

- Contribute to the protection of current and future residential receptors from exposure to contaminated groundwater by addressing sources of groundwater contamination;
- Protect industrial workers from exposure to waste and contaminated soils; and
- Treat or remove principal threat wastes wherever practicable, consistent with 40 *CFR* § 300.430(a)(1)(iii)(A).

Scope

The BGOU consists of the following 10 SWMUs.

- C-749: Uranium Burial Ground (SWMU 2)
- C-404: Low-Level Radioactive Waste Burial Ground (SWMU 3)
- C-747/748-B: Contaminated Burial Ground (SWMU 4)
- C-746-F: Burial Ground (SWMU 5)

- C-747-B: Burial Area (SWMU 6)
- C-747-A: Burial Ground and Burn Area (SWMUs 7 and 30)
- Residential/Inert Borrow Area/Old North-South Diversion Ditch (NSDD) Disposal Trench (SWMU 145)
- C-746-S: Residential Landfill (SWMU 9)³
- C-746-T: Inert Landfill (SWMU 10)³

The burial grounds contain materials such as sanitary waste, hazardous waste, radioactive waste, and pyrophoric uranium. Some of the burial grounds contain principal threat waste that have released or may in the future release to soils and groundwater. Surface soil within BGOU SWMUs is being addressed by BGOU rather than Soils OU.

An RI Report has been approved, and feasibility studies are being developed to support the selection of appropriate remedial actions for the burial grounds. The four feasibility studies being developed are for each of the following BGOU groupings: (1) SWMUs 5 and 6, (2) SWMUs 2, 3, 7, and 30, (3) SWMU 4, and (4) SWMUs 9, 10, and 145. To facilitate phased implementation of remedial action, SWMUs 2, 3, 7, and 30 will be divided further and separate CERCLA documents (i.e., proposed plan, ROD, remedial design work plan, remedial design report, remedial action work plan, and remedial action completion report) will be developed for SWMUs 2 and 3 and SWMUs 7 and 30. The reason for the division of SWMUs 2, 3, 7, and 30 at the proposed plan stage is that SWMUs 2 and 3 are anticipated to require EPA and DOE remedy review boards. In addition, there is the likelihood that SWMUs 2 and 3 will require extensive time to reach a decision.

Key DOE Planning Assumptions

- (1) A supplemental remedial investigation for optimizing the alternatives analysis and the associated RI Report Addendum will precede the SWMU 4 Feasibility Study.
- (2) A supplemental remedial investigation and the associated RI Report Addendum will precede the SWMUs 9, 10, and 145 Feasibility Study.
- (3) SWMU 2, SWMU 3, SWMU 4, and SWMU 7 contain principal threat waste:
- (4) Soil cover (18-inch) is expected to be included in the remedy selected for SWMUs 7, 30, and 145.
- (5) SWMUs 5 and 6 are expected to implement a Kentucky Subtitle D cap.
- (6) SWMUs 9 and 10 will be evaluated as part of the CERCLA process. Currently only limited actions (e.g., LUC evaluation) are assumed to be required in the baseline for SWMUs 9 and 10.
- (7) Post-closure monitoring data are assumed to substantiate that capping remedies will provide long-term effectiveness, and supplemental remedial actions will not be required.

³ Previously closed under solid waste regulations (C-746-T closed on 2/9/95; C-746-S closed on 8/4/95).

- (8) An integrated groundwater monitoring system at each SWMU (e.g., upgradient and downgradient) will be employed to provide indication of future unanticipated releases and collect data on the effectiveness of the caps and *in situ* actions.

SURFACE WATER OPERABLE UNIT

The Surface Water Operable Unit (SWOU) is being implemented in a phased approach consisting of a series of sequenced remedial and removal actions designed to accomplish the following goals:

- (1) Prevent human exposure to contaminated sediments presenting an unacceptable risk to on-site workers and off-site recreational users of surface water;
- (2) Prevent or minimize further off-site migration of contaminated sediments and surface water;
- (3) Reduce, control, or minimize contaminant sources contributing to sediment and surface water contamination; and
- (4) Evaluate and select long-term solutions for off-site surface water contamination to protect recreational users and ecological receptors.

A series of actions already have been completed toward meeting these goals, as depicted in Figure 3.3. The previous actions are summarized in Appendix 1 (Actions Taken to Date).

The SWOU consists of the specific SWMUs and Areas of Concern (AOCs) identified in Appendix 4 (Source Area By Operable Unit), and includes the soils/sediments and storm water corresponding with the points of discharge from facility piping to ditches, outfalls and Bayou and Little Bayou Creeks. Metals, radionuclides, and PCBs are the likely contaminants of interest for the SWOU. Remediation of Outfalls 005, 006, 017, and 019 and their associated ditches is planned to occur during post-GDP shutdown for the GDP Lagoons and Ditches OU unless the parties agree early action is warranted.

Surface Water Remedial Action

Scope

The scope of this project includes an RI/FS [baseline risk assessment (BRA)], remedy selection, and implementation of any necessary response actions for on- and off-site areas, including Bayou Creek, Little Bayou Creek, and Outfalls 001, 002, 008, 009, 010, 011, 012, 013, and 015, as well as scoping for and completion of a baseline ecological risk assessment for PGDP. The timing and sequence of any remedial actions will require coordination with ongoing plant operations to prevent recontamination and consideration of ongoing permitted discharges. The SWOU will address contaminated media (e.g., surface water and sediments) associated with ditches and creeks as part of the RI/FS consistent with the NCP and EPA guidance. Even though remediation of Outfalls, 005, 006, 017, and 019 and their associated ditches is not planned until after GDP shutdown, data associated with them [e.g., creek data upstream and downstream of the point of discharge, Kentucky Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (KPDES) monitoring data, and information on ecological receptors] will be included in the RI/FS and sitewide baseline ecological risk assessment associated with the SWOU during the pre-shutdown phase.

Key DOE Planning Assumptions from Life Cycle Baseline

- (1) RI characterization will be conducted in a phased approach with uranium-238, cesium-137, and Total PCBs being used as indicator parameters during the first phase, followed by a more.

Current Surface Water Operable Unit Strategy




Figure 3.3. Current Surface Water Operable Unit Strategy

comprehensive list of analyte sampling (i.e., PCBs, metals, radionuclides, and volatile organic analytes during the second phase).

- (2) Existing information for internal ditches will be used for characterization. Additional sampling will focus primarily on areas between the KPDES compliance points and drainage into Little Bayou Creek and Bayou Creek.
- (3) Little and Bayou Creeks will be investigated to the confluence with the Ohio River.
- (4) Biota sampling will be required to support an ecological risk assessment for off-site portions of the SWOU.
- (5) The assumed remedial action is excavation of contaminated sediments in outfalls and creeks and will involve coordination with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. No O&M period is assumed to be needed to achieve RAOs.
- (6) The RI/FS Work Plan is comprehensive, encompassing all components of the SWOU remedial action; however, the document is divided by watershed (Little Bayou Creek and Bayou Creek) to support independent execution of sampling and documentation of results by watershed.
- (7) An ecological risk assessment will be completed for both watersheds and included within the RI/FS Report. Upon shutdown of the GDP and coordination with decontamination and decommissioning (D&D) activities, a sitewide ecological risk assessment may be necessary to determine the risk to ecological receptors from potential operational releases that might have occurred between the initial watershed-specific ecological risk assessments and shutdown of the GDP.
- (8) Individual Feasibility Studies, Proposed Plans, Record of Decisions, Remedial Design Work Plans, Remedial Design Reports, Remedial Action Work Plans, and Remedial Action Completion Reports may be developed and submitted per watershed.
- (9) Investigation and remediation of the seep areas along Little Bayou Creek will be addressed as part of the Groundwater Operable Unit.

SOILS OPERABLE UNIT

The Soils OU is being implemented in a phased approach (i.e., pre-GDP shutdown and post-GDP shutdown) consisting of remedial and removal actions to accomplish the following goals:

- Prevent human exposure to contamination presenting an unacceptable risk;
- Prevent or minimize further off-site migration; and
- Reduce, control, or minimize contaminated soil hot spots contributing to off-site contamination.

The original scope of the Soils OU consisted of 86 SWMUs/AOCs; three inactive facilities (SWMUs 181, SWMU 40, and SWMU 19); and the soil/rubble areas that have been identified to date. The scope of the removal action for two of the three inactive facilities has been completed, the exception being the excavation of contaminated soil at the C-403 Neutralization Tank (SWMU 40). This activity will occur after GDP shutdown as previously agreed to by the FFA Parties. The scope for the soil/rubble areas also has been completed. During the development of the RI/FS Work Plan/Report, it was determined that only 66 of the 86 SWMUs/AOCs included within the original scope will be addressed under this OU prior to GDP shutdown based upon accessibility. Those SWMUs/AOCs identified as inaccessible will be

addressed as part of the post-GDP activities. Specific details about how the individual SWMUs/AOCs will be addressed is discussed further in the Soils OU Remedial Action (Pre-GDP Shutdown) section.

Prior to GDP shutdown, the Soils OU will focus on accessible plant surface soils (ground surface to 10 ft below ground surface and 16 ft below ground surface in the vicinity of pipelines) not associated with PGDP operations. Following GDP shutdown, slabs and underlying soils associated with facilities that have undergone D&D or SWMUs/AOCs that have been determined to be inaccessible during the development of the RI/FS Work Plan/Report will be addressed as part of a subsequent action (e.g., post-GDP shutdown for the Soils and Slabs OU).

A series of Soils OU actions have been completed to date (See Figure 3.4). These previous actions are summarized in Appendix 1 (Actions Taken to Date).

Soils OU Remedial Action (Pre-GDP Shutdown)


Scope

The scope of this project includes an RI/FS BRA, remedy selection, and implementation of any necessary response actions for the 66 SWMUs/AOCs listed in Appendix 4. Sites are included in this OU based on the expectation that they primarily pose a direct contact threat to on-site industrial workers and likely are not a migration threat to groundwater or surface water. The project has incorporated results from previous actions and sitewide evaluations/surveys. Results of the Soils OU RI will be used in scoping for and completion of the baseline ecological risk assessment conducted under the SWOU. SWMUs/AOCs that have been determined to be inaccessible during the development of the RI/FS Work Plan/Report will be addressed as part of a subsequent action (e.g., post-GDP shutdown for the Soils and Slabs OU). As of this date, 21 SWMUs/AOCs will be addressed as part of post-GDP activities. These 21 SWMUs/AOCs are listed in Appendix 4 under the Soils and Slab OU. It should be noted that SWMU 99 has been subdivided in two separate SWMUs. SWMU 99A (C-745 Kellogg Building Site—Cylinder Yard) will be addressed as part of the Soils and Slab OU. SWMU 99B (C-745 Kellogg Building. Site—Septic Leach Field) will be addressed as part of the Soils OU. It also should be noted that SWMU 12 (C-747-A UF₄ Drum Yard) has been placed in the No Further Action section of Appendix 4.

Key DOE Planning Assumptions from Life Cycle Baseline

- (1) Radionuclides, metals, and PCBs are the primary COCs for pre-GDP shutdown. Other COCs will be considered on a case-by-case basis prior to GDP shutdown.
- (2) SWMUs requiring action will be evaluated in multiple feasibility studies that will focus on the following likely response actions: no action, institutional controls, and excavation.
- (3) Of the 66 SWMUs/AOCs, 50 will be addressed as part of the Soils OU FS, including SWMU 99B (C-745 Kellogg Building Site—Septic Leach Field). The remaining 16 SWMUs/AOCs will be further evaluated under Soils OU RI 2 and addressed by a subsequent Soils OU feasibility study. Original Soils OU RI Report comments relating to the remaining 16 SWMUs/AOCs will be addressed during the scoping of the Soils OU RI 2.
- (4) The 21 remaining SWMUs/AOCs, including SWMU 99A (C-745 Kellogg Building Site—Cylinder Yard) will be addressed as part of post-GDP activities.

Current Soils Operable Unit Strategy



3-15

Figure 3.4. Current Soils Operable Unit Strategy

- (5) Portions of SWMU 1 treated as part of the Southwest Plume GWOU will be disturbed by soil mixing activities. As a result, reassessment and recharacterization of this area will be required prior to remedial selection.
- (6) SWMU 12 (C-747-A UF₄ Drum Yard) has been removed from the Soils OU and has been placed in the No Further Action section in Appendix 4.
- (7) SWMU 13 has been removed from the BGOU scope and SWMU 13 will be addressed in its entirety (both surface and subsurface) as part of the Soils OU. SWMU 13 is one of the 16 remaining SWMUs/AOCs that will be evaluated further under a Soils OU RI 2. Comments and associated dataset on the SWMU 13 SER will be addressed during scoping, considered part of work plan development, and discussed within the Soils OU RI Report 2.
- (8) The future disposition of SWMU 27 will be based upon the findings of a visual examination. Further actions may include the following options: (1) alternative development in the FS, (2) further sampling as part of Soils RI 2, or (3) no further action.
- (9) Individual Proposed Plans, Record of Decisions, Remedial Design Work Plans, Remedial Design Reports, Remedial Action Work Plans, and Remedial Action Completion Reports may be developed and submitted per grouping. It is currently anticipated that the Soils Remedial Action may be divided into a maximum of seven groupings based upon investigation results. Once the RI data are evaluated, the proposed grouping may be adjusted.

Soils OU Removal Action

This project is contingent upon historical or new sampling results of the RI for the Soils OU Remedial Action. Scope will include addressing any of the Soils OU SWMUs/AOCs which have contaminant concentrations above early action criteria.

Key DOE Planning Assumptions from Life Cycle Baseline

- (1) A single EE/CA and Action Memorandum will be developed and submitted for those SWMUs requiring removal action.
- (2) SWMUs will be grouped into (1) outside-of-fence and (2) inside-the-fence and a Removal Action Work Plan will be developed and submitted for each grouping.
- (3) Separate Removal Action Reports may be developed and submitted for each of the two grouping.

Sitewide Evaluation

Scope

The scope of the project includes a survey of the DOE-owned property outside the limited/controlled area. A sitewide evaluation will be performed to identify any unknown contaminated areas requiring further CERCLA evaluation and to develop information usable when completing the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Corrective Action (RCRA) Environmental Indicators process.

Key DOE Planning Assumptions from Life Cycle Baseline

- (1) A flyover rad survey has been conducted for a 25 square mile area.

- (2) A visual walkover survey covered DOE owned property that is outside the controlled area and not currently a SWMU/AOC (approximately 2,676 acres). DOE property licensed to West Kentucky Wildlife Management Area (WKWMA) and areas owned by WKWMA identified as anomalies in the flyover also will be surveyed.
- (3) Visual observation was used to identify piles, spills, buried materials, and other anomalies.
- (4) A radiological walkover survey using MARSSIM approach covered at least 10% of the property identified above (approximately 240 acres). All anomalies identified have been scanned regardless of what percentage of land they cover.
- (5) All anomalies have been documented on a map and in a database including location, description, photos, and data.
- (6) Analytical sampling has been conducted if the RAD scan indicates contamination (i.e., 2X background) or a release is visually identified.
- (7) Information will be documented in a SER. SWMU Assessment Reports will be attached to the SER for any new SWMUs/AOCs identified during this evaluation.
- (8) Any newly identified SWMUs/AOCs will be addressed in the Soils OU Remedial Action (Pre-GDP Shutdown). A separate removal action will not be performed.
- (9) The D2 Sitewide Evaluation Work Plan will be modified via addendum to incorporate discussion among the FFA parties on May 25, 2012.⁴ Characterization activities required, based upon these discussions, will be conducted, results of the characterization activities will be discussed with the FFA parties, and the appropriate path forward will be incorporated into the D1 SER.


D&D OPERABLE UNIT

The D&D OU consists of two phases (See Figure 3.5): 1) the DOE facilities that are currently inactive and scheduled for D&D prior to shutdown of the operating GDP, and 2) the USEC leased facilities and/or other DOE facilities planned for D&D after shutdown of the GDP. The D&D OU will employ the CERCLA removal action process to administer decommissioning activities of excess buildings (i.e., inactive with no reuse potential) that have a known or potential release of contamination to the environment. Consistent with the 1995 DOE and EPA Memorandum: *Policy on Decommissioning DOE Facilities under CERCLA*, DOE will employ the CERCLA Non-Time-Critical Removal Action framework unless the circumstances at the facilities make it inappropriate.

As part of future planning and execution of post-GDP shutdown activities, the FFA parties plan to evaluate and consider incorporation of CERCLA documentation strategies developed in 2009 to streamline the decision making process. In instances, where appropriate, DOE may decommission the facility following deactivation activities that are completed as non-CERCLA actions in accordance with applicable regulations. The primary objective for this OU is to minimize or eliminate the potential threats to health and the environment caused by the potential uncontrolled release of hazardous substances from contaminated structures and to reduce long-term surveillance and maintenance costs.

⁴ The FFA parties agree to survey 15 locations with highest counts per minute and 10 locations with the greatest delta in counts per minute per Kentucky's proposal for the Soils OU Sitewide sampling, dated May 25, 2012. The locations will be determined by the FFA parties prior to implementation. Upon completion of the survey, the surveys will be mapped, and an X-ray fluorescence sample for total uranium will be collected from the highest survey reading at each of the 25 locations.

Current D&D Operable Unit Strategy



3-18

Figure 3.5. Current D&D Operable Unit Strategy

In May 2013, USEC ceased uranium enrichment operations at the PGDP and issued a formal two-year notification in August 2013 for the return of the PGDP to DOE. DOE and USEC currently are working together to develop turnover plans that will effect a safe, secure, and successful transfer of PGDP. DOE has initiated the process for identifying a deactivation contractor to support the deactivation and to accept the PGDP for utility operations, surveillance and maintenance, and to prepare PGDP for D&D.

Scope

The remaining pre-GDP shutdown scope of the D&D OU consists of the following inactive DOE facilities:

- C-410/420 Complex
 - Infrastructure removal at the C-410/420 Complex is currently ongoing as a CERCLA Non-Time-Critical Removal Action. Development of an Action Memorandum Addendum and Removal Action Work Plan Addendum has been completed to modify and expand the scope of the existing CERCLA action to accelerate decommissioning of the facility structure.
- C-340 Complex and C-746-A East End Smelter
 - An EE/CA and Action Memorandum have been approved for the decommissioning of these two facilities scheduled for 2009/2010 time frame.
 - The C-746-A East End Smelter has been accelerated from the outyear post-GDP shutdown phase as part of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) and has been included with C-340 under a single set of CERCLA decision documents.
 - Demolition of the C-340 Complex was completed in 2013.
 - Demolition of the C-746-A East End Smelter was completed in 2010.

Decommissioning of CERCLA facilities completed to date is summarized in Appendix 1 (Actions Taken to Date). There is potential for additional facilities to come under DOE control prior to GDP shutdown and, as indicated in Figure 3.5, additional decommissioning will be accomplished during the post-GDP shutdown phase.

The decommissioning process includes the following activities:

- Remove facility infrastructure to the extent necessary to allow for safe and efficient demolition of the facility structure.
- Demolish facility to slab. Waste generated from the demolition will be disposed at the appropriate disposal facility based on the waste streams created.
- Decontaminate or apply fixative to stabilize any removable contamination remaining on the slabs to ensure slab is in a protective state. The “as left” conditions will be protective of the industrial worker.
- Basements and below grade structures will be filled with flowable fill or similar material to prevent water accumulation and eliminate fall hazards. The fill material will be non-permanent to facilitate decommissioning, while not inhibiting future subsurface actions.

Key DOE Planning Assumptions from Life Cycle Baseline

- (1) Slabs, subsurface structures, and underlying soils will be left and will be addressed as part of the post-GDP shutdown for the Soils and Slabs OU. No sampling of slab or sub-slab soils is planned within the scope of D&D.
- (2) Loose material, asbestos abatement, and removal of certain infrastructure and equipment typically will be conducted as part of deactivation activities as a DOE maintenance action using National Environmental Policy Act documentation.
- (3) Any RCRA-required closure actions associated with permitted facilities would be accomplished prior to D&D.

OTHER PROJECTS

CERCLA Waste Disposal Alternative Evaluation

Scope

The scope of this project is to evaluate disposal options for the CERCLA waste that will be generated as a result of implementing removal and remedial actions for all of the OUs. The evaluation of disposal options will be conducted using the CERCLA remedial decision-making process. Accordingly, the scope of the RI/FS will be focused and tailored to the nature of this project (i.e., this is not a typical project where potential releases are investigated, evaluated, and remediated). Additionally, due to significant public interest in the project, frequent interactions with the public are expected throughout the project life cycle. The decision about whether to implement an on-site disposal facility will be documented in a ROD.

Key DOE Planning Assumptions from Life Cycle Baseline

- (1) Existing information on waste types and volumes is sufficient for the RI/FS Report, and measurements of waste characteristics will not be needed for evaluation of alternatives. Assumed waste types include the following categories: low-level waste (LLW), RCRA, Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA), LLW/RCRA, LLW/TSCA, LLW/RCRA/TSCA, classified wastes, asbestos containing materials, and non-hazardous solid (U-Landfill waste).
- (2) A potential on-site CERCLA disposal facility will not accept transuranic waste.
- (3) Sufficient information about the design, cost, and Waste Acceptance Criteria for the existing disposal facilities (e.g., Nevada Test Site, EnergySolutions, C-746-U Landfill) is available; no additional data collection is needed for the RI/FS Report.
- (4) 12 potential locations on the Paducah Site were evaluated in a site screening process, and up to five candidate sites were selected for evaluation in the RI/FS Report.
- (5) Implementation of the ROD⁵ may require resequencing of other site work.
- (6) Final Waste Acceptance Criteria will be defined during the post-ROD design phase.

⁵ Regulatory expectations are that sufficient design and waste acceptance criteria information will be available to support the ROD.

FINAL COMPREHENSIVE SITE OPERABLE UNIT⁶

The final Comprehensive Site Operable Unit (CSOU) evaluation will occur following plant shutdown and completion of D&D of the GDP, D&D of the DUF₆ Conversion Plant, and completion of post-GDP shutdown cleanup of each of the specific OUs (e.g., GDP Groundwater Sources, Soils and Slabs). As final actions for SWMUs are completed, those SWMUs will be placed in the CSOU section of Appendix 4 of the SMP to ensure that the results of the completed action are accounted for in the overall CSOU evaluation. The final CSOU will maximize use of the relevant data from previous cleanup activities and document the residual contamination and risk. Circumstances may dictate additional field activities as a result of evaluating existing information; however, it is the assumption of the FFA parties that any SWMUs entered into the CSOU will not require any additional response action. A work plan will compile and evaluate the existing information to determine if any data gaps related to conducting a sitewide evaluation exist. The RI will include a sitewide baseline human health and ecological risk assessment to evaluate residual risks and ensure all actions taken to date, when considered collectively, are protective of human health and the environment from a sitewide perspective. If the results of the final CSOU BRA conclude that overall protection of human health and the environment has been achieved, a final Proposed Plan and no further action ROD will be developed. If the BRA concludes that residual contamination still poses an unacceptable risk that exceeds the criteria established in Section XII of the FFA, a final feasibility study will be developed, followed by a final Proposed Plan, ROD, and implementation of the final remedy. DOE intends to conduct necessary long-term monitoring to evaluate progress toward achieving RAOs. When no further response is appropriate, and all the RAOs for all remedies have been achieved, PGDP will be eligible for deletion from the National Priorities List (NPL). It should be noted that partial NPL delisting may be pursued for eligible areas prior to the CSOU.

⁶ The FFA, as currently written, contemplated multiple CSOUs, consisting of those associated with integrator units (i.e., groundwater, surface water) and a final CSOU completed after issuance of all final RODs for the site. The FFA parties acknowledge that the above scope description is intended to reflect the final CSOU, and a future FFA modification will address any inconsistencies between the FFA and SMP strategy.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

APPENDIX 4

SOURCE AREA BY OPERABLE UNIT

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Solid Waste Management Units/Areas of Concern by Operable Unit

| GROUNDWATER | | | | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---|--|--|
| Operable Unit | Subproject | SWMU No. | Description | | |
| GWOU | C-400 Action | 11 | C-400 TCE Leak Site | | |
| | | 533 | TCE Spill Site from TCE Unloading Operations at C-400 | | |
| | Southwest Plume Sources | 1 | C-747-C Oil Land Farm | | |
| | | 211 A | C-720 TCE Spill Site Northeast | | |
| | | 211 B | C-720 TCE Spill Site Southeast | | |
| | Dissolved-Phase Plumes | 201 | Northwest Groundwater Plume | | |
| | | 202 | Northeast Groundwater Plume | | |
| | | 210 | Southwest Groundwater Plume (includes SWMUs 1, 136, 211-A, and 211-B) | | |
| GDP Groundwater Sources OU | C-400 Residuals | 11 | C-400 TCE Leak Site | | |
| | | 533 | TCE Spill Site from TCE Unloading Operations at C-400 | | |
| SURFACE WATER | | | | | |
| | NSDD | 59 | NSDD (Inside) | | |
| SWOU | SWOU Remedial Action | Removal Action | 58 | NSDD (Outside) (includes KPDES 003) | |
| | | | 60 | C-375-E2 Effluent Ditch (KPDES 002) ¹ | |
| | | | 61 | C-375-E5 Effluent Ditch (KPDES 013) ¹ | |
| | | | 62 | C-375-S6 SW Ditch (KPDES 009) ¹ | |
| | | | 63 | C-375-W7 Oil Skimmer Ditch (KPDES 008 and KPDES 004) | |
| | | | 66 | C-375-E3 Effluent Ditch (KPDES 010) | |
| | | | 67 | C-375-E4 Effluent Ditch (C-340 Ditch) (KPDES 001) | |
| | | | 68 | C-375-W8 Effluent Ditch (KPDES 015) | |
| | | | 69 | C-375-W9 Effluent Ditch (KPDES 001) | |
| | | | 92 | Fill area for dirt from the C-420 PCB Spill Site | |
| | | | 97 | C-601 Diesel Spill | |
| | | | 102B | Plant Storm Sewer associated with C-333-A, C-337-A, C-340, C-535, and C-537 ¹ | |
| | | | 168 | KPDES Outfall Ditch 012 ¹ | |
| | | 526 | Internal Plant Drainage Ditches (includes KPDES 016) ² | | |
| | | | | 64 | Little Bayou Creek |
| | | | | 65 | Bayou Creek |
| | | | | 93 | Concrete Disposal Area East of Plant Security Area |
| | | 105 | Concrete Rubble Pile (3) | | |
| | | 106 | Concrete Rubble Pile (4) | | |
| | | 107 | Concrete Rubble Pile (5) | | |
| | | 108 | Concrete Rubble Pile (6) | | |
| | | 109 | Concrete Rubble Pile (7) | | |
| | | 113 | Concrete Rubble Pile (11) | | |

¹ The results of the SWOU (On-Site) Site Investigation determined that there were no unacceptable levels of risk to current and anticipated future receptors that warranted inclusion of SWMU 60 (Outfall 002), SWMU 168 (Outfall 012), or SWMU 102 (PGDP storm sewer systems associated with C-333-A, C-337-A, C-340, C-535, and C-537). As a result, no action will be taken for these SWMUs as originally planned under the SWOU removal action. These SWMUs will be evaluated further as part of the SWOU remedial action. It also should be noted that during development of the Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP) for SWOU (On-Site) Removal Action, Outfall 009 and Outfall 013 were evaluated. This assessment of the outfalls, which included a review of historical data, indicated that Outfall 009 and Outfall 013 did not require an early action, and further assessment of Outfall 009 and Outfall 013 would be addressed during the Comprehensive Site Operable Unit (CSOU). Based upon current site strategy, Outfall 009 and Outfall 013 also will be addressed as part of the SWOU remedial action.

² KPDES Outfall 016, in its entirety, will be addressed as part of the SWOU Remedial Investigation.

Solid Waste Management Units/Areas of Concern by Operable Unit (Continued)

| SURFACE WATER (CONTINUED) | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--|
| Operable Unit | Subproject | SWMU No. | Description |
| SWOU | SWOU Remedial Action | 129 | Concrete Rubble Pile (27) |
| | | 175 | Concrete Rubble Pile (28) |
| | | 185 | C-611-4 Horseshoe Lagoon (includes KPDES 014) |
| | | 199 | Bayou Creek Monitoring Station |
| | | 205 | Eastern Portion of Yellow Water Line |
| | | 549 | Dirt/Concrete Rubble Pile near Outfall 008 |
| | | 550 | Concrete Culvert Sections Located on the West Bank of the Ditch Leading to Outfall 001 |
| GDP Lagoons and Ditches OU | | 17 | C-616-E Sludge Lagoon |
| | | 18 | C-616-F Full-Flow Lagoon |
| | | 21 | C-611-W Sludge Lagoon |
| | | 22 | C-611-Y Overflow Lagoon (includes KPDES 006) |
| | | 23 | C-611-V Lagoon (includes KPDES 005) |
| | | 171 | C-617-A Lagoons |
| | | Others | Outfalls 017, 018, 019/020, and 526 and associated ditches |
| BURIAL GROUNDS | | | |
| BGOU | BGOU Remedial | 2 | C-749 Uranium Burial Ground |
| | | 3 | C-404 Low-Level Radioactive Waste Burial Ground |
| | | 4 | C-747 Contaminated Burial Ground |
| | | 5 | C-746-F Classified Burial Ground |
| | | 6 | C-747-B Burial Area |
| | | 7 | C-747-A Burial Ground |
| | | 9 | C-746-S Residential Landfill |
| | | 10 | C-746-T Inert Landfill |
| | | 30 | C-747-A Burn Area |
| | | 145 | Residential/Inert Landfill Borrow Area (P-Landfill) |
| Additional Burial Ground Sources OU | | 472 | C-746-B Pad |
| | | 520 | Scrap Material West of C-746-A |
| SOILS | | | |
| Soils OU | Soils Remedial | 1 | C-747-C Oil Land Farm ³ |
| | | 13 | C-746-P Clean Scrap Yard ³ |
| | | 14 | C-746-E Contaminated Scrap Yard |
| | | 15 | C-746-C Scrap Yard ³ |
| | | 16 | C-746-D Classified Scrap Yard ³ |
| | | 19 | C-410-B HF Neutralization Lagoon |

³ These SWMUs/AOCs will be evaluated further under a Soils OU RI 2 and addressed by a subsequent Soils OU feasibility study.

Solid Waste Management Units/Areas of Concern by Operable Unit (Continued)

| SOILS (CONTINUED) | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|---|
| Operable Unit | Subproject | SWMU No. | Description |
| Soils OU (Cont.) | Soils Remedial (Cont.) | 26 | C-400 to C-404 Underground Transfer Line ³ |
| | | 27 | C-722 Acid Neutralization Tank |
| | | 47 | C-400 Technetium Storage Tank Area ³ |
| | | 56 | C-540-A PCB Waste Staging Area ^{3,4} |
| | | 57 | C-541-A PCB Waste Staging Area ⁴ |
| | | 74 | C-340 PCB Transformer Spill Site ³ |
| | | 76 | C-632-B Sulfuric Acid Storage Tank |
| | | 77 | C-634-B Sulfuric Acid Storage Tank ^{3,5} |
| | | 80 | C-540-A PCB Spill Site ³ |
| | | 81 | C-541-A PCB Spill Site |
| | | 99 B | C-745 Kellogg Bldg. Site—Septic Leach Field |
| | | 138 | C-100 Southside Berm |
| | | 153 | C-331 PCB Soil Contamination (West) |
| | | 156 | C-310 PCB Soil Contamination (West Side) |
| | | 158 | Chilled-Water System Leak Site |
| | | 160 | C-745 Cylinder Yard Spoils (PCB Soils) |
| | | 163 | C-304 Bldg./HVAC Piping System (Soil Backfill) |
| | | 165 | C-616-L Pipeline & Vault Soil Contamination |
| | | 169 | C-410-E HF Vent Surge Protection Tank |
| | | 170 | C-729 Acetylene Bldg. Drain Pits |
| | | 180 | Outdoor Firing Range (WKWMA) |
| | | 181 | Outdoor Firing Range (PGDP) |
| | | 194 | McGraw Construction Facilities (Southside) |
| | | 195 | Curlee Road Contaminated Soil Mounds |
| | | 196 | C-746-A Septic System |
| | | 200 | Soil Contamination South of TSCA Waste Storage Facility |
| | | 204 | Dykes Road Historical Staging Area ³ |
| | | 211 A | C-720 TCE Spill Site Northeast ³ |
| | | 212 | C-745-A Radiological Contamination Area |
| | | 213 | OS-02 |
| 214 | OS-03 | | |
| 215 | OS-04 | | |
| 216 | OS-05 | | |
| 217 | OS-06 | | |
| 219 | OS-08 | | |
| 221 | OS-10 | | |
| 222 | OS-11 | | |
| 224 | OS-13 ³ | | |
| 225 | OS-14 ³ | | |
| 226 | OS-15 ³ | | |
| 227 | OS-16 | | |
| 228 | OS-17 | | |
| 229 | OS-18 ³ | | |

⁴ SWMUs 56 and 57 are located within, and will be addressed as part of, SWMUs 80 and 81, respectively.

⁵ This SWMU was evaluated as part of the Soils Operable Unit. The soils and underlying slabs associated with this SWMU will be addressed under the Soils and Slabs OU as part of post-GDP shutdown activities.

Solid Waste Management Units/Areas of Concern by Operable Unit (Continued)

| SOILS (CONTINUED) | | | |
|------------------------------------|---|-----------------|--|
| Operable Unit | Subproject | SWMU No. | Description |
| Soils OU (Cont.) | Soils Remedial (Cont.) | 486 | Rubble Pile WKWMA |
| | | 487 | Rubble Pile WKWMA |
| | | 488 | PCB Contamination Area by the C-410 Trailer Complex |
| | | 489 | Septic Tank North of C-710 Laboratory |
| | | 492 | Contaminated Soil Area Near Outfall 010 |
| | | 493 | Concrete Rubble Piles Near Outfall 001 |
| | | 517 | Rubble and Debris Erosion Control Fill Area |
| | | 518 | Field South of C-746-P1 Clean Scrap Yard |
| | | 520 | Scrap Material West of C-746-A |
| | | 531 | Aluminum Slag Reacting Area (C-746-H4) near the C-746-A Facility |
| | | 541 | Contaminated Soil Area South of Outfall 011 |
| | | 561 | Soil Pile I |
| | | 562 | Soil Piles C, D, E, F, G, H, J, K, and P in subunit 1 north of Soil Pile I on the west bank of Little Bayou Creek. |
| | | 563 | Soil Piles 20, CC, and BW in subunit 4 north of outfall 012 west of Little Bayou Creek |
| | | 564 | Soil Pile AT in subunit 5 that consists of three soil areas on the east side of the North-South Diversion Ditch north of the P-, S-, and T-Landfills |
| 565 | Rubble Area KY-19 (along Bayou Creek north of C-611 Water Treatment Plant) ³ | | |
| 567 | Soil Pile K013 near Outfall 013, West of Little Bayou | | |
| Soils and Slabs OU ⁶ | | 11 | C-400 Trichloroethylene Leak Site |
| | | 20 | C-410-E Emergency Holding Pond slab and underlying soils |
| | | 28 | C-712 Laboratory Equalization Tank slab and underlying soils |
| | | 31 | C-720 Compressor Pit Water Storage Tank slab and underlying soils |
| | | 32 | C-728 Clean Waste Oil Tanks slab and underlying soils |
| | | 33 | C-728 Motor Cleaning Facility slab and underlying soils |
| | | 38 | C-615 Sewage Treatment Plant slab and underlying soils |
| | | 40 | C-403 Neutralization Tank slab and underlying soils |
| | | 41 | C-410-C Neutralization Tank slab and underlying soils |
| | | 42 | C-616 Chromate Reduction Facility slab and underlying soils |
| | | 55 | C-405 Incinerator building slab and underlying soils |
| | | 70 | C-333-A Vaporizer slab and underlying soils |
| | | 71 | C-337-A Vaporizer slab and underlying soils |
| | | 75 | C-633 PCB Spill Site |
| | | 77 | C-634-B-Sulfuric Acid Storage Tank slab and underlying soils |
| | | 78 | C-420 PCB Spill Site |
| | | 79 | C-611 PCB Spill Site |
| | | 82 | C-531 Electric Switchyard slab and underlying soils |
| | | 83 | C-533 Electric Switchyard slab and underlying soils |
| | | 84 | C-535 Electric Switchyard slab and underlying soils |
| 85 | C-537 Electric Switchyard slab and underlying soils | | |
| 86 | C-631 Pumphouse and Cooling Tower slab and underlying soils | | |
| 87 | C-633 Pumphouse and Cooling Tower slab and underlying soils | | |
| 88 | C-635 Pumphouse and Cooling Tower slab and underlying soils | | |

⁶ SWMUs contained in facilities located on the ground floor of the building slabs have been identified as part of this scope. Those SWMUs located on the upper floors, that are expected to be totally removed as part of the decommissioning, have not been included.

Solid Waste Management Units/Areas of Concern by Operable Unit (Continued)

| SOILS (CONTINUED) | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---|
| Operable Unit | Subproject | SWMU No. | Description |
| Soils and Slabs OU (Cont.) | | 89 | C-637 Pumphouse and Cooling Tower slab and underlying soils |
| | | 98 | C-400 Basement Sump slab and underlying soils |
| | | 99 A | C-745 Kellogg Bldg. Site – Cylinder Yard |
| | | 135 | C-333 PCB Soil Contamination (North Side) |
| | | 137 | C-746-A Inactive PCB Transformer Sump Area ⁷ |
| | | 154 | C-331 PCB Soil Contamination (Southeast) |
| | | 155 | C-333 PCB Soil Contamination (West) |
| | | 159 | C-746-H3 Storage Pad slab and underlying soils |
| | | 161 | C-743-T-01 Trailer Site (Soil Backfill) |
| | | 162 | C-617-A Sanitary Water Line (Soil Backfill) |
| | | 166 | C-100 Trailer Complex Soil Contamination (East Side) |
| | | 167 | C-720 White Room Sump slab and underlying soils |
| | | 172 | C-726 Sandblasting Facility slab and underlying soils |
| | | 176 | C-331 RCW Leak Northwest Side |
| | | 177 | C-331 RCW Leak East Side |
| | | 178 | C-724-A Paint Spray Booth slab and underlying soils |
| | | 179 | Plant Sanitary Sewer System |
| | | 192 | C-710 Acid Interceptor Pit slab and underlying soils |
| | | 198 | C-410-D Area Soil Contamination slab and underlying soils |
| | | 203 | C-400 Discard Waste System slab and underlying soils |
| | | 209 | C-720 Compressor Shop Pit Sump slab and underlying soils |
| | | 211 B | C-720 TCE Spill Site Southeast |
| | | 218 | OS-07 slab and underlying soils |
| | | 220 | OS-09 slab and underlying soils |
| | | 223 | OS-12 slab and underlying soils |
| | | 378 | G-340-01 slab and underlying soils |
| | | 379 | G-340-03 slab and underlying soils |
| | | 380 | G-340-04 slab and underlying soils |
| | | 381 | G-340-05 slab and underlying soils |
| | | 434 | S-340-01 slab and underlying soils |
| | | 463 | C-746-A East End Smelter slab and underlying soils |
| | | 464 | C-746-A West End Smelter building slab and underlying soils |
| | | 469 | C-745-J Yard |
| | | 470 | C-746-V Yard |
| | | 474 | West of Vortec Site |
| | | 477 | C-340 Metals Plant building slab and underlying soils |
| | | 478 | C-410/420 Feed Plant building slab and underlying soils |
| | | 480 | C-402 Lime House building slab and underlying soils |
| | | 482 | C-415 Feed Plant Storage Building slab and underlying soils |
| | | 483 | Nitrogen Generating Facilities slab and underlying soils |
| | | 494 | Ash Receiver Area in C-410/420 slab and underlying soils |
| | | 495 | C-410-I Ash Receiver Shed building slab and underlying soils |
| | | 497 | C-410/420 F2 Cell Neutralization Room Vats slab and underlying soils |
| | | 498 | C-410/420 Sump at Column D & E-1&2 slab and underlying soils |
| | | 499 | C-410/420 Sump at Column H-9&10 slab and underlying soils |
| | | 500 | C-410/420 Sump at Column U-10&11 slab and underlying soils |
| | | 501 | C-410/420 UF ₆ Scale Pit Sumps A&B slab and underlying soils |

⁷ SWMU 137 was evaluated as part of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA), and the Soils Operable Unit. SWMU 137 will be addressed as part of GDP D&D OU.

Solid Waste Management Units/Areas of Concern by Operable Unit (Continued)

| DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING | | | |
|--|---|--|---|
| Operable Unit | Subproject | SWMU No. | Description |
| Soils and Slabs OU (Cont.) | | 502 | C-410/420 Sump at Column U-9 slab and underlying soils |
| | | 503 | C-410/420 Sump at Column G-1 slab and underlying soils |
| | | 504 | C-410/420 Sump at Column L-10 slab and underlying soils |
| | | 505 | C-410/420 Sump at Column A-3N slab and underlying soils |
| | | 506 | C-410/420 Sump at Column Wa-9 slab and underlying soils |
| | | 507 | C-410/420 Condensate Tank Pit slab and underlying soils |
| | | 508 | C-410/420 Settling Basin slab and underlying soils |
| | | 509 | C-410/420 Drain pit slab and underlying soils |
| | | 510 | C-410/420 Sump at Column P&Q-2 slab and underlying soils |
| | | 511 | C-410/420 Sump at Column Q&R-2 slab and underlying soils |
| | | 512 | C-410/420 Sump at Column R-2 slab and underlying soils |
| | | 513 | C-411 Cell Maintenance Room Sump slab and underlying soils |
| | | 516 | C-340 Derby Preparation Area Sludge Collection System slab and underlying soils |
| | | 522 | C-340 Work Pit at Ground Floor Level (B-7—B-9) slab and underlying soils |
| | | 523 | C-340 Metals Plant Pit at Ground Floor (F-6 to F-11) slab and underlying soils |
| | | 524 | C-340 Pickling System Sump (B-10 to B-11) slab and underlying soils |
| 529 | C-340 Powder Plant Sump at Ground Floor Level slab and underlying soils | | |
| D&D OU | Inactive Facilities (C-410 D&D) | 41 | C-410-C Neutralization Tank |
| | | 478 | C-410/420 Feed Plant |
| | | 494 | Ash Receiver Area in C-410/420 |
| | | 495 | C-410-I Ash Receiver Shed |
| | | 496 | C-410 Fluorine/Hydrogen Filters (Northeast Mezzanine) |
| | | 497 | C-410/420 F ₂ Cell Neutralization Room Vats |
| | | 498 | C-410/420 Sump at Column D&E-1&2 |
| | | 499 | C-410/420 Sump at Column H-9&10 |
| | | 500 | C-410/420 Sump at Column U-10&11 |
| | | 501 | C-410/420 UF ₆ Scale Pit Sumps A&B |
| | | 502 | C-410/420 Sump at Column U-9 |
| | | 503 | C-410/420 Sump at Column G-1 |
| | | 504 | C-410/420 Sump at Column L-10 |
| | | 505 | C-410/420 Sump at Column A-3N |
| | | 506 | C-410/420 Sump at Column Wa-9 |
| | | 507 | C-410/420 Condensate Tank Pit |
| | | 508 | C-410/420 Settling Basin |
| | | 509 | C-410/420 Drain pit |
| | | 510 | C-410/420 Sump at Column P&Q-2 |
| | | 511 | C-410/420 Sump at Column Q&R-2 |
| | | 512 | C-410/420 Sump at Column R-2 |
| | | 513 | C-411 Cell Maintenance Room Sump Pit |
| | | Inactive Facilities (C-340 D&D) | 101 |
| | 378 | | G-340-01 |
| | 379 | | G-340-03 |
| | 380 | | G-340-04 |
| | 381 | | G-340-05 |
| | 434 | | S-340-01 |

Solid Waste Management Units/Areas of Concern by Operable Unit (Continued)

| DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------------|---|
| Operable Unit | Subproject | SWMU No. | Description |
| D&D OU (Cont.) | Inactive Facilities (C-340 D&D) (Cont.) | 477 | C-340 Metals Plant |
| | | 514 | C-340 Magnesium Fluoride Reject Silo |
| | | 515 | C-340 "Dirty" Dust Collection System |
| | | 516 | C-340 Derby Preparation Area Sludge Collection System |
| | | 521 | C-340 Saw System Degreaser |
| | | 522 | C-340 Work Pit at Ground Floor Level (B-7-B-9) |
| | | 523 | C-340 Metals Plant Pit at Ground Floor (F-6-F-11) |
| | | 524 | C-340 Pickling System Sump (B-10 & 11) |
| GDP D&D OU | GDP D&D | 529 | C-340 Powder Plant Sump at Ground Floor Level |
| | | 28 | C-712 Laboratory Equalization Tank |
| | | 33 | C-728 Motor Cleaning Facility |
| | | 38 | C-615 Sewage Treatment Plant |
| | | 42 | C-616 Chromate Reduction Facility |
| | | 70 | C-333-A Vaporizer |
| | | 71 | C-337-A Vaporizer |
| | | 82 | C-531 Electric Switchyard |
| | | 83 | C-533 Electric Switchyard |
| | | 84 | C-535 Electric Switchyard |
| | | 85 | C-537 Electric Switchyard |
| | | 86 | C-631 Pumphouse and Cooling Tower |
| | | 87 | C-633 Pumphouse and Cooling Tower |
| | | 88 | C-635 Pumphouse and Cooling Tower |
| | | 89 | C-637 Pumphouse and Cooling Tower |
| | | 98 | C-400 Basement Sump |
| | | 137 | C-746-A Inactive PCB Transformer Sump Area |
| | | 159 | C-746-H3 Storage Pad |
| | | 167 | C-720 White Room Sump |
| | | 172 | C-726 Sandblasting Facility |
| | | 178 | C-724-A Paint Spray Booth |
| | | 179 | Plant Sanitary Sewer System |
| | | 192 | C-710 Acid Interceptor Pit |
| | | 203 | C-400 Discard Waste System |
| | 209 | C-720 Compressor Shop Pit Sump | |
| | 463 | C-746-A East End Smelter | |
| | 482 | C-415 Feed Plant Storage Building | |
| DUF ₆ D&D | | 183 | McGraw UST |
| | | 193 | McGraw Construction Facilities (Southside Cylinder Yards) |
| | | 194 | McGraw Construction Facilities (Southside) |
| | | 536 | Concrete Truck Washout Area |

Solid Waste Management Units/Areas of Concern by Operable Unit (Continued)

| FINAL COMPREHENSIVE SITE OPERABLE UNIT | | |
|---|-----------------|---|
| | SWMU No. | Description |
| CSOU ^{8,9} | 8 | C-746-K Inactive Sanitary Landfill |
| | 91 | UF ₆ Cylinder Drop Test Area |
| | 100 | Fire Training Area |
| PERMITTED | | |
| Permitted | 3 | C-404 Low-Level Radioactive Waste Burial Ground ¹⁰ |
| | 9 | C-746-S Residential Landfill |
| | 10 | C-746-T Inert Landfill |
| | 44 | C-733 Hazardous Waste Storage Area |
| | 46A | C-746-Q Hazardous and Low-Level Mixed Waste Storage Facility |
| | 207 | C-752-A ER Waste Storage Bldg. |
| | 208 | C-746-U Solid Waste Contained Landfill |

⁸ The FFA, as currently written, contemplated multiple CSOUs, consisting of those associated with integrator units (i.e., groundwater, surface water), and a final CSOU completed after issuance of all final RODs for the site. The FFA parties acknowledge that the above scope description is intended to reflect the final CSOU, and a future FFA modification will be conducted to resolve any inconsistencies between the FFA and SMP strategy.

⁹ Historically, once an action has been completed for a particular SWMU whereby no additional active response actions are expected, such SWMUs have been placed in the CSOU for further evaluation; however, the FFA parties recognized the need to reach consensus on the criteria for assigning units to the CSOU. As a result, placement of SWMUs 8, 91, and 100 in the CSOU is provisional pending the FFA parties reaching consensus on such criteria.

¹⁰ SWMU 3 was issued only a post-closure permit, was not permitted for construction and operation, and was not an engineered hazardous waste landfill.

Solid Waste Management Units/Areas of Concern by Operable Unit (Continued)

| NO FURTHER ACTION¹¹ | |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| SWMU No. | Description |
| 12 | C-747-A UF ₄ Drum Yard |
| 24 | C-750-D UST |
| 25 | C-750 1,000-gal Waste Oil Tank (UST) |
| 29 | C-746-B TRU Storage Area |
| 34 | C-746-M PCB Waste Storage Area |
| 35 | C-337 PCB Waste Storage Area |
| 36 | C-337 PCB Waste Staging Area |
| 37 | C-333 PCB Waste Staging Area |
| 39 | C-746-B PCB Waste Storage Area |
| 43 | C-746-B Waste Chemical Storage Area |
| 45 | C-746-R Waste Solvent Storage Area |
| 46 | C-409 Hazardous Waste Pilot Plant |
| 48 | Gold Dissolver Storage Tank (DMSA C400-03) |
| 49 | C-400-B Waste Solution Storage Tank |
| 50 | C-400-C Nickel Stripper Evaporation Tank |
| 51 | C-400-D Lime Precipitation Tank |
| 52 | C-400 Waste Decontamination Solution Storage Tanks |
| 53 | C-400 NaOH Precipitation Unit |
| 54 | C-400 Degreaser Solvent Recovery Unit |
| 72 | C-200 Underground Gasoline Tanks |
| 73 | C-710 Underground Gasoline Tanks |
| 90 | C-720 Underground Petroleum Naphtha Pipe |
| 102A | Plant Storm Sewer—between the south side of the C-400 Building and Outfall 008 |
| 96 | C-333 Cooling Tower Scrap Wood Pile |
| 103 | Concrete Rubble Pile (1) |
| 104 | Concrete Rubble Pile (2) |
| 110 | Concrete Rubble Pile (8) |
| 111 | Concrete Rubble Pile (9) |
| 112 | Concrete Rubble Pile (10) |
| 114 | Concrete Rubble Pile (12) |
| 115 | Concrete Rubble Pile (13) |
| 116 | Concrete Rubble Pile (14) |
| 117 | Concrete Rubble Pile (15) |
| 118 | Concrete Rubble Pile (16) |
| 119 | Concrete Rubble Pile (17) |
| 120 | Concrete Rubble Pile (18) |
| 121 | Concrete Rubble Pile (19) |
| 122 | Concrete Rubble Pile (20) |
| 123 | Concrete Rubble Pile (21) |
| 124 | Concrete Rubble Pile (22) |
| 125 | Concrete Rubble Pile (23) |
| 126 | Concrete Rubble Pile (24) |
| 127 | Concrete Rubble Pile (25) |
| 128 | Concrete Rubble Pile (26) |
| 130 | C-611 550-gal Gasoline UST |
| 131 | C-611 50-gal Gasoline UST |
| 132 | C-611 2,000-gal Oil UST |
| 133 | C-611 (unknown size) Grouted UST |
| 134 | C-611 1,000-gal Diesel/Gasoline Tank |

¹¹ A portion of the SWMUs/areas of concerns listed may not qualify as NFAs per CERCLA and may require additional characterization for radionuclides under the appropriate post-GDP shutdown OU.

Solid Waste Management Units/Areas of Concern by Operable Unit (Continued)

| NO FURTHER ACTION | |
|--------------------------|--|
| SWMU No. | Description |
| 136 | C-740 TCE Spill Site |
| 139 | C-746-A1 UST |
| 140 | C-746-A2 UST |
| 141 | C-720 Inactive TCE Degreaser |
| 142 | C-750-A 10,000-gal Gasoline Tank (UST) |
| 143 | C-750-B 10,000-gal Diesel Tank (UST) |
| 144 | C-746-A Hazardous and Mixed Waste Storage Facility |
| 146 | Concrete Rubble Pile (40) |
| 147 | Concrete Rubble Pile (41) |
| 148 | Concrete Rubble Pile (42) |
| 149 | Concrete Rubble Pile (43) |
| 150 | Concrete Rubble Pile (44) |
| 151 | Concrete Rubble Pile (45) |
| 152 | Concrete Rubble Pile (46) |
| 173 | C-746-A Trash-Sorting Facility |
| 174 | C-745-K Low-Level Storage Area |
| 184 | Concrete Rubble Pile (29) |
| 186 | C-751 Fuel Facility |
| 187 | C-611 Septic System |
| 188 | C-633 Septic System |
| 189 | C-637 Septic System |
| 190 | C-337A Sewage Treatment Aeration Tank |
| 191 | C-333-A Sewage Treatment Aeration Tank |
| 197 | Concrete Rubble Pile (30) |
| 206 | C-755-A Toxic Substances Control Act Waste Storage Bldg. |
| 208 | C-746-U Solid Waste Contained Landfill |
| 360 | C-535 |
| 361 | C-727-90 day |
| 362 | G-310-04 |
| 363 | G-331-03 |
| 364 | G-331-05 |
| 365 | G-333-02 |
| 366 | G-333-03 |
| 367 | G-333-04 |
| 368 | G-333-08 |
| 369 | G-333-10 |
| 370 | G-333-20 |
| 371 | G-335-01 |
| 372 | G-337-02 |
| 373 | G-337-03 |
| 374 | G-337-13 |
| 375 | G-337-14 |
| 376 | G-337-15 |
| 377 | C-337-22 |
| 382 | G-340-06 |
| 383 | G-400-01 |
| 384 | G-400-02 |
| 385 | G-409-25 |
| 386 | G-410-01 |
| 387 | C-416-01 |

Solid Waste Management Units/Areas of Concern by Operable Unit (Continued)

| NO FURTHER ACTION (CONTINUED) | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| SWMU No. | Description |
| 388 | C-416 Decontamination Pad |
| 389 | G-533-01 |
| 390 | G-535-02 |
| 391 | G-537-01 |
| 392 | G-540-A-01 |
| 393 | G-540-A-1-02 |
| 394 | G-541-A-01 |
| 395 | G-600-01 |
| 396 | C-611-U-01 |
| 397 | G-612-01 |
| 398 | G-612-02 |
| 399 | G-612-A-01 |
| 400 | G-635-01 |
| 401 | G-710 |
| 402 | G-710-04 |
| 403 | G-710-20 |
| 404 | G-710-24 |
| 405 | G-720-22 |
| 406 | G-743-T-17-01 |
| 407 | G-743-T-17-02 |
| 408 | G-745-B-01 |
| 409 | G-745-T-01 |
| 410 | G-746-G-01 |
| 411 | G-746-G-1-01 |
| 412 | G-746-G-2-01 |
| 413 | G-746-G-3-01 |
| 414 | G-746-F-01 |
| 415 | G-746-S-01 |
| 416 | G-746-X-01 (PCBs) |
| 417 | G-746-X-01 (Asbestos) |
| 418 | G-748-B-01 |
| 419 | G-752-C-01 |
| 420 | G-752-C-02 |
| 421 | G-754-01 |
| 422 | G-755-A-01 |
| 423 | G-755-C-01 |
| 424 | G-755-T-07-01 |
| 425 | G-755-T-08 |
| 426 | G-755-T-2-3-01 |
| 427 | G-755-T-3-1-01 |
| 428 | G-755-T-3-2-01 |
| 429 | S-310-04 |
| 430 | S-331-02 |
| 431 | S-333-12 |
| 432 | S-335-09 |
| 433 | S-337-11 |
| 435 | S-409-100 |
| 436 | S-409-20 |
| 437 | S-409-40 |
| 438 | S-409-60 |

Solid Waste Management Units/Areas of Concern by Operable Unit (Continued)

| NO FURTHER ACTION (CONTINUED) | |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| SWMU No. | Description |
| 439 | S-409-80 |
| 440 | S-410-05 |
| 441 | S-540-A-2-01 |
| 442 | S-612-01 |
| 443 | S-709-01 |
| 444 | S-709-02 |
| 445 | S-710-05 |
| 446 | S-710-06 |
| 447 | S-710-09 |
| 448 | S-710-16 |
| 449 | S-710-18 |
| 450 | S-710-32 |
| 451 | S-710-41 |
| 452 | S-710-44 |
| 453 | S-710-46 |
| 454 | S-743-T-17-01 |
| 455 | S-755-T-16-01 |
| 456 | S-755-T-16-02 |
| 457 | S-755-T-16-03 |
| 458 | S-755-T-2-3-01 |
| 459 | S-755-T-3-1-01 |
| 460 | S-755-T-3-2-01 |
| 461 | S-755-T-3-2-02 |
| 462 | S-755-T-3-2-03 |
| 465 | Yard Rubble Pile and Crushate Storage Area (G-Yard) |
| 466 | South of Dykes Road, Pond Area |
| 467 | Concrete Cylinder Holders Storage Area on Western Kentucky Wildlife Management Area |
| 468 | Area Northwest of Outfall 015 |
| 471 | Outside C-746-B South Storage Area |
| 473 | C-746-B Pad, West |
| 475 | C-745-G5-01 (Paint Enclosure) |
| 476 | Concrete Crusher |
| 479 | C-204 Disintegrator Building |
| 481 | C-410-A Hydrogen Holder |
| 484 | C-611-M Storage Tank |
| 485 | C-611-N Sanitary Water Storage |
| 490 | McGraw Fuel Facility Waste Oil Storage Tank |
| 491 | Mercury Spill at the C-611 Water Treatment Plant Vault |
| 519 | C-410 Sulfuric Acid Tank (C-634-B) |
| 525 | Concrete Water Tower Supports (KOW) |
| 527 | C-410 GSA/SAA at Column J-6 |
| 528 | GSA/SAA at the Northwest corner of C-745-G3 Paint Enclosure |
| 530 | Soil and Debris Storage Area by C-745-T Yard |
| 532 | Photographic Solution Treatment Area in the C-102 Building |
| 534 | UST #18, within SWMU 193 |
| 535 | S-755-T08-01 (Satellite Accumulation Area at C-755, Trailer 8) |

Solid Waste Management Units/Areas of Concern by Operable Unit (Continued)

| NO FURTHER ACTION (CONTINUED) | |
|--|--|
| SWMU No. | Description |
| 537 | S-400-001 (SAA Located Outside at the Southeast Corner of the C-400 Building) |
| 538 | S-MST-01-01 & S-MST-01-02 (Mobile Trailer 01) |
| 539 | S-MST-02-01 & S-MST-02-02 (Mobile Trailer 02) |
| 540 | S-MST-03-01 & S-MST-03-02 (Mobile Trailer 03) |
| 542 a | G-746-B-01; S-746-B-01; S-746-B-02 (GSA/SAA's located outside C-746-A) |
| 542 b | G-746-A-01; S-746-A-01; S-746-A-02 (GSA/SAA's located outside C-746-A) |
| 543 | T-746-S-01 (90 Day Storage Area) |
| 544 | T-752-C-01 (90 Day Storage Area) |
| 545 | C-755-T-22-01 and G-755-T-22 |
| 546 | PGDP Post 67 Diesel Fuel Spill Area |
| 547 | PGDP Post 38 Diesel Spill Area |
| 548 | Staging Area for Concrete Piers, Wood and Rubble North Side of C-745-B Cylinder Yard |
| 551 | C-755-GSA-23 Located at C-755 near the East Fence Line |
| 552 | C-760 90-Day Accumulation Area |
| 566 | H-340-01 |
| 568 | C-340 ST-90 Boxes |
| 569 | C-743-T-17 Sample Return Refrigerator |
| 570 | Sample Return Sealand |
| PENDING NO FURTHER ACTION DECISION | |
| | TBD |
| SWMUs THAT WILL BE INVESTIGATED AND REMEDIATED BY THE U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS¹² | |
| 94 | KOW Trickling Filter and Leach Field |
| 95 | KOW Burn Area |
| 157 | KOW Toluene Spill Area |
| 182 | Western Portion of Yellow Water Line |

ARRA = American Recovery and Reinvestment Act
 CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
 CSOU = Comprehensive Site Operable Unit
 D&D = decontamination and decommissioning
 ER = environmental remediation
 FY = fiscal year
 GDP = gaseous diffusion plant
 GSA = generator staging area
 HVAC = heating, ventilating, and air-conditioning
 KOW = Kentucky Ordinance Works
 KPDES = Kentucky Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
 NFA = no further action
 NSDD = North-South Diversion Ditch
 OU = operable unit
 PCB = polychlorinated biphenyl
 PGDP = Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
 RCW = recirculating cooling water
 SAA = satellite accumulation area
 SAP = Sampling and Analysis Plan
 SWMU = solid waste management unit
 SWOU = Surface Water Operable Unit
 TBD = to be determined
 TCE = trichloroethene
 TSCA = Toxic Substances Control Act
 UST = underground storage tank
 WKWMA = West Kentucky Wildlife Management Area

¹² EPA review/approval of the CERCLA documentation associated with these SWMUs has not occurred.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

APPENDIX 5

ENFORCEABLE TIMETABLES AND DEADLINES; PLANNING DATES WITH LONG-TERM TARGETS

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Enforceable Timetables and Deadlines; Planning Dates with Long-Term Targets

| Operable Unit | Subproject | Deliverable | Enforceable timetable and deadlines ¹ | | Planning dates with long-term targets for decision documents ² | Comments |
|---------------|--|--------------------------------------|---|----------|---|--|
| | | | FY 2014–FY 2016 | Out-year | | |
| GWOU | Southwest Plume Sources—SWMU 1 (Soil Mixing) | D1 Remedial Action Completion Report | 12/23/15 | | | D1 Remedial Action Completion Report is submitted 150 days after Remedial Action is completed. |
| | Southwest Plume Sources—SWMUs 211 A and 211 B (Enhanced <i>In Situ</i> Bioremediation) | D1 Remedial Design Report | 2/21/15 | | | |
| | | D1 Remedial Action Work Plan | 3/15/15 | | | |
| | | D1 Remedial Action Completion Report | | | 6/22/17 ³ | |
| | C-400—Phase IIb Treatability Study | D1 Treatability Study Work Plan | 10/21/13 | | | |
| | | D1 Treatability Study Design | 2/3/14 | | | |
| | | Treatability Construction Start | 9/1/14 | | | |
| | | D1 Treatability Study Report | 181 calendar days from completion of treatability study data collection | | | |
| | C-400—Phase IIb | D1 Revised Proposed Plan | 110 days after approval of the Treatability Study Report | | | |

Enforceable Timetables and Deadlines; Planning Dates with Long-Term Targets (Continued)

| Operable Unit | Subproject | Deliverable | Enforceable timetable and deadlines ¹ | | Planning dates with long-term targets for decision documents ² | Comments |
|---------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|----------|---|--|
| | | | FY 2014–FY 2016 | Out-year | | |
| GWOU | C-400—Phase IIb | D1 Record of Decision | | | TBD | D1 ROD is submitted 30 days after close of public comment period on the Proposed Plan (FFA Section XIV.D). |
| | | D1 Remedial Design Work Plan | | | TBD | |
| | | D1 Remedial Design Report | | | TBD | |
| | | D1 Remedial Action Work Plan | | | TBD | |
| | | D1 Remedial Action Completion Report | | | TBD | |
| | Dissolved-Phase Plumes | D1 RI/FS Work Plan | | | 4 th Quarter 2026 | Project scoping will consider the available information from ongoing projects including the TCE degradation study results, NW Plume Optimization, SW Plume Sources action, NE Plume Optimization, and C-400 Source action. |
| | | D1 Remedial Investigation Report | | | 1 st Quarter 2028 | |
| | | D1 Feasibility Study Report | | | 4 th Quarter 2028 | D1 Feasibility Study is submitted 60 days after EPA and KY approve the RI Report. ⁴ |

Enforceable Timetables and Deadlines; Planning Dates with Long-Term Targets (Continued)

| Operable Unit | Subproject | Deliverable | Enforceable timetable and deadlines ¹ | | Planning dates with long-term targets for decision documents ² | Comments |
|---------------|--|--|--|----------|---|---|
| | | | FY 2014–FY 2016 | Out-year | | |
| GWOU | Dissolved-Phase Plumes | D1 Proposed Plan | | | 2 nd Quarter 2029 | D1 Proposed Plan is submitted 45 days after EPA and KY approval of the Feasibility Study. ⁴ |
| | | D1 ROD | | | 4 th Quarter 2029 | D1 ROD is submitted 30 days after close of public comment period on the Proposed Plan (FFA Section XIV.D). |
| | | D1 Remedial Design Work Plan | | | 4 th Quarter 2029 | |
| | | D1 Remedial Design Report | | | 4 th Quarter 2030 | |
| | | D1 Remedial Action Work Plan | | | 4 th Quarter 2030 | |
| GWOU | GWOU | D1 Interim Remedial Action Completion Report | | 9/30/32 | | D1 Remedial Action Completion Report is submitted 150 days after Remedial Action is completed. |
| D&D OU | Disposition of Inactive Facilities at PGDP | D1 C-340 Removal Action Report | 12/31/13 | | | |
| | | D&D OU D1 Completion Notification Letter (C-410) | 6/30/15 | | | D1 Removal Action Completion Letter will be submitted after the final removal action for the D&D OU facilities is completed for pre-GDP shutdown scope. |

Enforceable Timetables and Deadlines; Planning Dates with Long-Term Targets (Continued)

| Operable Unit | Subproject | Deliverable | Enforceable timetable and deadlines ¹ | | Planning dates with long-term targets for decision documents ² | Comments |
|------------------------|---|----------------------------------|--|----------|---|--|
| | | | FY 2014–FY 2016 | Out-year | | |
| Waste Disposal Options | Waste Disposal Options | D1 Proposed Plan | 1/21/14 | | | D1 Proposed Plan is submitted 45 days after EPA and KY approval of the Feasibility Study. ⁴ |
| | | D1 ROD | 9/3/14 | | | D1 ROD is submitted 30 days after close of public comment period on the Proposed Plan (FFA Section XIV.D). |
| | | D1 Remedial Design Work Plan | 12/5/14 | | | |
| | | D1 Remedial Design Report | 8/13/16 | | | |
| | | D1 Remedial Action Work Plan | 9/13/16 | | | |
| SWOU | Remedial Action (Little Bayou and Bayou Creek Watersheds) | D1 Remedial Investigation Report | | | 1 st Quarter 2029 | |
| | | D1 Feasibility Study Report | | | 3 rd Quarter 2029 | D1 Feasibility Study is submitted 60 days after EPA and KY approve the RI Report. ⁴ |
| | | D1 Proposed Plan | | | 1 st Quarter 2030 | D1 Proposed Plan is submitted 45 days after EPA and KY approval of the Feasibility Study. ⁴ |

Enforceable Timetables and Deadlines; Planning Dates with Long-Term Targets (Continued)

| Operable Unit | Subproject | Deliverable | Enforceable timetable and deadlines ¹ | | Planning dates with long-term targets for decision documents ² | Comments |
|---------------|---|--------------------------------------|--|----------|---|--|
| | | | FY 2014–FY 2016 | Out-year | | |
| SWOU | Remedial Action (Little Bayou and Bayou Creek Watersheds) | D1 ROD | | | 3 rd Quarter 2030 | D1 ROD is submitted 30 days after close of public comment period on the Proposed Plan (FFA Section XIV.D). |
| | | D1 Remedial Design Work Plan | | | 3 rd Quarter 2030 | |
| | | D1 Remedial Design Report | | | 3 rd Quarter 2031 | |
| | | D1 Remedial Action Work Plan | | | 3 rd Quarter 2031 | |
| SWOU | SWOU | D1 Remedial Action Completion Report | | 9/30/32 | | D1 Remedial Action Completion Report is submitted 150 days after Remedial Action is completed. |
| Soils OU | Remedial Action 1 (Pre-GDP Shutdown) | D1 Feasibility Study ³ | | | 3 rd Quarter 2025 | D1 Feasibility Study is submitted 60 days after EPA and KY approve the RI Report. ⁴ |
| | | D1 Proposed Plan | | | 1 st Quarter 2026 | D1 Proposed Plan is submitted 45 days after EPA and KY approval of the Feasibility Study. ⁴ |
| | | D1 ROD | | | 3 rd Quarter 2026 | D1 ROD is submitted 30 days after close of public comment period on the Proposed Plan (FFA Section XIV.D). |
| | | D1 Remedial Design Work Plan | | | 4 th Quarter 2026 | |
| | | D1 Remedial Design Report | | | 4 th Quarter 2027 | |
| | | D1 Remedial Action Work Plan | | | 4 th Quarter 2027 | |

Enforceable Timetables and Deadlines; Planning Dates with Long-Term Targets (Continued)

| Operable Unit | Subproject | Deliverable | Enforceable timetable and deadlines ¹ | | Planning dates with long-term targets for decision documents ² | Comments |
|---------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|----------|---|--|
| | | | FY 2014–FY 2016 | Out-year | | |
| Soils OU | Remedial Action 2 (Pre-GDP Shutdown) | Remedial Investigation Work Plan Addendum | | | 3 rd Quarter 2023 | |
| | | Remedial Investigation Report | | | 4 th Quarter 2024 | |
| | | D1 Feasibility Study | | | 3 rd Quarter 2025 | D1 Feasibility Study is submitted 60 days after EPA and KY approve the RI Report. ⁴ |
| | | D1 Proposed Plan | | | 1 st Quarter 2026 | D1 Proposed Plan is submitted 45 days after EPA and KY approval of the Feasibility Study. ⁴ |
| | | D1 ROD | | | 3 rd Quarter 2026 | D1 ROD is submitted 30 days after close of public comment period on the Proposed Plan (FFA Section XIV.D). |
| | | D1 Remedial Design Work Plan | | | 4 th Quarter 2026 | |
| | | D1 Remedial Design Report | | | 4 th Quarter 2027 | |
| | | D1 Remedial Action Work Plan | | | 4 th Quarter 2027 | |
| Soils OU | Soils OU | D1 Remedial Action Completion Report | | 9/30/30 | | D1 Remedial Action Completion Report is submitted 150 days after Remedial Action is completed. |
| Soils OU | Sitewide Walkover ⁶ | Site Evaluation Report | | | 3/5/18 | Assumes implementation of proposal, dated May 25, 2012, over the next five years. |

Enforceable Timetables and Deadlines; Planning Dates with Long-Term Targets (Continued)

| Operable Unit | Subproject | Deliverable | Enforceable timetable and deadlines ¹ | | Planning dates with long-term targets for decision documents ² | Comments |
|---------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|----------|---|--|
| | | | FY 2014–FY 2016 | Out-year | | |
| BGOU | SWMUs 5 and 6 Remedial Action | D1 ROD | 2/25/14 | | | D1 ROD is submitted 30 days after close of public comment period on the Proposed Plan (FFA Section XIV.D). |
| | | D1 Remedial Design Work Plan | 3/27/14 | | | |
| | | D1 Remedial Design Report | 3/27/15 | | | |
| | | D1 Remedial Action Work Plan | 4/26/15 | | | |
| | | Field Start | 11/5/15 | | | |
| | | D1 Remedial Action Completion Report | | | 2 nd Quarter 2017 | D1 Remedial Action Completion Report is submitted 150 days after Remedial Action is completed. |
| | SWMUs 2 and 3 Remedial Action | D1 Proposed Plan | | | 2 nd Quarter 2022 | D1 Proposed Plan is submitted 45 days after EPA and KY approval of the Feasibility Study. ⁴ |
| | | D1 ROD | | | 4 th Quarter 2022 | D1 ROD is submitted 30 days after close of public comment period on the Proposed Plan (FFA Section XIV.D). |
| | | D1 Remedial Design Work Plan | | | 4 th Quarter 2022 | |
| | | D1 Remedial Design Report | | | 4 th Quarter 2023 | |
| | | D1 Remedial Action Work Plan | | | 4 th Quarter 2023 | |
| | | D1 Remedial Action Completion Report | | | 4 th Quarter 2026 | D1 Remedial Action Completion Report is submitted 150 days after Remedial Action is completed. |

Enforceable Timetables and Deadlines; Planning Dates with Long-Term Targets (Continued)

| Operable Unit | Subproject | Deliverable | Enforceable timetable and deadlines ¹ | | Planning dates with long-term targets for decision documents ² | Comments |
|---------------|--------------------------------|--|--|----------|---|--|
| | | | FY 2014–FY 2016 | Out-year | | |
| BGOU | SWMUs 7 and 30 Remedial Action | D1 Proposed Plan | | | 4 th Quarter 2023 | D1 Proposed Plan is submitted 45 days after EPA and KY approval of the Feasibility Study. ⁴ |
| | | D1 ROD | | | 2 nd Quarter 2024 | D1 ROD is submitted 30 days after close of public comment period on the Proposed Plan (FFA Section XIV.D). |
| | | D1 Remedial Design Work Plan | | | 2 nd Quarter 2024 | |
| | | D1 Remedial Design Report | | | 2 nd Quarter 2025 | |
| | | D1 Remedial Action Work Plan | | | 2 nd Quarter 2025 | |
| | | D1 Remedial Action Completion Report | | | 4 th Quarter 2026 | D1 Remedial Action Completion Report is submitted 150 days after Remedial Action is completed. |
| | SWMU 4 Remedial Action | Remedial Investigation Report Addendum | 1/2/15 | | | |
| | | D1 Feasibility Study | 8/30/15 | | | D1 Feasibility Study is submitted 60 days after EPA and KY approve the RI Report. ⁴ |
| | | D1 Proposed Plan | 4/12/16 | | | D1 Proposed Plan is submitted 45 days after EPA and KY approval of the Feasibility Study. ⁴ |
| | | D1 ROD | | | 1 st Quarter 2017 | D1 ROD is submitted 30 days after close of public comment period on the Proposed Plan (FFA Section XIV.D). |
| | | D1 Remedial Design Work Plan | | | 1 st Quarter 2017 | |
| | | D1 Remedial Design Report | | | 1 st Quarter 2018 | |
| | | D1 Remedial Action Work Plan | | | 1st Quarter 2018 | |

Enforceable Timetables and Deadlines; Planning Dates with Long-Term Targets (Continued)

| Operable Unit | Subproject | Deliverable | Enforceable timetable and deadlines ¹ | | Planning dates with long-term targets for decision documents ² | Comments |
|---------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|----------|---|--|
| | | | FY 2014–FY 2016 | Out-year | | |
| BGOU | SWMU 4 Remedial Action | D1 Remedial Action Completion Report | | | 4 th Quarter 2022 | D1 Remedial Action Completion Report is submitted 150 days after Remedial Action is completed. |
| | SWMUs 9, 10, and 145 Remedial Action | Remedial Investigation Work Plan Addendum | | | 2 nd Quarter 2026 | |
| | | Remedial Investigation Report Addendum | | | 3 rd Quarter 2027 | |
| | | D1 Feasibility Study | | | 2 nd Quarter 2028 | D1 Feasibility Study is submitted 60 days after EPA and KY approve the RI Report. ⁴ |
| | | D1 Proposed Plan | | | 4 th Quarter 2028 | D1 Proposed Plan is submitted 45 days after EPA and KY approval of the Feasibility Study. ⁴ |
| | | D1 ROD | | | 1 st Quarter 2029 | D1 ROD is submitted 30 days after close of public comment period on the Proposed Plan (FFA Section XIV.D). |
| | | D1 Remedial Design Work Plan | | | 2 nd Quarter 2029 | |
| | | D1 Remedial Design Report | | | 2 nd Quarter 2030 | |
| | | D1 Remedial Action Work Plan | | | 2 nd Quarter 2030 | |
| | | D1 Remedial Action Completion Report | | | 4 th Quarter 2031 | D1 Remedial Action Completion Report is submitted 150 days after Remedial Action is completed. |
| BGOU | BGOU | D1 Remedial Action Completion Report | | 9/30/31 | | D1 Remedial Action Completion Report is submitted 150 days after Remedial Action is completed. |
| NA | NA | D1 Five-Year Review | | | 4 th Quarter 2018 | This is a statutorily required document. |

Enforceable Timetables and Deadlines; Planning Dates with Long-Term Targets (Continued)

¹ Enforceable Timetables and Deadlines are based on the planning scope assumptions contained in Appendix 3 and funding levels. While the FFA parties find these assumptions to be reasonable for bounding cost and schedule forecasts based on existing information, approval of the assumptions does not constitute decision making for the response actions described in this table.

² Not enforceable dates. Used for planning purposes only. The parties further agree that DOE can adjust the planning dates as part of the annual SMP update without having to submit an official request or justify “good cause” in accordance with Section XXIX of the FFA.

³ This date formerly has been associated with the out-year completion date for the GWOU. A new out-year completion date for the Dissolved-Phase Plume that replaces the Southwest Plumes Sources date has been established and represents the final completion date for the GWOU for pre-GDP groundwater scope.

⁴ Assumes that final approval is received on the D2 document.

⁵ The D1 Feasibility Study for Soils OU Remedial Action 1 will evaluate volatile organic compound contamination at SWMU 1 that did not undergo active treatment (e.g., deep soil mixing) associated with Southwest Plume.

⁶ The FFA parties agree to survey 15 locations with highest counts per minute and 10 locations with the greatest delta in counts per minute per Kentucky’s proposal for the Soils OU Sitewide sampling dated May 25, 2012. The locations will be determined by the FFA parties prior to implementation. Upon completion of the survey, the surveys will be mapped, and an X-ray fluorescence sample for total uranium will be collected from the highest survey reading at each of the 25 locations.

BGOU = Burial Grounds Operable Unit

DOE = U.S. Department of Energy

D&D = decontamination and decommissioning

EPA = U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

FFA = Federal Facility Agreement

FS = feasibility study

FY = fiscal year

GDP = gaseous diffusion plant

GWOU = Groundwater Operable Unit

NA = not applicable

OU = operable unit

PGDP = Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant

RI = remedial investigation

ROD = record of decision

SMP = Site Management Plan

SWOU = Surface Water Operable Unit

SWMU = solid waste management unit

TBD = to be determined

TCE = trichloroethene

APPENDIX 6
DATA MANAGEMENT PLAN

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

DATE OF ISSUE: October 2, 1998

**DOE/OR/07-1595&D2
Primary Document**


**DATA AND DOCUMENTS MANAGEMENT AND
QUALITY ASSURANCE PLAN FOR
PADUCAH ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT
AND ENRICHMENT FACILITIES**


**J. R. Blewett
T. L. Brindley
L. K. Garner
J. L. White**


**Prepared by
Environmental Management and Enrichment Facilities
Kevil, Kentucky 42053
Managed by
BECHTEL JACOBS COMPANY LLC
for the
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
Under Contract No. DE-AC05-98OR22700**


Blank Page


**DATA AND DOCUMENTS MANAGEMENT
AND QUALITY ASSURANCE PLAN APPROVALS**

Approved by:  Date: 10/5/98
D. L. Chumbler
Bechtel Jacobs Company LLC
Quality Manager

Approved by:  Date: 10/5/98
R. L. Foster
Bechtel Jacobs Company LLC
Information Technology and Sample Management

Approved by:  Date: 10/5/98
R. E. Scott
Bechtel Jacobs Company LLC
Engineering and Technical Services

Approved by:  Date: 10/5/98
J. C. Massey
Bechtel Jacobs Company LLC
Paducah Manager of Projects

Approved by:  Date: 10-5-98
J. C. Hodges
DOE FFA Project Manager

Blank Page

PREFACE

This plan is generated to define the roles, responsibilities, and activities affecting data management, document management, and quality for data collection between the Department of Energy (DOE) and the regulatory agencies that govern the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant (PGDP) Federal Facility Agreement (FFA). Pursuant to the FFA section titled "Quality Assurance/Sampling Availability/Data Management," all quality-assured data or summaries of all quality-assured data from all samples collected, analyzed, and reported shall be available no later than 30 days after the analyses have been received and validated. Further, DOE shall maintain one consolidated database for the Site which includes all data/studies generated pursuant to this agreement. To fulfill this requirement, Paducah DOE has an integrated "data system" made up of many databases managed by one organization. Electronic formats and/or hard copies of all data/studies and related documents are made available upon request.

In addition to the requirements in the Federal Facility Agreement (FFA), other agreements require a consolidated data management process:

- 1) Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendment Permit states:

Condition I.D.9.d.—Monitoring and Records

"All environmental monitoring data collected pursuant to Part II of this Permit shall be submitted to the Regional Administrator in a consistent format, with consistent parameters and concentration units. This will facilitate collection and recording of such data in a computer data file. Within one (1) year from the effective date of the Permit, this monitoring data shall also be routinely submitted electronically and on computer disc..."

Condition II.E.3.b.—Interim Measures (IM) Reports

"...The IM Report shall contain the following information at a minimum, (e) copies of all relevant laboratory/monitoring data, etc., in accordance with Condition I.D.9."

- 2) Kentucky Division of Waste Management Hazardous and Solid Waste Permit states:

Condition III.E.9.a.—Monitoring and Records

"...All environmental and monitoring data collected pursuant to Part II.J and Part IV of the Permit shall be submitted to the Division, both in written and electronic format. Sampling data shall be submitted in accordance with the schedules described in this Permit."

- 3) Agreement in Principle states:

"...DOE will promptly furnish to Kentucky environmental monitoring data in electronic format, if available, or paper copies. DOE data reports will be released to Kentucky within 90 days after receipt from the laboratory and completion of the appropriate level of review and quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) validation..."

Blank Page

CONTENTS

| | <u>Page</u> |
|--|-------------|
| PREFACE..... | v |
| ACRONYMS | xi |
| DEFINITIONS | xiii |
| 1. INTRODUCTION..... | 1 |
| 1.1 PURPOSE..... | 1 |
| 1.2 APPLICABILITY..... | 1 |
| 2. PROGRAM ORGANIZATION, RESPONSIBILITY, AND TRAINING..... | 1 |
| 2.1 ORGANIZATION | 1 |
| 2.2 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES..... | 2 |
| 2.2.1 Stakeholders..... | 2 |
| 2.2.2 DOE Performance Management Contractor..... | 2 |
| 2.3 TRAINING | 5 |
| 3. QA OBJECTIVES FOR MEASUREMENT DATA..... | 5 |
| 3.1 DQOs | 6 |
| 3.2 ANALYTICAL DATA CATEGORIES..... | 6 |
| 4. APPLICABLE PROTOCOLS AND DOCUMENTS | 7 |
| 5. SAMPLE CUSTODY..... | 7 |
| 6. CALIBRATION PROTOCOLS AND FREQUENCY | 7 |
| 6.1 FIELD EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION PROTOCOLS AND FREQUENCIES | 7 |
| 6.2 LABORATORY CALIBRATION PROTOCOLS AND FREQUENCIES..... | 7 |
| 7. ANALYTICAL PROTOCOLS..... | 8 |
| 8. DETAILS OF DATA AND DOCUMENT FLOW | 8 |
| 8.1 INTEGRATED DATA SYSTEM..... | 8 |
| 8.2 DATA PLANNING..... | 11 |
| 8.2.1 Initiation of Data Collection | 11 |
| 8.2.2 Historical Data Gathering..... | 11 |
| 8.2.3 Data Quality Criteria | 12 |

CONTENTS (Continued)

| | | |
|-------|---|----|
| 8.3 | DATA COLLECTION | 12 |
| 8.3.1 | Station Information | 12 |
| 8.3.2 | Lithologic Information | 12 |
| 8.3.3 | Sample Information..... | 12 |
| 8.3.4 | Field Measurements | 12 |
| 8.3.5 | Analytical Data | 13 |
| 8.3.6 | Monitoring Structure Information | 13 |
| 8.3.7 | GIS Information | 13 |
| 8.4 | DATA REVIEW | 13 |
| 8.4.1 | Laboratory Contractual Screening..... | 13 |
| 8.4.2 | Data Verification | 13 |
| 8.4.3 | Data Validation | 14 |
| 8.4.4 | Data Assessment | 14 |
| 8.4.5 | Report Preparation..... | 14 |
| 8.5 | DATA AND RECORDS ARCHIVAL | 14 |
| 8.5.1 | Data Archival..... | 14 |
| 8.5.2 | Records Archival | 15 |
| 9. | DOCUMENT AND DATA RELEASE AND TRANSFER | 16 |
| 9.1 | DOCUMENT RELEASE AND TRANSFER..... | 16 |
| 9.2 | ELECTRONIC DATA RELEASE AND TRANSFER..... | 16 |
| 9.2.1 | DOE Remedial Action Investigations | 16 |
| 9.2.2 | DOE-Permitted Facilities/Routine Environmental Monitoring Reports..... | 16 |
| 9.2.3 | Special Requests..... | 16 |
| 10. | INTERNAL QC CHECKS | 18 |
| 10.1 | FIELD QC SAMPLES | 18 |
| 10.2 | ANALYTICAL LABORATORY QC SAMPLES | 19 |
| 11. | AUDITS AND SURVEILLANCES | 19 |
| 11.1 | AUDITS | 19 |
| 11.2 | SURVEILLANCES..... | 19 |
| 12. | PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE..... | 20 |

CONTENTS (Continued)

| | | |
|------------|---|-----|
| 13. | SPECIFIC ROUTINE PROTOCOLS | 20 |
| | 13.1 PRECISION | 21 |
| | 13.2 ACCURACY | 21 |
| | 13.3 COMPLETENESS..... | 22 |
| 14. | CORRECTIVE ACTIONS AND NONCONFORMANCES | 22 |
| 15. | QA REPORTS TO MANAGEMENT | 22 |
| 16. | FIELD CHANGES..... | 22 |
| | REFERENCES..... | 23 |
| APPENDIX A | PROJECT-SPECIFIC INFORMATION FOR QUALITY AND DATA ELEMENTS | A-1 |
| APPENDIX B | DATA DICTIONARY AND FORMATS FOR PADUCAH OREIS TRANSMITTALS..... | B-1 |

Blank Page

ACRONYMS

| | |
|------------------|---|
| AIP | Agreement in Principle |
| AR | Administrative Record |
| ASER | Annual Site Environmental Report |
| ASTM | American Society for Testing and Materials |
| CERCLA | Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act |
| COC | chain-of-custody |
| DOE | Department of Energy |
| DMC | Document Management Center |
| DMP | Data Management Plan |
| DMS | Data Management System |
| DQO | Data Quality Objectives |
| EDD | Electronic Data Deliverable |
| EMEF | Environmental Management & Enrichment Facilities |
| EMP | Environmental Monitoring Program |
| EMP PEMS | Environmental Monitoring Program Project Environmental Measurements System |
| EMP RTL | Environmental Monitoring Program Ready-to-Load |
| EPA | Environmental Protection Agency |
| ER PEMS | Environmental Restoration Project Environmental Measurements System |
| ER RTL | Environmental Restoration Ready-to-Load |
| FFA | Federal Facility Agreement |
| GIS | Geographic Information System |
| GW PEMS | Groundwater Project Environmental Measurements System |
| GW RTL | Groundwater Ready-to-Load |
| IM | interim measures |
| NENW PEMS | North East/North West Project Environmental Measurements System |
| NENW RTL | North East/North West Ready-to-Load |
| OREIS | Oak Ridge Environmental Information System |
| PC | personal computer |
| PEMS | Project Environmental Measurements System |
| PGDP | Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant |
| QA | quality assurance |
| QAMS | Quality Assurance Management Staff |
| QC | quality control |
| RCRA | Resource, Conservation, and Recovery Act |
| SAP | Sampling and Analysis Plan |
| SMO | Sample Management Office |
| SOW | Statement of Work |
| SWMU | Solid Waste Management Unit |
| VOA | volatile organic analysis |
| VOC | volatile organic compound |
| WAG | Waste Area Grouping |
| WM PEMS | Waste Management Project Environmental Measurements System |
| WM RTL | Waste Management Ready-to-Load |

Blank Page

DEFINITIONS

Administrative Record (AR)—Official body of documents that forms the basis of the selection of a particular response action.

Chain-of-Custody (COC)—A process used to document the transfer of custody of samples from one individual to another from collection until final disposition. A sample is under custody if:

1. it is in the field personnel's possession;
2. it is in the field personnel's view after being in their physical possession;
3. it was in the field personnel's physical possession and then it was secured to prevent tampering; or
4. it is placed in a designated secure area.

Data Backup—The process by which computerized data is copied from one electronic medium to another to guard against the loss of data.

Data Entry—The manual keying of information using data entry screens for transfer into a database.

Data Qualifiers—A set of predefined alphabetic or numeric codes applied to analytical data to signify its usability. Qualifiers pertaining to data include laboratory qualifiers, verification qualifiers, validation qualifiers, and assessment qualifiers.

Data Quality Checks—A list of quality control (QC) elements associated with a data collection activity which are evaluated during data verification and/or data validation.

Data Quality Objectives (DQO)—A set of criteria established for the collection of data. The DQO process is based on the DQO process developed by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Region IV and is a planning tool based on the scientific method that clearly identifies an environmental problem; the remedial decisions to be made to address the problem; and the type, quantity, and quality of data needed to support decision making. The DQO process may be applied in modified form to any data collection activity. The DQO process balances risks with cost, in selecting the most appropriate data collection plan.

Paducah Department of Energy (DOE) Program Integrated Data System—An integrated computer system for data storage and retrieval that organizes data into tables consisting of one or more rows of information, each containing the same set of data items. Data files are cross-referenced to one another to provide flexible access so that data collection is complete, consistent, sufficiently documented, and reusable to the maximum extent possible. The Paducah DOE Program Integrated Data System is compatible with the central Oak Ridge Environmental Information System (OREIS) to comply with the Oak Ridge Federal Facilities Agreement (FFA).

Data Transfer—The exchange of data from one electronic medium to another.

Document—Writings, drawings, graphs, charts, photographs, electronic tapes, diskettes, and data compilation from which information can be obtained.

DEFINITIONS (Continued)

Document Management Center (DMC)—A location established for a targeted audience where individual documents are tracked and maintained for audit purposes. It also may be a center where collection of controlled documents is maintained. Paducah's established location is the document center at 761 Veterans Avenue, Kevil, Kentucky.

Document Management System (DMS)—A computerized system used by the DOE Program at the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant (PGDP) to facilitate the electronic handling of bibliographic, file classification, and index information.

Electronic Data Deliverable (EDD)—Data that is received in electronic format either through transfer on physical media or direct communication between computerized data management systems. EDD contents must meet defined completeness, consistency, and format requirements. These criteria are defined in the Statement of Work (SOW) for each program or project.

Electronic Media—Data storage device such as diskette, disk drive, tape, or optical disk.

Field Logbooks—The primary record for field activities. They should include a description of any modifications to the protocols outlined in the work plan, field sampling plan, or health and safety plan with justifications for such modifications. They are intended to provide sufficient data and observations to enable participants to reconstruct events that occurred. All entries should be dated and signed by the data recorder and quality assured by another individual.

Historical Data—Data which was collected and managed prior to implementation of procedure PMSA-1001, "Quality Assured Data."

Metadata—Information about measurement data that helps to define data usability and associated context.

Quality Assurance (QA) and Data Management Plan (DMP)—A document written for each task that presents in specific terms the policies, organization, objectives, functional responsibilities, and specific QA/Quality Control (QC) activities designed to achieve the data quality goals.

Quality Assurance (QA) Record—A complete document that furnishes evidence of the quality of items, activities, or credentials and has been designated as a QA record. Such records are considered to be lifetime or nonpermanent records.

Protocol—A record or document utilized to provide guidance or work direction. Some examples of protocols would be procedures, SOWs, work guides, work instructions, sampling plans, etc.

Records—Books, papers, maps, photographs, machine-readable materials, or other documentary materials, regardless of physical form or characteristics, made or received by an agency of the U.S. Government under federal law or in connection with the transaction of public business. Virtually all recorded, informational materials in the custody of the government (including information held by contractors that is considered by contract to be government information), regardless of the medium (hard copy, machine-readable, microfilm, etc.), are considered government "records."

DEFINITIONS (Continued)

Sample Delivery Group—A unit used to identify a group of samples for delivery. Each Sample Delivery Group is assigned a unique ID number.

Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP)—A plan of action developed before implementation of field activities that describes the methods and protocols for obtaining representative portions of the environment being investigated. It also describes the methods for analysis and the required parameters.

Statement of Work (SOW)—The contractual agreement between the requesting organization and the service provider. The SOW defines the scope of work, including associated QA/QC, schedules, and deliverables.

Task Files—Files maintained at the PGDP Site Office pertaining to the site mission. A Task File is required for each task and usually pertains to a single task.

Blank Page

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 PURPOSE

This plan will be used for the Paducah Department of Energy (DOE) tasks that are involved in the collection of data. Each section of the plan was written to meet the data quality requirements set forth by the Paducah DOE Program and defined in 10 CFR 830.120 and provides a description of the programmatic elements which should occur for each task. Appendix A provides additional information concerning the quality assurance (QA) and data management aspects which are specific to the task and cannot be defined at this level. Appendix A should be completed once the task has been planned or has documented the Data Quality Objectives (DQOs). This plan, along with a completed Appendix A, will serve as the "Quality Assurance and Data Management Plan" for the task, will be provided to appropriate personnel, and will be maintained as a project record.

For the purpose of this document, Appendix A is not completed but shows the information to be completed for each task involved in the collection of data. Each task will issue the task-specific "Quality Assurance and Data Management Plan" through the designated channels.

1.2 APPLICABILITY

The requirements of this plan apply to the collection and generation of data by Paducah DOE. This plan applies to screening and definitive analytical data as defined in Section 3.2, historical data, and locationally descriptive data which includes the Geographic Information System (GIS), lithology, geophysical data, etc. Implementation for tasks is based on data collection needs and final use of the data. The requirements of this plan do not apply to data collected by the Health and Safety Program or personnel and financial data.

2. PROGRAM ORGANIZATION, RESPONSIBILITY, AND TRAINING

This information describes the basic organization, responsibility, and training requirements for tasks. Specific task plans should be developed using Appendix A as a guide to define individuals and matrix responsibilities. The task will further define training needs based on activities performed in the field.

2.1 ORGANIZATION

The DOE Project Manager and the DOE Performance Management contractor establish task scope and prioritize work to ensure the Paducah DOE Program strategic plans are accomplished. Furthermore, they serve as the primary interface to ensure that task, regulatory agency, stakeholder, and other involved organizations objectives are met. They will ensure that requirements in this plan are incorporated into various protocols and other Statements of Work (SOWs). In addition, they will ensure adequate technical support is in place for the task and that QA and safety are first priorities throughout the task life cycle.

2.2 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

The functional responsibilities of task staff members shown below relate to their involvement with the data collection and the output process. This section identifies task activities with staff members performing the work. While the descriptions are identified by title, they indicate functional responsibilities that task staff perform rather than individual staff positions.

2.2.1 Stakeholders

- **DOE Project Manager**

The DOE Project Manager has direct communication with the DOE Performance Management contractor Project Manager and is responsible for task oversight, overall compliance for the task, and submitting various reports to, and interfacing with, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Commonwealth of Kentucky.

- **Commonwealth of Kentucky**

The Commonwealth of Kentucky is the state regulatory stakeholder for the site. Activities including remedial action, enrichment facilities, and waste management of the Paducah DOE Program are reviewed, commented upon, and approved by the Commonwealth of Kentucky.

- **EPA, Region IV**

EPA is the federal regulatory stakeholder for the Site. Activities, including remedial action, enrichment facilities, and waste management of the Paducah DOE Program are reviewed, commented upon, and approved by EPA.

- **Kentucky Agreement in Principle (AIP)**

The Kentucky AIP reflects the understanding and commitments between DOE and the Commonwealth of Kentucky regarding DOE's provision to provide to the Commonwealth technical and financial support for the Commonwealth's activities in environmental oversight, surveillance, remediation, and emergency-response activities. The AIP is intended to support nonregulatory activities. Its goal is to maintain an independent, impartial, and qualified assessment of the potential environmental impacts of present and future DOE activities at the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant (PGDP).

- **Federal Facility Agreement (FFA)**

The FFA reflects the understanding and commitments between DOE, EPA, and the Kentucky Division of Waste Management regarding the comprehensive remediation of PGDP. The purpose of the FFA is to provide a set of comprehensive requirements for remediation that coordinates the cleanup provisions of both Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) and Resource, Conservation, and Recovery Act (RCRA).

2.2.2 DOE Managing and Integrating Contractor

Bechtel Jacobs Company LLC as the managing and integrating contractor is responsible for ensuring the following functions are performed either by their staff or a subcontractor.

- **Data Manager**

The Data Manager is responsible for long-term electronic storage of data, loading Electronic Data Deliverables (EDDs), electronic verification of data, and ensuring compliance to policies and protocols relating to data management. The Data Manager has overall responsibility for the design, operations, and maintenance of the databases; ensures compatibility with central Oak Ridge Environmental Information System (OREIS); serves on the OREIS Steering Committee; reviews the system performance; determines the need for changes; authorizes changes; and oversees the electronic transfer of electronic data to external agencies. The Data Manager interfaces with the Sample Manager and the Project Data Coordinator to set up the Project Environmental Measurements System (PEMS) for each task. The Data Manager oversees the completion of task-specific Data Management Plans.

- **Data Requestor**

The requestor may be a task lead or his designated representative, such as a technical lead, risk assessor, waste management coordinator, compliance coordinator, or other individual who determines the need for data to support decision making. The requestor is responsible for coordinating sample collection, sample analysis, data assessment, and decision making. If the requestor is a designated representative, the task lead has ultimate responsibility.

- **Network Administrator**

The Network Administrator is responsible for implementing the system design for the Paducah DOE Program Integrated Data System platform; coordinating necessary network and personal computer (PC) maintenance; establishing user accounts to the network; and performing daily backups to system data.

- **Project Data Coordinator/Data Management Team**

The Project Data Coordinator/Data Management Team is responsible for ensuring that the requirements relating to data management are met for the task. This includes accumulation of historical data, control of data generated by field activities or as a result of lab analyses, and storage of data as part of the task. The Project Data Coordinator ensures that all data are entered into PEMS. The Project Data Coordinator works with the Data Manager and the Sample Manager to ensure consistency throughout the task data, with other task's data, and the data systems in place. The Project Data Coordinator is responsible for data entry verification; assisting with the data evaluation and review process; data updates and deletions, as authorized by the Data Manager; and performing electronic transfer of data files from electronic data laboratory deliverables to the Paducah DOE Program Integrated Data System.

- **Project Manager**

The Project Manager has direct responsibility for the overall task oversight, including budget, schedule, and milestones. This responsibility includes the management of strategic planning, safety, quality, task activities, and for the successful completion of task assignments within budget and on schedule. The Project Manager ensures that implementation of the QA and Data Management Programs is consistent with guidelines and ensures requirements are adhered to, as stated in this plan. The Project Manager reports to the Bechtel Jacobs Company Manager of Projects and interfaces with DOE and the task team.

- **Task Team**

The Task Team is made up of personnel (i.e., Project Manager, Task Manager, Task Lead, Quality Engineer, Sample Manager, Data Manager, Technical Manager, Field Team Leader, and other field personnel) responsible for a specific task. The team is responsible for the data collection planning; fieldwork; sampling and analysis; data review; and decision making for a set task.

- **Quality Engineer**

The Quality Engineer is responsible for the overall QA concerns of the data and system functions relating to a task. The Quality Engineer is involved in the planning and review of data to ensure that data quality requirements are met. The Quality Engineer is also responsible for helping prepare QA plans, work agreements, protocols, and documents to establish and implement requirements, performing assessments, providing guidance/assistance in resolving quality problems, and ensuring that corrective action is taken and appropriately documented.

- **Records Clerk**

The Records Clerk is responsible for entering records; indexing data into Data Management System (DMS) records; indexing tables; assisting with the records storage and retrieval process; and performing data updates and deletions as authorized by the Records Manager.

- **Records Manager**

The Records Manager is responsible for maintaining all pertinent and required records associated with operating the DMS and preserving the data; determining which records must be stored and the storage requirements; establishing a records classification, inventory, and indexing system; maintaining the DMS records indexing tables; implementing a records storage and retrieval system; and coordinating with the Data Manager and Sample Manager to establish pointers to data processing records and associated metadata (e.g., laboratory data packages, regulatory documents, QA requirements, and program plans).

- **Project Records Coordinator**

The Project Records Coordinator is responsible for the task records. Duties include all activities relating to identification, acquisition, classification, indexing, and storage of task records related to field activities. The task records include data documentation materials; plans and protocols; and all task file requirements. Upon completion of the task, the Project Records Coordinator transmits all task files to the Paducah Document Management Center (DMC).

- **Release Requestor**

The Release Requestor is identified as the person who requests the release of data to an external agency. This responsibility could be filled by several different roles including, but not limited to, the Task Lead or the Technical Manager.

- **Sample Manager**

The Sample Manager is responsible for working with the Task Lead to develop specific analytical requirements for the task, interfacing with the Oak Ridge Sample Management Office (SMO) for procurement of laboratory services, contracting validation services, and coordinating contractual screening. The Sample Manager works with the task team to resolve issues identified during contractual screening or electronic data review of the data with the laboratory. The Sample Manager interfaces with the Data Manager, the Project Data Coordinator, and the task team.

- **Task Lead**

The Task Lead is responsible for direct task coordination, issuing technical reports, and maintaining the task is on schedule and within the budget. The Task Lead coordinates all team personnel working on the task and communicates regularly with the Task Team personnel on the status of task budgets and schedules; assuring all protocols are followed; deliverables are met; and that any issues or concerns associated with the task are properly addressed. The Task Lead ensures that implementation of the QA and Data Management Programs is consistent with guidelines and ensures requirements are adhered to as stated in this plan. The Task Lead reports to the Task Manager and interfaces with the task team.

- **Task Manager**

The Task Manager is responsible for ensuring that the proper resources are available and that personnel are appropriately trained for the assigned task. The Task Manager ensures that all requirements and protocols for the task are followed and that they are consistent with the overall mission of the Environmental Management and Enrichment Facilities (EMEF) Program. The Task Manager also ensures that implementation of the QA and Data Management Programs is consistent with guidelines and ensures requirements are adhered to as stated in this plan. The Task Manager reports to the Project Manager and interfaces with the Task Lead.

- **Technical Manager/Subcontractor Technical Representative**

The Technical Manager/Subcontractor Technical Representative is responsible for providing technical support and guidance to the task. This includes field observations and oversight of subcontractors, generating reports/documents, and making decisions regarding technical issues (i.e., sample locations, analytical methods, etc.).

2.3 TRAINING

Personnel assigned to the task, including field personnel and subcontractors, will be trained to perform the tasks to which they are assigned. Training requirements are defined in the task-specific SOWs and plans.

3. QA OBJECTIVES FOR MEASUREMENT DATA

QA objectives, for the purposes of this plan, apply to measurement data only. Other data (such as locationally descriptive information) is discussed in Section 8.

3.1 DQOs

DQOs are statements developed by data users to specify the quality of data from field and laboratory data collection activities to support specific decisions or regulatory actions. DQOs are qualitative and quantitative specifications that are used to design a study that will limit uncertainty to an acceptable level. The DQOs describe what data is needed, why the data is needed, and how the data will be used to address the problems being investigated. DQOs also establish numeric limits to ensure that data collected is of sufficient quality and quantity for user applications.

The DQO process is a planning tool based on the scientific method that clearly identifies a problem; the decisions to be made to address the problem; and the type, quantity, and quality of data needed to support the decision making. The DQO process may be applied in modified form to any data collection activity. The DQO process balances risks with costs in selecting the most appropriate data collection plan. When applicable, various regulatory agencies (i.e., EPA, Kentucky Department for Environmental Protection, etc.) may participate in the DQO sessions.

Specific DQOs and Sampling and Analysis Plans (SAPs) for tasks are developed per PMSA-1001 and will be documented in Appendix A.

3.2 ANALYTICAL DATA CATEGORIES

Two descriptive data categories have been specified by EPA in the *Data Quality Objectives Process for Superfund, Interim Final Guidance*, EPA/540/G-93/071 (EPA, 1993). These two data categories supersede the five quality control (QC) levels (Levels I, II, III, IV, and V). The two new data categories are associated with specific QA/QC elements and may be generated using a wide range of analytical methods. The type of data generated will be based on the qualitative and quantitative DQOs. The two data categories are:

Screening data—Measurements generated through the use of field- or fixed-laboratory methods in which the level of certainty in the data cannot be determined given physical evidence documenting the acquisition and analysis of the sample. Analytical methods producing field measurements or screening data include those that indicate the presence or absence of an analyte, or class of analytes, or provide a semiquantitative (estimated) result. Field measurement and other screening data include, but are not limited to, Draeger tubes; organic vapor analyses; soil gas surveys; and radiation and contamination monitoring. Screening data results must be confirmed by collecting a specified percentage of definitive data. Screening data should be used conservatively and not rule out the presence of a contaminant without some percentage of the data being confirmed by definitive data.

Definitive data—Analytical measurements for which the presence and corresponding concentration of the target analyte(s) can be determined with a known degree of certainty. The measurements are supported with appropriate physical evidence documenting the acquisition and analysis. Definitive data, in electronic form, must be supported with retrievable, but not necessarily retrieved, physical evidence in the laboratory. This evidence can include analytical results, QA/QC results, chains-of-custody (COCs), logbooks, standards information, etc.

Definitive data, or a combination of screening data, definitive confirmation, and definitive data, will be collected when the task is implemented. A minimum of ten percent of the screening samples will also be analyzed by a fixed-base laboratory using EPA SW-846 Methods (1986) to provide the required definitive data. When not available, other nationally recognized methods such as those of the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM), DOE, and EPA, will be used.

Applicable task documents summarize the data uses, data users, data categories, and data deliverable QC levels for each of the media and sample types that will be collected during the investigation.

4. APPLICABLE PROTOCOLS AND DOCUMENTS

Company protocols, sampling methods, administrative procedures, etc., utilize hierarchy documents that relate to data quality. Hierarchy documents such as EPA Quality Assurance Management Staff (QAMS) 005/80, *Interim Guidelines and Specifications for Preparing Quality Assurance Project Plans*, *EPA Region 4 Environmental Investigations Standard Operating Procedures and Quality Assurance Manual*, and *Environmental Data Management Implementation Handbook for the Environmental Restoration Program (ES/ER/TM-88/R1)* are used as flow-down documents in writing company protocols. Deviations are documented as described in Section 16. Protocols and documents applicable to the processes described will be defined in completion of Appendix A.

5. SAMPLE CUSTODY

COC is a process used to document the transfer of custody of samples from one individual to another from sample collection until final disposition. COC records are handled in accordance with applicable protocols. COC requires signature transfer of samples from sampling personnel to the sample custodians, who then transfer samples to the appropriate analytical laboratory personnel. The transfer of samples between individuals in the same work group located in the same general location (sampling or analytical) does not require a signature transfer since the integrity of the sample is maintained at all times. If the individuals are not in the same general location, a COC is required. This is accomplished by the samples being locked in a refrigerator when laboratory personnel are not available. Sample residuals are disposed of only after notification by the Task Lead that they no longer need archiving or once holding times have been exceeded. Sample custody protocols are identified in Appendix A.

6. CALIBRATION PROTOCOLS AND FREQUENCY

6.1 FIELD EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION PROTOCOLS AND FREQUENCIES

The calibration of field instruments will be checked in the field in accordance with manufacturer's specifications. Field calibration records will be documented in logbooks and/or on field data sheets. Calibration frequencies for field instruments will be defined in Appendix A.

6.2 LABORATORY CALIBRATION PROTOCOLS AND FREQUENCIES

The laboratory(ies) will use written, standard protocols for equipment calibration and frequency. These protocols are based on EPA guidance or manufacturer's recommendations and are given in the EPA-approved analytical methods. Supplemental calibration details, such as documentation and reporting requirements, are given in the laboratory QA Plan. The laboratory QA Plan will be reviewed and approved as part of the contract review process. When available, standards used for calibration will

be traceable by the National Institute of Standards and Technology. Corrective action protocols for malfunctioning equipment will be addressed in the laboratory QA Plan. Calibration records, in accordance with the laboratory QA Plan, will be maintained for each piece of measuring and test equipment and each piece of reference equipment. The records will indicate that established calibration protocols have been followed. Records of equipment use will be kept in the laboratory files.

7. ANALYTICAL PROTOCOLS

When available and appropriate for the sample matrix, SW-846 Methods will be used. When SW-846 Methods are not available or lower detection limits that are required cannot be achieved by SW-846 Methods, other nationally-recognized methods such as those of ASTM, DOE, and EPA will be used. Analytical methods, detection limits, sample preservation, holding times, and container requirements for field measurements and analytical parameters are defined during the DQO process and are incorporated in the analytical SOW for the task and applicable protocols and will be defined in Appendix A.

8. DETAILS OF DATA AND DOCUMENT FLOW

The components of data management include planning, collection, review, archival, and transmittal. Task activities follow identical paths to meet data management requirements. A flow chart (Figure 1) and narrative (Sections 8 and 9) are provided for each component of data and document flow. The Paducah DOE Program Integrated Data System is discussed first. The data system is core to each of the data management components.

8.1 INTEGRATED DATA SYSTEM

The Paducah DOE Program Integrated Data System provides a centralized system for management and storage of environmental information while allowing easy, yet controlled, access. The basis for the Paducah DOE Program Integrated Data System is to establish and maintain a program to provide the most efficient system of data collection, analysis, storage, and retrieval. DOE, as specified in the FFA, is to maintain one consolidated database for the Paducah Site. All data collected under this agreement (the FFA) is to be routinely submitted electronically in a consistent format to the stakeholders (see Section 9.2 and Appendix B). In addition, under the Kentucky AIP, AIP personnel require access to the electronic data that is maintained by the Paducah facility and its contractors. Consequently, the Paducah DOE Program Integrated Data System meets the regulatory requirements and provides Paducah EMEF with a platform to manage its data.

The Paducah DOE Program Integrated Data System is composed of integrated hardware and software to support the collection, management, analysis, and presentation of data associated with environmental restoration/remedial action, compliance, and monitoring activities at PGDP. All environmental measurements, analyses, and locationally descriptive information (e.g., GIS, lithology, and monitoring structure information), as applicable per this plan, is included. In addition, an extensive collection of descriptive and reference information about environmental tasks and permits is stored. A flow diagram for the Paducah DOE Program Integrated Data System is shown in Figure 2.





Figure 1. Detail of Data and Data Flow.



10

Figure 2. Paducah DOE Integrated Data System.

As part of the Paducah DOE Program Integrated Data System, each project utilizes a PEMS for sample scheduling, collection, and tracking each sample and associated data from point of collection through final data reporting. Each PEMS is established on a project-specific basis. PEMS tracking includes field forms, COCs, hard copy data packages, and EDDs. Project data is entered as the project progresses. All field measurement data, analytical data, lithologic descriptions, monitoring structure information, sample stations, and corresponding coordinates (as appropriate) are entered into PEMS.

Upon completion of the project, or on a routine basis, data from each PEMS is reviewed as described in Section 8.4 and transferred to Paducah OREIS for permanent retention. All final data reporting is reported from Paducah OREIS. Additionally, PEMS data is archived on a specified frequency to ensure data traceability.

The Paducah DOE Program Integrated Data System is accessed by a computer network. The system is designed to allow the electronic transfer of information between all branches of EMEF. A central file server is used to maintain the software and database applications. This server may be accessed from several PC workstations within the computer network.

8.2 DATA PLANNING

8.2.1 Initiation of Data Collection

The need for data collection is determined by the Task Lead and Project Manager to satisfy applicable regulatory requirements and/or DOE Orders. The Task Lead identifies the need for collection of data to support the task and is responsible for the development of applicable documents that outline the specific objectives of the data collection activity.

8.2.2 Historical Data Gathering

A substantial effort should be made by the data requestor (i.e., project manager/task lead) to acquire and analyze all historical data and documents relevant to the task (i.e., in numeric, spatial, attribute, and textual form) prior to the DQO process and/or data generation. For example, these documents and data might include prior work done for preliminary assessments, site characterization tasks, remedial investigations, annual monitoring reports, or data summaries provided by previous analysts. In addition, information specialists who would know of relevant documents, GIS information, and data sets should be consulted to acquire a comprehensive task background. In many cases, descriptive and qualitative information about the data (e.g., metadata) may be required. This is often the case with electronic files that may be received without the basic information provided through proper documentation. Some research may be required to prepare these metadata statements which are essential to the determination of data quality and usability.

If the data is in electronic form, the usable data and metadata should be entered into the Paducah DOE Program Integrated Data System for inclusion into Paducah OREIS. If the data is in document form, the usable data and metadata should be extracted and key-entered into the Paducah DOE Program Integrated Data System. If GIS information is required, themes/coverages should be updated as necessary.

8.2.3 Data Quality Criteria

With the usable historical data now in the Paducah DOE Program Integrated Data System, the data, along with the documents and metadata, can be retrieved, analyzed (both statistically and spatially), modeled, and used in support of DQOs for the task. This data, along with elements from the DQO process such as, contaminants of concern, QA/QC requirements, "Identification of Project Data Quality Checks" checklist, data review options, and the sampling design is used to generate applicable plans.

Field SOWs, sampling plans, and analytical SOWs are developed in support of field preparation. A field SOW describes the field activities to be undertaken and subsequent work to be performed. A sampling plan may be used to further expand on details of field activities. An analytical SOW is prepared which includes analytical parameters, methods, and detection limits. A validation SOW is also prepared when validation services are required to ensure the analytical laboratory's performance is acceptable.

Information from each of the SOWs and sampling plans is used to initiate sampling logbooks, labels, and other required field documentation. Documentation generated by the data collection activity shall be forwarded electronically and/or in hard copy to the Task Lead and the DMC to be indexed and filed as specified per the SOW.

8.3 DATA COLLECTION

Data collection information is recorded and maintained for all data collection activities. This information includes station information, lithologic information, sample information, field measurements, analytical data, monitoring structure information, and GIS information and is explained below.

8.3.1 Station Information

Station information is data describing the location from which a sample is taken. Station information includes plant coordinates (surveyed or estimated, as appropriate), station description, and station type. This information is input directly into PEMS. Methods for determining coordinates and relevant information necessary to determine and document accuracy should be recorded.

8.3.2 Lithologic Information

Lithologic information is data describing the lithology of a borehole. This information is input directly into PEMS.

8.3.3 Sample Information

Sample information is environmental data describing the sampling event and consists of the following: station, date collected, time collected, and other sampling conditions collected for every sampling event. This information is recorded in logbooks and may be included on the COC or sample labels. This information is input directly into PEMS.

8.3.4 Field Measurements

Field measurements are measurements of a parameter without physical collection of a sample which are collected real-time in the field. Field measurements may include water level measurements, pH, conductivity, flow rates, temperature, and dissolved oxygen. Field measurements are taken and recorded on appropriate field forms or in logbooks, and input into PEMS.

8.3.5 Analytical Data

The Sample Manager tracks progress of analytical samples as fieldwork continues. COCs are reviewed and lab receipt of samples is verified. Once samples have entered the laboratory, the laboratory is responsible for sample analysis, data reduction, and data reporting. The analytical data will be checked for completeness and reasonableness. A system is set up within the Paducah DOE Program Integrated Data System to log shipment of samples and receipt of data packages.

All data packages received from the fixed-base and screening/field laboratories are tracked, reviewed, and maintained in a secure environment. The primary individual responsible for these tasks is the Sample Manager. The following information is tracked: sample delivery group number, date received, number of samples, sample analyses, receipt of EDD (if applicable), and comments. The Sample Manager compares the contents of the data package with the COC form and identifies discrepancies. Discrepancies are immediately reported to the laboratory and the data validators. All data packages are forwarded to the Bechtel Jacobs Company EMEF DMC for permanent storage.

8.3.6 Monitoring Structure Information

Monitoring structure information is data describing the monitoring wells and boreholes installed during the combined tasks. Information includes well screen depth; borehole and well diameter; screened aquifer; and datum information. This information is input directly into PEMS.

8.3.7 GIS Information

GIS information is metadata that is visually descriptive of the area around the location of a project. Information may include maps of roads, streams, underground utilities, etc. Projects creating new GIS information or causing required updates to existing GIS information supply the information to the Paducah DOE Program Integrated Data System.

8.4 DATA REVIEW

8.4.1 Laboratory Contractual Screening

Laboratory contractual screening is the process of evaluating a set of data against the requirements specified in the analytical SOW to ensure that all requested information is received. The contractual screening includes, but is not limited to, the COC, number of samples, analytes requested, total number of analyses, methods used, QC samples analyzed, EDDs, units, holding times, and reporting limits achieved.

The Sample Manager conducts the screening upon receipt of data from the analytical laboratory. To the extent possible, the contractual screening should be done electronically. The Sample Manager identifies and documents any exceptions to the SOW on a Laboratory Deliverable Contractual Screening Checklist.

8.4.2 Data Verification

Data verification is the process for comparing a data set against a set standard or contractual requirement. Verification may be performed electronically, manually, or by a combination of both. Data verification includes contractual screening and can include other data quality checks established by the task team. Applicable task plans define the specific verification to be performed. Data is flagged as necessary.

Specific documentation associated with data verification is developed per PMSA-1001, Appendix G, entitled, "Identification of Project Data Quality Checks," and will be provided in Appendix A.

8.4.3 Data Validation

Data validation is the process for evaluating the laboratory adherence to analytical-method requirements. This is performed by a qualified individual for a data set, independent from sampling, laboratory, project management, or other decision-making personnel for the task. Data validation is performed according to PMSA-1001 and is coordinated by the Sample Manager. Validation qualifiers are stored in the Paducah DOE Program Integrated Data System. Documentation associated with data validation (the validation SOW, data validation reports, and exception reports, if applicable) is filed in the DMC. Specific documentation associated with data validation is identified in Appendix A.

8.4.4 Data Assessment

Data assessment is the process for assuring that DQOs are met, and that the type, quality, and quantity of data are appropriate for their intended use. It allows for the determination that a decision (or estimate) can be made with the desired level of confidence given the quality of the data set. Data assessment follows data verification and data validation and must be performed on 100 percent to ensure data is usable.

The data assessment is conducted by a designated task team member in conjunction with other task team members according to PMSA-1001. Assessment qualifiers are stored in the Paducah DOE Program Integrated Data System. Data is made available for reporting upon completion of the data assessment and associated documentation (Data Assessment Review Checklist) is filed with the task files.

8.4.5 Report Preparation

Personnel will utilize the official Paducah OREIS data tables for all official data reporting. Prior to the release of any data, the "Data Release" form shall be completed according to PMSA-1001, Appendix I. Release of all data shall be approved by DOE and the Managing and Integrating Contractor.

8.5 DATA AND RECORDS ARCHIVAL

8.5.1 Data Archival

Data archival refers to the long-term storage of electronic data generated by a task in the Paducah DOE Program Integrated Data System. Long-term storage in a central repository assures maximum accessibility by the environmental engineering community. To ensure its future usability, sufficient documentation, including the associated metadata, must accompany archived data to describe the source, contents, and structure of the data. Paducah OREIS is the database that stores archived data for future use. In addition, the Paducah PEMS used for the task is archived both intact and as exported ASCII text with sufficient documentation to recreate task data. The archive of Paducah PEMS, as well as the back-ups for Paducah OREIS, are stored in the DMC.

8.5.2 Records Archival

The DMC is a repository for all EMEF documents and data. Each EMEF task transmits a copy of all task documentation to be filed in the DMC as the task file. This information is arranged by a file classification scheme and is filed on shelves in color-coded folders. The documents are shelved in mobile file cabinets which are located inside a two-hour-rated firewall vault. The vault is protected by a wet-pipe sprinkler system and intrusion alarm. The DMC staff utilizes the DMS, a database management system designed for management and retrieval of documents, to perform searches. DMS records contain bibliographic information (title; author; issue date; document type and number; etc.), file classification information (document location), and index information (subject keywords, document status, facility name/waste area grouping [WAG]/solid waste management unit [SWMU] number, cleared for public use flag, and administrative record [AR] codes).

By utilizing the DMC, all documents relevant to EMEF work will be located in a central repository and will be available to the EMEF organization as well as other identified users. The DMC will also provide controlled access to these documents.

Information that may be found in a task file include hard copies of all original field and analytical results; data reduction and summarization programs; data packages; logbooks; associated QA/QC forms; correspondence; contracts; meeting minutes; training records; plans; and reports. All contents of a task file are classified, indexed, and stored into appropriate file groups and record series within the task file.

Satellite document centers are sometimes established with routine transfer frequencies to the PGDP DMC. Task records are maintained by the Task Records Coordinator as record copy as specified in task data and document management plans and as required by protocol. Logbooks and field documentation are copied weekly unless maintained as record copies, which are kept in one-hour-rated, fire-resistant, locked file cabinets overnight. If the activities during logbook use could potentially damage the logbook or result in loss, then weekly copies are required. If copies are made, they are maintained separate from the original logbook and are forwarded to the task files and maintained as record copy until the originals are complete. At that time, the originals replace the copies as record copy. The record copy is transferred to the Paducah EMEF DMC. Upon completion of the task, all original logbooks (field and analytical), field documentation, and project deliverables will be forwarded to the DMC by the task manager or designee.

Documents will be selected for the AR from the task file. The AR files are duplicated and made available to the public at the Environmental Information Center. Documentation associated with data and records archival includes archive checklists; indexed and filed copies of all relevant hard copies; and lists of all items recommended for the AR file.

9. DOCUMENT AND DATA RELEASE AND TRANSFER

9.1 DOCUMENT RELEASE AND TRANSFER

A standard distribution list is maintained for regulatory documents submitted according to the FFA. Changes to this distribution list should be submitted through the DOE Site Office. Other documents generated for the EMEF Program may be specially requested through the DOE Site Office or their designee. Requested documents may be historical or newly generated and will be transmitted within a reasonable time frame.

9.2 ELECTRONIC DATA RELEASE AND TRANSFER

Once data has undergone verification, validation, and data assessment, it may be released to external agencies. Routine data or data specially requested by external agencies is downloaded into a standard format (see Appendix B) and transmitted either electronically or by physical transfer on electronic media (diskettes, etc.). If data is transmitted electronically, data files will be placed on an externally-accessible file server that is password protected. The external agency has the responsibility to protect the data that has been provided. Passwords shall not be shared with anyone outside the external agency. External agencies will be notified of data availability via electronic mail.

The Task Lead/Release Requestor will complete the "Paducah EMEF Data Release to External Agencies" form and obtain all appropriate signatures. Field QC data is not routinely transmitted with the data; however, this information is available upon request. Electronic data formats will contain a "Read Me" file that will identify the electronic data package and the number of files associated with the package. The "Read Me" file will also indicate the appropriate data qualifiers along with their associated definitions and the appropriate data quality level. Hard copy data formats will contain a cover letter that will identify the contents of the data package. The cover letter will also indicate the appropriate data qualifiers along with their associated definitions and the appropriate data quality level.

9.2.1 DOE Remedial Action Investigations

DOE will provide electronically-transmitted data concurrent with the D1 Report/Characterization Report or when the Project Completion Report is issued (if formal D1 is not required) for remedial action investigations.

9.2.2 DOE-Permitted Facilities/Routine Environmental Monitoring Reports

Permitted and routine sampling is outlined in Table 8.1. Additionally, Table 8.1 includes reporting and transfer frequencies. DOE will provide electronic-transmitted data per the agreed schedule in this document.

9.2.3 Special Requests

Data will be transmitted routinely as specified in Sections 9.2.1 and 9.2.2. Any additional data generated from sampling activities that are available electronically may be transmitted upon receipt of a special request correspondence. Special requests shall be submitted through the DOE Site Office, or their designee, specifying the sampling event information required.

Table 8.1. Regulatory and routine sampling.

| PROGRAM | FREQUENCIES/SCHEDULE | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| | SAMPLING | REPORTING | TRANSFER |
| Permit-Associated Sampling | | | |
| Kentucky Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit DOE Outfalls | Monthly and Quarterly | Monthly 28 th of each month | Monthly 28 th of each month |
| Toxicity Monitoring | Quarterly | Quarterly Publication of the ASER | Quarterly Concurrent with ASER |
| Bioaccumulation Study | Annually | Annually Publication of the ASER | Annually Concurrent with ASER |
| Fish Community | Semiannually | Annually Publication of the ASER | Annually Concurrent with ASER |
| C-746-K Surface Water | Quarterly | Semiannually June 30, December 30 | Semiannually June 30, December 30 |
| C-746-S&T Surface Water | Quarterly | Quarterly January 15, April 15, July 15, October 15 | Quarterly January 15, April 15, July 15, October 15 |
| C-746-U Surface Water | Quarterly | Quarterly January 15, April 15, July 15, October 15 | Quarterly January 15, April 15, July 15, October 15 |
| C-746-K Groundwater | Quarterly | Semiannually June 30, December 30 | Semiannually June 30, December 30 |
| C-404 Landfill Groundwater | Quarterly | Semiannually May 30, November 30 | Semiannually May 30, November 30 |
| C-746-S&T Landfill Groundwater | Quarterly | Quarterly February 30, May 30, August 30, November 30 | Quarterly February 30, May 30, August 30, November 30 |
| C-746-U Groundwater Monitoring | Quarterly | Quarterly February 30, May 30, August 30, November 30 | Quarterly February 30, May 30, August 30, November 30 |
| Environmental Monitoring Programs (EMP) | | | |
| EMP Surface Water Sampling | Bimonthly | Annually Publication of Annual Site Environmental Report (ASER) | Annually Concurrent with ASER |
| EMP Annual Sediment Sampling | Annually | Annually Publication of ASER | Annually Concurrent with ASER |
| EMP Annual Deer Sampling | Annually | Annually Publication of ASER | Annually November |
| Plume Groundwater Sampling | Monthly and Quarterly | Quarterly January 30, April 30, July 30, October 30 | Quarterly January 30, April 30, July 30, October 30 |
| Residential Groundwater Sampling | Monthly, Quarterly, and Annually | Annually Publication of ASER | Semiannually April and October |
| Surveillance Groundwater Sampling | Monthly, Quarterly, and Annually | Annually Publication of ASER | Semiannually January and July |

| PROGRAM | FREQUENCIES/SCHEDULE | | |
|---|-------------------------|--|---|
| | SAMPLING | REPORTING | TRANSFER |
| Surveillance & Maintenance or Operation & Maintenance Activities | | | |
| C-404 Leachate | Per Permit As needed | Per Permit January 30, April 30, July 30, October 15 | Annually * October 15 |
| C-746-S&T Leachate | Per Permit As needed | Quarterly per permit | Quarterly per permit |
| C-746- U Leachate | Per Permit As needed | Quarterly per permit | Quarterly per permit |
| Northwest Plume/Northeast Plume | Daily | Quarterly and Annually January 30, April 30, July 30, October 30 | Quarterly January 30, April 30, July 30, October 30 |

* If leachate samples were collected.

10. INTERNAL QC CHECKS

10.1 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Standard operating protocols are used for all routine sampling operations. Field QC sampling will be conducted to check sampling and analytical accuracy and precision for both laboratory and field analyses of the original samples. All QC samples will be handled, shipped, and analyzed as stated in Sections 5 and 7. Field QC samples will have sample numbers which are unique and which identify them as QC samples.

A **filter blank** is a sample of ASTM Type II water passed through, or over, a filter before any samples are filtered. Filter blanks are used as a measure of filter contamination. Samples are analyzed for the same parameters as the filtered sample. Filter blanks can be collected at a rate of one per lot number.

Field blanks serve as a check on environmental contamination at the sample site. ASTM Type II water is transported to the site, opened in the field, transferred into each type of sample bottle, and returned to the laboratory for analysis of all parameters associated with that sampling event. It is also acceptable for field blanks to be filled in the lab, transported to the field, and then opened. Field blanks may be used as a reagent blank as needed. It is recommended that field blanks be collected at a rate of 1:20.

Equipment blanks (may also be referred to as equipment rinseates) are samples of ASTM Type II water passed through decontaminated sampling equipment. Equipment blanks are used as a measure of decontamination-process-effectiveness and are analyzed for the same parameters as the sample collected with the equipment. Equipment blanks may also be used as a reagent blank as needed. Equipment blanks are required only when nondisposable equipment is being used. It is recommended that equipment blanks be collected at a rate of 1:20.

A **trip blank** is a sample used to detect contamination by volatile organic compounds (VOCs) during sample shipping and handling. Trip blanks are 40 mL volatile organic analysis (VOA) vials of ASTM Type II water that are filled in the laboratory, transported to the sampling site, and returned to the laboratory with VOA samples. Trip blanks are not opened in the field. One trip blank is to accompany each cooler containing VOA samples. Each trip blank is to be stored at the laboratory with associated samples, and analyzed with those samples. Trip blanks are only analyzed for VOCs.

Duplicates are two separate samples taken from the same source during the same sampling event and are analyzed for the same parameters. Data generated by duplicate samples includes sampling and analytical variability (precision). It is recommended that duplicates be collected at a rate of 1:20.

10.2 ANALYTICAL LABORATORY QC SAMPLES

Fixed-based analytical laboratories that provide services will have an approved QA plan that describes the laboratory QC sample program and the laboratory control sample program. The analytical laboratory has an established internal QC program that is managed by the laboratory supervisors. Analytical laboratory QC samples will be analyzed as required by the analytical method for the parameters of interest and the results will be included in the analytical report. Blind samples are samples in which the laboratory has no information on the sample location and, subsequently, would have no indication of the possible analytical results. These samples will be analyzed for the parameters of interest and the results will be included in the analytical report. Acceptable completion of the blind samples provides an indication of the laboratory's performance. DOE laboratories participating in the blind sample program will follow blind submittal frequencies determined by the SMO.

11. AUDITS AND SURVEILLANCES

11.1 AUDITS

Audits are qualitative reviews of task activity to check that the overall QA program is functioning. Audits should be conducted early in the task so that problems can be corrected quickly. The audit involves the review of all available and relevant task and contract documents and includes an evaluation of QC measures for office and field. Audits will be performed as requested by management.

11.2 SURVEILLANCES

Surveillances follow the same general format as an audit but are less detailed and require a less formal report. A surveillance is designed to give task staff rapid feedback concerning QA compliance and to facilitate corrective action. Surveillances will be performed as requested by management.

12. PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE

Equipment is an inclusive term for tools, gauges, instruments, and other items. The equipment discussed in this section requires that specific preventive maintenance is serviced as specified by the manufacturer's recommended schedule. All services are documented and performed by qualified and trained individuals. Out-of-service equipment is controlled to prevent inadvertent use and its maintenance is recorded. A list is maintained of the critical, spare parts that should be stocked to minimize equipment downtime. Specific field equipment preventive-maintenance practices, frequencies, and spare parts are described in the factory manual for each instrument.

Preventive-maintenance protocols for laboratory equipment and instruments are provided in laboratory QA plans. All maintenance activities will be recorded in maintenance logs. Laboratories will be required to maintain an adequate inventory of spare parts and consumables to prevent downtime as a result of minor problems.

13. SPECIFIC ROUTINE PROTOCOLS

The precision, accuracy, and completeness parameters are quantitative tools by which data sets can be evaluated. These parameters can help ensure that DQOs are met and are defined as follows:

- **Precision**—A quantitative measurement of the variability of a group of measurements as compared to their average. Usually expressed as a percentage or a standard deviation, it evaluates the reproducibility of the system. Sample duplicates measure the reproducibility of the sampling event, while lab replicates measure the precision of the analytical process. The acceptable precision may be defined by the laboratory method used.
- **Accuracy**—A quantitative measurement of the bias of the data. It represents how close the measurement data is to the true value. Analytical accuracy is measured by percent recoveries associated with the laboratory analytical control spikes (blank spikes), surrogate spikes, or matrix spikes. The acceptable accuracy may be defined by the laboratory method used. Sampling accuracy can be assessed by evaluating field and trip blanks.
- **Representativeness**—A qualitative measurement of the ability of a sample or group of data to adequately describe or define the conditions being measured. Precision, accuracy, and completeness all affect representativeness. Sampling strategy (location, method, and frequency) are critical to ensure that the samples statistically represent the population. Laboratory precision and accuracy reflect how representative the data is of the sample.
- **Completeness**—A quantitative measurement of the percentage of acceptable data as compared to the number planned. Both sampling and analytical completeness can be measured.
- **Comparability**—A qualitative measurement of the confidence with which one data set can be compared with another. Comparability is achieved by using standard techniques for collection and analysis.

Protocols for assessing the precision, accuracy, and completeness are provided in the following text. It should be noted that there are no standard guidelines available for representativeness and comparability.

13.1 PRECISION

To determine the precision of the laboratory analysis, a routine program of replicate analyses, in accordance with the analytical method requirements, is performed by the laboratory. The results of replicate analyses are used to calculate the relative percent difference which is used to assess laboratory precision.

For replicate results C_1 and C_2 :

$$\text{Relative percent difference} = \frac{|C_1 - C_2|}{\left(\frac{C_1 + C_2}{2}\right)} \times 100$$

Precision of the total sampling and analytical measurement process will be assessed from field duplicates. Although a quantitative goal cannot be set due to sample variability, the Task Lead will review relative percent difference values of field duplicates to estimate precision. Analytical precision can be measured separately from sampling precision through the use of laboratory duplicate and matrix spikes.

13.2 ACCURACY

To determine the accuracy of an analytical method and/or the laboratory analysis, a periodic program of sample spiking is conducted (minimum one spike and one spike duplicate per 20 samples). The results of sample spiking are used to calculate the QC parameter for accuracy evaluation, the percent recovery (% R).

For surrogate spikes and QC samples:

$$\%R = \frac{C_s}{C_t} \times 100$$

where--

C_s = measured spiked sample concentration (or amount)

C_t = true spiked concentration (or amount)

For matrix spikes:

$$\%R = \frac{|C_s - C_o|}{C_t} \times 100$$

where--

C_s = measured, spiked sample concentration

C_o = sample concentration (not spiked)

C_t = true concentration of the spike

Accuracy of the total sampling and analytical measurement process will not be determined. This would require the addition of chemical-spiking compounds to the samples in the field.

13.3 COMPLETENESS

To determine the completeness of data, the percentage of valid, viable data obtained from a measurement system is compared with the number of total measurements. The goal of completeness is to generate a sufficient amount of valid data to satisfy task needs.

Completeness, C , is calculated as follows:

$$\% C = \frac{\text{Number of valid measurements}}{\text{Number of total measurements}} \times 100$$

14. NONCONFORMANCES AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

Nonconforming equipment, items, activities, conditions, and unusual incidents that could affect compliance with task requirements will be identified, controlled, and reported in a timely manner. Nonconforming equipment will immediately be labeled or tagged, and segregated, if possible. Specific protocols for controlling nonconforming items will be described in applicable documents. Nonconformance Reports issued as a result of an audit or surveillance will identify the root cause of the problem. Laboratories must notify the appropriate personnel of any nonconformance or problems with analytical samples. Laboratory corrective actions reports are completed by the analytical laboratory when a nonconformance is recognized by laboratory personnel. Handling of any nonconformance is described in appropriate plans and protocols.

Corrective actions to audit/surveillance findings and nonconformances are managed. The Task Manager is notified of a nonconformance and/or surveillance finding. These are documented and a copy is furnished to the Task Lead as soon as possible. Copies of audits, surveillances, and/or nonconformances and their dispositions will be forwarded to the appropriate management personnel and will be placed in the DMC.

15. QA REPORTS TO MANAGEMENT

Upon request, QA personnel will provide to management a report which summarizes QA activities for the task, system, and performance audits conducted (internal and external); quality problems found; corrective actions initiated; and other applicable items. Some reports that present measurement data generated during the work assignment may require a QA section addressing the quality and limitations of the data. This QA section will address results of audits or surveillance of the measurement work; quality problems found and corrective actions taken; and deviations from applicable documents.

16. FIELD CHANGES

Field changes will be governed by control measures commensurate with those applied to the documentation of the original protocol. The task team identifies, documents, and approves field changes. These changes are communicated to the team through the use of Change Notices and Change Orders.

REFERENCES

- 10 CFR 830.120, "*Quality Assurance*," April 1994.
- Bechtel Jacobs Company LLC. Quality Assurance Program Plan, DRAFT, October 1998.
- Energy Systems. *Environmental Measurements Data Management Plan Implementation Handbook for the Environmental Restoration Program*, ES/ER/TM-88/R1, 1996.
- EPA. *Data Quality Objectives Process for Superfund*, Interim Final Guidance, EPA/540/G-93/071, 1993.
- EPA. Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendment Permit, Permit #KY8890008982, August, 19, 1991.
- EPA. *Interim Guidelines and Specifications for Preparing Quality Assurance Project Plans*, QAMS 005/80, December 20, 1980.
- EPA. *Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods*, SW-846, 1986.
- EPA. *EPA Region 4 Environmental Investigations Standard Operating Procedures and Quality Assurance Manual*, May 1996.
- Kentucky Division of Waste Management Hazardous Waste Management Permit, Permit No. KY8890008982, August 19, 1991.
- Kentucky Agreement in Principle, January 1, 1997.
- Quality Assured Data*, PMSA-1001, Bechtel Jacobs Company LLC Procedures Manual, April 1997.

Blank Page

APPENDIX A
TASK-SPECIFIC INFORMATION FOR QUALITY AND DATA ELEMENTS

Blank Page

TASK-SPECIFIC INFORMATION FOR QUALITY AND DATA ELEMENTS

Purpose and Introduction

This plan can be used and implemented for the Paducah DOE tasks requiring the collection of analytical data. Each section of the FFA QA/DMP was written to meet data-quality requirements and provides a description of the programmatic elements which should occur for each task. This appendix provides additional information concerning the QA and Data Management aspects which are specific to the task and cannot be defined at the programmatic level. This appendix should be completed once the task has been planned or once the DQOs have been documented. This completed appendix, along with the "Data and Documents Management and Quality Assurance Plan for the Paducah Environmental Management and Enrichment Facilities Program," will serve as the "Quality Assurance and Data Management Plan" for the task, will be provided to appropriate personnel, and will be maintained as a task record.

For the purpose of this document, this appendix is not completed but shows the information to be completed for each task involved in the collection of analytical data. This appendix should be completed, printed with attachments compiled, combined with the "Data and Documents Management and Quality Assurance Plan for the Paducah Environmental Management and Enrichment Facilities Program," and distributed to the appropriate personnel for review, approval, and use.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING THE QUALITY ASSURANCE/DATA MANAGEMENT PLAN (QA/DMP)

Use the following instructions to complete each section for the task-specific QA/DMP. Attachments may be used to serve as and/or supplement the information provided in the tables.

TITLE PAGE: Type over the task-specific information in the underlined/bolded/italicized portion of the text. Information needed is the issue date, document number, document title, and author(s). Document numbers must be obtained from the Records Manager.

APPROVAL PAGE: Type over the task-specific information in the underlined/bolded/italicized portion of the text. Information needed is the preparers' names and titles and the approvers' names and titles. Minimum approvals are the Task Lead, Project Manager, and QA Manager.

TABLE OF CONTENTS AND ATTACHMENTS: Include the appropriate page numbers to the table of contents and identify and document the attachments provided to supplement this QA/DMP.

SECTION 1.0—TASK ORGANIZATION, RESPONSIBILITY, AND TRAINING: Identify the task organizational chart listing additional roles and responsibilities, including those identified in Section 2.2 of the "Data and Documents Management and Quality Assurance Plan for the Paducah Environmental Management and Enrichment Facilities Program." Also, document in Table 1.1 the training requirements for key personnel. An organizational chart and/or training matrix may be attached to this QA/DMP.

SECTION 2.0—DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES (DQOs) AND SAMPLE PLANNING: Refer to PMSA-1001, *Quality Assured Data*, Appendix C, for directions to complete DQOs for the project. Attach DQO documentation to this QA/DMP. Using the DQO documentation, with assistance from the task team, identify details of the SAP. The SAP is generated out of the data needs identified in the DQOs and will specify applicable samples (i.e., regular samples, QC samples, and waste characterization samples) to be collected. Complete Table 2.1 (if SAP is not available) and/or attach the task SAP for environmental data. Complete Table 2.2 for waste characterization.

SECTION 3.0—APPLICABLE PROTOCOLS, DOCUMENTS, AND WORK INSTRUCTIONS: Identify the applicable protocols and documents (to data quality activities) which will be followed for the data collection activity and document in Table 3.1. Work instructions may be required for task-specific tasks.

When available and appropriate for the sample matrix, SW-846 Methods will be used. When not available, other nationally-recognized methods such as those of ASTM, DOE, and EPA will be used. Analytical methods are listed in Table 2.0 and in analytical SOWs; therefore, an additional listing of analytical methods is not required in Table 3.1.

SECTION 4.0—CALIBRATION PROTOCOLS AND FREQUENCIES: This section addresses documentation of field equipment and field support laboratory equipment which is to be calibrated for the task. Fixed-base laboratory calibration protocols and frequencies are not required to be included in this plan but are covered in the laboratory QA plans and protocols. The SMO oversight/audit has ensured the laboratory has met the requirements of SW-846. Calibration protocols and frequency information may be attached to this QA/DMP.

Identify the field equipment and field support laboratory equipment to be used during the data collection activity and document in Table 4.1 or attach supplemental information concerning equipment calibrations, the protocols, and frequencies.

SECTION 5.0—DATA REVIEW PROCESS: For details on the data review process, refer to PMSA-1001, *Quality Assured Data*, Appendices E, F, G, and H. Complete verification and assessment.

For the purposes of this section, contractual screening, data verification, and data assessment frequencies are identified in Table 5.1, Table 5.2, and Table 5.4, respectively; however, responsible personnel for these tasks must be identified and documented in the appropriate tables. Complete and attach Appendix G, "Data Quality Checks," from PMSA-1001, *Quality Assured Data*, to better define verification and assessment criteria. Complete Table 5.3 to document the validation strategy defined by the task team.

SECTION 6.0—DOCUMENT AND RECORDS CONTROL AND TRANSFER: Identify the documents and records to be controlled during the task, the document or record name and type (i.e., a document such as a QA project plan or a record such as a logbook) and the frequency of transfer of the document or record to the EMEF DMC. Record this information in Table 6.1 for documents and Table 6.2 for records.

SECTION 7.0—QUALITY ASSESSMENT SCHEDULE: Identify and document in Table 7.1 the quality assessments to be performed for the task as requested by the Task Lead or other applicable managers.

DISTRIBUTION LIST: Identify and document the appropriate personnel to receive a copy of the QA/DMP.

REVIEWING, APPROVING, AND ISSUING THE QA/DMP: Upon completion of the above instructions, the QA/DMP should be printed, noticeably stamped "DRAFT," and provided to the appropriate personnel for review. Comments should be received and resolved in a timely manner. The revised QA/DMP should be printed, approved, and provided to the appropriate personnel as defined in the distribution list.

Blank Page

DATE OF ISSUE: DATE _____

DOCUMENT NO., REV. NO.

PROJECT TITLE
QUALITY ASSURANCE AND DATA MANAGEMENT PLAN

AUTHOR(S)

Prepared by
Environmental Management and Enrichment Facilities
Kevil, Kentucky 42053
Managed by
BECHTEL JACOBS COMPANY
for the
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
Under Contract No. DE-AC05-98OR22700

Blank Page

PROJECT TITLE
QUALITY ASSURANCE AND DATA MANAGEMENT PLAN

APPROVALS

Prepared by: _____ Date: _____
Name
Title

Prepared by: _____ Date: _____
Name
Title

Approved by: _____ Date: _____
Name
Title

Approved by: _____ Date: _____
Name
Title

Approved by: _____ Date: _____
Name
Title

Approved by: _____ Date: _____
Name
Title

Approved by: _____ Date: _____
Name
Title

Blank Page

CONTENTS

- 1.0 TASK ORGANIZATION, RESPONSIBILITY, AND TRAINING**
- 2.0 DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES AND SAMPLE PLANNING**
- 3.0 APPLICABLE PROTOCOLS AND DOCUMENTS**
- 4.0 CALIBRATION PROTOCOLS AND FREQUENCIES**
- 5.0 DATA REVIEW PROCESS**
- 6.0 DOCUMENT AND RECORDS CONTROL AND TRANSFER**
- 7.0 ASSESSMENT SCHEDULE**

ATTACHMENTS

- 1 Organizational Chart**
- 2 Training Matrix**
- 3 DQO Documentation**
- 4 Sampling and Analysis Plan**
- 5 Figures/Drawings of Area**
- 6 Calibration Protocols and Frequencies**
- 7 Data Quality Checks Checklist**

Blank Page

1.0 TASK ORGANIZATION, RESPONSIBILITY, AND TRAINING

Table 1.1. Task Organization, Responsibility, and Training.

| Job Title or Position | Name | Role, Responsibility, and Interface | Training* |
|------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| DOE Project Manager | | | |
| Data Clerk | | | |
| Data Manager | M&I Data Manager/ Subcontractor Personnel | | |
| Network Administrator | M&I Network Administrator | | |
| Project Manager | | | |
| Project Engineer | | | |
| QA Specialist | | | |
| Records Clerk | | | |
| Records Manager | M&I Records Manager/ Subcontractor Personnel | | |
| Sample Manager | M&I Sample Manager/ Subcontractor Personnel | | |
| Task Lead | | | |
| Task Manager | | | |
| Field Team Leader | Subcontractor Personnel | | |
| Samplers | Subcontractor Personnel | | |
| Drillers | Subcontractor Personnel | | |
| Other | Subcontractor Personnel | | |
| Other | Subcontractor Personnel | | |

*The required training (GET, GERT, RAD II, etc.) should be identified for Subcontractor Personnel for this project.

Identify Location of Training Records for Subcontractor Personnel: _____

2.0 DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES AND SAMPLE PLANNING

Table 2.1. DQOs and sample planning for environmental data collection.

| Sampling Location | Matrix | Sampling Method(s) | Sampling Frequency | Data Type(s) (Screen or Def) | Analyte(s) | Analytical Method | Detection Limit(s) | Holding Time | Container | Preservative |
|------------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| <i>Regular Samples</i> | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>QC Samples</i> | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 2.2. DQOs and sample planning for waste characterization data collection.

| Material/ Volume/ Container | Preliminary Classification | Characterizat ion Method | Future Disposition | Analyte(s) | Analytical Method | Detection Limit(s) | Holding Time | Container | Preservative |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| <i>Regular Samples</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>QC Samples</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

3.0 APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS, PROTOCOLS, AND WORK INSTRUCTIONS

Table 3.1. Applicable documents, protocols, and work instructions.

| Protocol Number | Protocol Name | Applicability | |
|-----------------|---|---------------|----|
| | | Yes | No |
| | <i>General</i> | | |
| | List appropriate protocols for to be used for chain-of-custodies, logbooks, ensuring quality data, etc. | | |
| | <i>Sampling</i> | | |
| | List appropriate sampling protocols to be used. | | |
| | <i>Data Management</i> | | |
| | List appropriate data management protocols to be used. | | |
| | <i>Data Validation</i> | | |
| | List appropriate data validation protocols to be used. | | |

4.0 CALIBRATION PROTOCOLS AND FREQUENCIES

Table 4.1. Field equipment and field support laboratory calibration protocols and frequencies.

| Equipment & Serial No. | Field Usage | Calibration Check Frequency | Calibration Check Material | Calibration Check Protocol |
|---|-------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| <i>Field Equipment</i> | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| <i>Field Support Laboratory Equipment</i> | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

5.0 DATA REVIEW PROCESS

| Table 5.3. Details for performing data validation. | | | | |
|--|-------------------|------------------|---------------|--------------|
| Frequency | Data Package Type | Analytes & Media | Protocol Used | Completed By |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Responsible Person: _____

| Table 5.3. Details for performing data validation. | | | | |
|--|-------------------|------------------|---------------|--------------|
| Frequency | Data Package Type | Analytes & Media | Protocol Used | Completed By |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Responsible Person: _____

| Table 5.4. Data assessment. | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Responsible Person: _____ | | | | |

6.0 DOCUMENT AND RECORDS CONTROL AND TRANSFER

| Table 6.1. Identification of documents. | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|----------|
| Document Name and Type | Controlled Document (Yes* or No) | Storage Location | Frequency of Transfer | Comments |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

* If a document is identified as a “controlled document”, then a distribution list must be created, maintained, and updated, as needed. The access control method for the “controlled document” must be defined and implemented.

| Table 6.2. Identification of records. | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|----------|
| Record Name and Type | Quality Record (Yes or No) | Storage Location | Frequency of Transfer | Comments |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

7.0 ASSESSMENT SCHEDULE

| Table 7.1. Assessment schedule. | | | |
|--|---------------|------|--------------|
| Audit/Surveillance/ Self Assessment No. | Subject/Topic | Date | Completed By |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

DISTRIBUTION

(List appropriate names and associated organization, if needed, for distribution of document.)

Blank Page

APPENDIX B

DATA DICTIONARY AND FORMATS FOR PADUCAH OREIS TRANSMITTALS

Blank Page

TRANSMITTAL FORMAT

Transmittal format for all data transmittals will be in exported database format (.dbf) and as a Microsoft Access table (version 97 or more recent). The file will be added to the password-protected external server under the base directory \\home\oreis\data\ in a zipped file named according to the structure outlined below that corresponds to Table 1 in addition to other applicable transmittals.

KPDES Permit DOE Outfalls, Toxicity Monitoring, Bioaccumulation Study, Fish Community

...\data\permit\KPDES\KPDESTYYYY-MM

where T corresponds to the sample type (i.e., R=regular permitted sampling, T=toxicity sampling, B=bioaccumulation sampling, F=fish community sampling)
 YYYY corresponds to the calendar year, and
 MM corresponds to the month

C-746-K Surface Water, C-746-K Groundwater

...\data\permit\C746K\KMYYYY-SA

where M corresponds to the media (i.e., S=Surface water, G=Groundwater)
 YYYY corresponds to the calendar year, and
 SA corresponds to the 1st or 2nd half of the year

C-746-S&T Surface Water, C-746-S&T Groundwater, C-746-S&T Leachate

...\data\permit\C746S&T\S_TMYYYY-QQ

where M corresponds to the media (i.e., S=Surface water, G=Groundwater, L=Leachate)
 YYYY corresponds to the calendar year, and
 QQ corresponds to the quarter

C-746-U Surface Water, C-746-U Groundwater, C-746-U Leachate

...\data\permit\C746U\UMYYYY-QQ

where M corresponds to the media (i.e., S=Surface water, G=Groundwater, L=Leachate)
 YYYY corresponds to the calendar year, and
 QQ corresponds to the quarter

C-404 Groundwater, C-404 Leachate

...\data\permit\C404\404MYYYY-SA

where M corresponds to the media (i.e., S=Surface water, G=Groundwater, L=Leachate)
 YYYY corresponds to the calendar year, and
 SA corresponds to the 1st or 2nd half of the year

Environmental Monitoring Surface Water Sampling

...\data\envmon\SW-YYYY

where YYYY corresponds to the calendar year

Environmental Monitoring Sediment Sampling

...\data\envmon\SD-YYYY

where YYYY corresponds to the calendar year

Environmental Monitoring Deer Sampling

...\data\envmon\D-YYYY

where YYYY corresponds to the calendar year

Environmental Monitoring Plume Groundwater Sampling

... \data\envmon\PI-GW\PIGWYYYY-QQ
where YYYY corresponds to the calendar year, and
QQ corresponds to the quarter

Environmental Monitoring Residential Groundwater Sampling

... \data\envmon\Res-GW\ResGWYYYY-SA
where YYYY corresponds to the calendar year, and
SA corresponds to the 1st or 2nd half of the year

Environmental Monitoring Surveillance Groundwater Sampling

... \data\envmon\Sur-GW\SurGWYYYY-SA
where YYYY corresponds to the calendar year, and
SA corresponds to the 1st or 2nd half of the year

S&M/O&M Northwest Plume Operations Sampling

... \data\sm_om\NWYYYY-QQ
where YYYY corresponds to the calendar year, and
QQ corresponds to the quarter

S&M/O&M Northeast Plume Operations Sampling

... \data\sm_om\NEYYYY-QQ
where YYYY corresponds to the calendar year, and
QQ corresponds to the quarter

DOE Remedial Action Investigations

... \data\ra\PROJCODE
where PROJCODE corresponds to the PROJ_CODE in Paducah OREIS (e.g., ERI-WAG6,
ERI98-698W22, etc.)

Special Requests

... \data\requests\YYYYMMDD-A
where YYYY corresponds to the calendar year,
MM corresponds to the month,
DD corresponds to the day of the request, and
A corresponds to the sequential number for the request.

Lithology

... \data\lith\PROJCODE
where PROJCODE corresponds to the PROJ_CODE in Paducah OREIS from which the lithology
description was collected (e.g., ERI-WAG6, ERI-WAG 27, LASAGNA, etc.)

GIS Themes/Coverages

... \data\gis\
Each file will be named to appropriately describe the theme/coverage. Updates to themes/coverages
will be named identical to the previous version with a revision number immediately following (e.g., roads,
roads1, roads2, etc).
GIS Themes/coverages will be in a format compatible to be viewed in ArcView 2.0 or higher (i.e.,
ArcInfo Coverages, AutoCAD drawings, etc.)

DATA DICTIONARY INFORMATION

CODE

The CODE table contains the codes used in Paducah OREIS tables and their descriptions.

| | |
|-------------------------|--|
| CODE | Code referenced in other Paducah OREIS tables. |
| CODE_DESCRIPTION | Description of the coded value. This is the 'decoded' value. |
| CODE_TYPE | Column name for the codes and descriptions. This value identifies the type of coded value. |

PROJECT FLD SMP MEAS

The export of PROJECT FLD SMP MEAS table contains the measurement data taken in the field, which is associated with specific SAMPLEs collected during a STATION_EVENT. Examples are flow rate, depth, and temperature. Only those field measurements directly associated with a SAMPLE will be stored in the FLD_SMP_MEAS table. Field measurement data collected, not directly associated with a SAMPLE (e.g., water level suites) will also be in this format.

| | |
|------------------------------|--|
| PROJ_CODE | Acronym assigned by the project (e.g., "ERI-WAG6" for the WAG 6 Environmental Restoration Field Investigation). |
| STA_NAME | Unique station name assigned by the individual projects (e.g., 400-212 or MW156). |
| PROJ_SAMPLE_ID | Unique sample identifier assigned by the project. |
| SAMPLE_COMMENTS | Comments about the sample. |
| SMP_STRT_LEVEL | For a measurement taken over a range of elevations or depths, the upper vertical distance in feet of the measurement from ground surface. |
| SMP_END_LEVEL | For a measurement taken over a range of elevations or depths, the lower vertical distance in feet of the measurement from ground surface. |
| MED_TYPE | Coded value that represents the part of the environment from which a sample is collected, or on which a field measurement or observation is made. See CODE table where CODE_TYPE = MED_TYPE for a list of valid values and their descriptions. |
| SMP_TYPE | Coded value that represents the type of sample collected. See CODE table where CODE_TYPE = SMP_TYPE for a list of valid values and their descriptions. |
| D_COLLECTED | Date sample was collected. |
| CHEMICAL_NAME | Description of the chemical or measurement parameter. For CAS numbers, this is the preferred name defined by the Common Lab Practices Committee. |
| CAS_NUM | Chemical Abstract Services number with dashes, blank if no CAS number is available. |
| LAB_CODE | Coded value assigned by the project that represents the analytical laboratory that performed the analysis of the sample. See the CODE table where CODE_TYPE = LAB_CODE for a list of valid values and their descriptions. |
| RESULTS | Measurement for a given parameter. |
| RSLT_PREFIX_QUALIFIER | A qualifier indicating whether the result is below, within, or above range limits. See CODE table where CODE_TYPE = RSLT_PREFIX_QUALIFIER for a list of valid values and their descriptions. |

| | |
|------------------------|---|
| RSLTQUAL | Coded value that documents any conditions associated with the results of the analysis. See CODE table where CODE_TYPE = RSLTQUAL for a list of valid values and their descriptions. |
| UNITS | Coded value that represents the units of measure used to report the parameter value. See CODE table where CODE_TYPE = UNITS for a list of valid values and their descriptions. |
| NON_COMPLI_CODE | For Paducah OREIS, this field designates electronic verification qualifiers assigned during the Data Assessment process according to PMSA-1001. See CODE table where CODE_TYPE = NON_COMPLI_CODE for a list of valid values and their descriptions. A null field may indicate no criteria were established or may indicate verification was clear. Non-standard criteria are established on a project-by-project basis. |
| VALIDATION | Coded value that represents the outcome of the data validation process. See CODE table where CODE_TYPE = VALIDATION for a list of valid values and their descriptions. |
| ASSESSMENT | Coded value describing assessment qualifiers added to data as a result of PMSA-1001. Applies only to data generated after effective date of procedure. See CODE table where CODE_TYPE = ASSESSMENT for a list of valid values and their descriptions. |
| FLD_COMMENTS | Comments about the measurement. |
| ANA_METHOD | Method number used to identify a standard analysis method. |
| ANA_TYPE | Coded value of the chemical group to which the analyte belongs. See CODE table where CODE_TYPE = ANA_TYPE for a list of valid values and descriptions. |

PROJECT LAB MEAS

The export of PROJECT LAB MEAS table contains the measurement data analyzed by an analytical laboratory, which is associated with specific SAMPLEs collected during a STATION_EVENT.

| | |
|------------------------|--|
| PROJ_CODE | Acronym assigned by the project (e.g., "ERI-WAG6A" for the WAG 6 Environmental Restoration Field Investigation). |
| STA_NAME | Unique station name assigned by the individual projects (e.g., 400-212 or MW156). |
| PROJ_SAMPLE_ID | Unique sample identifier assigned by the project. |
| SAMPLE_COMMENTS | Comments about the sample. |
| SMP_STRT_LEVEL | For a measurement taken over a range of elevations or depths, the upper vertical distance in feet of the measurement from ground surface. |
| SMP_END_LEVEL | For a measurement taken over a range of elevations or depths, the lower vertical distance in feet of the measurement from ground surface. |
| MED_TYPE | Coded value that represents the part of the environment from which a sample is collected, or on which a field measurement or observation is made. See CODE table where CODE_TYPE = MED_TYPE for a list of valid values and their descriptions. |
| SMP_TYPE | Coded value that represents the type of sample collected. See CODE table where CODE_TYPE = SMP_TYPE for a list of valid values and their descriptions. |
| D_COLLECTED | Date sample was collected. |

| | |
|------------------------------|---|
| CHEMICAL_NAME | Description of the chemical or measurement parameter. For CAS numbers, this is the preferred name defined by the Common Lab Practices Committee. |
| CAS_NUM | Chemical Abstract Services number with dashes, blank if no CAS number is available. |
| LAB_CODE | Coded value assigned by the project that represents the analytical laboratory that performed the analysis of the sample. See the CODE table where CODE_TYPE = LAB_CODE for a list of valid values and their descriptions. |
| RESULTS | Measurement for a given parameter. |
| RSLT_PREFIX_QUALIFIER | A qualifier indicating whether the result is below, within, or above range limits. See CODE table where CODE_TYPE = RSLT_PREFIX_QUALIFIER for a list of valid values and their descriptions. |
| RSLTQUAL | Coded value that documents any conditions associated with the results of the analysis. See CODE table where CODE_TYPE = RSLTQUAL for a list of valid values and their descriptions. |
| UNITS | Coded value that represents the units of measure used to report the parameter value. See CODE table where CODE_TYPE = UNITS for a list of valid values and their descriptions. |
| RAD_ERR | The counting error for a specific radionuclide expressed as 2 standard deviations. |
| NON_COMPLI_CODE | For Paducah OREIS, this field designates electronic verification qualifiers assigned during the Data Assessment process according to PMSA-1001. See CODE table where CODE_TYPE = NON_COMPLI_CODE for a list of valid values and their descriptions. A null field may indicate no criteria were established or may indicate verification was clear. Non-standard criteria are established on a project-by-project basis. |
| VALIDATION | Coded value that represents the outcome of the data validation process. See the CODE table where CODE_TYPE = VALIDATION for a list of valid values and their descriptions. |
| ASSESSMENT | Coded value describing assessment qualifiers added to data as a result of PMSA-1001. Applies only to data generated after effective date of procedure. See CODE table where CODE_TYPE = ASSESSMENT for a list of valid values and their descriptions. |
| LAB_COMMENTS | Comments about the individual sample. |
| ANA_METHOD | Method number used to identify a standard analysis method. |
| ANA_TYPE | Coded value of the chemical group to which the analyte belongs. See CODE table where CODE_TYPE = ANA_TYPE for a list of valid values and descriptions. |

STATION-LOCATION

The export of STATION-LOCATION table contains the data about sampling points associated with one or more projects. Each point has a distinct station name/station type within a project. Locational information contains coordinate and other information describing a point on the ground. Most location are points described by x,y coordinates, but a location could be a line or a polygon where measuring events occur. In those cases, a single point, such as the estimated center point, is used.

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| STA_NAME | Unique station name assigned by the individual projects (e.g., GW101). |
| STA_TYPE | Coded value that represents the type of station (e.g., seep, spring, well). See CODE table where CODE_TYPE = STA_TYPE for a list of valid values and their descriptions. |
| STATION_COMMENTS | Comments about the station. |
| STA_DESC | Description of the specific sampling or measuring location. |
| GRND_ELV | Elevation of ground surface (for groundwater, soil, or sediment sampling) at a sampling or measuring location in feet above mean sea level (msl). |
| ADMIN_EAST | X-value of the distance in feet of a sampling or measuring location from the reference location based on the administrative coordinate grid system. |
| ADMIN_NORTH | Y-value of the distance in feet of a sampling or measuring location from the reference location based on the administrative coordinate grid system. |
| SWMU | Acronym for Solid Waste Management Unit, if applicable. |
| LOCATION_COMMENTS | Comments about the location. |
| DATUM | Coded value that represents the method by which reference points were established (e.g., NAD27, NAD83). Datum should be associated with the state plane coordinate system. It is not valid for administrative grid. See CODE table where CODE_TYPE = DATUM for a list of valid values and their descriptions. |
| SPLANE_EAST | X-value of the distance in meters of a sampling or measuring location from the reference location based on the state plane coordinate grid system. |
| SPLANE_NORTH | Y-value of the distance in meters of a sampling or measuring location from the reference location based on the state plane coordinate grid system. |
| LOC_ERROR | Station location error in feet. |
| LOC_METHOD | Coded value that represents the method used for locating the station. See CODE table where CODE_TYPE = LOC_METHOD for a list of valid values and their descriptions. |

LITHOLOGY

The LITHOLOGY export provides a description of a material (e.g., sand, gravel) encountered underground at a given location at a specific interval within a well, borehole, etc. and the discrete fixed top and bottom points of the interval where the sample was taken.

| | |
|-------------------------|--|
| CONSTR_DEPTH_VAL | The total measurement from the ground surface of a hole downward to the bottom of the screening material in a well, expressed in feet. |
|-------------------------|--|

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| HOLE_DIAM | Diameter in inches of the well. If more than one diameter is available, this column will contain the smallest diameter and the others will be listed in the COMMENTS column. |
| LOG_FLAG | A flag which indicates that reference source information (e.g., geophysical logs) exists. |
| LOG_TYPE | Coded value that represents a specific geophysical log. An example would be CL for Caliper Log, GRL for Gamma Ray Log. A name or abbreviation representing a type of LOG used in geologic work (e.g., driller, caliper, gamma). See CODE table where CODE_TYPE = LOG_TYPE for a list of valid values and their descriptions. |
| TOT_DRILLED_DEPTH | The total measurement from the ground surface to the bottom of a newly-constructed well after any plug back material has been added, expressed in feet. |
| INT_BOT_DEPTH_VAL | The distance in feet, from the ground surface to the bottom of a monitored interval. |
| INT_TOP_DEPTH_VAL | The distance in feet, from the ground surface to the top of a monitored interval. |
| MONIT_INT_NAME | The name (or number) assigned to a given monitored interval at a given location. |
| MONIT_ZONE_CODE | Coded value that represents the generic interval of a saturated zone that a hole monitors. A monitored interval can cut across multiple zones. See CODE table where CODE_TYPE = MONIT_ZONE_CODE for a list of valid values and their descriptions. |
| INT_MATL_CODE | Coded value that represents a specific characteristic or set of characteristics of the solid content found at a specific location. See CODE table where CODE_TYPE = INT_MAT_TYPE for a list of valid values and their descriptions. |
| STRAT_SEQ | Number assigned by the site geologist to each distinct lithologic layer at a site. |
| VISUAL_DESC | Textual and mineralogical description of the material comprising the layer to augment or qualify the lithtype code (e.g., grain sizes, color, secondary characteristics). |

Blank Page

DISTRIBUTION

Bechtel Jacobs Company LLC

R. Barber
J. R. Blewett
B. A. Bowers
T. L. Brindley
R. D. Brooksbank
J. E. Buckmaster
D. L. Chumbler
R. D. Ferguson
R. L. Foster
J. G. Garner
L. K. Garner
P. A. Gourieux
D. R. Guminski
A. L. Harrington
D. A. Hill
C. S. Jones
R. J. Keeling
D. M. Massey
J. C. Massey
A. S. Masvidal
G. R. Miller
J. W. Morgan
L. D. Payne
R. E. Scott
M. H. Shanks
J. W. Thiesing
R. R. Veazey
D. Whitaker-Sheppard
J. L. White
J. G. Williamson
Information Resource Center-OR
PGDP EMEF DMC – RC (2)

Department of Energy

D. M. Carden, ORO
N. L. Carnes, ORO
D. W. Dollins, PAD
G. S. Hartman, ORO
J. C. Hodges, PAD (3)
K. Kates, ORO
M. E. Redfield, PAD
R. C. Sleeman, ORO
D. B. Williams, HQ

Environmental Protection Agency

C. R. Froede, Jr. (3)

Jacobs Engineering Group

B. E. Phillips (2)

Kentucky Department of Fish and Wildlife

W. L. Davis

Lockheed Martin Energy Research

C. T. Rightmire

Natural Resources Trustees

J. A. Barber
A. H. Loudermilk
A. B. Perkins
A. Robison

Site-Specific Advisory Board

M. Donham
V. W. Jones
SSAB Office File

State of Kentucky

R. H. Daniell
S. Hampson
T. Mullins
T. M. Taylor (3)
J. A. Volpe

Systematic Management Systems

W. F. Redfield

Tennessee Valley Authority

T. Whitaker

USEC

T. M. Taimi

U.S. Geological Survey

T. Mesko

West Kentucky Wildlife Management Area

W. D. Hendrick

